Our answers in *Italic.*

Reviewer #2 Evaluations:

The authors presents an interesting method to predicts and estimated the seepage of wetland zone at varying the groundwater level and recharge rate on a wide variety of landformes in the region of Chile. The work is interesting and the scientific soundness is good. However there are several weakness. The introduction is poor and the objective of this study is not clear. Discussion is poor presenting a structure of a conclusion. In the attached file thera are other minor remarks.

The introduction will be improved clarifying the requested terms and further clarifying the objective of the study. Regarding the discussion, it will be further developed, especially considering reviewer 1 comments on work limitations.

1. Introduction: Please improve the introduction.It is appea a bit poor the the reader.

The introduction will be improved.

Line 28: “Recharge rate (R)”: What do you intedn for recharge rate? is it the flow rate that leave the aquifer and recharge the wetlands? Please explain better this concept.

The definition of the recharge rate will be clarified.

Line 58: “m.a.s.l.”: Please explain the acronym. It shoudl be amsl.

m.a.s.l. stands for “meters above sea level”.

Line 140: “Figure 1a)”: Figure 3?

The reference to the corresponding figure will be corrected.

Line 166: “(a) Normalized seepage area against […]”: Improve the quality of figure 1a

The quality of the figure will be improved and corrected.

4. Discussion and perspectives: Conclusions?

The discussion part will be extended.