



#### **Coupling the ParFlow Integrated Hydrology Model within the NASA Land** 1 2 Information System: A case study over the Upper Colorado River Basin 3 <sup>1,4,7</sup>Peyman Abbaszadeh, <sup>3</sup>Fadji Zaouna Maina, <sup>1,4</sup>Chen Yang, <sup>5</sup>Dan Rosen, <sup>3</sup>Sujay Kumar, 4 <sup>6</sup>Matthew Rodell, <sup>1,2,4</sup>Reed Maxwell 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA 8 <sup>2</sup>High Meadows Environmental Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA 9 <sup>3</sup>Hydrological Sciences Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA <sup>4</sup>Integrated GroundWater Modeling Center, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ USA 10 <sup>5</sup>Climate & Global Dynamics Lab, The National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, 11 12 Colorado, USA <sup>6</sup> Earth Sciences Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA 13 14 now at <sup>7</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hydrologic Modeling and 15 Assimilation Lab, Portland State University, Portland, OR Corresponding author: Peyman Abbaszadeh, pabbaszadeh@princeton.edu, 16 17 pabbaszadeh@pdx.edu 18

## 19 Abstract

20 Understanding, observing, and simulating Earth's water cycle is imperative for effective water 21 resource management in the face of a changing climate. NASA's Land Information System 22 (LIS)/Noah-MP and the ParFlow groundwater model are the two widely used modeling platforms 23 that enable studying the Earth's land surface and subsurface hydrologic processes, respectively. The integration of ParFlow and LIS/Noah-MP models and harnessing their strengths can provide 24 25 an opportunity to simulate surface terrestrial water processes and groundwater dynamics together while enhancing the accuracy and scalability of hydrological modeling. This study introduces 26 27 ParFlow-LIS/Noah-MP (PF-LIS/Noah-MP), which is an integrated, physically based hydrologic modeling framework. PF-LIS/Noah-MP enables the user to simulate land surface processes in 28 29 conjunction with subsurface hydrologic processes while considering the interactions between the two. In this study, we compared the results of the coupled PF-LIS/Noah-MP and standalone 30 31 LIS/Noah-MP models with a suite of in-situ and satellite observations over the Upper Colorado 32 River Basin (UCRB) in the United States. This analysis confirmed that integrating ParFlow with LIS/Noah-MP not only enhances the capability of LIS/Noah-MP in estimating land surface 33 processes over regions with complex topography but also enables it to accurately simulate 34 35 subsurface hydrologic processes.

36 Keywords: ParFlow, LIS/Noah-MP, PF-LIS/Noah-MP, hydrology model, groundwater





#### 37 1. Introduction

38 The interaction of surface and subsurface hydrologic processes is complex and dynamic. 39 Surface hydrologic processes include the movements of water on the land surface, such as runoff, 40 while subsurface hydrologic processes include the movements of water below the ground, such as 41 infiltration and groundwater flow. These surface and subsurface physical processes are 42 interconnected through various mechanisms. For instance, precipitation that falls on the land 43 surface can infiltrate the soil and become soil moisture or runoff into nearby streams and rivers. 44 Soil moisture can either return to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration or percolate into the 45 subsurface, replenishing groundwater storage. Streams and rivers can also recharge underlying 46 groundwater aquifers, and groundwater can discharge into rivers and streams (Fleckenstein et al., 47 2010; Kalbus et al., 2006; Kourakos et al., 2019; Ntona et al., 2022; Winter et al., 1998).

48 The interaction of surface and subsurface hydrologic processes is particularly relevant to 49 managing water resources in arid and semi-arid regions, where water resources are often limited 50 (Deb et al., 2019; Scanlon et al., 2012; Tian et al., 2015; Wada et al., 2010). Climate change can impact surface and subsurface hydrologic processes and their interactions and feedback to the 51 atmosphere. In particular, changes in precipitation patterns, temperature, and evapotranspiration 52 rates can affect the balance and feedback between surface water and groundwater, affecting water 53 54 availability and quality (Alley, 2007; Christensen et al., 2004; Oki and Kanae, 2006; Scanlon et 55 al., 2012). Besides, human activities, such as irrigation and water pumping, can alter the natural behavior of surface-subsurface interactions (Boucher et al., 2004; Gordon et al., 2005; Leng et al., 56 57 2014; Leung et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2003; Sacks et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2007; Tian et al., 2015), 58 affect the land-atmosphere coupling (Harding and Snyder, 2012; Kawase et al., 2008; Lo and 59 Famiglietti, 2013; Qian et al., 2013) and compromise the health of ecosystems and water quality 60 (Green et al., 2011; Jasechko et al., 2017; Scanlon et al., 2012).

Irrigation water use in the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) is a substantial and growing demand on the region's limited water resources. UCRB includes parts of Colorado, Wyoming, Utah, and New Mexico and is home to a large agricultural sector. The region's irrigated agriculture mostly relies on groundwater (Hutson et al., 2004; Kenny et al., 2005). Studies show that due to the recent prolonged drought across the western US (Cook et al., 2015, 2021; Williams et al., 2022), water managers have increased their dependence on groundwater to secure public water supply and irrigate agricultural lands (Famiglietti et al., 2011, 2013; Taylor et al., 2013).





68 Groundwater pumping is an important source of water for agriculture in the UCRB, particularly 69 when and where surface water availability is limited (Castle et al., 2014). Excessive pumping can 70 lead to the depletion of aquifers, impacting water availability and the long-term sustainability of agricultural practices. To address these challenges, many states in the UCRB have implemented 71 72 regulations and policies to manage groundwater use in agriculture, such as implementing 73 groundwater monitoring programs and setting limits on the amount of water that can be pumped 74 (Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Near-term Colorado River Operations; U.S. Department of the Interior, 2021). In general, water management strategies can benefit from 75 76 skillful hydrologic modeling that considers the land surface and subsurface physical processes in a coupled fashion. In this work, we introduce and test a coupled land surface-subsurface hydrology 77 78 model (hereafter integrated hydrologic model) as one means to address this need.

79 Integrated hydrologic models have been highly successful in a broad range of watershed-80 scale studies (see Table 1 in Maxwell et al., 2014). These models represent observed surface and 81 subsurface behavior, diagnose stream-aquifer and land-energy interactions, and enhance our 82 understanding of how disturbances like changes in land-cover and human-induced climate change 83 affect different layers of the hydrologic system (Maxwell et al., 2015). The importance of the 84 interactions between groundwater and surface water and the use of integrated hydrologic models to better understand this connection has been the subject of many studies in the past decade 85 86 (Barthel and Banzhaf, 2016; Brookfield et al., 2023; Kuffour et al., 2020; Lahmers et al., 2022; O'neill et al., 2021a; Wang and Chen, 2021; Yang et al., 2021). Until recently, integrated 87 88 hydrologic models were mainly used at local to regional scales, as their implementation required 89 extensive computational resources. However, recent advances in parallel High-Performance Computing (HPC) techniques, numerical solvers, and observational data have made it feasible to 90 conduct large scale, high-resolution simulations of the terrestrial hydrologic cycle (Kollet et al., 91 92 2010; Maxwell, 2013; Maxwell et al., 2015; Naz et al., 2023). This has opened up new possibilities for the practical application of integrated hydrologic models at regional to continental scales. Most 93 94 previous large-scale subsurface studies have not accounted for surface processes explicitly (Fan et al., 2007, 2013; Miguez-Macho et al., 2007). Similarly, many continental to global-scale surface 95 96 hydrology studies have ignored groundwater or used a highly simplified model, despite the 97 importance of lateral groundwater flows (Krakauer et al., 2014). This limitation has been observed in studies such as those conducted by Döll et al. (2012), Maurer et al. (n.d.), and Xia et al. (2012). 98





99 The NASA Land Information System (LIS) is a software framework designed to facilitate 100 the integration of land surface models and satellite remote sensing data for improved understanding and prediction of land surface processes (Kumar et al., 2006, 2008a; Peters-Lidard et al., 2007). 101 102 LIS has been widely used for a variety of scientific and practical applications, including drought 103 monitoring and prediction, water resource management, and flood forecasting, among others (Crow et al., 2012; Getirana et al., 2020; Li et al., 2019; Mocko et al., 2021; Nie et al., 2022). LIS 104 105 has been integrated with other Earth system modeling systems. For example, a coupled high resolution land-atmosphere system has been developed by coupling LIS with the Weather 106 107 Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (Kumar et al., 2008a). This coupled land-atmosphere 108 system facilitates study of the interactions between the atmosphere and land surface processes.

109 ParFlow is a robust and versatile groundwater model that integrates advanced numerical 110 techniques to simulate both saturated and unsaturated flow conditions. This model has been coupled with different land surface and atmospheric models to better understand the interactions 111 112 between the subsurface, surface, and atmospheric processes (Kollet and Maxwell, 2006; Maxwell 113 et al., 2007, 2011, 2014b). Herein, we introduce a newly developed coupled land surface and subsurface hydrology model, ParFlow-LIS/Noah-MP (PF-LIS/Noah-MP) and study its 114 115 effectiveness and usefulness for simulating land surface and subsurface hydrologic processes. We 116 encourage the readers to refer to Fadji et al (2024) for more information about the coupled system. This paper has been under review at the time of writing this manuscript. Our primary objective is 117 to study the degree to which the coupled PF-LIS/Noah-MP model (Fadji et al 2024) can contribute 118 119 to better representation of surface and subsurface processes over UCRB. In particular, we study the extent to which the land surface water flux estimates in the LIS/Noah-MP model are improved 120 121 by coupling it with the ParFlow groundwater model. For this purpose, we compared the coupled PF-LIS/Noah-MP and LIS/Noah-MP model estimates of soil moisture, streamflow, water table 122 123 depth and terrestrial water storage with a suite of in-situ and satellite observations over the UCRB in the United States. 124

The paper is organized as follows: first, we briefly describe the ParFlow and LIS/Noah-MP model. Next, we discuss the coupling framework. In the results and discussion section of the paper, we provide a comparison of the model simulations against observations and explore how the coupled system could improve understanding of the land surface processes.

129





#### 130 2. ParFlow

131 ParFlow (PARallel Flow) (Ashby and Falgout, 1996; Jones and Woodward, 2001; Kollet 132 and Maxwell, 2006) is an integrated, parallel model platform that simultaneously solves variably 133 saturated three-dimensional Richards' equation throughout the entire subsurface (Kollet and Maxwell, 2008). ParFlow does not separate the phreatic and vadose zones, it employs a unified 134 135 solution by solving the compressible Richards' equation everywhere in the subsurface. This inclusive methodology allows to obtain a realistic representation of groundwater dynamics, shaped 136 137 by the underlying geology and topography. In addition to its capability to simulate subsurface 138 flow, ParFlow also tackles the complexities of overland flow and surface runoff. This is 139 accomplished through a combination of continuity or Manning's equations, implemented in either 140 kinematic or diffusive formats. By integrating these surface water flow components, ParFlow 141 offers a fully integrated system that simultaneously solves the partial differential equations (PDEs) governing both surface water and subsurface flow (e.g. Kollet and Maxwell, 2006). Importantly, 142 143 this integration is achieved in a globally implicit manner, ensuring the robust and efficient solution of these interconnected processes at each time step. The terrain following grid formulation in 144 ParFlow is important for accurately representing topography (Maxwell, 2013). By solving the 145 three-dimensional Richards' equation for variably saturated groundwater flow, the model 146 147 simulates lateral groundwater flow and replicates the spatial and temporal variations of the water 148 table. It is important to note that groundwater may take a longer time (for example compared to 149 soil moisture) to reach a steady-state due to such a complicated subsurface configuration, which 150 makes it a computationally intensive problem to solve (Maxwell et al., 2014a).

151 **3.** LIS

Since the LIS framework has already been extensively described in the original papers 152 153 (Kumar et al., 2006; Peters-Lidard et al., 2007), here we only briefly review its main components 154 and features. Land surface modeling within LIS relies on three key inputs: (1) initial conditions, describing the land surface's starting state; (2) boundary conditions, encompassing the atmospheric 155 fluxes or 'forcings' (upper boundary condition) and soil fluxes or states (lower boundary 156 157 condition); and (3) parameters, which represent the soil, vegetation, topography, and other land surface characteristics. Using these inputs, Land Surface Models (LSMs) available within LIS 158 (e.g., Community Land Model (CLM), Noah-MP, Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC), Mosaic 159





and Hydrology with Simple SIB (HySSIB)) solve the governing equations of the soil-vegetation-160 161 snowpack medium, and estimate the surface fluxes (i.e., sensible and latent heat, ground heat, surface and subsurface runoff, and evapotranspiration) and states (i.e., soil moisture and 162 temperature, snow water equivalent and depth). One of the significant features of LIS is its high-163 164 performance land surface modeling and Data Assimilation (DA) infrastructure (Kumar et al., 2008b). Its DA capability enables users to utilize a wide range of in-situ and satellite observations, 165 integrating them into various land surface models (those mentioned above) to enhance their 166 predictive skill while accounting for the different sources of uncertainty involved in different 167 168 layers of simulation. The DA embedded within LIS provides a possibility to perform probabilistic 169 simulations, which facilitate uncertainty characterization/quantification and help risk assessment 170 and effective decision making in the case of studying extreme hydrologic processes, such as floods 171 and droughts, among others.

In this study, we used the Noah-MP LSM (Niu et al., 2011) within LIS (LIS/Noah-MP). In LIS/Noah-MP, groundwater storage changes are represented using a simplified bucket-type linear reservoir approach. This method tracks variations in groundwater storage based on inflow, known as recharge, and outflows, which include capillary rise and base flow. It is important to note that this approach does not explicitly consider complex hydraulic properties such as hydraulic conductivity, a parameter typically used in soil moisture modeling and, by extension, groundwater recharge prediction (Li et al., 2021).

179

## 180 4. ParFlow-LIS

181 Here we describe how we coupled the ParFlow and LIS models. As we mentioned earlier, 182 in the coupled system (PF-LIS/Noah-MP), when the precipitation reaches the ground and 183 infiltrates the soil, LIS estimates the land surface processes (such as evaporation and transpiration) 184 and then calculates the net downward water flux which is later used as input to feed the ParFlow 185 model. It should be noted that the land surface model (LIS/Noah-MP) and groundwater model 186 (ParFlow) share the top four soil layers as the coupled soil zone where the two systems 187 communicate. ParFlow utilizes the Richards' equation to estimate the soil moisture in the coupled 188 zone and in the other soil layers down to the bottom layer. In the PF-LIS/Noah-MP system, in 189 addition to the top four soil layers with depth ranges from 0-0.1m, 0.1-0.4m, 0.4-1m, and 1-2m, 190 there are six additional layers, each with varying soil depths, ranging from 2-7m, 7-17m, 17-42m,





191 42-92m, 92-192m, to the bottom layer from 192-492m. By using saturation data generated by 192 ParFlow as one of its outputs and incorporating the soil layer porosity values, the LIS/Noah-MP model calculates the soil moisture content ( $\theta$ ). This 3D moisture data, derived from ParFlow, 193 194 replaces the 1D soil hydrology within the LIS/Noah-MP model, affecting the simulation of other land surface processes by LIS/Noah-MP. This is a two-way coupling; at each time step, LIS/Noah-195 196 MP computes evaporation, transpiration, snowmelt, and throughfall and passes these to ParFlow and then ParFlow feeds back a new soil moisture field to LIS/Noah-MP. Figure 1 schematically 197 illustrates the soil column, with red and green boxes delineating the control volumes for LIS/Noah-198 199 MP and ParFlow, respectively. Where these two areas overlap (shown with yellow arrow) is the coupled soil zone (top four soil layers). The initial soil moisture condition starts from the land 200 201 surface with  $\theta_{residual}$  ( $\theta$  can be any value depending on condition) and varies down to the water 202 table depth, where the soil becomes saturated ( $\theta_{saturation}$ ). Above the water table, the pressure head is negative, while below the water table in the saturated soil zone, it becomes positive. 203 204 ParFlow provides estimates of pressure head and soil saturation, which, along with soil-specific storage and porosity, are used to calculate subsurface storage. Through ParFlow, we can estimate 205 206 groundwater storage and lateral flow, both of which significantly impact the land surface energy 207 and water flux estimates within the land surface model. By integrating ParFlow with LIS/Noah-208 MP, we can accurately estimate the groundwater storage and account for subsurface lateral flow, 209 facilitating the communication between the land surface and subsurface hydrologic processes. 210







211 212

Figure 1. Schematic of the coupled PF-LIS/Noah-MP model. Single soil column representing the coupling zone between the LIS/Noah-MP and ParFlow.

215

# 216

## 217 5. Study Area

This study is conducted over the UCRB, a snow-dominated region covering approximately 218 219 280,000 km<sup>2</sup>. Stretching from the river's origins in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado and Wyoming to its endpoint at Lee's Ferry in Northern Arizona, the basin exhibits a significant 220 221 variation in elevation, ranging from 4,320 meters to 937 meters (Figure 2). Throughout the winter 222 season, which encompasses the period from October through the end of April, the snow covered area within the UCRB fluctuates between 50,000 km<sup>2</sup> and 280,000 km<sup>2</sup>. This seasonal change in 223 224 snow covered area plays a pivotal role in both the energy dynamics and hydrological cycle of the 225 region (Liu et al., 2015; Painter et al., 2012). The Colorado River is the primary water source for over 35 million people in the United States and an additional 3 million in Mexico. A recent 226 227 publication by the US Geological Survey (Miller et al., 2016) indicates that up to half of the water 228 coursing through the rivers and streams within the Upper Colorado River Basin originates from





groundwater sources. Recognizing the extent of available groundwater and understanding its replenishment process holds significant importance for the sustainable management of both groundwater and surface water resources within the Colorado River basin. For more information

about UCRB, its climatology and geology etc., we refer interested readers to Miller et al (2016).

233

234



Figure 2. Topography of the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB) and its location in the US.

## 237 6. In-situ Observations and Satellite Products

In this section we describe all those in-situ observations and satellite products that are used for validation of model simulations. As for in-situ observations, we use soil moisture datasets available from multiple observation networks over UCRB, USGS streamflow stations and groundwater monitoring wells. The locations of these in-situ stations are shown in Figure 3. To employ the maximum number of soil moisture stations covering the region, we used datasets provided by ISMN (International Soil Moisture Network) which collected and compiled multiple





244 networks including, ARM (Atmospheric Radiation Measurement), PBO H2O (Plate Boundary 245 Observatory), SCAN (Soil Climate Analysis Network), SNOTEL (SNOw TELemetry), USCRN (U.S. Climate Reference Network), and iRON (Roaring Fork Observation Network). In total, we 246 247 have data from 238 soil moisture stations in the UCRB and its vicinity (see Figure 3). The 248 distribution of these stations by soil depth is as follows: Layer #1 (0-0.1 meters): 235 stations, Layer #2 (0.1-0.4 meters): 218 stations, Layer #3 (0.4-1 meter): 216 stations, Layer #4 (1-2 249 250 meters): 41 stations. Having data from multiple depths improves the comparison with simulated 251 soil moisture and hence the evaluation of the coupled PF-LIS system. The soil moisture datasets 252 are publicly available at https://ismn.earth/en/. Streamflow and water table depth data are available at https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/rt and https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/gw, respectively. We 253 254 made use of data from the period 2002 to 2022. In total, there are 374 UGSG stream stations and 255 18 USGS groundwater monitoring wells in the UCRB with observations from 2002 to 2022. 256 Measurements failing to meet the USGS quality control criteria (e.g., those flagged for potential 257 measurement inconsistency or negative outlier values) were removed.

258 In addition, we used two satellite products to investigate the effectiveness of PF-LIS/Noah-MP in estimating the soil moisture and terrestrial water storage. For soil moisture, we use THySM 259 260 (Thermal Hydraulic disaggregation of Soil Moisture; Liu et al (2022)). This is a downscaled 261 version of SMAP (Soil Moisture Active Passive) satellite soil moisture data, which has 1-km 262 spatial resolution and is available on a daily time scale. THySM shows higher accuracy than the SMAP / Sentinel-1 (SPL2SMAP S) 1 km SM product when compared to in situ measurements. 263 264 Anomalies of Terrestrial Water Storage (TWS), derived from Gravity Recovery and Climate 265 Experiment (GRACE; Tapley et al., 2004) and GRACE Follow On (GRACE-FO; Landerer et al., 2020) satellite observations, were compared to those from the coupled PF-LIS system. Launched 266 in 2002 and 2018, GRACE and GRACE-FO have provided monthly, global maps of fluctuations 267 268 in terrestrial water storage (i.e., the sum of groundwater, soil moisture, surface waters, snow and ice), based on precise monitoring of variations in Earth's gravity field via its effects on the orbits 269 270 of a pair of twin satellites (http://www2.csr.utexas.edu/grace/RL05 mascons.html). The dataset 271 employed in this study, known as CSR Release-06 GRACE Mascon Solutions, was disseminated 272 by the Center for Space Research (CSR) at the University of Texas, Austin (Save et al., 2016). A 273 monthly TWS anomaly represents the current value minus the 2004 to 2010 mean. While GRACE 274 can detect TWS anomalies relative to the long term mean, it cannot quantify the absolute water





- 275 mass stored. Due to its relatively coarse spatial resolution (> 100,000 km<sup>2</sup>) it has primarily been
- used to study major river basins and other large regions (Rodell and Reager, 2023; Scanlon et al.,
- 277 2016). UCRB with approximately 280,000 km<sup>2</sup> area meets this criterion.
- 278



279

280 281

282

283 7. PF-LIS/Noah-MP Model Setup

- 284 7.1. Input Datasets
- 285

286 In this study, we classified model parameters into two categories: surface and subsurface 287 characteristics. The surface parameters, which encompass topographic slopes and land cover data, 288 were determined as follows: Topographic slopes were calculated using the Priority Flow toolbox 289 (Condon and Maxwell, 2019), employing elevation data from the hydrological data and maps 290 derived from Shuttle Elevation Derivatives at multiple Scales (HydroSHEDS) as detailed and 291 tested in Zhang et al (2021). Land cover information was extracted from the National Land Cover Database (NLCD) at a 30-meter resolution and subsequently rescaled to match the model's 1-292 293 kilometer resolution (see Figure S1 in the supplementary file). The land cover values are based on





294 the classifications of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Program (IGBP). Regarding the 295 subsurface components of the ParFlow domain, they consist of four soil layers at the top (with 296 depths of 0.1, 0.3, 0.6, and 1 m, starting from the surface and totaling 2 m) and six geology layers 297 at the bottom (with depths of 5, 10, 25, 50, 100, and 200 m, starting from the surface and totaling 298 390 m). The development of the 3D subsurface, which includes soil, unconsolidated, a semiconfining layer, bedrock aquifers, and the 3D model grid, is detailed in Tijerina-Kreuzer et al 299 (2024). The subsurface parameters (e.g. saturated hydraulic conductivity, porosity, and van 300 Genuchten parameters for the soil and subsurface) are detailed in Tijerina-Kreuzer et al (2024) and 301 302 Yang et al (2023). For the atmospheric forcing data, we use the phase-2 of the North American 303 Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS-2) product (https://ldas.gsfc.nasa.gov/nldas/v2/forcing). This dataset has eight variables: precipitation, air temperature, short-wave and long-wave 304 305 radiation, wind speed in two directions (east-west and south-north), atmospheric pressure, and 306 specific humidity.

307

308

### 309 7.2. Model Spinup 310

To be able to spinup the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model, we need to first spinup ParFlow and 311 LIS/Noah-MP individually and make sure both systems have the most realistic initial conditions. 312 The initial condition (i.e., pressure head) for the ParFlow model was directly obtained from Yang 313 et al (2023). who spunup the ParFlow model over the entire CONUS. We subsetted the UCRB 314 region from that initial pressure file. For more information about the ParFlow spinup process etc. 315 316 we refer the interested readers to Yang et al (2023). To spin up the LIS/Noah-MP model over UCRB, we ran LIS/Noah-MP over 20 years (from 2002 to 2022) three times. To run the LIS/Noah-317 318 MP model, we use the NASA Land surface Data Toolkit (Arsenault et al., 2018), to create the 319 LIS/Noah-MP domain file that encompasses all the parameters that LIS/Noah-MP requires to run. 320 Next, we use the initial conditions for both ParFlow and LIS/Noah-MP, to perform the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model spinup. We ran the PF-LIS/Noah-MP over the period of water year 2005 (a 321 322 normal water year, not dry and not wet) six times, which was sufficient to bring the PF-LIS/Noah-323 MP system into quasi-equilibrium.





#### 324 8. Results and Discussion

325 In this section, we discuss the results of the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model simulations and aim to gain a comprehensive understanding of how the coupled system can enhance the modeling of land 326 327 surface processes and provide an accurate representation of groundwater storage. Using the initial conditions derived from the model's spinup process, we ran the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model over a 328 329 20-year period, spanning from 2002 to 2022. Concurrently, we ran the LIS/Noah-MP model for the same time frame, facilitating a comparative analysis of the two model outputs. All model setup 330 331 and simulations were executed on the NASA Discover High-Performance Computing (HPC) cluster. On average, a one-year simulation utilized approximately 295,000 core hours, resulting in 332 333 roughly one day of wall-clock time. The entire 20-year simulation consumed approximately 6 334 million core hours of computing time, extending over approximately 1.5 months of wall-clock 335 time.

336

### 337 8.1. Soil Moisture Analysis

Here, we study the extent to which the coupled system contributes to an improved 338 representation of soil moisture in the top four soil layers (referred to as the coupling soil zone), 339 340 where the two models interact. Figure 4 illustrates the topsoil moisture (with  $\sim 10$  cm depth) as simulated by the LIS/Noah-MP model (left panel) and the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model (right panel). 341 342 Note that the PF-LIS/Noah-MP simulations are limited to the UCRB region, which accounts for the similarity in model results beyond the boundaries of this region. The results indicate that the 343 soil moisture output from the LIS/Noah-MP model generally aligns with the patterns of soil texture 344 345 and land cover. However, the soil moisture data generated by the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model 346 represents soil moisture distribution in a manner that closely correlates with topographical and 347 land surface characteristics. In a broad sense, both models demonstrate wet conditions across the eastern UCRB and drier conditions towards the western regions. PF-LIS/Noah-MP provides soil 348 349 moisture data with higher spatial representativeness, which can be crucial for many applications. 350 For example, such finer spatial representations can be useful irrigation management applications, 351 which allows farmers to make better decisions about when and how much to irrigate, leading to 352 efficient water use and potentially higher crop yields.







- 353
- 354
- 355
- 356

Figure 4. Spatial pattern of topsoil layer moisture estimated by LIS/Noah-MP (left panel) and PF-LIS/Noah-MP (right panel). This result is reported for 01/23/2002.

357 To further study the model simulation results, we conducted a comparative analysis between PF-LIS/Noah-MP and LIS/Noah-MP-estimated soil moisture values and the satellite-358 359 based soil moisture product obtained from SMAP. As previously noted, our analysis employed downscaled soil moisture data with a spatial resolution of 1 kilometer, which is consistent with the 360 361 resolution of the model simulation, thereby enhancing the accuracy of our comparative analysis. Figure 5 illustrates the outcomes, with the first row depicting the correlation coefficients and the 362 second row showing the unbiased root mean square error (ubRMSE). The ubRMSE serves as a 363 metric that SMAP utilizes for reporting product accuracy. The SMAP mission requirement for soil 364 moisture product accuracy sets the ubRMSE at 0.040 m3/m3 (Chan et al., 2016). Due to the 365 temporal coverage of the SMAP satellite, we calculated both performance metrics over the period 366 of April 2015 to December 2022. To perform this, we used the NASA Land surface Verification 367 Toolkit (LVT; Kumar et al. 2012), which enables rapid evaluation of model simulations by 368 369 comparing against a comprehensive suite of in-situ, remote sensing, and model and reanalysis data 370 products (https://lis.gsfc.nasa.gov). As shown in Figure 5, in general, both performance measures 371 from both models show a similar spatial pattern across the UCRB. Further analysis revealed that,



375



- 372 particularly in regions characterized by higher altitudes and complex topography, PF-LIS/Noah-
- 373 MP-derived soil moisture values closely follow the SMAP observations, outperforming the
- 374 performance of LIS/Noah-MP-derived soil moisture.



Figure 5. The correlation coefficient and ubRMSE between the simulated topsoil
moisture and the SMAP product at 1-km spatial resolution. This result is reported for the period
of April 2015 to December 2022.

The results also reveal that, in general, when we coupled ParFlow with LIS/Noah-MP, it resulted in soil moisture fields with more spatial detail while keeping the accuracy in the same as compared to the LIS/Noah-MP standalone soil moisture estimates. ParFlow and





LIS/Noah-MP use a form of Richards' equation with some different assumptions. LIS/Noah-MP uses a different function for retention (not the van Genuchten function used within ParFlow) and it is 1D (one-dimensional). The main difference between PF-LIS/Noah-MP and LIS/Noah-MP is the deeper subsurface in PF-LIS/Noah-MP and the fact that it accounts for lateral flow, resulting in a more physically realistic representation of water movement through the soil. This enables the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model to capture the complex influence of topography and specific land surface features on soil moisture.

Figure 6 illustrates the comparison between soil moisture estimates from the LIS/Noah-389 390 MP and PF-LIS/Noah-MP models against in-situ networks in the UCRB and its adjacent regions. 391 In this section, we focus on presenting the comparison results for the topsoil (Figure 6) and root 392 zone (Figure 7) soil moisture, while the analysis for other soil depths can be found in the 393 supplementary file (Figures S2 and S3). The soil moisture comparison analysis was conducted 394 separately for each soil depth to study the effectiveness and utility of the coupled PF-LIS/Noah-395 MP model in estimating soil moisture within the coupling soil zone. The 20-year simulation results 396 suggest that, across all four soil depths, the soil moisture values estimated by the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model closely resemble those generated by the LIS/Noah-MP model. The regions' topography 397 398 (see Figure 2) and the results shown in Figure 5 collectively reveals that the coupled system 399 improves the accuracy of soil moisture estimates across the high altitudes with complex topography in the UCRB. PF-LIS/Noah-MP utilizes the three-dimensional Richards' equation, 400 401 which is well-suited for accurately modeling soil moisture dynamics in regions with complex 402 topography due to its inherent features and mathematical formulation. The numerical solution of 403 the equation provides flexibility to handle complex boundary conditions in irregular terrains, while 404 its ability to incorporate spatial variability in hydraulic conductivity is vital for representing changing soil properties across challenging landscapes. Moreover, it considers capillary rise and 405 406 gravitational effects, which are critical factors in areas with elevation changes. These attributes 407 collectively enable the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model to accurately simulate soil moisture dynamics in 408 regions characterized by complex topography. The results confirm that integrating the ParFlow groundwater model with LIS/Noah-MP not only maintains the modeling performance of 409 410 LIS/Noah-MP but also enhances its ability to represent the spatial variability of land surface 411 processes, as previously demonstrated in Figures 4 and 5.







412

Figure 6. The Spearman's correlation coefficient and RMSE between the simulated and observed
soil moisture at the soil depth of 0-0.1 m. This result is reported based on 20-year model

- 415 simulation and observation data, from January 2002 to December 2022.
- 416







417

Figure 7. The Spearman's correlation coefficient and RMSE between the simulated and observed
soil moisture at the soil depth of 1-2 m. This result is reported based on 20-year model
simulation and observation data, from January 2002 to December 2022.

421

## 422 8.2. Streamflow Analysis

To calculate the streamflow at the location of the USGS stations, we used ParFlow 423 hydrology module available on ParFlow GitHub page. For more information, we refer the 424 425 interested readers to this page (https://github.com/parflow/parflow/tree/master/pftools). In 426 particular, we used calculate overland flow grid that requires different parameters to operate, these include pressure, slopex, slopey, mannings, grid size and the flow method (which is 427 OverlandKinematic here). Figure S4 illustrates the total runoff over the study area for a certain 428 429 day. We utilized two performance measures, namely Spearman's correlation (Rho) and Total 430 Absolute Relative Bias, to assess the performance of our model on timeseries data. As explained





431 in Maxwell and Condon (2016), Tran et al., (2022), O'Neill et al., (2021) and Tijerina-Kreuzer et 432 al., (2021) plotting a graph (hereafter referred to as Condon Diagram) that visualizes these metrics 433 against each other provides a concise representation of the model's capability to accurately 434 simulate the timing and magnitude of streamflow. Spearman's Rho was employed to evaluate disparities in timing between simulated and observed streamflow, while relative bias measured 435 436 differences in their volumes. A high Spearman's Rho value and a low relative bias value are indications of when simulations closely match observations. If Spearman's Rho is less than 0.5 and 437 Total Absolute Relative Bias is less than 1, the model simulation produces accurate overall flow 438 439 estimates but does not match the hydrograph peaks well. Conversely, if Spearman's Rho is greater 440 than 0.5 and Total Absolute Relative Bias is less than 1, the model simulation is representing the 441 hydrograph shape (i.e. timing) with low flow bias. However, if Spearman's Rho is less than 0.5 442 and Total Absolute Relative Bias is greater than 1, the model simulation does not reproduce either 443 the flow magnitude or timing. On the other hand, if Spearman's Rho is greater than 0.5 and Total 444 Absolute Relative Bias is greater than 1, the model simulation represents the flow timing well but 445 not the overall flow magnitude. We excluded observations from stations influenced by human activities (Falcone, 2011). While small drainage area basins may experience water withdrawals 446 447 and irrigation ditches, their susceptibility to anthropogenic influences is significantly lower 448 compared to larger drainage area basins, especially when considering monthly or annual scales 449 (Hao et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012). Therefore, we set a drainage area threshold of 500 km<sup>2</sup>, and stations with drainage areas exceeding this threshold underwent manual inspection. For example, 450 451 we removed the station at Lee's Ferry (drainage area: 289,560 km<sup>2</sup>), located just downstream of 452 the Glen Canyon Dam, from the analysis.

The left panel in Figure 8 shows the Condon Diagram, which summarizes the performance 453 of the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model in estimating streamflow across the USGS stations within the 454 455 UCRB region. The results indicate that the coupled system has reasonable skill in simulating the streamflow. The right panel in this figure shows the spatial distribution of the USGS stations where 456 457 the model performance was evaluated. Figure S5 shows the simulated streamflow versus observed streamflow over the period of 20 years at the monitoring location 9066510, which is associated 458 459 with a stream in Eagle County, Colorado (Spearman's Rho = 0.83 and RMSE=3.65 CMS). Overall, 460 the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model is able to adequately capture the magnitude and timing of streamflow observations. This can be attributed to the robustness of the developed hydrology model, which 461





462 excels in precisely simulating base flow and its impact on overall streamflow. This lies in the 463 model's comprehensive integration of surface and subsurface hydrological processes. By seamlessly incorporating both surface water and groundwater dynamics, the model achieves a level 464 465 of accuracy that allows it to effectively simulate streamflow time series, capturing the complex interaction between the surface and subsurface physical processes. The low bias in model 466 467 simulations also indicates that the model is not systematically overestimating or underestimating streamflow. This further suggests that the model's structure appears to be well-tailored to capture 468 469 the lateral and vertical water flow and its interaction with the land surface processes.



470

Figure 8. Left panel: The Condon-diagram streamflow performance plot. Right panel: the
performance category of each gauge within the UCRB domain. This result is reported based on
20-year model simulation and observation data, from January 2002 to December 2022.

474 475

## 476 8.3. Water Table Depth Analysis

As mentioned earlier, the most important capability of the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model lies in its ability to estimate groundwater levels up to 392 meters below the land surface. In this study, we employed 10 soil layers with a cumulative depth of 392 meters. However, this depth can be adjusted by the user based on the availability of geological information for the study region. Our comparison of water table depth estimates from the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model with those observed in USGS wells (refer to Table 1) reveals a general agreement between model simulations and observations. However, in some locations the model performance is marginal due to the complex





484 topography of the UCRB. The higher bias observed can likely be attributed to the spatial resolution 485 of the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model. Deeper wells are typically located in mountainous regions characterized by complex topography. It is important to note that all wells were assigned to the 486 nearest grid cell center without any additional adjustments. For example, the USGS station 487 382427107491401 is associated with a well in Montrose County, Colorado. This well, with a depth 488 of ~5 meters, is situated in close proximity to agricultural lands and central pivot systems 489 characterized by a predominantly flat topography. The dataset has been accessible since 2014, and 490 the reported values for Rho and bias stand at 0.65 and 0.34, respectively. However, at the USGS 491 492 station 395136108210000, linked to a well in Rio Blanco County, Colorado, with a depth of ~195 493 meters, located in a region characterized by more complex terrain and topography, the model's 494 performance is marginal. Water data has been accessible since 1975. Generally, the model's 495 performance is contingent upon the geographical locations of the stations. Stations located in 496 topographically complex surroundings tend to yield lower model performance compared to those 497 in areas with smoother and flatter environments. Some of the low skill values (reported in Table 498 1) could be a result of groundwater pumping impacts which are not represented within the 499 modeling framework.

500 501

502

 Table 1: Spearman correlation (Rho) and Total Absolute Relative Bias (TARB)

calculated between the water table depth estimated by PF-LIS/Noah-MP and observed by USGS wells.

Rho	TARB	Latitude	Longitude	<b>USGS Station ID</b>
0.196	0.98	36.490834	-109.94817	362936109564101
-0.79	0.98	36.647222	-110.17068	363850110100801
-0.29	0.81	36.715389	-108.09297	364255108053202
0.62	0.97	36.727221	-110.26319	364338110154601
0.65	0.34	38.4075	-107.82056	382427107491401
0.59	0.08	38.448931	-107.83547	382656107500701
0.63	0.20	38.488056	-107.80861	382917107483101
0.54	0.20	38.496389	-107.78278	382947107465801
0.06	0.48	38.514167	-107.88194	383051107525501
-0.32	0.77	38.554167	-107.88111	383315107525201
-0.28	0.41	38.607222	-107.97083	383626107581501
0.75	0.19	38.685556	-107.985	384110107591801
-0.07	0.47	38.711111	-108.00194	384240108000701
-0.78	0.92	39.86	-108.35111	395136108210000
-0.25	0.94	39.86	-108.35028	395136108210001
-0.63	0.91	39.860133	-108.35096	395136108210004





0.98	0.98	39.964444	-108.35417	395755108211400
0.98	0.98	39.964722	-108.35361	395755108211401

503

504 Figure 9, for example, illustrates the water table depth simulated by the PF-LIS/Noah-MP 505 model for a certain day over the UCRB. In general, our observations of water table depth maps 506 over UCRB show more deep water table depth in eastern areas with complex topography, such as 507 hilly or mountainous areas. These areas are often prone to localized variations in the water table. 508 However, regions with smoother topography, like plains, tend to have a more uniform water table 509 pattern, with gradual changes over larger distances. Human activities, such as drainage systems and urbanization, can introduce variability in both types of environments. Overall, water table 510 dynamics are shaped by the interplay of topography, geology, and human influence, with complex 511 512 topography often contributing to more localized variations compared to smoother environments. 513





Figure 9. Water table depth simulated by PF-LIS/Noah-MP model across the UCRB.

516



517



## 518 8.4. Terrestrial Water Storage Analysis

A comparison between changes in water storage from GRACE and GRACE-FO and the 519 520 PF-LIS/Noah-MP simulation for the period 2002 to 2022 is shown in Figure 10. The GRACE-521 derived water storage anomalies were calculated by subtracting the mean water storage from 2004 522 to 2010. The same procedure was applied to the PF-LIS/Noah-MP outputs to maintain consistency in the comparison. The two products demonstrated strong agreement throughout the period from 523 524 2002 to 2012, effectively capturing the drought years of 2003 and 2004, as well as the wet years of 2005, 2008, and 2011. However, starting from 2013, there is a noticeable decline in the 525 526 agreement between the two time series, and this disparity becomes more pronounced during the 527 years 2020, 2021, and 2022. The observed disparity is likely attributed to the recent increased 528 anthropogenic effects on groundwater in the UCRB. The increased demand for water, driven by 529 population growth and agricultural expansion, has contributed to a decline in groundwater levels (Carroll et al., 2024; Castle et al., 2014b; Miller et al., 2021; Tillman et al., 2022; Tran et al., 2022). 530 531 While this trend is accurately captured by the GRACE satellites, PF-LIS/Noah-MP underestimated 532 it. The integration of data assimilation into the coupled system can help to reconcile differences 533 between simulated and observed TWS. LIS already incorporates a data assimilation feature. In our future work, we will study the extent to which the data assimilation capability embedded within 534 535 LIS improves the representation of the coupled system's response to TWS dynamics. 536







Figure 10. Time series of the total water storage anomaly from the PF-LIS/Noah-MP model
 simulations and the GRACE and GRACE-FO observations.

## 541 9. Conclusions

537

540

542 In this study, we introduced a coupled surface-subsurface hydrology model, PF-LIS/Noah-543 MP and studied its performance in estimating different hydrologic variables. This study was 544 conducted in the UCRB, a region heavily dependent on groundwater to supply water for millions 545 of people in the western United States. With an anticipated increase in drought occurrences due to 546 climate warming, the region faces a heightened risk of groundwater depletion in the future. 547 Understanding the dynamics of land surface and subsurface water in the UCRB is crucial for 548 effective water resource management and policymaking. In this study, we employed the recently 549 developed integrated surface-subsurface hydrology model, PF-LIS/Noah-MP, to assess key 550 components such as soil moisture, streamflow, water table depth, and total water storage anomaly 551 across the UCRB. These estimations were then compared with a comprehensive set of in-situ and 552 satellite observations, encompassing soil moisture data from various networks, USGS streamflow 553 and well observations, as well as satellite data from SMAP for soil moisture and GRACE for 554 groundwater. The findings demonstrate that the integration of ParFlow with LIS/Noah-MP expands the physics represented by the LIS/Noah-MP model. These increased process 555





556 representations have two main advantages: better performance of land surface fluxes, especially 557 in regions with complex topography, and accurate estimations of subsurface hydrologic processes, including water table depth. PF-LIS/Noah-MP presents a viable approach to studying land surface 558 and subsurface hydrologic processes and their interactions across different scales. This research 559 560 contributes valuable insights for informed decision-making in the management of water resources in the UCRB, particularly in the face of future climate challenges. The more detailed representation 561 562 of subsurface processes within the PF-LIS/Noah-MP system also allows for improved utilization of remote sensing information through data assimilation. For example, to-date, the assimilation of 563 564 GRACE terrestrial water storage observations has only been demonstrated within models that have a shallow groundwater representation and without the representation of lateral subsurface moisture 565 566 transport processes (e.g., Kumar et al., 2016). The ongoing development will extend LIS' data 567 assimilation capabilities to PF-LIS, to enable better exploitation of the information from remote 568 sensing.

## 569 Competing Interests

570 At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Hydrology and Earth571 System Sciences.

## 572 Acknowledgments

Financial support for this project was provided through NASA MAP 80NSSC20K1714.
The simulations presented in this article were performed on NASA Discover cluster, provided by
the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Center for Climate
Simulation (NCCS). GRACE and GRACE-FO were jointly developed and operated by NASA,
DLR and the GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences.

578

## 579 Authors Contributions

580 P.A. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. P.A., F.M., C.Y., and D.R. created all the necessary

581 files and datasets for model simulations. P.A. conducted the model simulations and validation

analysis. P.A. and R.M. conceptualized the study. R.M., S.K., and M.R. edited the manuscript and

583 helped with the model simulation analysis.





## 584 References

- 585
- 586 Alley, W. M.: Another water budget myth: The significance of recoverable ground water in
- 587 storage, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2006.00274.x, 2007.
- 588 Arsenault, K. R., Kumar, S. V., Geiger, J. V., Wang, S., Kemp, E., Mocko, D. M., Beaudoing, H.
- 589 K., Getirana, A., Navari, M., Li, B., Jacob, J., Wegiel, J., and Peters-Lidard, C. D.: The Land
- surface Data Toolkit (LDT v7.2) A data fusion environment for land data assimilation systems,
- 591 Geosci Model Dev, 11, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-3605-2018, 2018.
- 592 Ashby, S. F. and Falgout, R. D.: A parallel multigrid preconditioned conjugate gradient
- algorithm for groundwater flow simulations, Nuclear Science and Engineering, 124,
- 594 https://doi.org/10.13182/NSE96-A24230, 1996.
- 595 Barthel, R. and Banzhaf, S.: Groundwater and Surface Water Interaction at the Regional-scale -
- A Review with Focus on Regional Integrated Models, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-015-1163-
- 597 z, 2016.
- Boucher, O., Myhre, G., and Myhre, A.: Direct human influence of irrigation on atmospheric
  water vapour and climate, Clim Dyn, 22, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-004-0402-4, 2004.
- 600 Brookfield, A. E., Ajami, H., Carroll, R. W. H., Tague, C., Sullivan, P. L., and Condon, L. E.:
- 601 Recent advances in integrated hydrologic models: Integration of new domains,
- 602 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.129515, 1 May 2023.
- 603 Carroll, R. W. H., Niswonger, R. G., Ulrich, C., Varadharajan, C., Siirila-Woodburn, E. R., and
- 604 Williams, K. H.: Declining groundwater storage expected to amplify mountain streamflow
- reductions in a warmer world, Nature Water, 2, 419–433, https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-02400239-0, 2024.
- Castle, S. L., Thomas, B. F., Reager, J. T., Rodell, M., Swenson, S. C., and Famiglietti, J. S.:
  Groundwater depletion during drought threatens future water security of the Colorado River
- 609 Basin, Geophys Res Lett, 41, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061055, 2014a.
- 610 Castle, S. L., Thomas, B. F., Reager, J. T., Rodell, M., Swenson, S. C., and Famiglietti, J. S.:
- 611 Groundwater depletion during drought threatens future water security of the Colorado River
- 612 Basin, Geophys Res Lett, 41, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061055, 2014b.
- 613 Chan, S. K., Bindlish, R., O'Neill, P. E., Njoku, E., Jackson, T., Colliander, A., Chen, F., Burgin,
- 614 M., Dunbar, S., Piepmeier, J., Yueh, S., Entekhabi, D., Cosh, M. H., Caldwell, T., Walker, J.,
- 615 Wu, X., Berg, A., Rowlandson, T., Pacheco, A., McNairn, H., Thibeault, M., Martinez-
- 616 Fernandez, J., Gonzalez-Zamora, A., Seyfried, M., Bosch, D., Starks, P., Goodrich, D., Prueger,
- 617 J., Palecki, M., Small, E. E., Zreda, M., Calvet, J. C., Crow, W. T., and Kerr, Y.: Assessment of
- the SMAP Passive Soil Moisture Product, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
  Sensing, 54, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2016.2561938, 2016.
- 620 Christensen, N. S., Wood, A. W., Voisin, N., Lettenmaier, D. P., and Palmer, R. N.: The effects
- 621 of climate change on the hydrology and water resources of the Colorado River basin, Clim
- 622 Change, 62, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013684.13621.1f, 2004.
- 623 Condon, L. E. and Maxwell, R. M.: Modified priority flood and global slope enforcement
- 624 algorithm for topographic processing in physically based hydrologic modeling applications,
- 625 Comput Geosci, 126, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2019.01.020, 2019.





- 626 Cook, B. I., Ault, T. R., and Smerdon, J. E.: Unprecedented 21st century drought risk in the
- 627 American Southwest and Central Plains, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400082, 2015.
- 628 Cook, B. I., Mankin, J. S., Williams, A. P., Marvel, K. D., Smerdon, J. E., and Liu, H.:
- 629 Uncertainties, Limits, and Benefits of Climate Change Mitigation for Soil Moisture Drought in Southwestern North America, Earths Future, 9, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002014, 2021. 630
- Crow, W. T., Kumar, S. V., and Bolten, J. D.: On the utility of land surface models for 631 632
- agricultural drought monitoring, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci, 16, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-633 3451-2012, 2012.
- 634 Deb, P., Kiem, A. S., and Willgoose, G.: A linked surface water-groundwater modelling
- approach to more realistically simulate rainfall-runoff non-stationarity in semi-arid regions, J 635
- 636 Hydrol (Amst), 575, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.039, 2019.
- 637 Döll, P., Hoffmann-Dobrev, H., Portmann, F. T., Siebert, S., Eicker, A., Rodell, M., Strassberg,
- 638 G., and Scanlon, B. R.: Impact of water withdrawals from groundwater and surface water on
- continental water storage variations, J Geodyn, 59-60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2011.05.001, 639 640 2012.
- 641 Falcone, J. A.: GAGES-II: Geospatial Attributes of Gages for Evaluating Streamflow (Digital 642 Dataset), 2011.
- 643 Famiglietti, J. S., Lo, M., Ho, S. L., Bethune, J., Anderson, K. J., Syed, T. H., Swenson, S. C.,
- 644 De Linage, C. R., and Rodell, M.: Satellites measure recent rates of groundwater depletion in
- California's Central Valley, Geophys Res Lett, 38, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046442, 645 646 2011.
- 647 Famiglietti, J. S., Rodell, M., Durack, P. J., Trenberth, K. E., Held, I. M., Soden, B. J., Tiwari, V.
- 648 M., Wahr, J., Swenson, S., Scanlon, B. R., Voss, K. A., Tapley, B. D., Reager, J. T., Famiglietti,
- J. S., Houborg, R., Blunden, J., Arndt, D. S., Zaitchik, B. F., Swenson, S. C., Milly, P. C. D., 649
- Ramillien, G., Swenson, S., Wahr, J., and Famiglietti, J. S.: Environmental science. Water in the 650
- 651 balance., Science, 340, 2013.
- Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho, G., Weaver, C. P., Walko, R., and Robock, A.: Incorporating water 652
- 653 table dynamics in climate modeling: 1. Water table observations and equilibrium water table simulations, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 112,
- 654
- 655 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008111, 2007.
- 656 Fan, Y., Li, H., and Miguez-Macho, G.: Global patterns of groundwater table depth, Science (1979), 339, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229881, 2013. 657
- 658 Fleckenstein, J. H., Krause, S., Hannah, D. M., and Boano, F.: Groundwater-surface water
- 659 interactions: New methods and models to improve understanding of processes and dynamics, Adv Water Resour, 33, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2010.09.011, 2010. 660
- Getirana, A., Jung, H. C., Arsenault, K., Shukla, S., Kumar, S., Peters-Lidard, C., Maigari, I., 661
- 662 and Mamane, B.: Satellite Gravimetry Improves Seasonal Streamflow Forecast Initialization in
- Africa, Water Resour Res, 56, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026259, 2020. 663
- 664 Gordon, L. J., Steffen, W., Jönsson, B. F., Folke, C., Falkenmark, M., and Johannessen, Å.:
- 665 Human modification of global water vapor flows from the land surface, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
- A, 102, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0500208102, 2005. 666





- 667 Green, T. R., Taniguchi, M., Kooi, H., Gurdak, J. J., Allen, D. M., Hiscock, K. M., Treidel, H.,
- and Aureli, A.: Beneath the surface of global change: Impacts of climate change on groundwater,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.05.002, 2011.
- Hao, X., Chen, Y., Xu, C., and Li, W.: Impacts of climate change and human activities on the
- surface runoff in the Tarim River Basin over the last fifty years, Water Resources Management,
  22, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-007-9218-4, 2008.
- Harding, K. J. and Snyder, P. K.: Modeling the atmospheric response to irrigation in the great
- plains. Part I: General impacts on precipitation and the energy budget, J Hydrometeorol, 13,
- 675 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-11-098.1, 2012.
- Hutson, S. S., Barber, N. L., Kenny, J. F., Linsey, K. S., Lumia, D. S., and Maupin, M. A.:
  Estimated use of water in the United States in 2000, US Geological Survey Circular, 2004.
- 577 Estimated use of water in the Officed States in 2000, 05 Geological Survey Circular, 2004
- Jasechko, S., Perrone, D., Befus, K. M., Bayani Cardenas, M., Ferguson, G., Gleeson, T.,
- Luijendijk, E., McDonnell, J. J., Taylor, R. G., Wada, Y., and Kirchner, J. W.: Global aquifers
  dominated by fossil groundwaters but wells vulnerable to modern contamination, Nat Geosci, 10,
- 681 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2943, 2017.
- 582 Jones, J. E. and Woodward, C. S.: Newton-Krylov-multigrid solvers for large-scale, highly
- heterogeneous, variably saturated flow problems, Adv Water Resour, 24,
- 684 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(00)00075-0, 2001.
- Kalbus, E., Reinstorf, F., and Schirmer, M.: Measuring methods for groundwater Surface water
   interactions: A review, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-10-873-2006, 2006.
- 687 Kawase, H., Yoshikane, T., Hara, M., Kimura, F., Sato, T., and Ohsawa, S.: Impact of extensive
- 688 irrigation on the formation of cumulus clouds, Geophys Res Lett, 35,
- 689 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032435, 2008.
- 690 Kenny, J. F., Barber, N. L., Hutson, S. S., Linsey, K. S., Lovelace, J. K., and Maupin, M. A.:
- Estimated Use of Water in the United States in 2005 Circular 1344, Water, 2005.
- 692 Kollet, S. J. and Maxwell, R. M.: Integrated surface-groundwater flow modeling: A free-surface
- overland flow boundary condition in a parallel groundwater flow model, Adv Water Resour, 29,
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.08.006, 2006.
- 695 Kollet, S. J. and Maxwell, R. M.: Capturing the influence of groundwater dynamics on land
- surface processes using an integrated, distributed watershed model, Water Resour Res, 44, https://doi.org/10.1020/2007WP006004\_2008
- 697 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006004, 2008.
- Kollet, S. J., Maxwell, R. M., Woodward, C. S., Smith, S., Vanderborght, J., Vereecken, H., and
- 699 Simmer, C.: Proof of concept of regional scale hydrologic simulations at hydrologic resolution
- vilizing massively parallel computer resources, Water Resour Res, 46,
- 701 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008730, 2010.
- 702 Kourakos, G., Dahlke, H. E., and Harter, T.: Increasing Groundwater Availability and Seasonal
- Base Flow Through Agricultural Managed Aquifer Recharge in an Irrigated Basin, Water Resour
   Res, 55, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024019, 2019.
- 705 Krakauer, N. Y., Li, H., and Fan, Y.: Groundwater flow across spatial scales: Importance for
- climate modeling, Environmental Research Letters, 9, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-
- 707 9326/9/3/034003, 2014.





- 708 Kuffour, B. N. O., Engdahl, N. B., Woodward, C. S., Condon, L. E., Kollet, S., and Maxwell, R.
- 709 M.: Simulating coupled surface-subsurface flows with ParFlow v3.5.0: Capabilities, applications,
- and ongoing development of an open-source, massively parallel, integrated hydrologic model,
- 711 Geosci Model Dev, 13, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-1373-2020, 2020.
- 712 Kumar, S. V., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Tian, Y., Houser, P. R., Geiger, J., Olden, S., Lighty, L.,
- 713 Eastman, J. L., Doty, B., Dirmeyer, P., Adams, J., Mitchell, K., Wood, E. F., and Sheffield, J.:
- 714 Land information system: An interoperable framework for high resolution land surface
- 715 modeling, Environmental Modelling and Software, 21, 1402–1415,
- 716 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2005.07.004, 2006.
- 717 Kumar, S. V., Reichle, R. H., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Koster, R. D., Zhan, X., Crow, W. T.,
- 718 Eylander, J. B., and Houser, P. R.: A land surface data assimilation framework using the land
- information system: Description and applications, Adv Water Resour, 31,
- 720 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.01.013, 2008a.
- 721 Kumar, S. V., Reichle, R. H., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Koster, R. D., Zhan, X., Crow, W. T.,
- 722 Eylander, J. B., and Houser, P. R.: A land surface data assimilation framework using the land
- information system: Description and applications, Adv Water Resour, 31,
- 724 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.01.013, 2008b.
- 725 Kumar, S. V., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Eastman, J. L., and Tao, W. K.: An integrated high-
- resolution hydrometeorological modeling testbed using LIS and WRF, Environmental Modelling
- 727 and Software, 23, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2007.05.012, 2008c.
- 728 Kumar, S. V., Zaitchik, B. F., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Rodell, M., Reichle, R., Li, B., Jasinski, M.,
- 729 Mocko, D., Getirana, A., De Lannoy, G., Cosh, M. H., Hain, C. R., Anderson, M., Arsenault, K.
- 730 R., Xia, Y., and Ek, M.: Assimilation of Gridded GRACE terrestrial water storage estimates in
- the North American land data assimilation system, J Hydrometeorol, 17,
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0157.1, 2016.
- 733 Lahmers, T. M., Kumar, S. V., Rosen, D., Dugger, A., Gochis, D. J., Santanello, J. A.,
- 734 Gangodagamage, C., and Dunlap, R.: Assimilation of NASA's Airborne Snow Observatory
- 735 Snow Measurements for Improved Hydrological Modeling: A Case Study Enabled by the
- 736 Coupled LIS/WRF-Hydro System, Water Resour Res, 58,
- 737 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR029867, 2022.
- 738 Landerer, F. W., Flechtner, F. M., Save, H., Webb, F. H., Bandikova, T., Bertiger, W. I.,
- 739 Bettadpur, S. V., Byun, S. H., Dahle, C., Dobslaw, H., Fahnestock, E., Harvey, N., Kang, Z.,
- 740 Kruizinga, G. L. H., Loomis, B. D., McCullough, C., Murböck, M., Nagel, P., Paik, M., Pie, N.,
- 741 Poole, S., Strekalov, D., Tamisiea, M. E., Wang, F., Watkins, M. M., Wen, H. Y., Wiese, D. N.,
- and Yuan, D. N.: Extending the Global Mass Change Data Record: GRACE Follow-On
- 743 Instrument and Science Data Performance, Geophys Res Lett, 47,
- 744 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088306, 2020.
- 745 Leng, G., Huang, M., Tang, Q., Gao, H., and Leung, L. R.: Modeling the effects of groundwater-
- fed irrigation on terrestrial hydrology over the conterminous United States, J Hydrometeorol, 15,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-049.1, 2014.
- 748 Leung, L. R., Huang, M., Qian, Y., and Liang, X.: Climate-soil-vegetation control on
- 749 groundwater table dynamics and its feedbacks in a climate model, Clim Dyn, 36,
- 750 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0746-x, 2011.





- Li, B., Rodell, M., Kumar, S., Beaudoing, H. K., Getirana, A., Zaitchik, B. F., de Goncalves, L.
- 752 G., Cossetin, C., Bhanja, S., Mukherjee, A., Tian, S., Tangdamrongsub, N., Long, D., Nanteza,
- 753 J., Lee, J., Policelli, F., Goni, I. B., Daira, D., Bila, M., de Lannoy, G., Mocko, D., Steele-Dunne,
- 754 S. C., Save, H., and Bettadpur, S.: Global GRACE Data Assimilation for Groundwater and
- 755 Drought Monitoring: Advances and Challenges, Water Resour Res, 55,
- 756 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024618, 2019.
- 757 Li, B., Rodell, M., Peters-Lidard, C., Erlingis, J., Kumar, S., and Mocko, D.: Groundwater
- recharge estimated by land surface models: An evaluation in the conterminous United States, J
- 759 Hydrometeorol, 22, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-20-0130.1, 2021.
- 760 Liang, X., Xie, Z., and Huang, M.: A new parameterization for surface and groundwater
- 761 interactions and its impact on water budgets with the variable infiltration capacity (VIC) land
- surface model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108,
- 763 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jd003090, 2003.
- Liu, P. W., Bindlish, R., Oaneill, P., Fang, B., Lakshmi, V., Yang, Z., Cosh, M. H., Bongiovanni,
- 765 T., Collins, C. H., Starks, P. J., Prueger, J., Bosch, D. D., Seyfried, M., and Williams, M. R.:
- 766 Thermal Hydraulic Disaggregation of SMAP Soil Moisture Over the Continental United States,
- 767 IEEE J Sel Top Appl Earth Obs Remote Sens, 15,
- 768 https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3165644, 2022.
- 769 Liu, Y., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Kumar, S. V., Arsenault, K. R., and Mocko, D. M.: Blending
- satellite-based snow depth products with in situ observations for streamflow predictions in the
- Upper Colorado River Basin, Water Resour Res, 51, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016606,
  2015.
- Lo, M. H. and Famiglietti, J. S.: Irrigation in California's Central Valley strengthens the
  southwestern U.S. water cycle, Geophys Res Lett, 40, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50108, 2013.
- 775 Maurer, E. P., Wood, A. W., Adam, J. C., Lettenmaier, D. P., and Nijssen, B.: A Long-Term
- Hydrologically Based Dataset of Land Surface Fluxes and States for the Conterminous United
   States \*, n.d.
- 778 Maxwell, R. M.: A terrain-following grid transform and preconditioner for parallel, large-scale,
- integrated hydrologic modeling, Adv Water Resour, 53,
- 780 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.10.001, 2013.
- Maxwell, R. M. and Condon, L. E.: Connections between groundwater flow and transpiration
  partitioning, Science (1979), 353, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7891, 2016.
- 783 Maxwell, R. M., Chow, F. K., and Kollet, S. J.: The groundwater-land-surface-atmosphere
- connection: Soil moisture effects on the atmospheric boundary layer in fully-coupled
- simulations, Adv Water Resour, 30, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2007.05.018, 2007.
- 786 Maxwell, R. M., Lundquist, J. K., Mirocha, J. D., Smith, S. G., Woodward, C. S., and Tompson,
- A. F. B.: Development of a coupled groundwater-atmosphere model, Mon Weather Rev, 139,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3392.1, 2011.
- 789 Maxwell, R. M., Putti, M., Meyerhoff, S., Delfs, J. O., Ferguson, I. M., Ivanov, V., Kim, J.,
- 790 Kolditz, O., Kollet, S. J., Kumar, M., Lopez, S., Niu, J., Paniconi, C., Park, Y. J., Phanikumar,
- 791 M. S., Shen, C., Sudicky, E. A., and Sulis, M.: Surface-subsurface model intercomparison: A





- first set of benchmark results to diagnose integrated hydrology and feedbacks, Water Resour
   Res, 50, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013725, 2014a.
- Maxwell, R. M., Putti, M., Meyerhoff, S., Delfs, J. O., Ferguson, I. M., Ivanov, V., Kim, J.,
- 795 Kolditz, O., Kollet, S. J., Kumar, M., Lopez, S., Niu, J., Paniconi, C., Park, Y. J., Phanikumar,
- 796 M. S., Shen, C., Sudicky, E. A., and Sulis, M.: Surface-subsurface model intercomparison: A
- first set of benchmark results to diagnose integrated hydrology and feedbacks, Water Resour
  Res, 50, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013725, 2014b.
- **798** Res, 50, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015 w R015/25, 20146.
- Maxwell, R. M., Condon, L. E., and Kollet, S. J.: A high-resolution simulation of groundwater
   and surface water over most of the continental US with the integrated hydrologic model ParFlow
   A Geosci Model Dev & https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd.8-923-2015.2015
- 801 v3, Geosci Model Dev, 8, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-923-2015, 2015.
- 802 Miguez-Macho, G., Fan, Y., Weaver, C. P., Walko, R., and Robock, A.: Incorporating water
- table dynamics in climate modeling: 2. Formulation, validation, and soil moisture simulation,
  Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008112,
  2007.
- 806 Miller, M. P., Buto, S. G., Susong, D. D., and Rumsey, C. A.: The importance of base flow in
- sustaining surface water flow in the Upper Colorado River Basin, Water Resour Res, 52,
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017963, 2016.
- 809 Miller, O. L., Miller, M. P., Longley, P. C., Alder, J. R., Bearup, L. A., Pruitt, T., Jones, D. K.,
- 810 Putman, A. L., Rumsey, C. A., and McKinney, T.: How Will Baseflow Respond to Climate
- 811 Change in the Upper Colorado River Basin?, Geophys Res Lett, 48,
- 812 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095085, 2021.
- 813 Mocko, D. M., Kumar, S. V., Peters-Lidard, C. D., and Wang, S.: Assimilation of vegetation
- conditions improves the representation of drought over agricultural areas, J Hydrometeorol, 22,
   https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-20-0065.1, 2021.
- 816 Naz, B. S., Sharples, W., Ma, Y., Goergen, K., and Kollet, S.: Continental-scale evaluation of a
- fully distributed coupled land surface and groundwater model, ParFlow-CLM (v3.6.0), over
- 818 Europe, Geosci Model Dev, 16, 1617–1639, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-1617-2023, 2023.
- 819 Nie, W., Kumar, S. V., Arsenault, K. R., Peters-Lidard, C. D., Mladenova, I. E., Bergaoui, K.,
- 820 Hazra, A., Zaitchik, B. F., Mahanama, S. P., McDonnell, R., Mocko, D. M., and Navari, M.:
- 821 Towards effective drought monitoring in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region:
- 822 implications from assimilating leaf area index and soil moisture into the Noah-MP land surface
- model for Morocco, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci, 26, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-2365-2022, 2022.
- 824 Niu, G. Y., Yang, Z. L., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., Kumar, A., Manning,
- 825 K., Niyogi, D., Rosero, E., Tewari, M., and Xia, Y.: The community Noah land surface model
- 826 with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1. Model description and evaluation with local-
- scale measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 116,
- 828 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015139, 2011.
- 829 Ntona, M. M., Busico, G., Mastrocicco, M., and Kazakis, N.: Modeling groundwater and surface
- 830 water interaction: An overview of current status and future challenges,
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157355, 2022.
- 832 Oki, T. and Kanae, S.: Global hydrological cycles and world water resources,
- 833 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128845, 2006.





- 834 O'neill, M. M. F., Tijerina, D. T., Condon, L. E., and Maxwell, R. M.: Assessment of the
- 835 ParFlow-CLM CONUS 1.0 integrated hydrologic model: evaluation of hyper-resolution water
- balance components across the contiguous United States, Geosci Model Dev, 14,
- 837 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-7223-2021, 2021a.
- 838 O'neill, M. M. F., Tijerina, D. T., Condon, L. E., and Maxwell, R. M.: Assessment of the
- 839 ParFlow-CLM CONUS 1.0 integrated hydrologic model: evaluation of hyper-resolution water
- 840 balance components across the contiguous United States, Geosci Model Dev, 14,
- 841 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-7223-2021, 2021b.
- 842 Painter, T. H., Skiles, S. M. K., Deems, J. S., Bryant, A. C., and Landry, C. C.: Dust radiative
- forcing in snow of the Upper Colorado River Basin: 1. A 6 year record of energy balance,
- radiation, and dust concentrations, Water Resour Res, 48,
- 845 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012WR011985, 2012.
- 846 Peters-Lidard, C. D., Houser, P. R., Tian, Y., Kumar, S. V., Geiger, J., Olden, S., Lighty, L.,
- 847 Doty, B., Dirmeyer, P., Adams, J., Mitchell, K., Wood, E. F., and Sheffield, J.: High-
- performance Earth system modeling with NASA/GSFC's Land Information System, Innov Syst
  Softw Eng, 3, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11334-007-0028-x, 2007.
- 850 Qian, Y., Huang, M., Yang, B., and Berg, L. K.: A modeling study of irrigation effects on
- surface fluxes and land-air-cloud interactions in the southern great plains, J Hydrometeorol, 14,
- 852 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-12-0134.1, 2013.
- Rodell, M. and Reager, J. T.: Water cycle science enabled by the GRACE and GRACE-FO
  satellite missions, Nature Water, 1, https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-022-00005-0, 2023.
- Sacks, W. J., Cook, B. I., Buenning, N., Levis, S., and Helkowski, J. H.: Effects of global
- irrigation on the near-surface climate, Clim Dyn, 33, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0445-z,
  2009.
- Save, H., Bettadpur, S., and Tapley, B. D.: High-resolution CSR GRACE RL05 mascons, J
  Geophys Res Solid Earth, 121, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013007, 2016.
- 860 Scanlon, B. R., Faunt, C. C., Longuevergne, L., Reedy, R. C., Alley, W. M., McGuire, V. L., and
- 861 McMahon, P. B.: Groundwater depletion and sustainability of irrigation in the US High Plains
- and Central Valley, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 109, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1200311109,
   2012.
- 864 Scanlon, B. R., Zhang, Z., Save, H., Wiese, D. N., Landerer, F. W., Long, D., Longuevergne, L.,
- and Chen, J.: Global evaluation of new GRACE mascon products for hydrologic applications,
- 866 Water Resour Res, 52, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019494, 2016.
- Tang, Q., Oki, T., Kanae, S., and Hu, H.: The influence of precipitation variability and partial
   irrigation within grid cells on a hydrological simulation, J Hydrometeorol, 8,
- 869 https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM589.1, 2007.
- 870 Tapley, B. D., Bettadpur, S., Watkins, M., and Reigber, C.: The gravity recovery and climate
- 871 experiment: Mission overview and early results, Geophys Res Lett, 31,
- 872 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019920, 2004.
- 873 Taylor, R. G., Scanlon, B., Döll, P., Rodell, M., Van Beek, R., Wada, Y., Longuevergne, L.,
- 874 Leblanc, M., Famiglietti, J. S., Edmunds, M., Konikow, L., Green, T. R., Chen, J., Taniguchi,





- M., Bierkens, M. F. P., Macdonald, A., Fan, Y., Maxwell, R. M., Yechieli, Y., Gurdak, J. J., 875
- Allen, D. M., Shamsudduha, M., Hiscock, K., Yeh, P. J. F., Holman, I., and Treidel, H.: Ground 876
- 877 water and climate change, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1744, 2013.
- 878 Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for Near-term Colorado River Operations:
- Tian, Y., Zheng, Y., Wu, B., Wu, X., Liu, J., and Zheng, C.: Modeling surface water-879
- 880 groundwater interaction in arid and semi-arid regions with intensive agriculture, Environmental 881 Modelling and Software, 63, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.10.011, 2015.
- 882 Tijerina, D., Condon, L., FitzGerald, K., Dugger, A., O'Neill, M. M., Sampson, K., Gochis, D.,
- 883 and Maxwell, R.: Continental Hydrologic Intercomparison Project, Phase 1: A Large-Scale
- Hydrologic Model Comparison Over the Continental United States, Water Resour Res, 57, 884
- 885 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028931, 2021.
- 886 Tijerina-Kreuzer, D., Swilley, J. S., Tran, H. V., Zhang, J., West, B., Yang, C., Condon, L. E.,
- 887 and Maxwell, R. M.: Continental Scale Hydrostratigraphy: Basin-Scale Testing of Alternative Data-Driven Approaches, Groundwater, 62, https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.13357, 2024.
- 888
- 889 Tillman, F. D., Day, N. K., Miller, M. P., Miller, O. L., Rumsey, C. A., Wise, D. R., Longley, P.
- 890 C., and McDonnell, M. C.: A Review of Current Capabilities and Science Gaps in Water Supply
- 891 Data, Modeling, and Trends for Water Availability Assessments in the Upper Colorado River
- Basin, https://doi.org/10.3390/w14233813, 2022. 892
- 893 Tran, H., Zhang, J., O'Neill, M. M., Ryken, A., Condon, L. E., and Maxwell, R. M.: A
- 894 hydrological simulation dataset of the Upper Colorado River Basin from 1983 to 2019, Sci Data, 895 9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01123-w, 2022.
- 896 U.S. Department of the Interior: Colorado River Basin SECURE Water Act Section 9503(c) 897 Report to Congress, 2021.
- 898 Wada, Y., Van Beek, L. P. H., Van Kempen, C. M., Reckman, J. W. T. M., Vasak, S., and
- 899 Bierkens, M. F. P.: Global depletion of groundwater resources, Geophys Res Lett, 37, 900 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL044571, 2010.
- 901 Wang, Y. and Chen, N.: Recent progress in coupled surface-ground water models and their
- 902 potential in watershed hydro-biogeochemical studies: A review, Watershed Ecology and the 903 Environment, 3, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsee.2021.04.001, 2021.
- 904 Williams, A. P., Cook, B. I., and Smerdon, J. E.: Rapid intensification of the emerging
- 905 southwestern North American megadrought in 2020–2021, Nat Clim Chang, 12,
- 906 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01290-z, 2022.
- 907 Winter, T. C., Harvey, J. W., Franke, O. L., and Alley, W. M.: Ground Water and Surface Water
- 908 - A single Resource - U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1139, USGS Publications, Circular 1, 1998. 909
- 910 Xia, Y., Mitchell, K., Ek, M., Cosgrove, B., Sheffield, J., Luo, L., Alonge, C., Wei, H., Meng, J.,
- Livneh, B., Duan, Q., and Lohmann, D.: Continental-scale water and energy flux analysis and 911
- 912 validation for North American Land Data Assimilation System project phase 2 (NLDAS-2): 2.
- 913 Validation of model-simulated streamflow, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 117,
- 914 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016051, 2012.





- 915 Yang, C., Tijerina-Kreuzer, D. T., Tran, H. V., Condon, L. E., and Maxwell, R. M.: A high-
- 916 resolution, 3D groundwater-surface water simulation of the contiguous US: Advances in the
- 917 integrated ParFlow CONUS 2.0 modeling platform, J Hydrol (Amst), 626,
- 918 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.130294, 2023.
- 919 Yang, X., Hu, J., Ma, R., and Sun, Z.: Integrated Hydrologic Modelling of Groundwater-Surface
- 920 Water Interactions in Cold Regions, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2021.721009, 1 December
  921 2021.
- 22 Zhang, A., Zhang, C., Fu, G., Wang, B., Bao, Z., and Zheng, H.: Assessments of Impacts of
- 923 Climate Change and Human Activities on Runoff with SWAT for the Huifa River Basin,
- 924 Northeast China, Water Resources Management, 26, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-012-0010-8,
- 925 2012.
- 926 Zhang, J., Condon, L. E., Tran, H., and Maxwell, R. M.: A national topographic dataset for
- 927 hydrological modeling over the contiguous United States, Earth Syst Sci Data, 13,
- 928 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-3263-2021, 2021.