





1	Influence of Spatial Heterogeneity of Runoff Generation on the Distributed Unit
2	Hydrograph for Flood Prediction
3	Bin Yi ^{1,2} , Lu Chen ^{1,2,3} *, Binlin Yang ^{1,2} , Zhiyuan Leng ^{1,2} , Siming Li ^{1,2} , Tao Xie ^{1,2}
4	1. School of Civil and Hydraulic Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China
5	2. Hubei Key Laboratory of Digital Valley Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China
6 7	3. School of Water Resources and Civil Engineering, Tibet Agricultural & Animal Husbandry University, Linzhi 860000, China
8	Correspondence: Lu Chen (chen_lu@hust.edu.cn)
9	Abstract: The spatial scale mismatch between runoff generation and runoff routing is an acceptable
10	compromise but a common issue in hydrological modeling. Moreover, there is hardly any report
11	available on whether a unit hydrograph (UH) that is consistent with the spatial scale of runoff
12	generation can be computed. The objective of this study was to explore the influence of spatial
13	heterogeneity of runoff generation on the UH for flood prediction. To this end, a novel GIS-based
14	dynamic time-varying unit hydrograph (DTDUH) was proposed based on the time-varying unit
15	hydrograph (TDUH). The DTDUH can be defined as a typical hydrograph of direct runoff which gets
16	generated from one centimeter of effective rainfall falling at a uniform rate over the saturated drainage
17	basin uniformly during a specific duration. The DTDUH was computed based on the saturated areas
18	of the watershed instead of the global watershed. The saturated areas were extracted based on the TWI.
19	Finally, the Longhu River basin and Dongshi River basin were selected as two case studies. Results
20	showed that the proposed method exhibited consistent or better performance compared with that of the
21	linear reservoir routing method, and performed better than the TDUH method. The proposed method
22	can be used for watersheds with sparse gauging stations and limited observed rainfall and runoff data,
23	as for the TDUH method. Simultaneously, it is well applicable to humid or mountain watershed where





- 24 saturation-excess rainfall is dominant.
- 25 Keywords: Hydrological modeling; Distributed unit hydrograph; Runoff routing; Runoff generation;
- 26 XAJ model
- 27 **1. Introduction**

28 The assumption that basins behave as linear systems (i.e., there is proportionality and additivity 29 between excess rainfall and total storm response) has been the core of hydrology over the past century 30 (Goodrich et al., 1997; Bunster et al., 2019). On such conditions, a single response function named the 31 UH has been widely applied to acquire the stormflow at the basin outlet (Czyzyk et al., 2020). The UH 32 can be developed for both gauged and ungauged watersheds (Monajemi et al., 2021). For gauged basins, 33 unit hydrographs are derived from observed data by measuring rainfall and runoff data. For ungauged 34 basins, some synthetic methods, such as Snyder's method (Snyder, 1938) and Gray's method (Gray, 35 1961), are used to determine the unit hydrographs from spatially averaged basin characteristics. One 36 variation is the synthetic UH method proposed by Clark (1945), in which the UH was derived by 37 integrating two basic features of a watershed rainfall-runoff process. Specifically, translation through 38 water movement was characterized by the time-area method, and linear reservoir routing was used to 39 represent attenuation through storage (Cho et al., 2018; Bunster et al., 2019). This history of 40 development was synthesized in the works of Rodríguez-Iturbe and Valdés (1979) and Gupta et al. 41 (1980), who proposed the geomorphological instantaneous unit hydrograph (GIUH). Subsequently, the 42 width function-based geomorphological instantaneous unit hydrograph (WFIUH) method has been 43 formulated with the development of digital elevation models (DEMs) and geographic information 44 system (GIS) technology (Seo et al., 2016). The WFIUH was derived by combining the flow paths to





45	the outlet given by the width function with the flow celerity along the flow paths (Kirkby, 1976).
46	The UH method assumes the watershed response to efficient rainfall to be linear and time-
47	invariant, and rainfall to be spatially homogeneous. In contrast to the linearity assumption, basins have
48	been shown to exhibit nonlinearity in the transformation of excess rainfall to stormflow (Bunster et al.,
49	2019). For a small watershed, Minshall (1960) showed that significantly different UHs were produced
50	by different rainfall intensities. To cope with this nonlinearity, Rodríguez-Iturbe et al. (1982) extended
51	the GIUH to the geomorphoclimatic IUH (GcIUH) by incorporating excess rainfall intensity. Lee et al.
52	(2008) proposed a variable kinematic wave GIUH accounting for time-varying rainfall intensity, which
53	may be applicable to ungauged catchments that are influenced by the high intensity of rainfall. To this
54	end, it is necessary to consider the geomorphic characteristics of the watershed and incorporate time-
55	varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes.
55 56	varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph
55 56 57	varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon
55 56 57 58	 varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon et al. (2016) in which spatially distributed flow celerity associated with the watershed characteristics
55 56 57 58 59	 varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon et al. (2016) in which spatially distributed flow celerity associated with the watershed characteristics and temporally varying excess rainfall rates can be considered. In this method, the travel time of each
55 56 57 58 59 60	 varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon et al. (2016) in which spatially distributed flow celerity associated with the watershed characteristics and temporally varying excess rainfall rates can be considered. In this method, the travel time of each grid cell can be calculated by dividing the travel distance of a cell to the next cell by the velocity of
55 56 57 58 59 60 61	 varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon et al. (2016) in which spatially distributed flow celerity associated with the watershed characteristics and temporally varying excess rainfall rates can be considered. In this method, the travel time of each grid cell can be calculated by dividing the travel distance of a cell to the next cell by the velocity of flow generated in that cell (Paul et al., 2018). The travel time is then summed along the flow path to
 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 	varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon et al. (2016) in which spatially distributed flow celerity associated with the watershed characteristics and temporally varying excess rainfall rates can be considered. In this method, the travel time of each grid cell can be calculated by dividing the travel distance of a cell to the next cell by the velocity of flow generated in that cell (Paul et al., 2018). The travel time is then summed along the flow path to obtain the total travel time from each cell to the outlet. The DUH is thus derived using the distribution
 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 	varying rainfall intensity in the rainfall-runoff modeling processes. Spatially distributed travel time models, also known as Spatially Distributed Unit Hydrograph models (DUH) (Maidment et al., 1996), are a semi-analytical form of the WFIUH identified by Rigon et al. (2016) in which spatially distributed flow celerity associated with the watershed characteristics and temporally varying excess rainfall rates can be considered. In this method, the travel time of each grid cell can be calculated by dividing the travel distance of a cell to the next cell by the velocity of flow generated in that cell (Paul et al., 2018). The travel time is then summed along the flow path to obtain the total travel time from each cell to the outlet. The DUH is thus derived using the distribution of travel time from all grid cells in a watershed. Several aspects (i.e. Rainfall intensities, upstream

65 time distributions. Some DUH methods assumed a time-invariant travel time field and ignored the





66	dependence of travel time on excess rainfall intensity (Melesse and Graham, 2004; Noto and La Loggia,
67	2007; Gibbs et al., 2010; Grimaldi et al., 2010), while others suggested various UHs corresponding to
68	different storm events, namely time-varying distributed unit hydrograph (TDUH) (Martinez et al.,
69	2002; Sarangi et al., 2007; Du et al., 2009). The upstream contributions to the travel time estimation
70	should also be considered in the time-varying DUH method, which was developed from a static
71	upstream contribution to a dynamic contribution. For example, Bunster et al. (2019) developed a
72	TDUH model that accounts for dynamic upstream contributions and compared its performance against
73	other TDUH methods, and characterized the temporal behavior of upstream contributions and its
74	impact on travel times in the basin. However, the methods discussed above assumed that equilibrium
75	in each individual grid cell or global watershed can be reached before the end of the rainfall excess
76	pulse. To this end, Yi et al. (2022) proposed a time-varying distributed unit hydrograph method for
77	runoff routing that accounts for dynamic rainfall intensity and soil moisture content, namely the time-
78	varying distributed unit hydrograph considering soil moisture content (TDUH-MC). Hydrologists have
79	made great efforts to address the nonlinear issues of the UHs in the past decades, while these
80	approximations are still acceptable compromises in challenging hydrology research.
81	Nevertheless, we found that the approximations discussed above neglected the influence of spatial

heterogeneity of runoff generation on the UH. For instance, in a humid watershed, the excess rainfall can be more inclined to happen in near-channel areas, and sometimes in the arid zones with long storms durations according to the saturation-excess mechanism (Li and Sivapalan, 2014). In previous studies, the time-varying rainfall intensities were commonly considered to obtain more accurate travel time distributions to compute the UH for the whole basin, while the depth of the excess rainfall was also



100



87 considered to be uniformly distributed throughout the whole watershed (the excess rainfall comes from 88 local saturated areas). The outflow hydrograph is then calculated by superimposing the response to 89 each excess rainfall pulse, or equivalently by convoluting the spatially averaged excess rainfall and a 90 UH obtained from the IUH. This raised the question of whether the unit hydrograph can reflect the 91 realities of the runoff routing processes of an actual watershed. 92 The problem of spatial scale mismatch between runoff generation and confluence theory is 93 prevalent in hydrological modeling, and hydrologists almost ignore the forecasting errors associated 94 with this issue. For example, the Xinanjiang (XAJ) model is a conceptual hydrological model proposed 95 by Zhao et al. (1980) for flood forecasts in the Xin'an River basin. It has been widely used in humid 96 and semi-humid watersheds all over the world (Zhao, 1992; Zhou et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020). In 97 the model, two parabolic curves are adopted to represent the spatially non-uniform distribution of the 98 tension water storage and the free water storage. To match the spatial scales of the runoff generation 99 and the runoff routing, the excess rainfall generated in the saturated areas was assumed to be uniformly

hardly any report available on whether UH that is consistent with the spatial scale of runoff generationcan be computed (Andrieu et al., 2021).

distributed across the whole basin. However, this assumption may result in huge errors, and there is

103 The objective of this study was therefore to explore the influence of spatial heterogeneity of runoff 104 generation on the UH for flood prediction. The main contributions of the present study are given as 105 follows. 1) The DUH method was used as the basic tool to compute the DTDUH corresponding to 106 various saturated states of the watershed. 2) The definition of the DTDUH was different from the 107 traditional TDUH as it represented the characteristics of the runoff-generating areas instead of the





- 108 whole basin. 3) The XAJ model was used as the hydrological modeling framework to compare the
- 109 performances of TDUH and DTDUH based on flood events. The Longhu River basin and the Dongshi
- 110 River basin in the Guangdong Province, China, were selected as two case studies. The influence of
- 111 spatial heterogeneity of runoff generation on the UH for flood prediction was investigated.
- 112 The remaining chapters of this paper are arranged as follows: In Hydrological models, the
- 113 processes of DTDUH considering the spatial heterogeneity of runoff generation are introduced, and
- 114 the parameter calibration method, evaluation criteria as well as the hydrologic model are demonstrated.
- 115 In section study area and data, the rainfall and runoff data and the study areas are described. In section
- 116 results, the performances and results of the TDUH and DTUHD are compared. In section discussion,
- 117 the results and methods were discussed and in section conclusions, relevant conclusions were drawn.

118 2. Hydrological models

119 2.1 Runoff generation based on the saturation-excess mechanism

120 Mostly, saturation-excess runoff is the major runoff mechanism in humid areas (Tromp-Van 121 Meerveld and McDonnell, 2006; Hoang et al., 2017). Many hydrological models, such as the 122 TOPMODEL (Beven and Kirkby, 1979), the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model (Liang et al., 123 1994), the Probability Distributed Model (PDM) (Moore, 2007), the XAJ model (Zhao, 1992), and the 124 Australian Water Balance Model (Boughton, 2004), simulate saturation-excess runoff by introducing 125 a statistical distribution of tension water storage capacity using different methods. Simultaneously, a 126 free water capacity distribution curve is usually used to divide the total runoff into the surface runoff, 127 interflow, and groundwater. In the XAJ model, two parabolic curves are adopted to represent the 128 spatially non-uniform distribution of the tension water storage and free water storage (Fig. 1).







130 Figure 1. (a) Tension water storage capacity curve, and (b) Free water storage capacity curve

131 The difference between field capacity and total soil water content is defined as tension water storage capacity, the maximum amount of water available in an unsaturated zone. In the XAJ model, 132 133 a tension water capacity curve is introduced (Fig. 1(a)) to describe the non-uniform distribution of 134 tension water capacity throughout the basin or sub-basin. In Fig. 1(a), Aps is the partial pervious area 135 where the tension water storage capacity is less than or equal to the value W, which is the tension water 136 capacity at a point, varying from 0 to a maximum WMM; A_p is the pervious area; W_0 is the initial areal mean tension water storage (mm); and AU is the vertical coordinate corresponding to W_0 . The 137 138 functional relationship of the tension water storage capacity curve is expressed as:

139
$$\frac{A_{ps}}{A_p} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{W}{WMM}\right)^B \tag{1}$$

Based on Eq. (1), when rainfall exceeds evaporation, the runoff generated in the saturated areascan be calculated as:

142
$$R = \begin{cases} \int_{AU}^{AU+PE} \frac{A_{ps}}{A_p} dW & PE + AU < WMM \\ PE - WM + W_0 & PE + AU \ge WMM \end{cases}$$
(2)

143 The total runoff R (R=RS+RI+RG), generated in a wet period in accordance with Eq. (2), must be 144 separated into three components, including the surface runoff, the subsurface stormflow, and the





145 surface runoff. Thus, the concept of free water storage capacity was used, and it was assumed to be 146 distributed between zero and a point maximum SMM in a parabolic manner, as shown in Fig. 1(b). In 147 Fig. 1(b), A_p is the pervious area of the catchment; A_f is the area where the free water storage capacity 148 is less than or equal to the value S, varying from 0 to SMM; A_s is the runoff generation area; S_0 is the 149 initial areal mean free water storage (mm); BU is the vertical coordinate corresponding to S_0 ; and RI, 150 RG represent the depth of the interflow and subsurface flow. The functional relationship of the free 151 water storage capacity curve is expressed as: $\frac{A_f}{A_s} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{S}{SMM}\right)^{EX}$ 152 (3)

The total runoff *R*, generated in a wet period in accordance with Eq. (3), can be subsequently separated into three components, including the surface runoff, interflow, and groundwater, which can be expressed by

156
$$RS = \begin{cases} FR \int_{BU}^{BU+PE} \frac{A_f}{A_s} dS & PE + BU < SMM \\ FR (PE + S_0 - SM) & PE + BU \ge SMM \end{cases}$$
(4)

157
$$RI = KI \cdot FR \cdot \int_{0}^{BU} \frac{A_{f}}{A_{s}} dS$$
(5)

158
$$RG = KG \cdot FR \cdot \int_{0}^{BU} \frac{A_f}{A_s} dS$$
(6)

where *RS*, *RI*, and *RG* represent the depth of the surface runoff, interflow, and groundwater respectively (mm); *FR*, equaling to R/PE, is the proportion of the runoff-producing area over the whole basin; *SM* is the areal mean free water capacity (mm); and *KI* and *KG* are outflow coefficients of the free water storage to interflow and groundwater, respectively.





163 2.2 Definition, conceptual and derivation of the DTDUH

164	The GIS-derived DUH method was employed for the surface runoff routing calculations, which
165	allowed the velocity to be calculated on a grid cell basis over the watershed. To remove the linearity
166	assumption, fully distributed models use routing methods which are usually computationally intensive
167	because they solve the St. Venant equations (Bunster et al., 2019), so they are usually limited to small
168	basins. Therefore, the DUH method is an alternative method that allows the use of distributed
169	information in a much more efficient manner. The TDUH method was used for the computation of the
170	UH, which considered both the time-varying rainfall intensities and the soil moisture. More details can
171	be found in Yi et al. (2022). The DTDUH proposed in this study is computed based on the TDUH, and
172	the proposed DTDUH was computed based on the runoff generation areas instead of the whole basin.
173	This realization was significantly different from the current understanding (Gibbs et al., 2010; Goñi et
174	al., 2019; Andrieu et al., 2021). The differences in the definition and assumptions between the current
175	theory and the DTDUH have been concluded in Table 1, and the schematic diagram of the DTDUH
176	method is shown in Fig. 2.

Differences	Current theory	DTDUH	
	A typical hydrograph of direct runoff	A typical hydrograph of direct runoff	
	which gets generated from one	which gets generated from one centimeter	
Definition	centimeter of effective rainfall falling at	of effective rainfall falling at a uniform	
Demittion	a uniform rate over the entire drainage	rate over the saturated drainage basin	
	basin uniformly during a specific	uniformly during a specific duration.	
	duration.		
	i) The offective reinfall is uniformly	i) The effective rainfall is uniformly	
	distributed over the entire drainage basin	distributed over the saturated drainage	
Assumption	ii) The effective rainfall occurs uniformly	areas.	
	within its specifier duration	ii) The effective rainfall occurs uniformly	
	within its specifier duration.	within its specifier duration.	

177 **Table 1.** The differences in the definition and assumptions between the current theory and the DTDUH.







178

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the DTDUH method. The main differences between the DTDUH and
TDUH lie in that the DTDUH is computed based on local areas while the TDUH is for the global

181 watershed.

182 1) The drainage network can be identified based on the advanced DEM preprocessing method 183 (Grimaldi et al., 2012). Then, the flow path collection (\mathbf{Road}_{A_j}) of each gird cell A_j along the flow 184 directions to the basin outlet can be created. For the whole basin, the flow path collection of all the 185 grid cells (\mathbf{Road}) is expressed by 186 $\mathbf{Road} = \{\mathbf{Road}_{A_j} | A_j \in \mathbf{A}\}$ (7)

187 where A_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) is the total number of grid cells, N is the total grid cells of the basin; and A is

188 the collection of all the grid cells.





189	The XAJ model adopted the saturation excess runoff generation mechanism, that is, there is no
190	runoff generated until the tension water capacity is satisfied. However, current methods compute the
191	DUH corresponding to the whole basin. To this end, we proposed to create a collection of flow paths
192	for the saturated areas, and the specific formula is given by
193	$\mathbf{Road}_{\alpha} = \left\{ \mathbf{Road}_{A_j} \middle A_j \in \mathbf{A}_{\alpha} \right\} \tag{8}$
194	where \mathbf{Road}_{α} ($\mathbf{Road}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathbf{Road}$) is the flow path collection of the saturated grid cells when the soil
195	moisture proportion is α ; and A_{α} is the collection of the saturated grid cells when the soil moisture
196	proportion is α .
197	2) The flow velocity of each grid cell corresponding to the collection \mathbf{Road}_{α} is computed based
198	on the watershed characteristics and the spatial-temporal distribution characteristics of rainfall and soil
199	moisture, and the specific formula is given as Eq. (9) (Yi et al., 2022).
200	$V = k \cdot S^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{I_t}{I_c}\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \cdot \left(\theta_t\right)^{\gamma} $ ⁽⁹⁾
201	where $V(\text{m s}^{-1})$ is the flow velocity; $k(\text{m s}^{-1})$ is the land use or flow type coefficient, $S(\text{m m}^{-1})$ is the
202	slope of the grid cell; I_t (mm h ⁻¹) is the excess rainfall intensity at time t ; I_c is the reference excess
203	rainfall intensity of the basin; γ (unitless) is an exponent smaller than unity, which represents the
204	nonlinear relationship between soil moisture content and flow velocity; and θ_t (unitless) represents the
205	soil moisture content of the unsaturated areas at time t , which can be calculated based on Eq. (10)
206	referring to Yi et al (2022)

207
$$\theta_t = \frac{(B+1)W_t}{WMM + B \cdot W_t}$$
(10)

208 3) The travel time for each grid cell in collection $Road_{\alpha}$ can be calculated by Eq. (11). To





209 compute the total travel time τ_i of flow from each cell *i* to the outlet, travel times along the R_i cells

210 belonging to the flow path that starts at that cell are added based on Eq. (12).

211
$$\Delta \tau_j = \frac{L_j}{V} \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta \tau_j = \frac{\sqrt{2}L_j}{V} \tag{11}$$

212
$$\tau_j = \sum_{A_j \in \mathbf{A}_a} \Delta \tau_j \tag{12}$$

where $\Delta \tau_j$ is the retention time in grid cell A_j ; τ_j is the total travel time along the flow path for grid cell A_j ; L_j is the grid cell size. When the rasterized flow is flowing along the edges of the grid cell, the travel length is the cell size L_j , whereas the travel length is $\sqrt{2}L_j$ when it is flowing diagonally.

4) Develop a cumulative travel time map of the saturated areas instead of the whole basin based
on cell-by-cell estimates for hillslope velocities. The cumulative travel time map is further divided into

218 isochrones, which can be used to generate a time-area curve and the resulting DTDUH corresponding

219 to the collection \mathbf{Road}_{α} instead of \mathbf{Road} .

220 Specifically, the DTDUH was computed considering the time-varying characteristics of the 221 saturated areas, and the excess rainfall was redistributed in the saturated areas instead of the whole 222 basin. The diagram of the DTDUH derivation processes corresponding to various saturated soil 223 moisture can be shown in Fig. 3. The total travel time from each saturated grid cell to the outlet is 224 obtained by directly recording each particle's total travel time from the initial location until the particle 225 leaves the basin. We can obtain the DTDUH when the last particle leaves the basin. There are 24 grid 226 cells in the basin. For instance, the derivation of the TDUH corresponding to saturated proportions 25% (6 grid cells) is shown in Fig. 3(a). The DTDUH is not the same as the TDUH until the basin reaches 227 228 a global saturation as Fig. 3(d). For instance, when there occurs a stormflow with a depth of 10 mm in 229 the whole basin and the saturated proportion is 50%, the actual depth of the excess rainfall in the



235



- 230 saturated area is 20 mm. In tradition, the flow hydrograph was calculated using the TDUH as Fig. 3(d),
- 231 neglecting the issue that excess rainfall particles are only in the saturated areas. This neglect therefore
- 232 leads to errors because the unsaturated areas where no excess rainfall is generated contribute to the
- 233 confluence. To solve this issue, the flow hydrograph was calculated using the DTDUH as Fig. 3(b) in
- this study, and in turn, could improve the forecast performances of the hydrological model.



Figure 3. The diagram of the DTDUH corresponds to various soil moisture. (a) $\alpha_s = 0.25$. (b) $\alpha_s = 0.50$. (c) $\alpha_s = 0.75$. (d) $\alpha_s = 1.00$.

238 2.3 Hydrological modeling framework

The XAJ model proposed by Zhao et al. (1980) was used as the hydrological modeling framework. It has been widely used in humid and semi-humid watersheds all over the world. There are four modules in the model including the evapotranspiration module, runoff generation module, runoff partition module, and runoff routing module. For the evapotranspiration module, the soil profile of each sub-basin is divided into three layers, the upper, lower, and deeper layers, and only when water





244 in the layer above it has been exhausted does evaporation from the next layer occur. For the runoff 245 generation and runoff partition modules in the XAJ model, they have been reviewed in Section 2.1. 246 Finally, for the runoff routing module, subsurface stormflow and subsurface runoff were considered 247 using a free reservoir. To investigate the influence of spatial heterogeneity of runoff generation on the 248 runoff routing, linear reservoir, TDUH, and DTDUH were selected as the surface runoff routing 249 methods. When the watershed is divided into multiple sub-basins, the Muskingum method will be used 250 to confluence the runoff of each sub-basin to the outlet of the basin. The XAJ modeling framework 251 used in this study is given in Fig. 4.



252

253 Figure 4. Schematic diagram of the XAJ model.

The depths of the surface runoff calculated by Eq. (4) are obtained after a redistribution to the whole basin. As a matter of fact, the depths of the surface runoff should be calculated only over the saturated areas when the DTDUH was selected as the surface runoff routing method, which can be expressed by

$$RS'_{s} = \frac{RS_{s}}{FR}$$
(13)





259	where RS_{s} is the depth of the surface runoff corresponding to the saturated areas.
260	When the linear reservoir, TDUH, and DTDUH were used respectively for the surface runoff
261	routing, the flow discharge at the outlet of the watershed can be computed by
262	$QS_{t} = CS \cdot QS_{t-1} + (1 - CS) \cdot RS_{t} \cdot \frac{F}{3.6\Delta t} $ (14)
263	$QS_t = RS_t \otimes TDUH \tag{15}$
264	$QS_t = RS_t \otimes DTDUH \tag{16}$
265	where CS is the recession constant of the surface water storage; F (km ²) is the area of the basin, and
266	\otimes is the symbol of convolution.
267	Simultaneously, the subsurface stormflow (RI) and subsurface runoff (RG) were considered using
268	a free reservoir, and the proposed routing schemes are applied only to RS. Their expressions are given
269	by
270	$QI_{t} = CI \cdot QI_{t-1} + (1 - CI) \cdot RI_{t} \cdot U $ (17)
271	$QG_{t} = CG \cdot QG_{t-1} + (1 - CG) \cdot RG_{t} \cdot U $ (18)
272	Subsequently, the total runoff (Q_t) at the outlet of the basin can be calculated by
273	$Q_t = QS_t + QI_t + QG_t \tag{19}$
274	2.4 Model calibration and evaluation
275	The Shuffled Complex Evolution Algorithm (SCE-UA) technique was developed by the
276	University of Arizona in 1992 for nonlinear, high-dimensional optimization issues (Duan et al., 1992).
277	The technique has been used extensively for calibrating hydrological models (Zhou et al., 2019).
278	Consequently, the SCE-UA method was employed in this study to optimize the parameters of the
279	hydrological model. An aggregated objective function made up of three measures was used for the

280 parameter calibration (Brunner et al., 2021; Yi et al., 2022; Yi et al., 2023). The aggregated objective





281 function and three metrics are expressed by

282
$$E_{\rm NS} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} |Q_s^t - Q_o^t|}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} |Q_o^t - \overline{Q_o}|}$$
(20)

283
$$E_{\rm KG} = 1 - \sqrt{\left(r - 1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_o} - 1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_s}{\mu_o} - 1\right)^2}$$
(21)

284
$$R_{\rm SR} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \left(Q'_o - Q'_s\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \left(Q'_o - \overline{Q}_o\right)^2}}$$
(22)

285
$$M = 0.5 \times (1 - E_{\rm NS}) + 0.25 \times (1 - E_{\rm KG}) + 0.15 \times (1 - \log(E_{\rm NS})) + 0.1 \times R_{\rm SR}$$
(23)

where Q_o^t is the observed discharge at time *t*; Q_s^t is the simulated discharge at time *t*; $\overline{Q_o}$ is the mean of the observed discharge; *T* is the duration of the flood event; *r* is the correlation coefficient between the observed and simulated flood; σ_s and σ_o are the standard deviation values for the simulated and observed responses, respectively; and μ_s and μ_o are the corresponding mean values.

290 Several criteria were used for the model performance evaluation, consisting of the E_{NS} , the Root 291 Mean Square Error (*RMSE*), the relative flood peak error (Q_P), and the flood peak time error (T_P), 292 which can be expressed by

293
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(Q_s^t - Q_o^t \right)^2}$$
(24)

294
$$Q_p = \frac{Q_p^s - Q_p^o}{Q_p^o}$$
(25)

$$T_p = T_p^s - T_p^o \tag{26}$$

where Q_p^s is the simulated flood peak discharge; Q_p^o is the observed flood peak discharge; T_p^o is the observed flood peak time; and T_p^s is the simulated flood peak time.

The parameters of the XAJ model and the DTDUH method were calibrated or evaluated, respectively. An explanation of different parameters for evaluation and calibration is summarized in Table 1.





301 **Table 1**. Explanation of different parameters for evaluation and calibration.

Description	Notation	Explanation
Ratio of potential evapotranspiration to pan	KC (unitless)	
Averaged soil moisture storage capacity of the upper layer	UM (mm)	
Averaged soil moisture storage capacity of the lower layer	LM (mm)	
Averaged soil moisture storage capacity of the deep layer	DM (mm)	
Exponential of the distribution to tension water capacity	B (unitless)	
Percentage of impervious in the watershed	IM (unitless)	
Evapotranspiration coefficient of the deeper layer	C (unitless)	15 parameters of the XAJ model,
Mean free water capacity of the surface soil layer	<i>SM</i> (mm)	and these parameters are calibrated based on the SCE-UA method (<i>KE</i> and <i>YE</i> are required
Exponent of the distribution to free water capacity	EX(unitless)	when a watershed is divided into
Outflow coefficients of the free water storage to subsurface stormflow	KI (unitless)	several sub-basins)
Outflow coefficients of the free water storage to subsurface flow	KG (unitless)	
Recession constants of the subsurface stormflow	CI (unitless)	
Recession constants of the surface runoff storage	CG (unitless)	
Recession constants of channel network storage Muskingum time constant	<i>CS</i> (unitless) <i>KE</i> (h)	
Muskingum weighting factor	XE (unitless)	
Lag in time	<i>L</i> (h)	
Reference rainfall intensity	$I_c \text{ (mm/h)}$	Evaluated based on the average rainfall intensity
Power law related to the influence of soil moisture on flow velocity	γ (unitless)	Evaluated based on the average rainfall intensity (Yi et al., 2022)
Slope of the watershed grid cell	<i>S</i> (m/m)	Evaluated based on DEM of the watershed
Land use or flow type coefficient	k (m/s)	Evaluated based on different underlying surface types or different flow states (Ajward and Muzik, 2000)

302 3. Study area and data

303 The Longhu River basin and the Dongshi River basin were selected as two case study watersheds.





The Longhu River and the Dongshi River are located in the humid mountain area, which originates from the Hanjiang River basin of the Guangdong Province, China. The Longhu River is 17.4 km long, with a basin area of 102.7 km², and the mean slope of the basin is 2.9 ‰. The Dongshi River is 23.6 km long, with a basin area of 152.4 km², and the mean slope of the basin is 3.56 ‰. The DEM data of the two basins were collected from Geospatial Data Cloud (http://www.gscloud.cn/). The land cover data can be accessed from Tsinghua University (http://data.ess.tsinghua.edu.cn/). The distributions of the DEM, slope, and land cover for the two basins are shown in Fig. 5.



311

Figure 5. Distribution of the DEM, slope, and land cover. (a), (b) and (c) are the DEM, slope, and land cover corresponding to the Dongshi River basin. (d), (e) and (f) are the DEM, slope, and land cover corresponding to the Longhu River basin.

315 Additionally, the rainfall and evaporation data from meteorological stations for the two basins





316	were collected from 1973 to 2020, and the simultaneous hourly runoff data for the outlet of the
317	watersheds were collected as well, and the data were collected from Meizhou Hydrological Bureau. A
318	total of 16 isolated storms were identified from the continuous flow process in the Longhu and Dongshi
319	River basins, respectively. The Dongshi hydrological station was built in recent years, and the flow
320	sequences were therefore short. Specifically, 16 flood events in the Longhu River basin with 1 hour
321	interval were collected from 1973 to 2016, and 16 flood events with 1 hour interval were collected
322	from the Dongshi River basin from 2015 to 2020. The statistics of these flood events are shown in
323	Table 2, where 12 flood events were used to calibrate the model, and 4 flood events were used for
324	verification for the two basins. The average flood peaks for the Longhu River basin and the Dongshi
325	River basin are 116.7 $m^3 s^{-1}$ and 73.0 $m^3 s^{-1}$, respectively. The average flood durations are about 30 h
326	and 33 h, respectively. Moreover, to consider the initial condition, the antecedent precipitation was
327	calculated based on the daily recession coefficient of the water storage.

328 **Table 2.** Statistics of the flood events in the Longhu and the Dongshi River basins.

Watershed	Periods	Flood events	Rainfall (mm)	Flood peak (m ³ s ⁻¹)	Time duration (h)
	Calibration	19730508	80.0	94.5	27
		19730720	76.7	180.0	17
		19750526	54.9	101.0	21
		19760702	73.0	137.0	28
		19770526	73.8	90.4	18
		19771003	62.1	97.5	19
т 1		19790607	100.3	93.4	24
Longnu		19890502	46.5	132.0	29
		20030517	94.0	140.0	46
		20060601	56.0	96.5	37
		20120527	118.8	128.0	27
		20130713	214.4	228.0	30
	Verification	20150601	83.4	85.0	44
		20150831	102.6	83.2	30





		20160430	111.2	91.0	54
		20160903	85.4	89.7	26
		20150509	105.2	62.9	38
	Calibration	20150721	132.0	82.0	29
		20160811	90.0	51.3	48
		20160819	112.5	34.9	19
		20161021	158.8	48.0	49
		20170501	84.5	98.3	22
		20170515	84.0	43.7	29
Donashi		20170613	139.2	37.2	31
Doligsili		20170929	71.0	101.2	25
		20180606	61.5	34.9	32
		20180702	23.5	44.3	25
		20190418	86.4	35.5	18
	Verification	20190609	107.6	272.0	27
		20190612	74.0	100.0	66
		20200522	67.5	71.0	37
		20200607	109.3	50.6	26

329 **4. Results**

330 4.1 Calibration of parameters

331 The core of the DUH was the calculation of the grid flow velocity. The parameters that need to 332 be determined in Eq. (9) consist of k, S, I_c , and γ , in which k was the velocity coefficient and was 333 determined based on different underlying surface types or different flow states (Foda et al., 2017), as shown in Fig. 5(c) and Fig. 5(f). S was the grid cell slope of the study areas, which could be obtained 334 335 from the DEM data of the target basin, as shown in Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 5(e). Ic was determined using the hourly mean rainfall intensity of the target basin, and this parameter was 10 mm h^{-1} for the two 336 337 study watersheds. Additionally, γ is a power law related to the influence of soil moisture on flow 338 velocity, and the sensitivity analysis for variable gamma has been made in the previous study. The 339 results show that the mean flow velocity of the basin was significantly influenced by exponent γ . In 340 addition, when the soil moisture content exceeded 0.7, the variation range of mean flow velocity 341 decreased sharply, which means that the influence of parameter γ on the flow velocity decreased





342 gradually with the increase of soil moisture content. In theory, I_c and γ are different from one flood 343 event to another. However, it is difficult to realize in practical use, and we usually adopted the unit 344 hydrograph charactering the average physical properties of a watershed. Thus, in practical flood 345 forecasting, the parameter γ should be a constant once it is determined. This is similar to the influence 346 of upstream contributions to the flow velocity formula in previous research (Rodríguez-Iturbe et al., 347 1992). Therefore, the parameter γ of soil moisture content was determined to be 0.5 to reflect the 348 influence of soil moisture content on the flow velocity for the two basins (Yi et al., 2022). In addition, 349 it is noteworthy that the raster size of the two basins was divided into $30 \text{ m} \times 30 \text{ m}$. The rationality of 350 the TDUH in these two basins had been validated in our previous research (Yi and Chen, 2022; Yi et 351 al., 2022), and thus was not calibrated in this study.

352 *4.2 Computation of the DTDUH*

353 The TDUH was derived referring to Yi et al. (2022) and the rationality of the TDUH has been 354 validated. The derivations of the proposed DTDUH were similar to those of the TDUH. The main 355 difference lay that the DTDUH was derived for a specific saturated area. To obtain the DTDUHs 356 corresponding to various saturated states of the watershed, the tension water storage in each grid cell 357 within the basin was considered to be negatively correlated with the Topographic Wetness Index (TWI) 358 (Shi et al., 2008; Tong et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2019). We assumed topographic information captures 359 the runoff generation heterogeneity at the catchment scale, and the TWI was used as an index to 360 identify rainfall-runoff similarity (Beven and Kirkby, 1979). Areas with similar TWI values are 361 regarded as possessing equal runoff generation potential. Specifically, the areas with larger TWI values 362 tend to be saturated first and contribute to saturation excess rainfall; but the areas with lower TWI 363 values need more water to reach saturation and generate runoff (Gao et al., 2019).





364	Then, the proposed distributed unit hydrographs (DTDUH) are computed based on the saturated
365	areas, which can be expressed by TWI. When calculating discharge using the DTDUH, we should
366	select various DTDUHs based on the time-varying soil moisture within each time interval.
367	Theoretically, the DTDUH is different at each time interval because θ_i is ranging from 0 to 1.
368	However, practically applying time-varying soil moisture based on DTDUH can be a complex task.
369	To improve the effectiveness of the routing method, the soil moisture contents θ_r in Eq. (9) were
370	discretized to 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 based on the distributions of the TWI. Then, a simplified DTDUH
371	can be obtained in a certain range of soil moisture content. These ranges are presented in Table 3. The
372	distribution of the saturated areas corresponding to different soil proportions is shown in Fig. 6.
373	Similarly, the ratio of excess rainfall intensity and the reference excess rainfall intensity $\frac{I_i}{I_c}$ in Eq. (9)
374	were discretized to 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 to improve the calculation efficiency. More details can be found
375	in Yi et al. (2022).

376 **Table 3.** The soil moisture content α_t of each interval corresponds to the discrete soil moisture θ_s .



377

Figure 6. Saturated areas correspond to various soil moisture proportions, where the green area represents the saturated region and the white area represents the unsaturated region. (a), (b) and (c) are the saturated areas corresponding to 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 soil moisture proportions for the Longhu River





- 381 basin. (d), (e) and (f) are the saturated areas corresponding to 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 soil moisture
- 382 proportions for the Dongshi River basin.
- The computed TDUHs and DTDUHs for the Longhu River basin and the Dongshi River basin 383 384 are demonstrated in Fig. 7 to Fig. 10. For instance, when the excess rainfall intensities range from 0 to 385 5 mm h⁻¹ and the soil moisture contents are between 0 and 0.25, the TDUH used for the surface runoff 386 routing is corresponding to the red line in Fig. 7(a) for the Longhu River basin. Although the time to 387 peak and flow peak discharge can be different for various TDUHs, the areas below the curve are the 388 same as each other. However, the areas below the curve are not necessarily the same for the DTDUH, 389 as the proposed DTDUH is derived from the saturated areas, as shown in Fig. 8. Only when the 390 watershed reaches a global saturated state will the DTDUH be the same as the TDUH.



391

392 **Figure 7.** The TDUHs of the Longhu River basin. (a) $I_s = 0.5$. (b) $I_s = 1.0$. (c) $I_s = 1.5$. (d) $I_s = 2.0$.



393







394 **Figure 8.** The DTDUHs of the Longhu River basin. (a) $I_s = 0.5$. (b) $I_s = 1.0$. (c) $I_s = 1.5$. (d) $I_s = 2.0$.

395

Figure 9. The TDUHs of the Dongshi River basin. (a) $I_s = 0.5$. (b) $I_s = 1.0$. (c) $I_s = 1.5$. (d) $I_s = 2.0$.



397

Figure 10. The DTDUHs of the Dongshi River basin. (a) $I_s = 0.5$. (b) $I_s = 1.0$. (c) $I_s = 1.5$. (d) $I_s = 1.5$.

399 2.0.

It is noteworthy that for the same rainfall intensity, the time to peak of the DTDUHs corresponding to various soil moisture content is almost consistent with slight variations. For instance, the time to peak of DTDUHs presented in Figures 8 and 10 do not vary with the saturation level. This is because we extracted the saturated areas based on the TWI for the DTDUHs, and the derived unit hydrographs correspond only to the saturated areas. To that end, θ_{t} is almost equal to 1 when deriving DTDUHs, and, as a result, the time to peak varies not very significantly with the soil moisture.





406 4.3 Performances of the DTDUH for the Longhu and Dongshi River basins

407	We calibrated the three models (XAJ+LR, XAJ+TDUH, and XAJ+DTDUH), respectively, to
408	compare the fluence of heterogeneity of soil moisture on various runoff routing methods. A total of 16
409	isolated storms with observed runoff responses from 1973 to 2016 were selected to explore the
410	performances of the TDUH and DTDUH for the Longhu River basin. Table 4 lists the ENS, RMSE,
411	error of flood peak (Q_p), and error of time to peak (T_p) values for all the models of the Longhu River
412	basin, among which No. 20150831, 20160430, 20160903, and 201651021 are validation datasets. To
413	demonstrate the model performances of different strategies more visually, Fig. 11 shows line charts of
414	the three runoff routing methods for the four indexes in both calibration and validation periods.

Flood		E _{NS}			RMSE (m	³/s)		$Q_{ m p}$			T _p (h)
events	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH
19730508	0.77	0.83	0.85	13.66	11.67	11.11	-0.28	-0.22	-0.21	3	3	3
19730720	0.84	0.87	0.90	22.09	19.85	17.69	-0.22	-0.05	-0.05	1	0	0
19750526	0.60	0.76	0.86	17.04	13.24	10.13	-0.36	-0.27	0.18	0	-1	0
19760702	0.59	0.68	0.67	21.96	19.45	19.73	-0.53	-0.39	-0.39	1	3	3
19770526	0.38	0.11	0.10	21.83	26.09	26.28	-0.30	-0.19	-0.19	3	2	2
19771003	0.54	0.32	0.37	19.29	23.47	22.60	-0.28	-0.20	-0.19	0	3	2
19790607	0.31	0.34	0.47	23.41	22.91	20.39	-0.28	-0.25	-0.25	2	2	2
19890502	0.46	0.49	0.52	28.24	27.65	26.80	-0.64	-0.66	-0.50	-1	1	0
20030517	0.49	0.54	0.54	31.63	30.30	30.07	-0.35	-0.32	-0.32	0	-1	-1
20120527	0.72	0.73	0.72	21.15	20.69	21.03	-0.25	0.03	0.03	-2	0	0
20130713	0.70	0.82	0.81	37.50	28.74	30.22	-0.33	-0.30	-0.32	1	0	0
20150601	0.52	0.84	0.82	19.08	10.94	11.70	0.50	0.15	0.25	0	-1	-1
20150831	0.82	0.74	0.76	11.88	14.12	13.58	-0.21	-0.02	0.00	-3	1	1
20160430	0.93	0.72	0.77	7.33	13.29	13.12	0.01	0.11	0.08	1	1	1
20160903	0.70	0.76	0.81	16.67	13.20	13.75	-0.36	-0.09	-0.04	-4	0	0
20161021	0.73	0.90	0.94	17.22	8.47	8.50	-0.16	0.03	-0.01	-2	-1	-1

415 **Table 4**. Calibrated and Validated results of the Longhu River basin.







416

417 **Figure 11.** Line chart of the E_{NS} , *RMSE*, Q_p , and T_p for the Longhu River basin.

418 Results show that TDUH and DTDUH have consistent performances in E_{NS} , RMSE, and T_{p} , and 419 results of the LR method are not stable, sometimes better than that of the DTDUH method, sometimes 420 worse (especially for the criteria Q_p). In the calibration periods, DTDUH performed better than that of 421 TDUH, while in the validation periods, TDUH and DTDUH performed almost consistently. It may be 422 due to the initial conditions of flood events being different from each other. For the calibration periods, 423 the average E_{NS} of the LR, TDUH, and DTDUH methods are 0.58, 0.61 and 0.64, respectively, and 424 0.80, 0.78, and 0.82 for the validation periods. Simultaneously, the absolute error of the flood peak are 425 0.36, 0.25, and 0.24 for the calibration periods, respectively, and 0.18, 0.06, and 0.03 for the validation 426 periods. In general, the improvement from the DTDUH is small, and we summarized the possible 427 reasons as follows 1) The surface runoff accounts for $60\% \sim 70\%$ of the total runoff, and it is necessary to consider the influence of the heterogeneity of the subsurface stormflow as well as the subsurface 428





429 runoff; 2) the antecedent soil moisture is high, and, thus, the simulation error caused by the spatial

430	heterogen	eity d	of runof	f genera	tion i	s s	small.
100	neterogen	city (JI I unoi	genera	uoni	0.	man.

- 431 Simultaneously, Table 5 lists the E_{NS} , RMSE, error of flood peak (Q_p), and error of time to peak
- (T_p) values for all the models of the Dongshi River basin, among which No. 20190609, 20190612,
- 433 20200522, and 20200607 are validation dataset. To demonstrate the model performances of different
- 434 strategies more visually, Fig. 12 shows line charts of the three runoff routing methods for the four
- 435 indexes in both calibration and validation periods. Compared with the results of Longhu River, the
- 436 DTDUH, and LR methods showed consistent performances, and were significantly better than the
- 437 TDUH method. It shows that the DTDUH method shows significant improvement in this basin.

Flood		E _{NS}		i	RMSE (m	³/s)		$Q_{ m p}$			T _p (h)
events	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH
20150509	0.37	0.09	0.32	12.61	15.17	13.08	-0.73	-0.83	-0.76	5	5	5
20150721	0.81	0.87	0.80	11.21	9.25	11.60	0.02	-0.16	0.06	-1	-1	-1
20160811	0.61	0.54	0.67	5.17	5.63	4.78	-0.34	-0.17	-0.38	1	1	1
20160819	0.83	0.68	0.79	2.39	3.34	2.71	-0.26	-0.40	-0.26	0	0	0
20161021	0.93	0.81	0.94	6.99	11.80	6.75	-0.11	-0.27	-0.10	2	5	2
20170501	0.89	0.67	0.86	2.69	4.75	3.11	-0.16	-0.27	0.00	0	1	0
20170515	0.64	0.78	0.64	3.95	3.10	3.97	-0.05	-0.14	-0.07	0	4	4
20170613	0.91	0.76	0.91	8.40	13.48	8.28	-0.26	-0.38	-0.22	2	2	2
20170929	0.75	0.53	0.73	3.71	5.12	3.87	-0.20	-0.21	0.17	-3	2	0
20180606	0.55	0.34	0.53	5.53	6.73	5.68	-0.51	-0.55	-0.55	1	1	1
20180702	0.55	0.59	0.48	4.17	3.96	4.48	-0.51	-0.45	-0.58	2	2	2
20190418	0.61	0.55	0.58	7.54	8.00	7.79	-0.63	-0.67	-0.65	2	12	2
20190609	0.55	0.56	0.75	13.27	13.03	9.83	-0.54	-0.53	-0.42	2	2	2
20190612	0.81	0.76	0.83	4.57	5.09	4.36	-0.18	-0.06	-0.02	0	0	0
20200522	0.77	0.56	0.75	5.24	7.32	5.56	-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	2	2	2
20200607	0.57	0.54	0.61	28.85	30.03	27.54	-0.50	-0.54	-0.50	0	-1	2

438 **Table 5**. Calibrated and validated results of the Dongshi River basin.







439

440 **Figure 12.** Line chart of the E_{NS} , *RMSE*, Q_p , and T_p for the Dongshi River basin.

In addition, we compared the calibrated parameter sets for the two basins, as given in Table 6. It can be found that the parameters of the XAJ model are consistent for three runoff routing methods in the two basins, which means that the derived TDUH and DTDUH are rational. For the Dongshi River basin, the parameters calibrated using DTDUH methods are more consistent with the LR method compared with that of the Longhu River basin, and it revealed that the simulated results using the LR and DTDUH methods could be similar as each other. This conclusion is consistent with the simulation results in Fig. 12, where the LR and DTDUH performed similarly.

Table 6. Calibrated parameters of the three runoff routing methods for the Longhu and Dongshi Riverbasins

Donomatana	Longhu			_	Dongshi		
rataineters	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	LR	TDUH	DTDUH	
UM	9.65	7.13	8.29	5.38	8.16	9.13	
LM	86.32	85.97	81.23	85.94	66.54	85.21	
DM	43.96	47.26	49.25	47.14	28.53	45.65	





							_
В	0.13	0.39	0.36	0.40	0.40	0.40	
IM	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.26	0.02	0.20	
KC	0.12	0.80	0.80	1.48	1.50	1.44	
C	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.12	
SM	23.93	33.84	35.98	50.00	50.00	50.00	
EX	1.19	1.24	1.10	1.00	1.00	1.00	
KI	0.63	0.43	0.41	0.17	0.11	0.13	
KG	0.07	0.27	0.29	0.53	0.59	0.57	
CI	0.20	0.51	0.56	0.51	0.52	0.49	
CG	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.99	0.99	0.99	
CS	0.99	-	-	1.00	-	-	
L	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

450 5. Discussion

451 *5.1* Errors due to spatial scale mismatch between runoff generation and runoff routing

452 To investigate the possible errors due to spatial scale mismatch between runoff generation and

453 runoff routing, we assumed several sets of excess rainfall with intensities of 5, 10, 15, and 20 mm h⁻¹,

454 and the saturated proportions of the basin are 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1, respectively. Considering the

455 average rainfall intensities of the two basins, the time durations of the rainfall are assumed to change

456 from 1 to 4 h, and the combinations are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Combinations of the assumed excess rainfall, time duration, and soil moisture of	content.
--	----------

Scenario	Depth of excess rainfall (mm)	Time duration (h)	Soil moisture content
1 (R-T1-S1)	20 (R)	1 (T1)	0.25 (S1)
2 (R-T1-S2)	20 (R)	1 (T1)	0.50 (S2)
3 (R-T1-S3)	20 (R)	1 (T1)	0.75 (S3)
4 (R-T1-S4)	20 (R)	1 (T1)	1.00 (S4)
5 (R-T2-S1)	20 (R)	2 (T2)	0.25 (S1)
6 (R-T2-S2)	20 (R)	2 (T2)	0.50 (S2)
7 (R-T2-S3)	20 (R)	2 (T2)	0.75 (S3)
8 (R-T2-S4)	20 (R)	2 (T2)	1.00 (S4)
9 (R-T3-S1)	20 (R)	3 (T3)	0.25 (S1)
10 (R-T3-S2)	20 (R)	3 (T3)	0.50 (S2)
11 (R-T3-S3)	20 (R)	3 (T3)	0.75 (S3)
12 (R-T3-S4)	20 (R)	3 (T3)	1.00 (S4)





13 (R-T4-S1)	20 (R)	4 (T4)	0.25 (S1)
14 (R-T4-S2)	20 (R)	4 (T4)	0.50 (S2)
15 (R-T4-S3)	20 (R)	4 (T4)	0.75 (S3)
16 (R-T4-S4)	20 (R)	4 (T4)	1.00 (S4)

458 Thus, 16 combinations can be formed based on Table 7. For example, combination R-T1-S1 459 indicates there is a total depth of 20 mm excess rainfall in the global watershed with the time duration 460 being 1 hour (20 mm h⁻¹), and the saturated proportion of the basin is 0.25. Similarly, combination R-461 T3-S2 indicates there is a total depth of 20 mm excess rainfall in the global watershed with the time 462 durations being 4 hours (5 mm h^{-1}), and the saturated proportion of the basin is 0.50. Then, the flow 463 hydrograph due to the assumed excess rainfall can be obtained using the TDUH and the DTDUH, thus 464 comparing the errors of spatial scale mismatch between runoff generation and runoff routing. The flow 465 hydrograph computed using the TDUH and DTDUH corresponding to the two basins is shown in Fig. 466 13 and Fig. 14, respectively.



467

468 Figure 13. Errors in the flow hydrograph due to spatial mismatch between runoff generation and runoff







469 routing for the Longhu River basin

470

Figure 14. Errors in the flow hydrograph due to spatial mismatch between runoff generation and runoff
routing for the Dongshi River basin.

It can be found from Fig. 13 that the soil moisture significantly influences the results of the TDUH, while the results of the DTDUH are almost not changed with the variation of soil moisture for the Longhu River basin. When the saturated soil moisture proportion is low, the results of the two routing methods are significantly different. Simultaneously, when the saturated soil moisture proportion exceeds 0.5, the results of the TDUH and the DTDUH perform almost consistently. The differences between the results of the two methods increase with the duration of excess rainfall. For the Dongshi River basin, it can be seen from Fig. 14 that patterns of the soil moisture and

480 time duration on the performances are consistent with those of the Longhu River basin. Conversely,

481 the soil moisture shows a more pronounced effect on the DTDUH, and the flow peak discharge





- 482 becomes higher with the soil moisture changing from S1 to S4. It can also be found that the differences
- 483 between the results of the TDUH and the DTDUH in the Dongshi River basin are also significant, but
- 484 show more limited for the Dongshi River basin than that of the Longhu River basin.

In summary, the performances of the TDUH and DTDUH are consistent for higher soil moisture and higher rainfall intensity. When the soil moisture is low and the time duration of the excess rainfall is long, we should pay much attention to the errors due to the spatial scale mismatch between runoff generation and runoff routing. Additionally, the differences caused by this mismatch vary significantly in different watersheds.

490 5.2 Advantages and limitations of the proposed DTDUH

491 Based on the analysis of Sections 4.3 and 5.2, we found that the accuracy of DTDUHs varies in 492 different basins, specifically, performances of the DTDUH in the Longhu basin are more similar to 493 that of the TDUHs, while the simulation results in the Dongshi basin are more consistent with that of 494 the LR method. In general, the DTDUHs performed the best over the three runoff routing methods for 495 both test cases. There are many reasons why DTDUH simulation is superior than others, and we 496 summarized the main differences between TDUH and DTDUHs, including their definition and 497 assumptions. The DTDUH was defined as a typical hydrograph of direct runoff which gets generated 498 from one centimeter of effective rainfall falling at a uniform rate over the saturated drainage basin 499 uniformly during a specific duration. This realization was significantly different from the 500 understandings of Sherman (1932), who defined the unit hydrograph of a watershed as a direct runoff 501 hydrograph that results from 10 mm of excess rainfall that is generated uniformly over the drainage area at a constant rate for an effective duration. The proposed DTDUH was computed based on the 502



503



504 TDUHs. Simultaneously, the assumption of the DTDUH remained unchanged as the traditional unit 505 hydrograph, such as a spatially uniform distributed effective precipitation. Some researchers also did 506 similar research. For example, Andrieu et al. (2021) proposed an Event-specific Geomorphological 507 Instantaneous Unit Hydrograph (E-GIUH), and the method relies on the width function-based GIUH 508 (Rigon et al., 2016), as adapted to take into account the spatial variability of rainfall through replacing 509 the width function by the rainfall width function. 510 Although DTDUH showed advantages in both basins, the degree of improvement compared with 511 TDUH was not consistent. Therefore, we summarized the potential limitations of the DTDUH. First, we utilized the DTDUH only for the surface runoff in both basins, proportions of the subsurface storm 512 513 flow and subsurface runoff may cause considerable interference to the simulation results. Runoff

runoff generation areas instead of the whole basin, and this is the main advantage of DTDUH over

514 components of the Longhu and Dongshi River basins are given in Fig. 15.



515

Figure 15. Details of the runoff components of the 16 flood events for the (a) Longhu River basin. (b)
Dongshi River basin.

518 Fig. 15 shows that the average surface runoff ratio in Longhu basin and Dongshi basin is 64.4%

and 80.3%, respectively. This result suggested that the improvement in accuracy caused by DTDUH





- 520 may be more significant in the Dongshi basin. And, this conclusion is consistent with the calibration 521 and verification results in Section 4.3. Second, a hybrid runoff generation process pattern formed by 522 more than one mechanism can often be identified in semi-humid, semi-arid, and mountain watersheds, 523 because of the heterogeneity of underlying surface conditions and meteorological factors (Hu et al., 524 2021; Yi et al., 2023). When there occurs more than the saturation-excess rainfall, the saturated area 525 extraction method based on the TWI will not be applicable as the excess rainfall can also be generated 526 from the unsaturated areas. 527 Fig. 16 shows the antecedent soil moisture conditions for the Longhu and Dongshi River basins. 528 It can be found that the antecedent soil moisture can be low for some flood events, such as No. 529 19790607, 19890502, 20150509, and so on. When the antecedent soil moisture is low and the rainfall
- 530 intensity is high, the drainage basin may produce not only the saturation excess, which results in low





532

533 Figure 16. Details of the antecedent soil moisture of the 16 flood events for the (a) Longhu River basin.

534 (b) Dongshi River basin.





535 6. Conclusions

536	A novel DTDUH method was proposed to explore the influence of spatial heterogeneity of runoff
537	generation on the runoff routing. The XAJ model was used as the hydrological modeling framework.
538	The Longhu River basin and the Dongshi River basin were selected as two case studies. The results of
539	the three surface runoff routing methods including the linear reservoir, TDUH, and DTDUH were
540	compared. The advantages and shortcomings of the proposed method have been discussed. The main
541	conclusions can be summarized as follows:
542	1. A novel DTDUH method designed for surface runoff routing was proposed based on the TDUH
543	method. The traditional TDUH method was derived based on the whole basin, and the proposed
544	method was designed only for the saturated areas. The DTDUH method considered not only the time-
545	varying rainfall intensities and soil moisture, but also the time-varying saturated areas of the watershed
546	which were extracted based on the TWI.
547	2. The rationality of the proposed method was verified by comparing the performances of
548	XAJ+LR, XAJ + TDUH, and XAJ +DTDUH models, which were calibrated separately. Results show
549	that the proposed method exhibited consistent or better performance compared with that of the LR
550	routing method, and performed better than the TDUH method.

3. The influence of spatial heterogeneity of runoff generation on the runoff routing was carried out by comparing the performances of the TDUH and the DTDUH. Results show that the heterogeneity of runoff generation can be significantly different in different basins. Simultaneously, the heterogeneity of various runoff components is supposed to be considered.





555 Data availability

- 556 Due to the strict security requirements from the departments, some or all data, models,
- 557 or code generated or used in the study are proprietary or confidential in nature and may
- only be provided with restrictions (e.g. anonymized data).

559 Author contributions

- 560 Bin Yi conceived the original idea, designed the methodology, developed the code, and
- 561 performed the study. Lu Chen, Bin Yi, Binlin Yang, Zhiyuan Leng, Siming Li, and Tao
- 562 Xie contributed to the interpretation of the results. Bin Yi wrote the paper, and Lu Chen
- 563 revised the paper.

564 **Competing interests**

565 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

566 Acknowledgments

- 567 This study was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China
- 568 (2023YFC3081000, 2021YFC3200400), and the Science and Technology Plan Projects
- 569 of Tibet Autonomous Region (XZ202301YD0044C).

570 **References**

- Ajward, M. H., Muzik, I., 2000. A spatially varied unit hydrograph model. Journal of
 Environmental Hydrology, 8(7).
- Andrieu, H., Moussa, R., Kirstetter, P.-E., 2021. The Event-specific Geomorphological
 Instantaneous Unit Hydrograph (E-GIUH): The basin hydrological response
 characteristic of a flood event. Journal of Hydrology, 603: 127158.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127158.
- 577 Beven, K. J., Kirkby, M. J., 1979. A physically based, variable contributing area model
 578 of basin hydrology / Un modèle à base physique de zone d'appel variable de
 579 l'hydrologie du bassin versant. Hydrological Sciences Bulletin, 24(1): 43-69.
 580 https://doi.org/10.1080/026266667909491834.





581	Boughton, W., 2004. The Australian water balance model. Environmental Modelling &
582	Software, 19(10): 943-956. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2003.10.007</u> .
583	Brunner, M. I., Swain, D. L., Wood, R. R., Willkofer, F., Done, J. M., Gilleland, E.,
584	Ludwig, R., 2021. An extremeness threshold determines the regional response
585	of floods to changes in rainfall extremes. Communications Earth &
586	Environment, 2(1): 173. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00248-x.
587	Bunster, T., Gironás, J., Niemann, J. D., 2019. On the influence of upstream flow
588	contributions on the basin response function for hydrograph prediction. Water
589	Resources Research, 55(6): 4915-4935.
590	https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024510.
591	Cho, Y., Engel, B. A., Merwade, V. M., 2018. A spatially distributed Clark's unit
592	hydrograph based hybrid hydrologic model (Distributed-Clark). Hydrological
593	Sciences Journal, 63(10): 1519-1539.
594	https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2018.1516042
595	Clark, C. O., 1945. Storage and the Unit Hydrograph. Transactions of the American
596	Society of Civil Engineers, 110(1): 1419-1446.
597	https://doi.org/10.1061/TACEAT.0005800.
598	Czyzyk, K., Mirossi, D., Abdoulhak, A., Hassani, S., Niemann, J. D., Gironás, J., 2020.
599	Impacts of Channel Network Type on the Unit Hydrograph. Water, 12(3): 669.
600	https://doi.org/10.3390/w12030669.
601	Du, J., Xie, H., Hu, Y., Xu, Y., Xu, CY., 2009. Development and testing of a new storm
602	runoff routing approach based on time variant spatially distributed travel time
603	method. Journal of Hydrology, 369(1-2): 44-54.
604	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.02.033.
605	Duan, Q., Sorooshian, S., Gupta, V., 1992. Effective and efficient global optimization
606	for conceptual rainfall - runoff models. Water Resources Research, 28(4):
607	1015-1031. https://doi.org/10.1029/91WR02985.
608	Foda, R. F., Awadallah, A. G., Gad, M. A., 2017. A Fast Semi Distributed Rainfall
609	Runoff Model for Engineering Applications in Arid and Semi-Arid Regions.
610	Water Resources Management, 31(15): 4941-4955.
611	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-017-1787-2.
612	Gao, H., Birkel, C., Hrachowitz, M., Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., Savenije, H. H. G., 2019.
613	A simple topography-driven and calibration-free runoff generation module.
614	Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23(2): 787-809. 10.5194/hess-23-787-
615	2019.
616	Gibbs, M., Dandy, G., Maier, H., 2010. Evaluation of parameter setting for two GIS
617	based unit hydrograph models. Journal of Hydrology, 393(3-4): 197-205.
618	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.08.014.
619	Goñi, M., López, J. J., Gimena, F. N., 2019. Geomorphological instantaneous unit
620	
621	hydrograph model with distributed rainfall. CATENA, 172: 40-53.
~	hydrograph model with distributed rainfall. CATENA, 172: 40-53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.08.010.





623	A., 1997. Linearity of basin response as a function of scale in a semiarid
624	watershed. Water Resources Research, 33(12): 2951-2965.
625	https://doi.org/10.1029/97WR01422.
626	Gray, D. M., 1961. Synthetic Unit Hydrographs for Small Watersheds. Journal of the
627	Hydraulics Division, 87(4): 33-54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/JYCEAJ.0000631</u> .
628	Grimaldi, S., Petroselli, A., Alonso, G., Nardi, F., 2010. Flow time estimation with
629	spatially variable hillslope velocity in ungauged basins. Advances in Water
630	Resources, 33(10): 1216-1223.
631	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2010.06.003.
632	Grimaldi, S., Petroselli, A., Nardi, F., 2012. A parsimonious geomorphological unit
633	hydrograph for rainfall-runoff modelling in small ungauged basins.
634	Hydrological Sciences Journal, 57(1): 73-83.
635	https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2011.636045.
636	Gupta, V. K., Waymire, E., Wang, C. T., 1980. A representation of an instantaneous unit
637	hydrograph from geomorphology. Water Resources Research, 16(5): 855-862.
638	https://doi.org/10.1029/WR016i005p00855.
639	Hoang, L., Schneiderman, E. M., Moore, K. E. B., Mukundan, R., Owens, E. M.,
640	Steenhuis, T. S., 2017. Predicting saturation-excess runoff distribution with a
641	lumped hillslope model: SWAT-HS. Hydrological Processes, 31(12): 2226-2243.
642	https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11179.
643	Hu, C. H., Ran, G., Li, G., Yu, Y., Wu, Q., Yan, D. H., Jian, S. Q., 2021. The effects of
644	rainfall characteristics and land use and cover change on runoff in the Yellow
645	River basin, China. Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics, 69(1): 29-40.
646	https://doi.org/10.2478/iohh-2020-0042.
647	Huang, O., Oin, G., Zhang, Y., Tang, O., Liu, C., Xia, J., Chiew, F. H. S., Post, D., 2020.
648	Using Remote Sensing Data-Based Hydrological Model Calibrations for
649	Predicting Runoff in Ungauged or Poorly Gauged Catchments, Water Resources
650	Research, 56(8): e2020WR028205, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028205.
651	Kirkby, M. J., 1976. Tests of the random network model, and its application to basin
652	hydrology Earth Surface Processes 1(3): 197-212
653	https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290010302
654	Lee K T Chen N-C Chung Y-R 2008 Derivation of variable IUH corresponding
655	to time-varying rainfall intensity during storms/Dérivation d'un HIII variable
656	correspondant à l'évolution temporelle de l'intensité pluviométrique durant les
657	averses Hydrological Sciences Journal 53(2): 323-337
658	https://doi.org/10.1623/bysi 53.2.323
659	Li H V. Siyanalan M. 2014 Functional approach to exploring climatic and landscape
660	controls on runoff generation: 2 Timing of runoff storm response. Water
661	Passauros Passauroh 50(12): 0222 0242
662	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
002	https://doi.org/10.1002/201/xxr016209
662	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014wr016308.
663	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014wr016308. Liang, X., Lettenmaier, D. P., Wood, E. F., Burges, S. J., 1994. A simple hydrologically





665	models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 99(D7): 14415-14428.
666	https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD00483.
667	Maidment, D. R., Olivera, F., Calver, A., Eatherall, A., Fraczek, W., 1996. Unit
668	Hydrograph Derived from a Spatially Distributed Velocity Field. Hydrological
669	Processes, 10(6): 831-844. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-</u>
670	<u>1085(199606)10:6</u> <831::AID-HYP374>3.0.CO;2-N.
671	Martinez, V., Garcia, A., Ayuga, F., 2002. Distributed routing techniques developed on
672	GIS for generating synthetic unit hydrographs. Transactions of the ASAE, 45(6):
673	1825. https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.11433.
674	Melesse, A. M., Graham, W. D., 2004. Storm runoff prediction based on a spatially
675	distributed travel time method utilizing remote sensing and GIS. Journal of the
676	American Water Resources Association, 40(4): 863-879.
677	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2004.tb01051.x.
678	Minshall, N. E., 1960. Predicting storm runoff on small experimental watersheds.
679	Journal of the Hydraulics Division, 86(8): 17-38
680	Monajemi, P., Khaleghi, S., Maleki, S., 2021. Derivation of instantaneous unit
681	hydrographs using linear reservoir models. Hydrology Research, 52(2): 339-
682	355. <u>https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2021.171</u> .
683	Moore, R. J., 2007. The PDM rainfall-runoff model. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 11(1):
684	483-499. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-11-483-2007.
685	Noto, L. V., La Loggia, G., 2007. Derivation of a distributed unit hydrograph integrating
686	GIS and remote sensing. Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 12(6): 639-650.
687	https://doi.org/10.1061/(Asce)1084-0699(2007)12:6(639).
688	Paul, P. K., Kumari, N., Panigrahi, N., Mishra, A., Singh, R., 2018. Implementation of
689	cell-to-cell routing scheme in a large scale conceptual hydrological model.
690	Environmental Modelling & Software, 101: 23-33.
691	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.12.003.
692	Rigon, R., Bancheri, M., Formetta, G., De Lavenne, A., 2016. The geomorphological
693	unit hydrograph from a historical - critical perspective. Earth Surface Processes
694	Landforms, 41(1): 27-37. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3855.
695	Rodríguez-Iturbe, I., González-Sanabria, M., Bras, R. L., 1982. A geomorphoclimatic
696	theory of the instantaneous unit hydrograph. Water Resources Research, 18(4):
697	877-886. https://doi.org/10.1029/WR018i004p00877.
698	Rodríguez-Iturbe, I., Valdés, J. B., 1979. The geomorphologic structure of hydrologic
699	response. Water Resources Research, 15(6): 1409-1420.
700	https://doi.org/10.1029/WR015i006p01409.
701	Rodríguez-Iturbe, I., Ijjász-Vásquez, E. J., Bras, R. L., & Tarboton, D. G., 1992. Power
702	law distributions of discharge mass and energy in river basins. Water Resources
703	Research, 28(4), 1089-1093. https://doi.org/10.1029/91WR03033.
704	Sherman, L. K.: Streamflow from rainfall by the unit-graph method, Eng. News-Rec.,
705	108:501-505, 1932.
706	Sarangi, A., Madramootoo, C. A., Enright, P., Prasher, S. O., 2007. Evaluation of three





707	unit hydrograph models to predict the surface runoff from a Canadian watershed.
708	Water Resources Management, 21(7): 1127-1143.
709	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9072-9.
710	Seo, Y., Park, S. Y., Schmidt, A. R., 2016. Implication of the flow resistance formulae
711	on the prediction of flood wave propagation. Hydrological Sciences Journal,
712	61(4): 683-695. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2014.992787.
713	Shi, P., Rui, X. F., Qu, S. M., Chen, X., 2008. Calculating storage capacity with
714	topographic index. Advances in Water Science, 19(2): 264-267
715	Snyder, F. F., 1938. Synthetic unit-graphs. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical
716	Union, 19(1): 447-454. https://doi.org/10.1029/TR019i001p00447.
717	Tong, B., Li, Z., Yao, C., Wang, J., Huang, Y., 2018. Derivation of the Spatial
718	Distribution of Free Water Storage Capacity Based on Topographic Index. Water,
719	10(10): 1407. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10101407.
720	Tromp-Van Meerveld, H. J., Mcdonnell, J. J., 2006. Threshold relations in subsurface
721	stormflow: 1. A 147-storm analysis of the Panola hillslope. Water Resources
722	Research, 42(2): W02411. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004wr003778.
723	Yi, B., Chen, L., 2022. A time-varying distributed unit hydrograph method considering
724	dynamic flow routing path. Advances in Water Science, 33(6): 944-954.
725	https://doi.org/10.14042/j.cnki.32.1309.2022.06.009.
726	Yi, B., Chen, L., Liu, Y., Guo, H., Leng, Z., Gan, X., Xie, T., Mei, Z., 2023.
727	Hydrological modelling with an improved flexible hybrid runoff generation
728	strategy. Journal of Hydrology, 620: 129457.
729	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2023.129457.
730	Yi, B., Chen, L., Zhang, H., Singh, V. P., Jiang, P., Liu, Y., Guo, H., Qiu, H., 2022. A
731	time-varying distributed unit hydrograph method considering soil moisture.
732	Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26(20): 5269-5289.
733	https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-5269-2022.
734	Yuan, F., Zhang, L., Soe, K. M., Ren, L., Zhao, C., Zhu, Y., Jiang, S., Liu, Y., 2019.
735	Applications of TRMM- and GPM-Era Multiple-Satellite Precipitation
736	Products for Flood Simulations at Sub-Daily Scales in a Sparsely Gauged
737	Watershed in Myanmar. Remote Sensing, 11(2): 140.
738	https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11020140.
739	Zhao, R. J., 1992. The Xinanjiang model applied in China. Journal of Hydrology, 135(1):
740	371-381. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(92)90096-E.
741	Zhao, R. J., Zuang, Y. L., Fang, L. R., Liu, X. R., 1980. The Xinanjiang
742	model[C]//Proceedings of the Oxford Symposium: 351-356.
743	https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(92)90096-E.
744	Zhou, Q., Chen, L., Singh, V. P., Zhou, J., Chen, X., Xiong, L., 2019. Rainfall-runoff
745	simulation in karst dominated areas based on a coupled conceptual hydrological
746	model. Journal of Hydrology, 573: 524-533.
747	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.03.099.

40