Influence of Storm Type on Compound Flood HazardDrivers of a Mid-Latitude Coastal-Urban Environment

Ziyu Chen^{1,2*}, Philip M. Orton², James F. Booth³, Thomas Wahl^{4,5}, Arthur DeGaetano⁶, Joel Kaatz⁷,
Radley M. Horton⁸

- 5 ¹Laoshan Laboratory, Qingdao, 266237, China
- 6 ²Department of Civil, Environmental and Ocean Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, 07030, USA
- 7 ³Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, City University of New York, City College and Department of Earth and
- 8 Environmental Sciences, City University of New York, The Graduate Centre, New York, 10016, USA
- 9 ⁴Department of Civil, Environmental and Construction Engineering, University of Central Florida, Orlando, 32816, USA
- 10 ⁵National Center for Integrated Coastal Research, University of Central Florida, Orlando, 32816, USA
- ⁶Northeast Regional Climate Centre Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, 14853, USA
 ⁷Arcadis, New York, 10279, USA
- 13 ⁸Columbia Climate School, Columbia University, 10025, USA
- 14
- 15 Correspondence to: Ziyu Chen (<u>zchen44@stevens.edu</u>)

Abstract. A common feature within coastal cities is small, urbanized watersheds where the time of concentration is short, 16 17 leading to vulnerability to flash flooding during coastal storms that can also cause storm surge. While many recent studies 18 have provided evidence of dependency in these two flood drivers for many coastal areas worldwide, few studies have 19 investigated their co-occurrence locally in detail, nor the storm types that are involved. Here we present a bivariate statistical 20 analysis framework with historical rainfall and storm surge and tropical cyclone (TC) and extratropical cyclone (ETC) track data, using New York City (NYC) as a midlatitude demonstration site where these storm types play different roles. In contrast 21 22 to prior studies that focused on daily or longer durations of rain, we apply hourly data and study simultaneous drivers and lags 23 between them. We quantify characteristics of compound flood drivers including their dependency, magnitude, lag time and 24 joint return periods, separately for TCs, ETCs, non-cyclone associated events, and merged data from all events. We find TCs 25 have markedly different driver characteristics from other storm types and dominate the joint probabilities of the most extreme 26 rain-surge compound events, even though they occur much less frequently. ETCs are the predominant source of more frequent, 27 moderate compound events. The hourly data also reveal subtle but important spatial differences in lag times between the joint 28 flood drivers. For Manhattan and southern shores of NYC during top-ranked TC rain events, rain intensity has a strong negative 29 correlation with lag time to peak surge, promoting pluvial-coastal compound flooding. However, for the Bronx River in 30 northern NYC, fluvial-coastal compounding is favoured due to a 2-6 hour lag from the time of peak rain to peak surge.

31

32 1 Introduction

- 33 Floods are one of the most catastrophic natural hazards, and frequently threaten human life and property worldwide. They are
- 34 normally classified by several types such as coastal, pluvial and fluvial flooding based on their triggering mechanisms, also
- 35 known as flood drivers (Pachauri and Reisinger, 2007). These flood drivers can occur at the same time to cause what is referred
- 36 to as compound flooding (e.g. Field et al., 2012).
- 37 Flood hazard assessments traditionally focus on evaluating the extreme values of coastal and riverine or rainfall drivers
- 38 separately (e.g. Arns et al., 2013; Abdelkader et al., 2023; Perica et al., 2013; Ayyad et al., 2023). However, this may
- 39 underestimate hazard risk by neglecting co-occurrence of two or more extremes in a single event (Zscheischler et al., 2018).
- 40 Beginning after Hurricane Katrina (2005), the joint occurrence of multiple extremes is emphasized and documented in the new
- 41 flood protection construction criteria (Resio et al., 2007).
- 42 In response to this growing understanding of the risk from compound flood hazards, the topic has been studied in several ways,
- 43 including statistical analyses of correlations and joint probabilities of the historical extremes of the compound flood drivers
- 44 (e.g. Wahl et al., 2010) hydrologic and hydrodynamic modelling of historical events (e.g. Orton et al., 2012; Mita et al., 2023)
- 45 or hybrid approaches using synthetic storms (e.g. Gori et al., 2022).
- 46 In statistical analyses of historical compound extreme flood drivers, multivariate statistical models (e.g. Najibi et al., 2023;
- 47 Jane et al., 2020; Wahl et al., 2012) and probabilistic modelling frameworks to select the best model (e.g. Bender et al., 2016;
- 48 Torre et al., 2019) are developed to improve modelling of the dependency structure of the compound correlated extremes.
- 49 Copula theory (Sklar, 1959) is commonly applied as a solution for multivariate probabilistic modelling, since Copulas (e.g.
- 50 Joe, 2014; Roch and Alegre, 2006) have flexible joint distributions to quantify the dependency of correlated events. Bivariate
- 51 or trivariate combinations of flooding factors such as waves, storm surge, water level, river discharge and volume, rainfall
- 52 intensity and duration, groundwater, sea level rise, etc., are selected for the multivariate statistical analysis based on various
- 53 research interests (e.g. Kim et al., 2023; Lai et al., 2021; Moftakhari et al., 2017; Sadegh et al., 2017; Salvadori et al., 2014;
- 54 Ward et al., 2018; Al Azad et al., 2018). Those studies have been done at global (e.g. Ward et al., 2013), national (e.g. Wahl
- et al., 2015) regional (e.g. Gori et al., 2020b) and local scales (e.g. Jane et al., 2020).
- 56 Most of these studies quantify the compound flood events from a single population dataset without distinguishing what
- 57 meteorological systems are causing them. Tropical cyclones (TCs, including post-tropical cyclones) and extratropical cyclones
- 58 (ETCs) both can cause coastal hazard extremes and compound flooding. However, these storm types have different energy
- 59 and moisture sources and cause different hazard intensities in terms of maximum wind speed, storm surge (e.g. Orton et al.,
- 60 2016; Ayyad et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2019) and rainfall rates. ETCs normally have a larger spatial extent and have wind speeds
- 61 far below the maxima exhibited by TCs (e.g. Dolan and Davis, 1992; Landsea and Franklin, 2013) and TCs can have more
- 62 abundant moisture. Each storm type has often been shown to exhibit different univariate extreme value probability distributions
- 63 (Lin et al., 2010; Villarini and Vecchi, 2013; Orton et al., 2016). Each storm type thus may also have distinct compound flood
- 64 hazard characteristics, pointing to the importance of not assessing all storm events together as one population (Orton et al.,
 - 2

65 2016). However, this separation by storm type has only rarely been attempted in past studies of compound flooding (e.g. Kim

66 et al., 2023), especially in mid-latitude areas that are affected by both TCs and ETCs.

- Also, many studies ignore the question of relative timing of the drivers within a storm, using the storm-maximum flood drivers 67
- rather than the simultaneous ones as the compound sampling pairs. It is unclear in these studies whether the flood drivers are 69 compounding or sequentially occurring. Due to the more widespread and longer duration historical archives of daily versus
- 70 hourly rain data, most prior studies have used daily data. This limits our understanding of relative timing of drivers and is not
- 71 an appropriate timescale to understand pluvial flooding processes.
- 72 The urban environment has a much larger proportion of unvegetated impervious surfaces and additional vulnerability due to
- 73 dense population and extensive infrastructure. There is little infiltration of water into soils, and stormwater systems are often
- 74 insufficient to convey heavy rainfall, leading to flooding from the backing up of water (e.g. Villarini et al., 2009). Short
- 75 duration (hourly or sub-hourly) intensified rain values have been found to be the predominant driver of pluvial flooding for
- 76 NYC (Nyc, 2021) and other urban environments (Rosenzweig et al., 2018). Its timing relative to the peak of coastal water
- 77 levels is a critical factor for compound flooding (Gori et al., 2020a; Xu et al., 2023). While pluvial flood research has often
- 78 utilized hourly and sub-hourly data, much of the past research on compound flooding mentioned above has relied upon daily 79 rainfall data.
- 80 In this study, we address the above weaknesses and demonstrate a framework for assessing compound rain-surge hazard for
- 81 different storm types and applying hourly data, using NYC as a demonstration site. We evaluate the compound hazard
- 82 characteristics from separate populations of TC and ETC events, as well as events that are attributed to "Neither" type of storm
- 83 and "All" events combined. Our framework is tailored to the compound flood risk of a typical urban pluvial flood environment
- where the peak flood depth occurs relatively rapidly after the peak rainfall (i.e. the time of concentration is below one hour). 84
- 85 We use higher-resolution spatiotemporal data to study compound flood driver characteristics that have not been sufficiently
- 86 evaluated in prior national and global studies.
- 87 Below, Section 2 introduces the study area and data for this research; Section 3 gives a full picture of methodology from pre-88 processing the data, identifying compound events, storm type association analysis methods for multiple aspects of compound characteristics. Section 4 shows the results under the above-described framework. Section 5 discusses the key results, 89
- 90 limitations, and future work for this research, and Section 6 summarizes our study's conclusions.

91 2 Study Site and data

92 2.1 Study Site

68

NYC is the most densely populated city in the United States with more than eight million residents, 778 km² of area, and 93 94 approximately 70% impervious land coverage. It is vulnerable to pluvial and coastal flooding, and likely compound flooding 95 from both (e.g. Sarhadi et al., 2024; Georgas et al., 2014; Chen and Orton, 2023). It consists of several small, urbanized 96 watersheds (ranging from 4.7-60 km²), where the time of concentration is short. The Bronx River is one exception, a small

- 97 river with an elongated 39 km long, 105 km² watershed and by far the largest inland stream passing through NYC. The city is
- 98 located in a low-elevation region with a lengthy coastline subject to flooding from both the NY/NJ Bight to the south and Long
- 99 Island Sound to the northeast. It has extensive coastal floodplains along its adjacent tidal water bodies (Fig. 1). A recent study
- 100 found that many of the NYC neighbourhoods with the most flood complaints are in these coastal areas (Agonafir et al., 2022);
- 101 compound flooding could be an important contributing factor. Another study used 311 flood report phone call data and found
- 102 relationships between flood reports and other spatial data sets (Smith and Rodriguez, 2017).
- 103 Historically, severe coastal floods (e.g. Hurricane Sandy in 2012, a Nor'easter in December 1992), pluvial floods (e.g.
- Hurricane Ida in 2021) and compound floods (Hurricane Irene in 2012; Orton et al., 2012) struck NYC, and can be associated
- 105 with TCs, ETCs and convective thunderstorms. Four of NYC's top-5 storm surges from 1788-present were TC (or post-tropical
- 106 cyclones), 3 of the top-5 hourly rain events from 1948-present were TC (KNYC: Central Park), and 4 of the top-5 daily rain
- 107 events from 1869-present were TC (KNYC: Central Park).

108 2.2 Historical observations

- 109 In the interest of using a long-term database to study compound flooding, rain and coastal water level data were assembled
- 110 with the longest possible hourly data resolution. Given that there is hourly tide gauge data back to the 1800s (Talke et al.,
- 111 2014), the limitation on data availability came from hourly rain gauge data, which were continuously available for several
- 112 NYC region gauges from 1948 to present.

113 **2.2.1 Tide gauges**

- 114 Hourly water level data are obtained from NOAA tide gauges in UTC time zone and North American Vertical Datum of 1988
- 115 (NAVD88), including gauges (blue points in Fig. 1) at the Battery (8518750), Kings Point (8516945, from 1999 to 2022) and
- 116 Willets Point (8516990, from 1948 to1998). The Battery gauge has near-complete long-term temporal coverage during the
- period of hourly rain data from 1948 to 2022, spanning approximately 75 years. The locations of Kings Point and Willets Point
- are only 3 km apart along the East River and have similar storm surge (O'donnell and O'donnell, 2012). We merge their data
- 119 to represent storm surge conditions for Northern Queens and South Bronx, simply referring to the joint dataset as Kings Point.

120 2.2.2 Rain gauges

- Observed hourly rainfall data at and around NYC (red points in Fig. 1) were obtained from the NOAA National Climatic Data Centre (NCDC). The hourly rainfall data can be used to capture the short duration (hours) rain intensity, which is critical to the pluvial flood impacts for an urban environment like NYC. Also, the hourly temporal resolution can be simultaneously matched with the hourly data around its nearby tide gauge to study simultaneous or lagged occurrences. The rain gauges we selected are all within 20 km of the coast and at elevations below 100 m and have near complete long-term temporal coverage from 1948 to 2022. Each of them is within 30 km of the Battery or Kings Point tide gauge. Overall, these gauges have good spatial coverage around the NYC area.
 - 4

128 2.2.3 TC and ETC tracks

- 129 Datasets of 6-hourly storm location and time (cyclone tracks) are utilized to associate the rainfall/coastal flood drivers with
- 130 specific storm types (see Section 3.4). The TC tracks dataset is from the National Hurricane Centre (HURDAT2; Landsea and
- 131 Franklin, 2013). The ETC tracks are obtained by running an automated cyclone tracking algorithm on the ERA5 reanalysis.
- 132 The tracking algorithm used is the MAP Climatology of Midlatitude Storminess (MCMS) documented in Bauer et al. (2016).
- 133 MCMS identifies closed low pressure locations in the sea level pressure field and then links the low centres through time. The
- 134 algorithm was developed explicitly for tracking ETCs, however, MCMS sometimes identifies TCs. Before using MCMS, the
- 135 TCs tracks are removed by identifying matching tracks in HURDAT2 and the MCMS output.



5



138

Figure 1: Map of the locations of rain gauges (red) and tide gauges (green) around NYC. The low-elevation 100-year coastal floodplain (Fema, 2014) is shaded blue, where pluvial and coastal compound floods are more likely to occur during storms than

- 141 more elevated areas (see Section 3.3). Listed from left to right, the rain gauges are Newark Liberty Airport (EWR), Central Park,
- 142 LaGuardia Airport (LGA), John F. Kennedy Airport (JFK), and Mineola, and the tide gauges are Battery and Kings Point.
- 143 3 Methods
- 144 **3.1 Extreme rainfall**

145 **3.1.1 Rain gauges and metro-scale rain**

- 146 We compute the spatial average rain within 30 km of each tide gauge separately (the Battery and Kings Point in Fig. 1) to
- 147 represent the metro-scale rainfall, while each single rain gauge represents a point measurement of rainfall in each smaller local
 - 6

148 sewershed. Calculating a spatial average over rain gauges within a 30-km radius helps smooth out the localized variability in

149 rainfall, giving a perspective more reflecting an integrated hourly effect of rain on flooding. Each individual rain gauge captures

150 extreme rainfall intensity of local convective rain events and the spatial variability during localized convective and synoptic

151 events (TCs or ETCs). For example, TC Ida 2022 caused extreme rainfall at Central Park and LGA airport, but much less

152 rainfall at JFK airport.

153 **3.1.2 Top-ranked rain intensity of different durations**

154 We temporally accumulate the continuous hourly rainfall data to different durations (from 1 to 48 hours) on both single rain

155 gauges and metro-scale averaged rainfall and isolate the extreme values of the accumulated rainfall. To guarantee event

156 independence we eliminate peaks that occur within 5-day windows. This 5-day window was chosen to account for the typical

157 <u>maximum duration of cyclonic storm events.</u>

158 **3.2 Top-ranked storm surge or non-tidal residual**

159 Storm surge was estimated as the non-tidal residual and excludes seasonal, interannual and secular sea level changes through

160 <u>the following process.</u> We compute and remove the annual mean sea level (AMSL) from the water level data of each tide

- 161 gauge. Then, we perform harmonic analysis (Schureman, 1994) on the resulting data year by year to obtain the tidal signals
- 162 across the time series. Thirty-seven harmonic constituents are considered including the solar annual constituent and solar semi-
- 163 annual constituent. Then, we compute the non-tidal residual (NTR) by removing the AMSL and tide from the total water levels,
- 164 thus removing SLR from the NTR data. The NTR is mainly composed of storm surge (driven by wind and atmospheric
- 165 pressure), but also includes smaller contributions from river runoff and rainfall. It excludes the sea level rise (SLR) and
- 166 interannual and seasonal variabilities. Prior hydrodynamic model experiments for Tropical Storm Irene (2011) showed that
- 167 the effect of local rainfall on harbour water levels was only 2 cm at the time of peak water levels (Orton et al., 2012). Similar
- 168 to rain data processing in Section 3.1.2, we capture the peak 1-hour NTR and ensure event independence with a 5-day window.

169 3.3 Compound events

170 Storm surge and rainfall have several important differences that motivate different treatment in the sampling of compound 171 events. Storm surge can be positive and negative, whereas the minimum rainfall is zero. Surge tends to be relatively stable 172 over several hours' duration, while rainfall can be extreme for one hour, zero for the next (e.g. for a convective storm or as 173 spiral rain bands of hurricane pass a location; Senn and Hiser, 1959). However, surge often peaks with a large positive value 174 as a storm passes, then drops to a negative value within hours due to reversed, offshore winds (e.g. for a passing tropical 175 eyclone; Ayyad et al., 2022). As a result, we use surge maxima, to avoid averaging negative and positive values within a 176 storm's passage. Our statistical analyses are conditioned on the primary flood driver being top-ranked, while the secondary flood driver can be of any value. Thus, we study events conditioned on top-ranked rain (peak 1 to 48 hours accumulations), 177 178 which we refer to as Pluvial-Coastal (P-C) events, and top-ranked NTR (peak 1-hour intensity), which we refer to as Coastal-

- 179 Pluvial (C-P) events. The primary flood drivers are sampled by peaks-over-threshold (POT) approach based on a per-year
- 180 average (PYA) frequency of five events. This two-sided conditional sampling approach with a POT of certain percentile (e.g.
- 181 0.95 or 0.99) is typically used in many studies to identify compound extreme events from two conditioned aspects (Jane et al.,
- 182 2020; Wahl et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2018).
- 183 The relative timing of the compound flood drivers is critical to their compound effects, especially for an urban environment.
- 184 Here, we investigate the characteristics of the simultaneous hourly flood drivers (Section 4.2.2, 4.3, 4.5). For comparison to
- 185 common practice (e.g. Wahl et al., 2015; Lai et al., 2021; Lai et al., 2023), we also evaluate the characteristics of storm-
- 186 duration (non-simultaneous) maximum flood drivers (Section 4.2.1), defined as having the secondary flood driver occur within
- ± 1.5 day of the peak of the primary flood driver. We also study the lag times between these storm-duration maximum flood
- 188 drivers. Considering the joint occurrence of rain and surge, when the peak rain intensity occurs several hours away from the
- 189 peak storm surge, these two may result in more of a sequential pair of flood events with little exacerbation from compounding.
- 190 However, if they co-occur at or near the same hour, the resulting compound flood magnitude may be substantially increased
- 191 (e.g. Gori et al., 2022). For Pluvial-Coastal compound flood events, the compounding typically arises due to the drainage of
- 192 the urban stormwater system being blocked by the simultaneous coastal high water levels (e.g. Gold et al., 2022). For Coastal-
- 193 Pluvial compound flooding, a coastal flood can be aggravated by simultaneous rainfall (e.g. Orton et al., 2012).

194 **3.4 Storm type association**

- 195 Cyclone tracks (Section 2.2.3) are used to associate the top-ranked rainfall and NTR events with specific TC and ETC events
- 196 or to determine if they are a "Neither" case that doesn't match any cyclone track. If the top ranked rain/NTR events occur
- 197 within 500 km of the centre of a TC or within 1000 km of the centre of an ETC, the event is considered as association with TC
- 198 or ETC. Storms in the HURDAT dataset were considered TCs, given that they are nearly always either TC or post-tropical
- 199 cyclones as they pass NYB. TC events include those that may have become post-tropical because these storms often continue
- 200 to have unusually high winds and moisture. We additionally evaluate all the events together ("All", see the diagram in Fig. 2).
- 201 Similar distances are used to judge the storm association in other studies (e.g. Kim et al., 2023; Lai et al., 2021). In the
- 202 supplementary material (SM), we test the sensitivity of additional distances for storm association.



205 **3.5 Dependence analysis of compound flood drivers**

203 204

Kendall's rank correlation coefficient (Kendall, 1938) between flood drivers is computed for both the "storm maximum" and the "simultaneous" cases for each storm type to assess their dependency. In addition, the non-parametric upper tail dependence coefficients (UTDCs) (Schmidt and Stadtmüller, 2006; Wahl et al., 2015) are used to check the dependence of values in the upper tail region as a consistency check with their overall rank correlation. The UTDC represents the probability of a second

210 driver being in the upper tail region, conditional on the primary driver being in the upper tail.

211 **3.6 Lag time of storm-duration maximum flood drivers**

The lag time between the maximum flood drivers reflects another aspect of compound flood risk (e.g. Jane et al., 2020). We identify the timing of the maximum flood drivers of each compound event and define the "lag time" as $T_{peak surge}$ - $T_{peak rain}$, so a positive lag means the rain peak occurs before the NTR peak. Here, our main purpose is to compare the lag time characteristics of compound events associated with different storm types, as well as to contrast the difference between the Battery and Kings Point. We also use the Kendall's rank correlation coefficient to evaluate the dependence between the primary

217 and secondary flood driver and the absolute lag time.

218 **3.7 Magnitude of compound flood drivers**

219 Prior research often focused only on rank correlations and copula modelling of joint probabilities for assessing compounding.

While a high rank correlation reflects tight coupling between drivers, it is not a prerequisite for extreme compound hazard. If the secondary driver is often extreme but does not have a high rank correlation with the primary driver, there can still occasionally be co-occurrence of both drivers' extremes.

223 To capture the high-end intensity of the secondary flood drivers and provide an alternative method for understanding the 224 potential magnitude of compounding that comes from different storm types, we compute the 50th and 90th percentiles 225 (empirical quantiles) of the rain and NTR for the hours around the time of peak rain (for P-C compound event) and hours 226 around the time of peak NTR (for C-P compound event). This analysis compares the "simultaneous" compound hourly 227 intensity at a range of times around the peak (±10 hours), for a consistency check with lag time characteristics analysed in Section 3.6. This approach is similar to looking at fitted marginal distributions but is an empirical approach, without any 228 229 scaling by annual frequency. It also has an added benefit of being more straightforward for risk communication than rank 230 correlations and copula models.

231 3.8 Magnitude of compound flood drivers

232 The joint probabilities and return periods of rainfall and NTR are resolved by using a recently developed copula software for bivariate analysis of compound hazards by Sadegh et al. (2018), known as Multi-hazard Scenario Analysis Toolbox (MhAST). 233 234 This toolbox is utilized to assess 17 marginal distributions (e.g. GPD) with quantile-quantile plots and Chi-Square tests and identify the optimal one based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) (Sadegh et al., 2017). Similarly, the bivariate 235 236 dependency structure is analysed by fitting 25 copula models (e.g. Nelsen, 2003) and these are assessed with multiple 237 goodness-of-fit tests, including Cramer-von Mises test (e.g. Genest et al., 2009), BIC, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), maximum likelihood, Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE), etc. (Sadegh et al., 2017). The "AND" hazard scenario is chosen to 238 239 consider compound drivers from the joint extreme values of both drivers (Salvadori and De Michele, 2004; Ward et al., 2018;

240 Moftakhari et al., 2019; Couasnon et al., 2020).

- For inter-comparing probabilities, we select one copula model that is suitable across all event types (TC, ETC, All and Neither).
- 242 Instead of pursuing the copula that leads to the best goodness of fit metric for each event type, we use the same copula for all
- 243 to avoid differences arising due to differing copula models. For example, we avoid intercomparing probability (or return
- 244 period) results where one event type has been fitted with an extreme value copula and another with a non-extreme value copula.
- 245 The p values from the Cramer-von Mises test are used to eliminate the inadmissible copulas (p < 0.05) (Genest et al., 2009;
- 246 Mazdiyasni et al., 2019; Lucey and Gallien, 2022). BIC is commonly used in previous studies among the metrics for goodness-
- of-fit, because it considers both sample size and the complexity of the model to avoid overfitting (e.g. Bevacqua et al., 2019;
- 248 Tootoonchi et al., 2022). Hence, we use BIC as the primary judgment for suitability checks among all the plausible copula
- 249 models. The Plackett Copula is the most consistently highly ranked copula based on BIC across all event types. The Plackett
- 250 Copula is flexible in modelling various types of dependence structures and can exhibit tail dependence as well (Nelsen, 2006);
- 251 it was found here to be suitable across all cases (Table S1).

252 4 Results

- 253 Results below include the relative frequencies of top-ranked pluvial and coastal events by storm type (Section 4.1), the
- 254 measures of dependence of the rain and NTR (Section 4.2), and the magnitude of the marginals of the rain and NTR (Section
- 4.3). The lag time between the rain and NTR flood drivers are evaluated in Section 4.4. The above first three aspects are
- 256 dominant factors that influence the joint probability analysis results in Section 4.5.







Figure 3: Compound events at the Battery associated with different storm types and primary drivers. The relative annual frequency average annual frequency of each storm type within the top ranked-conditioned on total rainfall events across different durations are represented by dotted lines (P-C compound event) and conditioned on hourly peak NTR events are represented by solid lines (C-P compound event). The latter are always flat because the ranked list of NTR (a peak) is the same regardless of rain duration, while the former vary because each duration has a different ranked list.

264 **4.1 Relative** Frequency by event types

265 Figure 3 gives a picture of the average annual frequency for the top-ranked coastal and pluvial events at the Battery associated with different storm types above a total 5 PYA threshold from all events (i.e. both NTR and rain exceed their respective PYA 266 267 thresholds). At Kings Point, the frequencies have similar patterns. Events associated with TCs contribute a small proportion 268 of all top ranked P-C compound events (0.20-0.40 PYA) and C-P compound event (0.35 PYA) events. Events associated with 269 ETCs are the major proportion of the top ranked C-P compound events, while neither drive the majority for the top ranked P-270 C compound events. For the P-C compound events conditioned on short durations (1-6 hours) rain, a higher proportion is 271 associated with Neither (e.g. summer convective-rain storms) than for those conditioned on longer durations. These convective 272 events normally do not last long and typically have a short duration of intense rain.

273 4.2 Dependence

274 4.2.1 Top-ranked rain intensity of different durations

275 Using the common method of assessing correlations of storm-duration maximum flood drivers, we find for TCs a large difference between correlations of P-C and C-P events. TC-induced P-C and C-P compound events at NYC have quite different 276 277 rain-NTR correlations of 0.3-0.5 and 0.0-0.1, respectively (Fig. S1). On the other hand, the correlations for All, Neither and ETC are ~0.1 for both P-C compound events and C-P compound events. Their correlation is not sensitive to the durations of 278 279 maximum rain accumulations, except for the short durations (1-5 hours) which have ~0.0 correlation for P-C compound 280 events. Among the short duration high rain events, a higher proportion of them are in the Neither category that presumably 281 induced by convective events (Fig. 3). These events have a lower chance of co-occurring with a coastal flood. The P-C 282 correlations are relatively sensitive to the short rain durations (1-5 hours) associated with both TCs and ETCs (Fig. S1a). Short 283 extreme rain events tend to have high intermittency, leading to high variation in the correlation from one duration to the next. 284 The storm-duration maximum compound events could have maximum flood drivers sequentially or simultaneously, depending 285 on the lag time (explored in Section 4.4) of the compound drivers. So, their compound effects on a pluvial environment like 286 NYC has a large uncertainty. In the next Section 4.2.2, we investigate the dependence of simultaneous compound flood drivers.

287 4.2.2 Simultaneous hourly flood drivers

288 Figure 4 shows the P-C simultaneous compound flood drivers associated with TCs have much higher overall correlation than

the other storm types. This pattern is consistent across space in terms of overall dependency (Fig. 4) and upper tail dependence (Fig. S2). This implies a higher joint risk for TCs compared with other storms in terms of dependence.

291 However, for TCs the C-P simultaneous correlation is near zero at both the Battery and Kings Point. Even if we only look at

the upper tail region, the C-P compound events are still less correlated than the P-C compound events driven by TC (comparing

293 panel a and b in Fig. S2). The upper tail dependence coefficients associated with TC are close or slightly higher than other

storm types for the majority of locations (e.g. EWR, LGA or the spatial average in Fig. S2).

295 For certain stations (e.g. JFK, LGA in Fig. 4), the C-P simultaneous compound hourly flood drivers associated with ETC or

296 All have moderate dependency, but they are not evident in the upper tail region (Fig. S2). At LGA and EWR, their upper tail

297 correlations are less than that associated with TC. The rain-NTR dependency could be significantly different depending on

storm type as well as the choice of primary flood driver. Location and rainfall accumulation duration also cause minor changes

in correlation. The phenomena are not explored in detail in prior studies in this area. More comparisons are discussed inSections 5.2.







307 4.3 Magnitudes of flood drivers

- 308 The hourly magnitudes of the primary flood drivers are similar for different storm types, but the magnitude of secondary flood
- 309 drivers associated with TCs stands out compared with other storm types. In Fig. 5, for the P-C compound event, the empirical
- 310 percentiles (50th and 90th) of top ranked rainfall are slightly higher for TCs than the other storm types, while the empirical
- 311 percentiles (50th and 90th) of the associated NTR are much higher for TCs than the other types (panels a6 vs a5, a7, a8).
- 312 Similarly, for the C-P compound event, the empirical 90th percentiles of top ranked NTR are much higher for TCs than the
- 313 other types, but the 50th percentiles are similar for all cyclone types. The empirical percentiles (50th and 90th) of the associated
- 314 compound rainfall are much higher for TCs than the other types (panels b6 vs b7&8). This higher magnitude of the secondary
- 315 flood driver associated with TCs is not only seen during the simultaneous peak rain or peak NTR hour (at "0" hours in Fig. 5),
- 316 but also during the few hours around it.
- 317 The Battery and Kings Point have similar magnitudes of coupled flood drivers for different storm types. The pattern for the
- 318 magnitude of secondary flood drivers described above is also true for Kings Point (Fig. S3). However, the magnitude of the
- 319 secondary flood drivers for the Battery (panels a5-a8 and b5-b8 in Fig. 5) is relative symmetrically distributed temporally
- 320 around the peak hourly rain (P-C compound event) or the peak hourly NTR (C-P compound event), while the temporal
- 321 distribution at Kings Point is asymmetrical (panels a5-a8 and b5-b8 in Fig. S3). Regardless of storm type, the hourly peak
- 322 magnitudes of the coupled flood drivers at the Battery are almost simultaneous, while they lag by a few hours at Kings Point.
- 323 Even though there is still a simultaneous compound effect at the Kings Point, the magnitude of the simultaneous secondary
- 324 flood drivers tends to be lower than that of the Battery.





326

Figure 5: The magnitude of the P-C compound flood drivers (top; a1-a8) and the C-P compound flood drivers (bottom; b1-b8) by different storm types for the Battery. The top row (a1-a4) and third row (b1-b4) show the primary flood driver magnitudes (50th and 90th percentile), and the second row (a5-a8) and bottom row (b5-b8) show the secondary flood driver magnitudes. X-axis ranges from -10 to 10 h indicating time relative to the peak hour of rain (a1-a8) or NTR (b1-b8).

331 4.4 Lag time of flood drivers

- 332 Figure 6 shows a large proportion of the historical compound events have their maximum coastal NTR after their maximum
- 333 hourly rainfall, no matter what storm type, primary flood driver or location (Battery or Kings Point). This could arise if rainfall
- 334 precedes a storm or if the propagation of storm surge into the harbour from offshore is slower than the storm speed (e.g. Orton
- 335 et al., 2012).



336

Figure 6: Histograms of lag time for the P-C compound events (top) and the C-P compound events (bottom). The left, middle and right panels are associated with TC (1), ETC (2), and Neither (3). The red and black colours represent histograms for the Battery and Kings Point separately. The numbers in each plot are the median of the absolute lag time for Battery and Kings Point. Positive lag time values indicate peak storm surge occurs after peak of rainfall. Here we only show the lag time within ±15 hours.

The statistical characteristics of lag time are different across the three storm types (Fig. 6). During TCs, the secondary maximum flood drivers tend to be either simultaneous or occur with a smaller lag time than for other storm types, which can be seen by comparing histograms a1 and b1 with histograms a2&3 and b2&3 in Fig. 6. The median lag time for TCs tends to be the smallest and is shown as the parenthetic numbers at top left of each panel. Also, there is a significant negative correlation

- 345 of the extreme rainfall and the absolute value of lag time during TCs for P-C compound event (Fig. 7). This indicates that the
- 346 most intense rainfall events tend to have the shortest absolute lag times to the peak, which raises the risk of amplifying the
- 347 compound flood effects during TCs. These negative correlations are significant at many stations around the Battery (Fig. 7)
- 348 and Kings Point.
- 349 For the Neither type (panels a3 & b3 in Fig. 6), the lag time for P-C compound event (panel a3 in Fig. 6) is more spread out
- 350 than C-P compound event (panel b3 in Fig. 6). This may be because C-P compound event has storm surge and thus is often
- 351 associated with a synoptic storm. P-C compound event in the Neither category is more likely not to be associated with an
- 352 organized storm that produces surge, so there is less reason for timing to be coupled.
- 353 The histograms and median absolute lag time also show that the lag time around the Battery tends to be shorter than that around
- 354 Kings Point. This is consistent with the magnitude results in Section 4.3. This phenomenon will be further discussed in Section
- 355 5.3.





Figure 7. The Kendall rank correlation coefficients between primary flood drivers and absolute lag time of the secondary driver for
(a) the P-C compound event (b) C-P compound event associated with different storm types for each single gauge and the spatial
average around the Battery. The filled bars represent statistically significant cases (*p*<0.05).

361 4.5 Joint return period analysis

362 In Fig. 8, we contrast the resulting JRP curves associated with each storm type with that evaluated from All. For P-C events,

363 the analysis uses simultaneous NTR. For C-P events, the analysis uses rainfall within a ± 1 hour window from the time of peak

364 NTR. This is done to conservatively assess the joint occurrence, because rainfall during severe storms can sharply change from

365 one hour to the next. Extreme surge typically lasts for several hours at minimum (Booth et al., 2016), whereas extreme rain

366 can last for only an hour or less and then drop to zero or can abruptly alternate between extreme and zero when there is banding

367 of rainfall. This is demonstrated in Fig. 8, comparing the sharpness of peaks in panels a6 and b6. For P-C events (a6) the

368 simultaneous hourly NTR barely drops for lags of ±1 hour. For C-P events (b6), the 90th percentile simultaneous rain on

average drops to ~40% in the hour after peak NTR. For the 50th percentile, the average drop is ~50%. The need for the ± 1 hour window is also illustrated by the fact that the peak for the 50th percentile rain (panel b6) is at -1 hour.







- 373 Figure 8: Observations (black points) and joint return period curves (5-year to 200-year) for the P-C compound event (left; a1-a4)
- 374 and the C-P compound event (right; b1-b4) by different storm types. All the compound flood drivers are hourly and simultaneous,
- 375 except maximum rainfall within a ±1-hour window was used for C-P events (see section 4.5).
- 376

377 The JRP results show that TCs play a dominant role in driving the most extreme (50-year return period and above) compound 378 events, while ETCs contribute mainly to the more frequent compound events (10-year events and below). Specifically in Fig. 379 8, for the joint extreme values of the 50-year (or above) return periods, higher values occur for TC than for All, but for the 380 joint extreme values of the 10-year (or less) return periods, the joint values of TCs are much smaller than that of All. 381 Conversely, the ETC joint extreme values of the 10-year (or less) return period are similar to All, but much smaller than TC 382 for the 50-year (or above). The values for Neither are lower than for the other storm types, and thus play a very limited role. 383 The characteristics of the JRP curves relate to both the rank correlations and marginal intensities presented in Sections 4.2 and 384 4.3. The P-C joint return period (JRP) curves associated with TCs, for example, are more convex than those associated with 385 other storm types, as they have a higher dependency. For the C-P compound event, the JRP curves associated with TCs are less convex than the P-C compound event, due to low correlations. However, they still cause stronger extreme compound 386 387 events than other storm types. While TCs are far less frequent than other storm types (Section 4.1), they are the primary source 388 of extreme compound rain-surge (e.g. 50-year to 200-year events).

389 **5 Discussion**

- While this study focuses on flood drivers over one city and its relatively small watershed, our research has important 390 391 implications for the broader field of urban compound flood research. First, through our separation of storm types, our study is 392 the first to demonstrate the importance of both TCs and ETCs in assessing compound flooding. Our results illustrate that events 393 driven by TCs, ETCs and Neither can have significant differences in their compound flood hazard characteristics. Separating 394 the data from different storm type results in different estimates of their dependency, magnitudes of marginals, lag time and 395 occurrence frequency. TCs have markedly different driver characteristics from other storm types and dominate the joint 396 probabilities of the most extreme rain-surge compound events, even though they occur much less frequently. ETCs are the 397 predominant source of more frequent, moderate compound events. Critically, the 50-year to 200-year return periods for 398 compound events are higher when only assessing TC versus assessing All events together. This is mainly due to the larger 399 magnitude of the secondary flood driver (Section 4.3) and in the case of P-C events also a higher dependency (Section 4.2). This research demonstrates that the danger of compound hazards from TCs can be underestimated if aggregated with data with 400 401 other storm types, which is common practice. This is discussed in more detail below in Section 5.1.
- 402 Second, by using hourly data and looking at simultaneous occurrence and hourly lags, our analyses demonstrate the importance
- 403 of subtle lags that can be important to compound pluvial-coastal flooding for both small urban watersheds and small rivers
- 404 (e.g. Bronx River). Prior research on pluvial-coastal compounding typically uses the daily rainfall data and ±1 day window to
 - 24

405 capture the compound flood drivers (e.g. Lai et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2023) and evaluate the joint return periods using All 406 events (e.g. Ghanbari et al., 2023; Wahl et al., 2015). However, small lags of 0-2 hours between maximum rainfall and coastal 407 drivers were found to be a critical factor on the magnitude of urban compound flood impacts (e.g. Gori et al., 2020a). The analysis of hourly data verifies that rain and NTR occur simultaneously and also opens up many new windows into potential 408 409 compounding that would be missed if using daily rainfall data and the storm-maximum approach. Results show the compound 410 hazard statistics using the hourly simultaneous approach can reveal smaller hazard extremes from those resulting from the 411 more commonly applied "storm-duration maximum" approach (Fig. S4), especially for the location (Kings Point) with more 412 prominent lag times (Fig. 5 vs Fig. S3, or Fig. 6). Storm-duration co-occurrences of extreme flood drivers can be a day or more 413 apart, so can have sequential or compounding impacts, whereas the hourly simultaneous approach guarantees that the drivers 414 coincide. The "hourly simultaneous" analysis results can also be different for nearby tide stations due to the direction and 415 pathway of storm surge propagation. This phenomenon is discussed in Section 5.3. Limitations and simplifications of the 416 research are discussed in Section 5.4.

417 5.1 Storm Separation

TCs, ETCs and Neither can be responsible for similar individual events, but their clouds of data points and copula-modelled JRP curves for rain and NTR are often distinct (Fig. 8). TCs and ETCs both can trigger extreme coastal flooding and extreme rainfall, whereas the Neither (often summer convective thunderstorms) mostly only cause extreme rainfall.

421 The decision to separate rare TCs from other more frequent storm event types in extreme value analyses is a difficult one, as 422 it results in more uncertainty in probability distributions. This can be a challenge for policy-oriented metrics such as the 100-423 year flood zone, especially if it leads to widely varying estimates across different assessment methods or for consecutive 424 studies from one organization (e.g. FEMA; Orton et al., 2016). Nevertheless, for environments like NYC where TCs are 425 infrequent but responsible for a majority of historical rain and storm surge extreme events, merging data in an analysis of All 426 storm event data can often lead to low biases in probabilistic assessments. If TCs are separated, the results in Figure 8 show 427 that additional separation of ETCs from Neither may also be beneficial for proper quantification of 10-year return period joint 428 rain-surge events.

Recent studies (e.g. Gori et al., 2022) have begun using synthetic TC storms to evaluate the joint probability of compound rain and coastal flood hazards, which can be particularly useful for assessing future climate change. The observation-based approach we have used, and this new model-based approach can be complementary. While the observation-based approach is grounded in real-world data, the model-based approach can improve sample sizes for extreme events and enable extending the science to climate projections.

434 5.2 Different correlations for C-P and P-C associated with TCs

435 NYC C-P compound hazard induced by TCs has a much lower correlation than P-C compound hazard, in contrast to prior 436 research for Florida and Texas. Typical TCs have their heaviest rain and strongest onshore winds (and thus, surge) in separate

437 quadrants (Yang et al., 2021), and as a result rain and surge are not typically highly correlated. Typical correlations for Florida 438 and Texas are 0.2-0.4 for both P-C and C-P events (Jane et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2023). For top-ranked NYC NTR (C-P) events, 439 the correlations are near zero. One reason this could be the case is because the TCs at this high latitude are typically undergoing 440 extratropical transition causing the rain to get even more separated, moving radially outward further from the storm centre 441 (e.g. Evans et al., 2017). For example, during Hurricane Sandy, the storm size became larger as the storm transitioned and the 442 distance between rain and surge became large. Storm surge was concentrated near the right side of the storm track in the region 443 of highest onshore winds (New York Bight), and precipitation was radially outward and to the west of the storm (e.g. Virginia, 444 West Virginia; Blake et al., 2013). Regardless of the lower or even negative correlations between NTR and rain, there are still 445 stronger secondary driver magnitudes and higher joint return period curves (50- to 200-year return periods) during TCs than 446 All events.

447 5.3 Storm track and surge path dependence of compounding

448 The results by storm type reveal characteristics of compound flood drivers could be dramatically different depending on the 449 storm type association. Similarly, for one specific storm type, compound hazards risk may mainly come from events with 450 certain cyclone tracks. For example, NYC has exposure to three main hurricane paths pertinent to surge: (1) New Jersey 451 landfalls, which maximize storm surge "to the right of the storm" at NYC but often co-occurs with low rainfall, as the rain 452 tends to occur toward the west (e.g. Sandy), (2) direct hits from the south bringing large surges and heavy rains, and (3) tracks 453 crossing Long Island to the east, where surges travel westward across Long Island Sound and there is also potential for heavy 454 coincident rainfall to the "left" of the track. An initial hypothesis of this research was that Kings Point, due to events with track 455 type (3), would have higher compound rain-NTR hazard than the Battery. However, we find mixed evidence that is not strongly 456 supportive of this hypothesis. First, rank correlations for both stations were very similar. For P-C compounding, Kings Point 457 has higher 90th and 50th percentiles of NTR than Battery (Fig. 5, Fig. S3), supporting the hypothesis. However, for C-P 458 compounding, Battery has higher 90th percentile rainfall than Kings Point.

459 A complexity not explored here is that different storm types have different track paths. ETCs have tracks from both seaward 460 (the south) and landward (Booth et al., 2016), while TCs do not come over land or more precisely weaken and convert to post-461 tropical status (e.g. Ida) or dissipate when they do. Compound flood characteristics of these different storm types could stratify 462 depending on the tracks. More research on the storm track dependency of compound flood hazards would be useful.

The surge path is also an important factor that could affect the timing of compound flood drivers, which could change the compound effects and risk locally during storms. For example, for an urban pluvial environment like NYC. The Battery and Kings Point have qualitatively similar storm-duration maximum rain-NTR compound hazard characteristics. However, we found the peak NTR at Kings Point tends to have longer hours of lag time from its peak rain during TCs and other storm events, due to surge propagation along Long Island Sound. This could reduce the risk of pluvial-coastal compound flood hazards but raises the risk of fluvial-coastal compound (e.g. storms in Table 1 in Chen et al., 2020). Examining river stage data

- 469 (USGS station #01302020) for post-TC Ida, we see a 2-hour lag time between the onset of heavy rainfall and the exceedance
 - 26

470 of the Major flood stage, with river stage remaining high for 18+ hours afterward. Given the typical lag time to peak surge for

471 TCs of 2-6 hours for Kings Point (red histogram of panels a1 and b1 in Fig. 6), this could lead to an elevated risk of compound

472 fluvial-coastal flooding.

473

474 **5.4 Limitations and simplifications**

475 Some limitations or challenges of our study are noted herein. First, a few C-P compound events associated with Neither have 476 zero rainfall, which causes anomalies (Kojadinovic and Yan, 2010) when modelling its marginal distribution and dependency 477 with NTR. We found that there are only slight changes in the correlation coefficient when omitting these few events. 478 Nevertheless, neglecting them could cause a small negative effect on the joint probability results (Panel b4 in Fig. 8). The joint 479 probability curves for Neither are not the key result for this research, so we did not apply a more sophisticated approach (e.g. 480 randomization techniques in De Michele et al., 2013) to improve this issue. Secondly, tide is a relatively uncorrelated 481 component in the total water level. Our main research interest is to investigate the statistical characteristics of the joint rainfall 482 and storm surge. We choose to use the NTR, instead of water level, as the values for coastal hazards to avoid the interference 483 of the randomness of tide (Bevacqua et al., 2019; Jane et al., 2022; Paprotny et al., 2018; Wahl et al., 2015). Future analysis 484 could include tide as another driver of coastal hazards assuming tide as an independent component that could be near linearly 485 superimposed with the NTR at this location (e.g. Jordi et al., 2018). Especially for those areas (e.g. coast of Jamaica Bay) 486 already suffering from nuisance flooding (Orton et al., 2015) due to low elevation, there could be potential compound nuisance 487 floods dominated by rainfall and high tide (e.g. Sept. 29, 2023 flood around Flushing bay with moderate rainfall, high tide and 488 no storm surge).

489 Different locations of rain gauges may introduce timing lags and lead to uncertainties in defining "simultaneous" extremes.

490 However, the timing differences of NTR across New York Harbor, e.g. in Jamaica Bay, off Manhattan, or in Newark Bay, are

491 at most 30 minutes based on the shallow water wave travel time (similar to tide) from offshore to reach these locations which

492 have pathways with distances of at most 20 km. For single-gauge analysis of rainfall-surge timing, these location differences

493 may help explain different rank correlations. but for the joint probability analysis and lag time histograms we are using a spatial

494 average rainfall, which captures regions surrounding the tide gauges well and should introduce very little timing difference.

Lastly, this study does not evaluate historical or future climate change but focuses instead on establishing a baseline assessment of rain-surge compound hazard. We use past data to look at the present compound flood risk, requiring an assumption that the past processes and probabilities reflect those of the present. We remove sea level rise from the storm surge data in order to eliminate the most well-established climate change effect. While the broader Northeastern U.S. region has seen an increase in rainfall coming in extreme events (Huang et al., 2021), no observational study has revealed increases in extreme rainfall for New York City. One study has shown increasing storm surges and storm tides from 1844-2013, but those increases occurred in the century leading up to the 1950s (Talke et al., 2014). Extremes of both rainfall and storm surges were not found to have

502 significant increases during the historic period that we evaluate in our research (1948-present; Wahl et al., 2015), though for

503 some other regions trends have been discovered (e.g. Calafat et al., 2022). So, it is reasonable for this first baseline assessment

504 that we assume that rainfall and storm surge are statistically stationary.

505 6 Conclusions

506 Flood risk studies, insurance products and flood maps typically assume rain and storm surge are independent processes. 507 However, for NYC our research shows non-zero correlations between these flood drivers and that there is a higher probability of one variable being extreme when the other is extreme. Based on 75 years of historical observations, compound rain and 508 509 NTR overall have a low, but non-zero rank correlation (~0.10-0.15). However, the dependency of compound rain and NTR 510 associated with TCs alone can be high. In addition, the magnitudes of secondary flood drivers during TCs are much higher 511 compared with other event types. The lag time between the compound flood drivers also differs by storm type, with TCs 512 tending to have the lowest absolute lag time compared with ETCs or Neither (convective storms and other types of events). 513 TCs also tend to have more simultaneous occurrence with NTR as the rain intensity rises. In totale, this evidence suggests that 514 TC events need separate assessment, to avoid underestimating compound flood risk. 515 The Battery and Kings Point coastal areas of NYC have qualitatively similar compound rain-surge hazard correlations. 516 However, we found the peak NTR at Kings Point tends to lag hours behind the peak rain for all storm types, likely due to

517 propagation of the storm surge along Long Island Sound. The timing between the compound flood drivers is a critical factor 518 that affects their compound effects, especially in terms of an urban pluvial environment like NYC. This lag could reduce the 519 risk of pluvial-coastal compound flood hazards but may raise the risk of fluvial-coastal compound floods.

The historical data analysis shows that the combination of extreme rain and extreme surge (e.g. Hurricane Irene 2011 -50yr; Hurricane Gloria, 1985 -200yr) generally has a low annual probability in NYC. However, these statistical results are only based on the limited number of TC events (roughly 0.3 events per year) that hit NYC. NYC's extreme events often cause only one extreme flood driver. For example, Hurricane Sandy (2012) triggered an extreme storm surge in NYC with only moderate rain, and Hurricane Ida (2021) triggered extreme rainfall but went through the ETC transition with less wind and only a small storm surge to the right of the storm track. However, our joint probabilistic analysis demonstrates that TCs have the potential to trigger both extremes at the same time, potentially causing a major flood disaster due to the non-linear increase in impacts

527 with flood magnitude. While TCs are far less frequent than other storm types, they are the primary source of compound rain-

528 surge extremes (e.g. 50-year and 100-year events).

529 Statistical and probabilistic assessments of rain and storm surge such as this demonstrate that flood drivers can co-occur during 530 extreme storm events. Furthermore, statistical analysis choices between hourly and daily data, and rules for storm duration 531 maxima, may be debated. Co-occurrence does not guarantee additive behaviour where flooding is actually compounded. 532 Therefore, an important next step will be to simulate these extreme event scenarios in flood models such as those described 533 above. Given the availability of one or more such flood models (e.g. Ghanbari et al., 2023), it is recommended that an

assessment of the on-the-ground impacts of these compound events is initiated.

535 Code availability

- 536 The codes for the data are primarily available at Chen and Orton (2024). One exception is the MATLAB copula toolbox which
- 537 is available from the URL https://amir.eng.uci.edu/MhAST.php (Sadegh et al., 2018).

538 Data availability

- 539 The hourly rainfall data is from NOAA National Climatic Data Centre (NCDC) https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datasets
- 540 and the Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS) https://mesonet.agron.iastate.edu/ASOS/. The water level data is
- 541 available at https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/stations.html?type=Water+Levels. The TC track data is obtained from the
- 542 National Hurricane Centre (NHC) https://www.nhc.noaa.gov/data/.

543 Author contributions

544 ZC PO JB and TW conceived the research. PO acquired the funding and administrated the project. ZC performed the data 545 analysis. TW contributed to the Copula method. ZC and PO prepared the manuscript draft. All authors revise the manuscript.

546 Competing interests

547 The contact author has declared that neither they nor their co-authors have any competing interests.

548 Disclaimer

- 549 Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps,
- 550 institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper.

551 Acknowledgments

- The authors would like to thank constructive instructions from Moji Sadegh (Boise State University) on the MhAST. We also thank James Booth and Max Sehaumpai (City University of New York) for sharing their reanalysis ETC track data. We acknowledges the copyright ownership of Esri (the company behind ArcGIS) for the software used to create the map in Fig.
- 555 1.

556 Financial support

- 557 This work is supported through a consortium contract, "Climate Vulnerability, Impact, and Adaptation Analysis" with the
- 558 NYC Department of Citywide Administrative Services and the Mayor's Office of Climate and Environmental Justice and the
 - 29

- 559 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Regional Integrated Sciences and Assessments/Climate Adaptation
- 560 Partnerships (RISA/CAP) award NA21OAR4310313. T.W. acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation (grant
- numbers 1929382 and 2103754) and the USACE Climate Preparedness and Resilience Community of Practice and Programs.
- 562 R.M.H acknowledges support from the NSF/COPE MACH project. This is MACH contribution number 48-P. A.T.D.
- acknowledges support from NOAA contract NE-EN6100-23-00822.

564 References

- 565 Abdelkader, M., Temimi, M., and Ouarda, T. B. M. J.: Assessing the National Water Model's Streamflow Estimates
- 566 Using a Multi-Decade Retrospective Dataset across the Contiguous United States, Water, 15, 2319, 2023.
- Agonafir, C., Pabon, A. R., Lakhankar, T., Khanbilvardi, R., and Devineni, N.: Understanding New York City street flooding
 through 311 complaints, Journal of Hydrology, 605, 127300, 2022.
- 569 Al Azad, A. A., Mita, K. S., Zaman, M. W., Akter, M., Asik, T. Z., Haque, A., Hussain, M. A., and Rahman, M. M.: Impact
- 570 of tidal phase on inundation and thrust force due to storm surge, Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 6, 110, 2018.
- Arns, A., Wahl, T., Haigh, I., Jensen, J., and Pattiaratchi, C.: Estimating extreme water level probabilities: a comparison of the direct methods and recommendations for best practise, Coast. Eng., 81, 51-66, 2013.
- 573 Ayyad, M., Hajj, M. R., and Marsooli, R.: Climate change impact on hurricane storm surge hazards in New York/New Jersey
- 574 Coastlines using machine-learning, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 6, 88, 2023.
- 575 Ayyad, M., Orton, P. M., El Safty, H., Chen, Z., and Hajj, M. R.: Ensemble forecast for storm tide and resurgence from
- 576 Tropical Cyclone Isaias, Weather and Climate Extremes, 38, 100504, 2022.
- Bauer, M., Tselioudis, G., and Rossow, W. B.: A New Climatology for Investigating Storm Influences in and on the
 Extratropics, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 55, 1287-1303, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-15-0245.1</u>,
 2016.
- Bender, J., Wahl, T., Müller, A., and Jensen, J.: A multivariate design framework for river confluences, Hydrological Sciences
 Journal, 61, 471-482, 2016.
- 582 Bevacqua, E., Maraun, D., Vousdoukas, M., Voukouvalas, E., Vrac, M., Mentaschi, L., and Widmann, M.: Higher probability
- of compound flooding from precipitation and storm surge in Europe under anthropogenic climate change, Science advances,
 5, eaaw5531, 2019.
- 585 Blake, E. S., Kimberlain, T. B., Berg, R. J., Cangialosi, J. P., and Beven, J. L.: Tropical Cyclone Report: Hurricane Sandy 586 (AL182012), National Hurricane Cent., Miami, Fla, 2013.
- 587 Booth, J. F., Rieder, H. E., and Kushnir, Y.: Comparing hurricane and extratropical storm surge for the Mid-Atlantic and
- 588 Northeast Coast of the United States for 1979–2013, Environmental Research Letters, 11, 094004, 10.1088/1748-589 9326/11/9/094004, 2016.
- 590 Calafat, F. M., Wahl, T., Tadesse, M. G., and Sparrow, S. N.: Trends in Europe storm surge extremes match the rate of sea-591 level rise, Nature, 603, 841-845, 10.1038/s41586-022-04426-5, 2022.
- Chen, Y., Chen, L., Zhang, H., and Gong, W.: Effects of wave-current interaction on the Pearl River Estuary during Typhoon
 Hato, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 228, 106364, 2019.
- Chen, Z. and Orton, P.: Effects of storm surge barrier closures on estuary saltwater intrusion and stratification, Water Resources
 Research, 59, e2022WR032317, 2023.
- 596 Chen, Z. and Orton, P.: Codes of Chen, et al, Mendeley Data, V1, doi: 10.17632/64kx95ckr4.1, 2024.
- 597 Chen, Z., Orton, P., and Wahl, T.: Storm surge barrier protection in an era of accelerating sea-level rise: Quantifying closure 598 frequency, duration and trapped river flooding, Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 8, 725, 2020.
- 599 Couasnon, A., Eilander, D., Muis, S., Veldkamp, T. I., Haigh, I. D., Wahl, T., Winsemius, H. C., and Ward, P. J.: Measuring
- compound flood potential from river discharge and storm surge extremes at the global scale, Natural Hazards and Earth System
 Sciences, 20, 489-504, 2020.
- 602 De Michele, C., Salvadori, G., Vezzoli, R., and Pecora, S.: Multivariate assessment of droughts: Frequency analysis and 603 dynamic return period, Water Resources Research, 49, 6985-6994, 2013.
 - 30

- 604 Dolan, R. and Davis, R. E.: An intensity scale for Atlantic coast northeast storms, J. Coast. Res., 8, 840-853, 1992.
- 605 Evans, C., Wood, K. M., Aberson, S. D., Archambault, H. M., Milrad, S. M., Bosart, L. F., Corbosiero, K. L., Davis, C. A.,
- 606 Dias Pinto, J. R., Doyle, J., Fogarty, C., Galarneau, T. J., Grams, C. M., Griffin, K. S., Gyakum, J., Hart, R. E., Kitabatake,
- N., Lentink, H. S., McTaggart-Cowan, R., Perrie, W., Quinting, J. F. D., Reynolds, C. A., Riemer, M., Ritchie, E. A., Sun, Y.,
 and Zhang, F.: The Extratropical Transition of Tropical Cyclones. Part I: Cyclone Evolution and Direct Impacts, Mon.
- 609 Weather. Rev., 145, 4317-4344, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0027.1, 2017.
- FEMA: Region II Coastal Storm Surge Study: Overview, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC, 15,
 2014.
- 612 Field, C. B., Barros, V., Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Dokken, D., Ebi, K., Mastrandrea, M., Mach, K., Plattner, G., and Allen, S.:
- 613 Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation, A Special Report of Working Groups
- 614 I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY,
- 615 USA, 2012.
- 616 Genest, C., Rémillard, B., and Beaudoin, D.: Goodness-of-fit tests for copulas: A review and a power study, Insurance: 617 Mathematics and economics, 44, 199-213, 2009.
- 618 Georgas, N., Orton, P., Blumberg, A., Cohen, L., Zarrilli, D., and Yin, L.: The impact of tidal phase on Hurricane Sandy's
- 619 flooding around New York City and Long Island Sound, Journal of Extreme Events, 10.1142/S2345737614500067, 2014.
- 620 Ghanbari, M., Dell, T., Saleh, F., Chen, Z., Cherrier, J., Colle, B., Hacker, J., Madaus, L., Orton, P., and Arabi, M.:
- 621 Compounding Effects of Changing Sea Level and Rainfall Regimes on Pluvial Flooding in New York City, Natural Hazards,
 622 2023.
- 623 Gold, A. C., Brown, C. M., Thompson, S. P., and Piehler, M. F.: Inundation of Stormwater Infrastructure Is Common and
- Increases Risk of Flooding in Coastal Urban Areas Along the US Atlantic Coast, Earth's Future, 10, e2021EF002139,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002139, 2022.
- Gori, A., Lin, N., and Smith, J.: Assessing compound flooding from landfalling tropical cyclones on the North Carolina coast,
 Water Resources Research, 56, e2019WR026788, 2020a.
- 628 Gori, A., Lin, N., and Xi, D.: Tropical cyclone compound flood hazard assessment: From investigating drivers to quantifying 629 extreme water levels, Earth's Future, 8, e2020EF001660, 2020b.
- 630 Gori, A., Lin, N., Xi, D., and Emanuel, K.: Tropical cyclone climatology change greatly exacerbates US extreme rainfall– 631 surge hazard, Nature Climate Change, 12, 171-178, 2022.
- Huang, H., Patricola, C. M., Winter, J. M., Osterberg, E. C., and Mankin, J. S.: Rise in Northeast US extreme precipitation
 caused by Atlantic variability and climate change, Weather and Climate Extremes, 33, 100351,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100351, 2021.
- 635 Jane, R., Cadavid, L., Obeysekera, J., and Wahl, T.: Multivariate statistical modelling of the drivers of compound flood events
- 636 in south Florida, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 20, 2681-2699, 2020.
- Jane, R., Wahl, T., Santos, V. M., Misra, S. K., and White, K. D.: Assessing the potential for compound storm surge and extreme river discharge events at the catchment scale with statistical models: sensitivity analysis and recommendations for
- 639 best practice, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 27, 04022001, 2022.
- 640 Joe, H.: Dependence modeling with copulas, CRC press2014.
- 641 Jordi, A., Georgas, N., Blumberg, A., Yin, L., Chen, Z., Wang, Y., Schulte, J., Ramaswamy, V., Runnels, D., and Saleh, F.: A
- next generation coastal ocean operational system: probabilistic flood forecasting at street scale, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.,
 10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0309.1 2018.
- 644 Kendall, M. G.: A new measure of rank correlation, Biometrika, 30, 81-93, 1938.
- Kim, H., Villarini, G., Jane, R., Wahl, T., Misra, S., and Michalek, A.: On the generation of high-resolution probabilistic design
 events capturing the joint occurrence of rainfall and storm surge in coastal basins, Int. J. Climatol., 43, 761-771, 2023.
- 647 Kojadinovic, I. and Yan, J.: Modeling multivariate distributions with continuous margins using the copula R package, Journal
- 648 of Statistical Software, 34, 1-20, 2010.
- 649 Lai, Y., Li, J., Gu, X., Liu, C., and Chen, Y. D.: Global compound floods from precipitation and storm surge: Hazards and the
- 650 roles of cyclones, J. Clim., 34, 8319-8339, 2021.
- 651 Lai, Y., Li, J., Chen, Y. D., Chan, F. K. S., Gu, X., and Huang, S.: Compound floods in Hong Kong: Hazards, triggers, and
- 652 socio-economic consequences, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 46, 101321, 2023.
 - 31

- 653 Landsea, C. W. and Franklin, J. L.: Atlantic hurricane database uncertainty and presentation of a new database format, Mon.
- 654 Weather. Rev., 141, 3576-3592, 2013.
- Lin, N., Emanuel, K., Smith, J., and Vanmarcke, E.: Risk assessment of hurricane storm surge for New York City, J. Geophys. Res, 115, 2010.
- Lucey, J. T. and Gallien, T. W.: Characterizing multivariate coastal flooding events in a semi-arid region: the implications of copula choice, sampling, and infrastructure, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 22, 2145-2167, 2022.
- 659 Mazdiyasni, O., Sadegh, M., Chiang, F., and AghaKouchak, A.: Heat wave Intensity Duration Frequency Curve: A
- 660 Multivariate Approach for Hazard and Attribution Analysis, Scientific Reports, 9, 14117, 10.1038/s41598-019-50643-w, 2019.
- Mita, K. S., Orton, P., Montalto, F., Saleh, F., and Rockwell, J.: Sea Level Rise-Induced Transition from Rare Fluvial Extremes to Chronic and Compound Floods, Water, 15, 2671, 2023.
- 663 Moftakhari, H., Schubert, J. E., AghaKouchak, A., Matthew, R. A., and Sanders, B. F.: Linking statistical and hydrodynamic
- modeling for compound flood hazard assessment in tidal channels and estuaries, Advances in Water Resources, 128, 28-38, 2019.
- 666 Moftakhari, H. R., Salvadori, G., AghaKouchak, A., Sanders, B. F., and Matthew, R. A.: Compounding effects of sea level 667 rise and fluvial flooding, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 9785-9790, 2017.
- Najibi, N., Devineni, N., and Lall, U.: Compound continental risk of multiple extreme floods in the United States, Geophys.
 Res. Lett., 50, e2023GL105297, 2023.
- Nelsen, R. B.: Properties and applications of copulas: A brief survey, Proceedings of the first brazilian conference on statistical
 modeling in insurance and finance, 10-28,
- 672 Nelsen, R. B.: An introduction to copulas, Springer2006.
- 673 NYC: New York City Stormwater Resiliency Plan, 2021.
- 674 O'Donnell, J. and O'Donnell, J. E.: Coastal vulnerability in Long Island Sound: The spatial structure of extreme sea level 675 statistics, 2012 Oceans, 1-4,
- 676 Orton, P., Georgas, N., Blumberg, A., and Pullen, J.: Detailed modeling of recent severe storm tides in estuaries of the New
- 677 York City region, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C09030, 10.1029/2012JC008220, 2012.
- 678 Orton, P., Hall, T., Talke, S., Blumberg, A. F., Georgas, N., and Vinogradov, S.: A Validated Tropical-Extratropical Flood
- 679 Hazard Assessment for New York Harbor, J. Geophys. Res., 121, 10.1002/2016JC011679, 2016.
- 680 Orton, P., Talke, S. A., Jay, D. A., Yin, L., Blumberg, A. F., Georgas, N., Zhao, H., Roberts, H. J., and MacManus, K.: Channel
- 681 Shallowing as Mitigation of Coastal Flooding, Journal of Marine Science and Engineering, 3, 654-673, 10.3390/jmse3030654,
- 682 2015.
- 683 Pachauri, R. K. and Reisinger, A.: IPCC fourth assessment report, IPCC, Geneva, 2007, 044023, 2007.
- Paprotny, D., Vousdoukas, M. I., Morales-Nápoles, O., Jonkman, S. N., and Feyen, L.: Compound flood potential in Europe,
 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 2018, 1-34, 2018.
- 686 Perica, S., Martin, D., Pavlovic, S., Roy, I., St Laurent, M., Trypaluk, C., Unruh, D., Yekta, M., and Bonnin, G.: NOAA Atlas
- 14, Volume 9, Version 2, Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States, Midwestern States, 2013.
- Resio, D. T., Boc, S. J., Borgman, L. E., Cardone, V. J., Dean, R. G., Ratcliff, J. J., Vickery, P. J., Suhayda, J. N., and Westerink,
 J. J.: White paper on estimating hurricane inundation probabilities, 2007.
- Roch, O. and Alegre, A.: Testing the bivariate distribution of daily equity returns using copulas. An application to the Spanish
 stock market, Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 51, 1312-1329, 2006.
- Rosenzweig, B. R., McPhillips, L., Chang, H., Cheng, C., Welty, C., Matsler, M., Iwaniec, D., and Davidson, C. I.: Pluvial
 flood risk and opportunities for resilience, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 5, e1302, 2018.
- 694 Sadegh, M., Ragno, E., and AghaKouchak, A.: Multivariate C opula A nalysis T oolbox (MvCAT): describing dependence
- and underlying uncertainty using a B ayesian framework, Water Resources Research, 53, 5166-5183, 2017.
- 696 Sadegh, M., Moftakhari, H., Gupta, H. V., Ragno, E., Mazdiyasni, O., Sanders, B., Matthew, R., and AghaKouchak, A.:
- 697 Multihazard scenarios for analysis of compound extreme events, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 5470-5480, 2018.
- Salvadori, G. and De Michele, C.: Frequency analysis via copulas: Theoretical aspects and applications to hydrological events,
 Water resources research, 40, 2004.
- 700 Salvadori, G., Tomasicchio, G., and D'Alessandro, F.: Practical guidelines for multivariate analysis and design in coastal and
- 701 off-shore engineering, Coast. Eng., 88, 1-14, 2014.
- 32

- Sarhadi, A., Rousseau-Rizzi, R., Mandli, K., Neal, J., Wiper, M. P., Feldmann, M., and Emanuel, K.: Climate change
 contributions to increasing compound flooding risk in New York City, Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0177.1</u>, 2024.
- Schmidt, R. and Stadtmüller, U.: Non-parametric estimation of tail dependence, Scandinavian journal of statistics, 33, 307 335, 2006.
- Schureman, P.: Manual of harmonic analysis and prediction of tides, 98, US Department of Commerce, Coast and GeodeticSurvey1994.
- Senn, H. and Hiser, H.: On the origin of hurricane spiral rain bands, Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 16, 419-426, 1959.
- 710 Sklar, M. J.: Fonctions de repartition a n dimensions et leurs marges,
- 711 Smith, B. and Rodriguez, S.: Spatial analysis of high-resolution radar rainfall and citizen-reported flash flood data in ultra-
- 712 urban New York City, Water, 9, 736, 2017.
- 713 Talke, S., Orton, P., and Jay, D.: Increasing storm tides at New York City, 1844-2013, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 10.1002/2014GL059574, 2014.
- 715 Tootoonchi, F., Sadegh, M., Haerter, J. O., Räty, O., Grabs, T., and Teutschbein, C.: Copulas for hydroclimatic analysis: A 716 practice-oriented overview, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 9, e1579, 2022.
- Torre, E., Marelli, S., Embrechts, P., and Sudret, B.: A general framework for data-driven uncertainty quantification under complex input dependencies using vine copulas, Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics, 55, 1-16, 2019.
- Villarini, G. and Vecchi, G. A.: Projected increases in North Atlantic tropical cyclone intensity from CMIP5 models, J. Clim.,
 26, 3231-3240, 2013.
- Villarini, G., Smith, J. A., Serinaldi, F., Bales, J., Bates, P. D., and Krajewski, W. F.: Flood frequency analysis for nonstationary annual peak records in an urban drainage basin, Advances in water resources, 32, 1255-1266, 2009.
- 723 Wahl, Jain, S., Bender, J., Meyers, S. D., and Luther, M. E.: Increasing risk of compound flooding from storm surge and
- rainfall for major US cities, Nature Climate Change, 5, 1093-1097, 2015.
- Wahl, T., Jensen, J., and Mudersbach, C.: A multivariate statistical model for advanced storm surge analyses in the North Sea,
 Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Coastal Engineering, Shanghai, China,
- 726 Proceedings of the 32nd international Conference on Coastal Engineering, Shanghai, China,
- Wahl, T., Mudersbach, C., and Jensen, J.: Assessing the hydrodynamic boundary conditions for risk analyses in coastal areas:
 a multivariate statistical approach based on Copula functions, Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 12, 495-510, 2012.
- 729 Ward, P. J., Jongman, B., Weiland, F. S., Bouwman, A., van Beek, R., Bierkens, M. F., Ligtvoet, W., and Winsemius, H. C.:
- Assessing flood risk at the global scale: model setup, results, and sensitivity, Environmental research letters, 8, 044019, 2013.
- Ward, P. J., Couasnon, A., Eilander, D., Haigh, I. D., Hendry, A., Muis, S., Veldkamp, T. I., Winsemius, H. C., and Wahl, T.:
 Dependence between high sea-level and high river discharge increases flood hazard in global deltas and estuaries,
- 732 Environmental Research Letters, 13, 084012, 2018.
- 734 Xu, H., Ragno, E., Jonkman, S. N., Wang, J., Bricker, J. D., Tian, Z., and Sun, L.: Combining statistical and hydrodynamic
- models to assess compound flood hazards from rainfall and storm surge: a case study of Shanghai, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
- 736 Discuss., 2023, 1-17, 10.5194/hess-2023-261, 2023.
- 737 Yang, S., Lao, V., Bankert, R., Whitcomb, T. R., and Cossuth, J.: Improved Climatology of Tropical Cyclone Precipitation
- from Satellite Passive Microwave Measurements, J. Clim., 34, 4521-4537, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0196.1</u>, 2021.
- 739 Zscheischler, J., Westra, S., Van Den Hurk, B. J., Seneviratne, S. I., Ward, P. J., Pitman, A., AghaKouchak, A., Bresch, D. N.,
- Leonard, M., and Wahl, T.: Future climate risk from compound events, Nature Climate Change, 8, 469-477, 2018.
- 741