



Floods and droughts: a multivariate perspective on hazard estimation

Manuela Irene Brunner^{1,2,3}

¹WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF, Davos Dorf, Switzerland ²Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ³Climate Change, Extremes and Natural Hazards in Alpine Regions Research Center CERC, Davos Dorf, Switzerland **Correspondence:** Manuela I. Brunner (manuela.brunner@slf.ch)

Abstract. Multivariate hydrological extreme events such as successive floods, large-scale droughts, or consecutive droughtto-flood events challenge water management and can be particularly impactful. Still, the multivariate nature of floods and droughts is often ignored by studying them from a univariate perspective, which can lead to risk under- or overestimation. Studying multivariate extremes is challenging because of variable dependencies and because they are even less abundant in

- 5 observational records than univariate extremes. In this review, I discuss different types of multivariate hydrological extremes and their dependencies including regional extremes affecting multiple locations such as spatially connected flood events, consecutive extremes occurring in close temporal succession such as successive droughts, extremes characterized by multiple characteristics such as floods with jointly high peak discharge and flood volume, and transitions between different types of extremes such as drought-to-flood transitions. I present different strategies to describe and model multivariate extremes, and
- 10 to assess their hazard potential including multivariate distributions and return periods as well as stochastic and large-ensemble simulation approaches. The strategies discussed enable a multivariate perspective in hydrological hazard assessments, which allows us to derive more comprehensive risk estimates than the classical univariate perspective commonly applied.

1 Introduction

In July 2021, a severe and widespread flood event affected Western Germany and parts of Belgium and the Netherlands where it led to numerous fatalities and considerable damage to infrastructure (Kreienkamp et al., 2021; Ibebuchi, 2022). After such exceptional flood events, we ask: 'how frequently do such events occur?' To answer this question, one can rely on frequency analyses which establish a link between the magnitude and frequency of events. Such analyses are often performed by taking a univariate perspective, i.e. by considering one variable only. In the case of the Germany flood, this would e.g. be flood peaks in one individual catchment. Such a univariate frequency analysis first defines a sample of extreme events using either a block

20 maxima/minima or a peak-over-threshold/threshold-level approach (Meylan et al., 2012). Second, it fits a suitable theoretical distribution to the sample of extreme events. In the case of block maxima, one usually works with a Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution and in the case of threshold exceedances with a Generalized Pareto distribution (GPD) (Coles, 2001). The goodness-of-fit of the distribution chosen is assessed using a test for extreme values such as the Anderson–Darling or Cramér-von-Mises test (Laio, 2004). Once a suitable distribution has been identified, one can use the probability distribution





25 function to determine the probability of occurrence of a certain event or the quantile function to determine the magnitude of an event with a certain non-exceedance probability or return period (Figure 1). The relationship between the non-exceedance probability p and the corresponding return period T is expressed as follows:

$$T = \mu/(1-p),\tag{1}$$

where μ is the mean inter-arrival time between two successive events, which is defined as one divided by the number of flood
occurrences per year (Brunner et al., 2016). Using this relationship, one can answer questions such as 'how often does an extreme event with a certain magnitude occur' or 'how big is an event with a certain return period'.



Figure 1. Illustration of the relationship between extreme event frequency and magnitude.

While such univariate frequency analyses enable the development of suitable preparedness and adaptation measures by providing magnitude and frequency estimates of extreme events, they have a major drawback: they neglect that extremes are often not univariate but multivariate phenomena. To illustrate the multivariate nature of hydrologic extremes, let's again look at the

- 35 2021 flood. This flood event was not just extreme in terms of peak discharge at one location, it was also extreme in terms of the flood volume generated. Furthermore, it affected not just one catchment but multiple catchments in Germany, Belgium, and the Netherlands. This example highlights that the multivariate nature of hydrological extremes can take multiple forms. In the case of peak discharge and volume, we are looking at an extreme event characterized by multiple variables and in the case of multiple affected locations at a regional extreme event. These different types of multivariate extremes have in common
- 40 that they involve multiple interdependent variables, which requires a multivariate perspective. In this review, I first provide an overview of different types of multivariate hydrological extremes including regional extremes, consecutive extremes, extremes with multiple characteristics, and extremes transitions. In addition, I review tools, measures, and descriptors available to describe these different types of extremes. Second, I present modeling approaches available to model extremes in a multivariate framework, such as copula models and multivariate simulation approaches. Last, I discuss challenges related to multivariate
- 45 hydrological extremes, including the regionalization of multivariate extremes to ungauged basins and the assessment of future changes in multivariate extreme events.





2 Types of multivariate hydrological extremes

The multivariate nature of hydrological extreme events can take multiple forms (Figure 2). A first type of multivariate hydrological extremes is regional extremes that affect multiple catchments at once. The 2021 flood in Germany is an example of such
a regional extreme event (Figure 2a). Regional extremes represent a challenge for emergency management because resources need to be distributed and shared across regions. A second type of multivariate hydrological extremes is consecutive extremes, i.e. several extreme events occurring in close temporal succession (Figure 2b). An example for such a consecutive extreme event is the 'multi-year' drought 2018–2020 characterized by multiple dry summers over Central Europe (Rakovec et al., 2022), which severely impacted water supply and agriculture (Stephan et al., 2021) and had severe ecological extremes described

- by multiple characteristics such as flood peak and volume as in the case of the 2021 flood event in Germany (Kreienkamp et al., 2021) (Figure 2c). Such extremes, which affect multiple characteristics, challenge water management because hydraulic structures such as retention basins have to cope not just with high maximum loads but also high volumes. A fourth type of multivariate hydrologic extremes is transitions from one type of extreme event to another type of extreme event, such as
- 60 drought-to-flood transitions (Figure 2d). An example for such a drought-to-flood transition event is the multi-year dry period in California (2011–2016) which was ended by a flood in 2017 (Swain et al., 2018; He and Sheffield, 2020). Such transition events can also challenge water management because regulation measures, which might be reasonable from the perspective of one type of extreme, may be less useful from the perspective of the other type of extreme (Ward et al., 2020). In the following sections, I review the state of knowledge on these four types of multivariate hydrological extremes, i.e., regional and consecu-
- 65 tive extremes, extremes with multiple characteristics, and extremes transitions. In addition, I summarize methodological tools used to study these different types of multivariate hydrological extreme events.



Figure 2. Illustration of different types of multivariate hydrological extreme events: (a) Regional extremes, (b) consecutive extremes, (c) extremes with multiple characteristics, and (d) extremes transitions.

2.1 Regional extremes

Regional extremes affect multiple locations, catchments, or river basins at (almost) the same time and are also called spatially compounding extremes (Zscheischler et al., 2020).





70 2.1.1 Regional floods

Floods can occur simultaneously at multiple locations, i.e. flood occurrences can be spatially dependent (Figure 3). Such



Figure 3. Spatial flood connectedness in the United States computed over all seasons. Links indicate stations, which have at least 10 flood events in common. Stations are colored according to the mean day of flood occurrence.

spatial dependence can be quantified using different types of measures, including pairwise measures such as the number of co-occurrences at a pair of catchments (Brunner et al., 2020a) or the correlation between flood magnitudes at a pair of catchments (Brunner and Gilleland, 2021); catchment specific measures such as the distance over which multiple catchments

- 75 flood near synchronously (i.e. the flood synchrony scale; Berghuijs et al., 2019) and the expected proportion of sites in a catchment's vicinity that exceed their *x*th quantile during an event in which this catchment exceeds its *x*th quantile (conditional spatial dependence; Keef et al., 2009); or event-based metrics such as flood extent (Kussul et al., 2008) and the percentage of catchments affected by flooding within a certain region (Brunner et al., 2020b).
- Spatial dependence is related to flood magnitude to a certain degree. However, spatial dependence has been shown to increase or decrease with event magnitude when using different dependence measures. Keef et al. (2009) have shown that conditional spatial dependence is particularly severe for moderate floods and becomes weaker as events get more extreme. That is, they showed that more extreme events are more localized than moderate floods. In contrast, Kemter et al. (2020) have shown a positive relationship between flood magnitude and extent when using the flood synchrony scale, i.e. increasing spatial scales with increasing flood magnitude. The strength of spatial dependence also depends on location and is highly variable across
- 85 catchments. Berghuijs et al. (2019) have shown that the distance over which multiple catchments flood near synchronously exceeds the size of individual catchments in Europe and shows strong regional variations, with larger floods occurring in lowland than in mountain catchments.

Regional floods are shaped by both meteorological and land surface processes, i.e. precipitation dependence alone is not sufficient to explain spatial flood dependence (Brunner et al., 2020a). Regional floods often develop when a storm meets

90 favorable antecedent conditions, such as widespread wet soils, or when multiple catchments experience synchronous snowmelt (Brunner and Dougherty, 2022). Therefore, floods are more likely to be spatially connected in mountain regions with seasonal snowmelt contributions than in lowland catchments where floods are mainly driven by precipitation (Brunner and Fischer,





2022). Besides climate, spatial flood dependence is shaped by reservoir regulation, which leads to less spatially connected floods in winter compared to unregulated conditions (Brunner, 2021).

- 95 Regional flood characteristics change over time, but the direction of change is yet unclear. Berghuijs et al. (2019) have shown historical increases in the distance over which catchments flood near synchronously for catchments in Europe. In contrast, Rupp et al. (2021) found decreases in the synchrony of flooding between snowmelt-dominated basins because of decreases in snowmelt using simulations of future streamflow. This finding is in line with results by Brunner and Fischer (2022) and Brunner and Dougherty (2022) who found stronger spatial connectedness for snowmelt-influenced regions than for
- 100 rainfall-driven regions. While these studies provide first evidence for future changes of regional floods in a warming climate, the direction and magnitude of these changes needs to be quantified using further targeted modelling experiments (see Section 3.2). The spatial dependencies between flood occurrences at multiple locations need to be considered in flood hazard assessments in order to avoid risk over- or underestimation (Metin et al., 2020). Such consideration can e.g. be achieved by computing probabilities of regional flooding (Brunner et al., 2020b).

105 2.1.2 Regional droughts

Droughts are often regional phenomena, i.e. drought occurrences at different locations are dependent. Similarly to floods, such spatial drought dependence can be quantified using different types of descriptors. Using a pairwise-perspective, drought dependence can be quantified by counting the number of drought co-occurrences or the number of months under concurrent drought (Brunner and Gilleland, 2021). Taking a regional perspective, regional droughts can be described by the number of

- 110 catchments affected by drought (Teutschbein et al., 2022) or by the drought extent (Hanel et al., 2018). The main part of the literature studying regional droughts and their extents focuses on meteorological rather than on streamflow droughts (Ganguli and Ganguly, 2016; Sharma and Mujumdar, 2017; Perez Arango et al., 2021; Ionita and Nagavciuc, 2021). Those studies that have assessed the spatio-temporal variation in hydrological drought extents found substantial temporal variations in the number of catchments jointly affected by drought (Hanel et al., 2018; Brunner et al., 2021b; Teutschbein et al., 2022).
- 115 Spatial drought extent is driven by different hydro-meteorological conditions including soil moisture deficits, precipitation deficits, and positive temperature anomalies. The relative importance of these different drivers varies by event and season. In winter and spring, large scale droughts often co-occur with soil moisture and precipitation deficits, while they co-occur with positive temperature anomalies in summer (Brunner et al., 2021b). While there exist first indications that the relationships between climatic drivers and drought extent are complex, future studies should focus on the identification of atmospheric
- 120 drivers of widespread streamflow droughts similar to studies that assess the link between atmospheric patterns and/or climate indices and the spatial extent of meteorological droughts (e.g. McCabe and Wolock, 2022).

Streamflow drought spatial extents have increased in the United States over time, mainly because of increases in the extent of small droughts and in temperature (Brunner et al., 2021b). Further investigations are needed to assess whether such changes can also be observed in other climate zones such as tropical, arctic, or alpine regions. The spatial extents of streamflow droughts

125 have not just changed in the past, they are also projected to further increase in future, as demonstrated for Great Britain using





Table 1. Metrics used to describe regional floods and droughts

Metric	Description	References	Application
Areal coverage	Percentage of area/catchments under extreme condi- tions	Rossi et al. (1992), Hannaford et al. (2010), Hanel et al. (2018), Brunner et al. (2021b)	Droughts
Spatial extent	Area under extreme conditions derived from gridded data	Kussul et al. (2008), Rudd et al. (2019)	Floods and droughts
Conditional spatial depen- dence	Expected proportion of sites in the vicinity D of a specific catchment that exceed their p th quantile during an event in which this catchment exceeds its p th quantile	Keef et al. (2009)	Floods
Synchrony scale	Distance over which multiple rivers flood near syn- chronously	Berghuijs et al. (2019)	Floods
Length scale	Range of semi-variogram	Touma et al. (2018)	Extreme pre- cipitation
Connectedness	Network degree, i.e. number of catchments a catch- ment has co-experienced extreme events with	Brunner et al. (2020a), Brunner and Gille- land (2021)	Floods and low flows
Severity-area-frequency curves	Relationship of specific severity (deficit) and area coverage for different return periods	Henriques and Santos (1999), Hisdal and Tallaksen (2003)	Droughts
Severity-area-duration curves	Relationship between drought severity (deficit) and area coverage for different drought durations	Andreadis et al. (2005), Sheffield et al. (2009)	Droughts
Probability of regional ex- tremes	Probability that a certain percentage of catchments within a region is jointly under extreme conditions	Brunner et al. (2020b)	Floods

climate and hydrological model simulations (Rudd et al., 2019). How such changes translate to other regions remains to be assessed using modelling experiments, which focus on reliably reproducing spatial streamflow drought extents.

2.1.3 Descriptors of regional extremes

A diverse range of tools can be used to quantify the spatial dependence and spatial extents of floods and droughts. These tools include areal coverage, spatial extent, conditional spatial dependence, synchrony scale, length scale, connectedness, severityarea-frequency curves, severity-area-duration curves, and probability of regional extremes. These metrics are summarized and shortly described in Table 1.

2.2 Consecutive extremes

Consecutive extremes occur in close temporal succession in the same catchment or region and are also referred to as temporally
 compounding extremes (Zscheischler et al., 2020). Such temporal clustering behavior is illustrated in Figure 4, which shows time series of drought occurrences for two example catchments in different hydro-climates. The first catchment shows temporal drought clustering at seasonal time scales (Figure 4a), meaning that droughts are likely to occur in subsequent seasons. The





second catchment shows temporal clustering at longer, i.e. multi-annual time scales (Figure 4b), meaning that the catchment is affected by droughts in regular multi-annual intervals.



Figure 4. Temporal hydrological drought variability: (a) temporal drought occurrence in the Riss catchment at Warthausen (Austria) and (b) temporal drought occurrence in the Little Pee Dee catchment at Galivants Ferry (United States).

140 2.2.1 Consecutive floods

145

Flood events cluster in time, i.e. flood-rich periods in which floods are more common alternate with flood-poor periods in which floods are rare (Villarini et al., 2013; Mediero et al., 2015; Merz et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2016; Liu and Zhang, 2017; Wang et al., 2020). In Europe or China for example, many catchments show temporal clustering for moderate floods at time scales of one to a few years (Merz et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2016; Lun et al., 2020). However, the strength of temporal clustering decreases substantially with time scale and with an increasing flood threshold (Lun et al., 2020). The temporal flood clustering behavior to some degree also depends on the region. For example, catchments in the Atlantic and Continental regions of Europe are more prone to temporal flood clustering than catchments in Scandinavia (Mediero et al., 2015).

Flood-rich periods with temporally clustered events are related to climate. Blöschl et al. (2020) and Brönnimann et al. (2022) have e.g. shown for Europe that historic flood-rich periods occurred under colder than normal climate conditions. Similarly,
150 Villarini et al. (2013), Gu et al. (2016), Liu and Zhang (2017) have shown for catchments in Iowa, China, and Australia, respectively, that the flood clustering behavior is influenced by large-scale climate indices. The pronounced link between climate and the temporal flood clustering behavior suggests that future changes in temperature and oscillation patterns may lead to changes in temporal flood clustering. How the temporal flood clustering behavior changes across different climate zones in a warming climate still needs to be investigated using simulation-based studies. Such simulation-based studies require the

155 development of modeling approaches that reliably represent the temporal clustering behavior of floods.





2.2.2 Consecutive droughts

Drought events can occur successively or cluster in time as highlighted by studies looking at the occurrence of multi-year droughts and studies assessing the temporal clustering behavior of droughts. A first body of literature provides evidence for the occurrence of multi-year droughts both from a meteorological and hydrological perspective. The occurrence of multi-year precipitation deficits has for example been documented for France (Vidal et al., 2010), Central Europe (Moravec et al., 2021), and the United States (Goodrich, 2007; Diffenbaugh et al., 2015; Abatan et al., 2017; Bales et al., 2018) and the occurrence of multi-year streamflow deficits for different parts of Europe (Parry et al., 2012; Folland et al., 2015; Hanel et al., 2018; Brunner and Tallaksen, 2019) and Chile (Alvarez-Garreton et al., 2021). A second body of literature shows that both meteorological and hydrological drought occurrences are highly variable in time with alternations between drought-rich and drought-poor periods at multi-year (Moreira et al., 2015; Noone et al., 2017; Yue et al., 2021), decadal (Ionita et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2018; Barker et al., 2019), and multi-decadal time scales (Tanguy et al., 2021). However, some other studies also provide contrasting

evidence by showing a lack of cyclicity in precipitation deficits (Pelletier and Turcotte, 1997; Bunde et al., 2013). Brunner and Tallaksen (2019) have shown that catchments experiencing multi-year droughts are mostly characterized by a rainfall-dominated flow regime, while catchments with melt-dominated flow regimes are generally not affected by multi-year

170 droughts. As a consequence, multi-year droughts may become more frequent in a future climate as flow regimes transition from snow-dominated to rainfall-dominated (Brunner and Tallaksen, 2019). Detailed modeling assessments are needed to show how the probability of occurrence of multi-year droughts and the temporal-clustering behavior of droughts are going to change in the future. Such assessments require an adequate representation of temporal streamflow dependencies.

2.2.3 Descriptors of consecutive extremes

175 The persistence and periodic features of hydrological extreme events have been documented using a range of measures including the Hurst exponent, power spectra derived using the Fourier transform, dry-to-dry transition probabilities, etc. These measures are summarized and shortly described in Table 2.

2.3 Extremes with multiple characteristics

Droughts and floods are characterized by multiple characteristics such as deficit and duration or peak discharge and flood volume, respectively. These characteristics can be mutually interdependent as illustrated by some examples in Figure 5.

2.3.1 Floods

Floods are characterized by multiple characteristics including peak discharge, volume, and duration, which are interdependent (Mediero et al., 2010; Serinaldi and Grimaldi, 2011) (Figure 6a). These variable relationships vary with the flood generation process, e.g. flash-floods, short-rain floods, long-rain floods, and rain-on-snow floods show different forms and strengths of

185 variable dependence (Renard and Lang, 2007; Szolgay et al., 2015; Brunner et al., 2017). Because of such variations in variable dependence with flood generation processes, variable dependence also varies between low- and high-elevation catchments





Table 2. Metrics used to describe consecutive floods and droughts

Metric	Description	References	Application
Number of consecutive	Count of the number of successive extreme	Hanel et al. (2018), Brunner and Tallaksen	Droughts
events	events/years	(2019)	
Hurst exponent	Measure of the long-term memory of a time series	Hurst (1956), Tatli (2015), Noorisameleh	Droughts
		et al. (2021)	
Average power spectrum	Average power over all frequencies after the Fourier transform	Pelletier and Turcotte (1997)	Droughts
Extreme event transition	Probability of observing a subsequent extreme event	Moon et al. (2018)	Droughts
probabilities	given that an extreme event has occurred in the previ-		
	ous time unit (e.g. month)		
Dispersion index	Quantifies the departure from a homogeneous Poisson	Vitolo et al. (2009), Mediero et al. (2015),	Floods and
	process	Merz et al. (2016)	droughts
Ripley's K	Measures the average number of extreme events in the	Ripley (1981), Dixon (2013), Tuel and Mar-	Extreme
	temporal neighborhood of extreme events	tius (2021), Tuel et al. (2022)	precipitation,
			floods, and
			droughts
Kernel estimation	Estimates the time variation of extreme event counts	Cowling et al. (1996), Mudelsee et al.	Floods
	as smooth functions of time	(2003), (Merz et al., 2016)	
Scan statistics	Maximum number of observed counts in a series of	Lun et al. (2020)	Floods
	overlapping sliding windows		
Cox regression model	Cox processes are Poisson processes with a randomly	Villarini et al. (2013)	Floods
	varying rate of occurrence. Cox regression models		
	can be used to examine the dependence of the rate		
	of occurrence on covariate processes		



Figure 5. Illustration of the relationship between different drought and flood variables for the Fish river in Maine, United States: (a) drought duration and deficit, (b) drought deficit and intensity, (c) flood duration and volume, and (d) flood volume and peak discharge.

(Gaál et al., 2015). For Austrian catchments, Gaál et al. (2015) found weaker variable dependence in Alpine than in lowland catchments because of a mix of flood generation processes. In addition to elevation, variable dependence has also been shown







Figure 6. Illustration of flood and drought characteristics: (a) floods: peak discharge, volume, and duration; (b) droughts: minimum flow, deficit, and duration.

- to vary with catchment size. Using a global dataset, Rahimi et al. (2021) have shown that the strength of variable dependence increases with the catchment area. However, overall, variable dependence seems to be more strongly related to climatic factors than to physiographic factors (Gaál et al., 2015). Because of the link between climatic flood drivers and variable dependence, the strength of variable dependence is changing in a warming climate. For example, Bender et al. (2014) found an increase in the dependence between flood volume and peak discharge for the Rhine river and Ben Aissia et al. (2014) detected decreases and increases in such dependence for two catchments in Québec. These temporal change patterns in variable dependence
- 195 are spatially heterogeneous and cannot be explained by one hydro-meteorological driver alone. Instead, changes in variable dependence are the result of an interplay between changes in precipitation, snowmelt, and soil moisture, resulting in dependence increases in some and dependence decreases in other regions (Brunner et al., 2019c). The interdependencies between different flood variables, and their potential future changes need to be considered in multivariate hazard and climate impact assessments. That is, flood frequency analyses need to consider variable dependencies if multiple variables are of interest for the application.
- 200 For example, the dependence between peak and volume should be considered when deriving flood estimates for hydraulic design.

2.3.2 Droughts

Similarly to floods, droughts can be described by different characteristics including drought intensity, deficit, and duration (Figure 6b). These variables are also interdependent (Shiau, 2006; Lee et al., 2013; Salvadori and Michele, 2015; Brunner et al., 2019d) and the strength of dependence varies with climate (Van Loon et al., 2014). Drought deficit increases most strongly with duration in cold seasonal climates because snow accumulation during winter prevents the recovery from summer drought and in monsoonal, Savannah, and Mediterranean climate zones where summer droughts continue into the winter (Van Loon et al., 2014). This relationship between drought variable dependence and climate suggests that the variable interdependence may change in a warming climate. How climate change specifically affects the dependence between different pairs of variables

210 needs to be assessed using targeted modelling experiments focusing on an accurate representation of variable dependencies in hydrological models.





Table 3. Metrics used to describe hydrological extremes with multiple characteristics

Dependence measure	Description	References	Application
Pearson's correlation coef-	Measure of linear correlation between two data sam-	Edwards (1976)	Droughts
ficient	ples		and floods
Spearman's rank correla-	Measure of rank correlation between two data sam-	Spearman (1904); Genest and Favre (2007)	Droughts
tion coefficient	ples		and floods
Kendall's rank correlation	Measure of rank correlation between two data sam-	Kendall (1937); Genest and Favre (2007)	Droughts
coefficient	ples		and floods
Extremal dependence/tail	Probability of one variable being extreme given that	Coles et al. (1999); Coles (2001)	Droughts
dependence coefficient	the other one is extreme		and floods

2.3.3 Descriptors of extremes with multiple characteristics

The interdependencies between multiple characteristics of hydrological extreme events can be assessed using various dependence measures, including different correlation and tail dependence measures (Table 3).

215 2.4 Extremes transitions

220

Consecutive drought and flood periods can seriously challenge water and emergency management because of trade-offs between long-term water storage and short-term flood control (Di Baldassarre et al., 2017; He and Sheffield, 2020) and substantial effects on water quality (Mosley, 2015; Pulley et al., 2016). Recent examples of such events include the transition from a very dry spring in 2017 to extremely wet conditions in July in several parts of Germany (Becker et al., 2017), the multi-year dry period in California (2011–2016) which was ended by a flood in 2017 (Swain et al., 2018; He and Sheffield, 2020), or the dry 2010–2012 period in the UK that ended with record summer rainfall (Marsh et al., 2013).

2.4.1 Droughts to floods

Studies looking at transitions from dry to wet periods mainly focus on transitions in meteorological states, i.e. on transitions from negative to positive precipitation or moisture anomalies (Yang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2021; Ansari and

- 225 Grossi, 2022). These meteorological studies indicate large spatial variability in dry-to-wet period transition times ranging from a few months to multiple years (De Luca et al., 2020). In contrast, little is known about consecutive hydrological drought–flood events, i.e. transitions between extremes in streamflow data. For the Amazonas River, Espinoza et al. (2012) studied the abrupt transition from an extreme drought in September 2010 to very high discharge in April 2011 and Parry et al. (2016) studied drought termination for river basins in the UK. Still, little is known about the atmospheric and land-surface conditions that lead
- 230 to rapid drought to flood transitions and about how transition times and characteristics vary in space and time. Further research is needed in order to better understand the variations of transition times across hydro-climates and the hydro-climatic drivers of rapid drought–flood transitions. Studies looking at future changes in transitions between dry and wet meteorological states





Table 4. Metrics used to describe transitions between extreme events

Transition measure	Description	References	Application
Transition time	Time between dry and wet periods	De Luca et al. (2020); Chen and Ford (2022)	Dry to wet
			conditions
Transition frequency	Frequency of transitions between dry and wet periods	Chen and Ford (2022)	Dry to wet
			conditions

suggest more frequent and rapid transitions between wet and dry extremes (Chen and Ford, 2022). Hydrological simulation experiments are needed to assess how these changes in transitions from dry to wet states translate into changes in transitions from hydrological droughts-to-floods. The possibility of rapid drought–flood transitions under both current and future climate conditions needs to be integrated in disaster risk reduction strategies (Ward et al., 2020).

235

2.4.2 Descriptors of extremes transitions

The transitions between dry and wet periods have been described using transition times and transition frequencies as summarized in Table 4.

240 3 Modeling multivariate extremes

Assessments of the frequency and magnitude of multivariate hydrologic extreme events are facilitated by various tools and approaches including multivariate distributions and return period definitions, multivariate stochastic simulation approaches, and hydrological models.

3.1 Multivariate distributions and return periods

- 245 Quantifying the frequency of multivariate extremes requires considering variable dependencies, fitting multivariate distributions, and using multivariate return period definitions. The joint distribution of variables that are interdependent can be represented using copula models, which allow for a flexible representation of different variable-dependence structures and different univariate distributions for the margins (Genest and Favre, 2007). The copula approach roots in the representation theorem by Sklar (1959), which states that the joint cumulative distribution function F_{XY} of a pair of continuous random variables (X, Y)at (x, y) can be expressed by
 - $F_{XY}(x,y) = C(F_X(x), F_Y(y)), x, y \in \mathbb{R},$ (2)

where $F_X(x)$ and $F_Y(y)$ are realizations of the marginal distributions of X and Y whose dependence is modeled by a copula C (Nelsen, 2006; Joe, 2015). This copula approach allows one to select an appropriate model for the dependence between X





and Y independently from the choice of the marginal distributions. In order to identify a suitable copula for a pair of variables, five steps have to be taken:

- 1. quantify the strength of dependence and evaluate the form of dependence between the variables using rank-based correlation measures and dependence plots (Genest and Favre, 2007).
- 2. choose a number of copula families.
- 3. estimate the copula parameters for each copula family.
- 4. perform goodness-of-fit tests to exclude unsuitable copulas (Genest et al., 2009).

5. choose one of the admissible copulas using selection criteria such as the Akaike or Bayesian information criterion.

For an introduction to copulas with application examples, the reader is referred to Genest and Favre (2007) and for detailed theoretical introductions to Nelsen (2006) and Joe (2015).

Such bivariate distributions are needed to compute return periods in a bivariate context, e.g. when hydraulic design relies on two variables such as peak discharge and flood volume. In the univariate setting, the return period T is uniquely defined as described by Equation 1. In the bivariate and more generally the multivariate setting, the definition of the return period of an observed event is not unique. Instead, one has to choose one out of several definitions depending on the problem at hand (Serinaldi, 2015). In a multivariate framework, the return period can be defined as the return period T_D of a "dangerous" event as

270
$$T_D = \frac{\mu}{\Pr[X \in D]},\tag{3}$$

where D is a set of events defined to be dangerous according to some reasonable criterion and $Pr[X \in D]$ is the probability that the random variable X lies in this dangerous region D. In a multivariate setting, D can be defined in different ways depending on the application at hand, e.g. using the conditional probability distribution, joint probability distributions, or the Kendall's distribution (Brunner et al., 2016). These distributions are typically expressed using bivariate copula models. For example, if the definition of dangerous events spans all those events where the two variables (e.g. peak discharge and flood volume) jointly

the definition of dangerous events spans all those events where the two variables (e.g. peak discharge and flood volume) jointly exceed a certain threshold, one would use the joint 'AND' return period definition. This joint 'AND' return period T(u, v) is using a copula C expressed as

$$T(u,v) = \frac{\mu}{1 - u - v + C(u,v)},\tag{4}$$

where u and v are realizations of U and V, i.e. uniform representations of F_X and F_Y . For an overview of more alternative bivariate nature pariod definitions, the reader is referred to Gröler (2014) or Property et al. (2016). Such bivariate nature pariod

280 bivariate return period definitions, the reader is referred to Gräler (2014) or Brunner et al. (2016). Such bivariate return period definitions can be used to quantify the return period of events characterized by two variables, e.g. droughts described by drought deficit and duration or floods described by flood peak and volume (Salvadori, 2004; Serinaldi and Grimaldi, 2011; Serinaldi, 2016; Brunner et al., 2017, 2019d).





3.2 Simulation of multivariate extremes

285 Multivariate extreme events are even less abundant in observational records than univariate extremes. This lack of data challenges frequency analysis because reliable distribution fitting requires sufficiently large datasets. To overcome the problem of a limited sample size, different simulation approaches have been proposed, which enable simulating long time series or large event sets. These simulation approaches include statistical and physically-based models. Both types of approaches aim to generate large samples of data with similar distributional and spatio-temporal characteristics as the limited observed data.
290 Such large simulation ensembles can be used to refine water management plans, or to develop suitable adaptation strategies to drought and flood events.

There exists a variety of stochastic modeling approaches which differ in their capability of representing distributional and/or temporal characteristics of hydrological data. The most commonly used direct stochastic simulation approaches, i.e. approaches that directly simulate streamflow using a stochastic model, belong to the two classes of parametric and nonparametric models.

- 295 Parametric models include autoregressive moving average (ARMA) models and their modifications (Stedinger and Taylor, 1982; Papalexiou, 2018) and fractional Gaussian noise models (Mandelbrot, 1965, 1971; Mejia et al., 1972; Hosking, 1984). Nonparametric models include different bootstrap approaches (Salas and Lee, 2010; Herman et al., 2016; Srinivas and Srinivasan, 2006; Srivastav and Simonovic, 2014) and kernel density estimation (Lall and Sharma, 1996; Sharma et al., 1997). Other simulation approaches for extreme events include the conditional exceedance model by Heffernan and Tawn (2004)
- 300 (Keef et al., 2013; Diederen et al., 2019; Neal et al., 2013), max-stable models (Segers, 2012; Ribatet and Sedki, 2013; Oesting and Stein, 2018), or copula models (Gräler, 2014; Brunner et al., 2019b). In addition to these time-domain models, there exist frequency-domain models that simulate surrogate data with the same Fourier spectra as the raw data (Theiler et al., 1992; Prichard and Theiler, 1994; Schreiber and Schmitz, 2000). Such methods are based on the randomization of the phases of the Fourier transform and are known as the amplitude-adjusted Fourier transform (AAFT) (Lancaster et al., 2018; Radziejewski
- 305 et al., 2000; Serinaldi and Kilsby, 2017; Brunner et al., 2019a). They have been successfully applied to simulate spatially consistent streamflow time series in multiple catchments (Brunner and Gilleland, 2020).

In addition to these statistical approaches, streamflow can be simulated using physically-based approaches. These approaches rely on a hydrological model which is driven with large ensembles of stochastically or physically generated climate input data. Examples of physically-based large climate ensembles include single-model-initialized ensembles (SMILES Deser et al.,

310 2012, 2020) and reforecast simulations, i.e., forecasts generated for past periods (Hamill et al., 2006). Climate SMILEs and reforecast simulations have been used in combination with hydrological models to generate large ensembles of streamflow time series (van der Wiel et al., 2019; Willkofer et al., 2020; Brunner et al., 2021c; Brunner and Slater, 2022).

4 Challenges and future directions

Quantifying the frequency and magnitude of multivariate extremes is challenging for multiple reasons. Here, I discuss some of these challenges and how they could be addressed in future research.





- 1. Multivariate extremes are scarce in observational records. Therefore, frequency analyses are often associated with large uncertainties and it is challenging to study the processes governing such extreme events. To overcome the problems related to a limited sample size, simulation approaches can be used (see Section 3.2). However, these simulations need to represent different types of data features including distribution, temporal, spatial, and variable dependencies. Representing all these features simultaneously is challenging. Novel simulation approaches are needed that capture a range of different types of dependencies.
- 2. **Multivariate frequency analysis requires dependence modeling.** Modelling such dependence is feasible in smaller dimensions (e.g. in the bivariate setting) but becomes more complex and more computationally demanding in larger dimensions. Identifying suitable dependence structures in high-dimensions is not always straightforward and further flexible dependence structures are needed to represent temporal, spatial, and variable dependencies at the same time.
- 3. **Multivariate extremes are subject to change.** Extreme events are affected by various factors including land-use changes, climate, and water management (e.g. Slater et al., 2021; Blum et al., 2020; Brunner, 2021). The effects of these changes on hydrological extremes is not limited to their univariate characteristics, but extends to their dependence structure (Brunner et al., 2019c). Such non-stationarities in variable dependence, need to be accounted for in global change impact assessments.
- 4. Variable dependencies need to be transferred to ungauged catchments. Predicting the frequency and magnitude of extreme events in ungauged basins is challenging. Different methods, (i.e. regionalization approaches) are available to predict hydrological extremes or model parameters in ungauged catchments using information from gauged catchments including similarity metrics or linear and non-linear regression models. While such techniques are established in the univariate case, regionalizing multivariate extremes is more challenging because variable dependence needs to be maintained. For example, regionalizing flood peaks and flood volumes individually, may destroy the dependence between the two variables (Brunner et al., 2018; Kiran and Srinivas, 2022). Novel regionalization approaches are needed that respect such variable dependencies.
- 5. Variable dependence needs to be represented in statistical and process-based models. The representation of variable dependencies in statistical and hydrological modeling is non-trivial. For example, hydrological model simulations do neither necessarily well represent the dependence between flood peaks and flood volume (Brunner and Sikorska, 2018) nor spatial flood coherence (Brunner et al., 2021a). The representation of such dependencies in hydrological models needs to be improved by developing suitable model calibration approaches that take into account variable dependencies in addition to individual variables.

345 5 Conclusions

Multivariate hydrological extreme events can jointly affect multiple regions, occur in close temporal succession, be characterized by multiple characteristics or represent transitions from one type of extreme to another one. These different types of

325

320

330

335





extreme events have in common that they involve multiple inter-related variables, whose dependence needs to be accounted for in frequency analysis and risk estimation. However, studying extreme events in a multivariate framework is challenging because
of the scarceness of multivariate extreme events in observational records and the need to model variable interdependencies. Assessments of the probability and magnitude of multivariate hydrological extremes may profit from advances in the following areas: (1) the development of (stochastic) simulation approaches that represent different types of variable dependencies and allow generating large datasets; (2) the development of flexible dependence structures that represent dependencies of different strength and form; (3) and the development of hydrological model calibration procedures that enable calibrating models with
respect to temporal, spatial, and variable dependencies. These method developments will facilitate change assessments for different types of multivariate hydrological extremes such as large-scale floods, successive droughts, or rapid drought-to-flood transitions. Such assessments are strongly needed in order to adapt water management strategies to future changes in impactful multivariate drought and flood events.

Author contributions. MIB has developed the structure and contents of this review and written, revised, and edited the manuscript.

360 *Competing interests.* The author is a member of the editorial board of HESS.

Acknowledgements. I thank the German Research Foundation (grant 2100371301) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant PZ00P2-201818) for supporting this writing effort.





References

- Abatan, A. A., Gutowski, W. J., Ammann, C. M., Kaatz, L., Brown, B. G., Buja, L., Bullock, R., Fowler, T., Gilleland, E., and Gotway,
- 365 J. H.: Multiyear droughts and pluvials over the upper Colorado River basin and associated circulations, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 18, 799–818, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-16-0125.1, 2017.
 - Alvarez-Garreton, C., Pablo Boisier, J., Garreaud, R., Seibert, J., and Vis, M.: Progressive water deficits during multiyear droughts in basins with long hydrological memory in Chile, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 429–446, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-429-2021, 2021.
- 370 Andreadis, K. M., Clark, E. A., Wood, A. W., Hamlet, A. F., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Twentieth-Century drought in the conterminous United States, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 6, 985–1001, 2005.
 - Ansari, R. and Grossi, G.: Spatio-temporal evolution of wet–dry event features and their transition across the Upper Jhelum Basin (UJB) in South Asia, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 22, 287–302, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-22-287-2022, 2022.

Bales, R. C., Goulden, M. L., Hunsaker, C. T., Conklin, M. H., Hartsough, P. C., O'Geen, A. T., Hopmans, J. W., and Safeeq, M.: Mech-

- anisms controlling the impact of multi-year drought on mountain hydrology, Scientific Reports, 8, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-19007-0, 2018.
 - Barker, L. J., Hannaford, J., Parry, S., Smith, K. A., Tanguy, M., and Prudhomme, C.: Historic hydrological droughts 1891-2015: Systematic characterisation for a diverse set of catchments across the UK, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 4583–4602, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-4583-2019, 2019.
- 380 Becker, A., Junghänel, T., Hafer, M., Köcher, A., Rustemeier, E., Weigl, E., and Wittich, K.-P.: Erste hydro-klimatologische Einordnung der Starkregen und Dauerregen in Deutschland zum Ende eines sehr nassen Juli 2017, Tech. rep., Deutscher Wetterdienst, 2017.
 - Ben Aissia, M.-A., Chebana, F., Ouarda, T., Roy, L., Bruneau, P., and Barbet, M.: Dependence evolution of hydrological characteristics, applied to floods in a climate change context in Quebec, Journal of Hydrology, 519, 148–163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.06.042, 2014.
- 385 Bender, J., Wahl, T., and Jensen, J.: Multivariate design in the presence of non-stationarity, Journal of Hydrology, 514, 123–130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.04.017, 2014.
 - Berghuijs, W. R., Allen, S. T., Harrigan, S., and Kirchner, J. W.: Growing spatial scales of synchronous river flooding in Europe, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 1423–1428, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081883, 2019.

Blum, A. G., Ferraro, P. J., Archfield, S. A., and Ryberg, K. R.: Causal effect of impervious cover on annual flood magnitude for the United

- 390 States, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2019GL086 480, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086480, 2020.
 - Blöschl, G., Kiss, A., Viglione, A., Barriendos, M., and Böhm, O.: Current European flood-rich period exceptional compared with past 500 years, Nature, 583, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2478-3, 2020.
 - Brunner, M. I.: Reservoir regulation affects droughts and floods at local and regional scales, Environmental Research Letters, 16, 124016, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac36f6, 2021.
- 395 Brunner, M. I. and Dougherty, E. M.: Varying importance of storm types and antecedent conditions for local and regional floods, Water Resources Research, 58, e2022WR033 249, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR033249, 2022.
 - Brunner, M. I. and Fischer, S.: Snow-influenced floods are more strongly connected in space than purely rainfall-driven floods, Environmental Research Letters, 17, 104 038, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac948f, 2022.



400



Brunner, M. I. and Gilleland, E.: Stochastic simulation of streamflow and spatial extremes: a continuous, wavelet-based approach, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 3967–3982, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-3967-2020, 2020.

- Brunner, M. I. and Gilleland, E.: Complex high- and low-flow networks differ in their spatial correlation characteristics, drivers, and changes, Water Resources Research, 57, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030049, number: 9, 2021.
- Brunner, M. I. and Sikorska, A. E.: Dependence of flood peaks and volumes in modeled runoff time series: effect of data disaggregation and distribution, Journal of Hydrology, 572, 620–629, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.03.024, 2018.
- 405 Brunner, M. I. and Slater, L. J.: Extreme floods in Europe: going beyond observations using reforecast ensemble pooling, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26, 469–482, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-469-2022, 2022.
 - Brunner, M. I. and Tallaksen, L. M.: Proneness of European catchments to multiyear streamflow droughts, Water Resources Research, 55, 8881–8894, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR025903, 2019.
- Brunner, M. I., Seibert, J., and Favre, A.-C.: Bivariate return periods and their importance for flood peak and volume estimation, Wire's Water, 3, 819–833, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1173, 2016.
 - Brunner, M. I., Viviroli, D., Sikorska, A. E., Vannier, O., Favre, A.-C., and Seibert, J.: Flood type specific construction of synthetic design hydrographs, Water Resources Research, 53, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR019535, 2017.

Brunner, M. I., Furrer, R., Sikorska, A. E., Viviroli, D., Seibert, J., and Favre, A.-C.: Synthetic design hydrographs for ungauged catchments: A comparison of regionalization methods, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 32, 1993–2023, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-018-1523-3, 2018.

Brunner, M. I., Bárdossy, A., and Furrer, R.: Technical note: Stochastic simulation of streamflow time series using phase randomization, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 3175–3187, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-3175-2019, 2019a.

Brunner, M. I., Furrer, R., and Favre, A.-C.: Modeling the spatial dependence of floods using the Fisher copula, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 107–124, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-107-2019, 2019b.

- 420 Brunner, M. I., Hingray, B., Zappa, M., and Favre, A. C.: Future trends in the interdependence between flood peaks and volumes: Hydroclimatological drivers and uncertainty, Water Resources Research, 55, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR024701, 2019c.
 - Brunner, M. I., Liechti, K., and Zappa, M.: Extremeness of recent drought events in Switzerland: Dependence on variable and return period choice, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 19, 2311–2323, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-2311-2019, 2019d.
- Brunner, M. I., Gilleland, E., Wood, A., Swain, D. L., and Clark, M.: Spatial dependence of floods shaped by spatiotemporal variations in
 meteorological and land-surface processes, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL088 000, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088000, 2020a.
 - Brunner, M. I., Papalexiou, S., Clark, M. P., and Gilleland, E.: How probable is widespread flooding in the United States?, Water Resources Research, 56, e2020WR028096, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028096, 2020b.

Brunner, M. I., Melsen, L. A., Wood, A. W., Rakovec, O., Mizukami, N., Knoben, W. J. M., and Clark, M. P.: Flood spatial coherence, triggers

- 430 and performance in hydrological simulations: large-sample evaluation of four streamflow-calibrated models, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 105–119, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-105-2021, 2021a.
 - Brunner, M. I., Swain, D. L., Gilleland, E., and Wood, A.: Increasing importance of temperature as a driver of streamflow drought spatial extent, Environmental Research Letters, 16, 024 038, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd2f0, 2021b.
- Brunner, M. I., Swain, D. L., Wood, R. R., Willkofer, F., Done, J. M., Gilleland, E., and Ludwig, R.: An extremeness threshold determines the
 regional response of floods to changes in rainfall extremes, Communications Earth & Environment, 2, 173, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00248-x, 2021c.





- Brönnimann, S., Stucki, P., Franke, J., Valler, V., Brugnara, Y., Hand, R., Slivinski, L. C., Compo, G. P., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Lang, M., and Schaefli, B.: Influence of warming and atmospheric circulation changes on multidecadal European flood variability, Climate of the Past, 18, 919–933, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-18-919-2022, 2022.
- 440 Bunde, A., Büntgen, U., Ludescher, J., Luterbacher, J., and Von Storch, H.: Is there memory in precipitation?, Nature Climate Change, 3, 174–175, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1830, 2013.
 - Chen, L. and Ford, T. W.: Future changes in the transitions of monthly-to-seasonal precipitation extremes over the Midwest in Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 models, International Journal of Climatology, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7756, 2022.
 Coles, S.: An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values, Springer London, London, 2001.
- 445 Coles, S., Heffernan, J., and Tawn, J.: Dependence measures for extreme value analyses, Extremes, 2, 339–365, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009963131610, 1999.
 - Cowling, A., Hall, P., and Phillips, M. J.: Bootstrap confidence regions for the intensity of a Poisson point process, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 91, 1516–1524, 1996.
- De Luca, P., Messori, G., Wilby, R. L., Mazzoleni, M., and Di Baldassarre, G.: Concurrent wet and dry hydrological extremes at the global
 scale, Earth System Dynamics, 11, 251–266, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-11-251-2020, 2020.
 - Deser, C., Phillips, A., Bourdette, V., and Teng, H.: Uncertainty in climate change projections: The role of internal variability, Climate Dynamics, 38, 527–546, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0977-x, 2012.
 - Deser, C., Lehner, F., Rodgers, K. B., Ault, T., Delworth, T. L., DiNezio, P. N., Fiore, A., Frankignoul, C., Fyfe, J. C., Horton, D. E., Kay, J. E., Knutti, R., Lovenduski, N. S., Marotzke, J., McKinnon, K. A., Minobe, S., Randerson, J., Screen, J. A., Simpson, I. R., and
- 455 Ting, M.: Insights from earth system model initial-condition large ensembles and future prospects, Nature Climate Change, 10, 277–286, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0731-2, 2020.
 - Di Baldassarre, G., Martinez, F., Kalantari, Z., and Viglione, A.: Drought and flood in the Anthropocene: Feedback mechanisms in reservoir operation, Earth System Dynamics, 8, 225–233, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-8-225-2017, 2017.
- Diederen, D., Liu, Y., Gouldby, B., Diermanse, F., and Vorogushyn, S.: Stochastic generation of spatially coherent river discharge peaks for
 continental event-based flood risk assessment, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 19, 1041–1053, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-1041-2019, 2019.
 - Diffenbaugh, N. S., Swain, D. L., Touma, D., and Lubchenco, J.: Anthropogenic warming has increased drought risk in California, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 112, 3931–3936, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422385112, 2015.
- 465 Dixon, P. M.: Ripley's K function, in: Wiley StatsRef: Statistics reference online, 2013.
- Edwards, A. L.: An introduction to linear regression and correlation, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1st edition edn., 1976.
 Espinoza, J. C., Ronchail, J., Guyot, J. L., Junquas, C., Drapeau, G., Martinez, J. M., Santini, W., Vauchel, P., Lavado, W., Ordoñez, J., and Espinoza, R.: From drought to flooding: Understanding the abrupt 2010-11 hydrological annual cycle in the Amazonas River and tributaries, Environmental Research Letters, 7, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024008, 2012.
- 470 Folland, C. K., Hannaford, J., Bloomfield, J. P., Kendon, M., Svensson, C., Marchant, B. P., Prior, J., and Wallace, E.: Multi-annual droughts in the English Lowlands: A review of their characteristics and climate drivers in the winter half-year, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 19, 2353–2375, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-2353-2015, 2015.
 - Ganguli, P. and Ganguly, A. R.: Space-time trends in U.S. meteorological droughts, Journal of Hydrology : Regional Studies, 8, 235–259, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2016.09.004, 2016.





- 475 Gaál, L., Szolgay, J., Kohnová, S., Hlavčová, K., Parajka, J., Viglione, A., Merz, R., and Blöschl, G.: Dependence between flood peaks and volumes: a case study on climate and hydrological controls, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 60, 968–984, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2014.951361, 2015.
 - Genest, C. and Favre, A.-C.: Everything you always wanted to know about copula modeling but were afraid to ask, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 12, 347–367, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2007)12:4(347), 2007.
- 480 Genest, C., Rémillard, B., and Beaudoin, D.: Goodness-of-fit tests for copulas: A review and a power study, Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 44, 199–213, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.insmatheco.2007.10.005, 2009.
 - Goodrich, G. B.: Multidecadal climate variability and drought in the United States, Geography Compass, 1, 713–738, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2007.00035.x, 2007.
- Gräler, B.: Modelling skewed spatial random fields through the spatial vine copula, Spatial Statistics, 10, 87–102, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spasta.2014.01.001, 2014.

Gu, X., Zhang, Q., Singh, V. P., Chen, Y. D., and Shi, P.: Temporal clustering of floods and impacts of climate indices in the Tarim River basin, China, Global and Planetary Change, 147, 12–24, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.10.011, 2016.

- Hamill, T. M., Whitaker, J. S., and Mullen, S. L.: Reforecasts: An important dataset for improving weather predictions, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 87, 33–46, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-87-1-33, 2006.
- 490 Hanel, M., Rakovec, O., Markonis, Y., Máca, P., Samaniego, L., Kyselý, J., and Kumar, R.: Revisiting the recent European droughts from a long-term perspective, Scientific Reports, 8, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27464-4, 2018.
 - Hannaford, J., Lloyd-Hughes, B., Keef, C., Parry, S., and Prudhomme, C.: Examining the large-scale spatial coherence of European drought using regional indicators of precipitation and streamflow deficit, Hydrological Processes, 25, 1146–1162, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7725, 2010.
- 495 He, X. and Sheffield, J.: Lagged compound occurrence of droughts and pluvials globally over the past seven decades, Geophysical Research Letters, pp. 1–32, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gl087924, 2020.

Heffernan, J. E. and Tawn, J.: A conditional approach to modelling multivariate extreme values, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B: Statistical Methodology, 66, 497–546, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2004.02050.x, 2004.

Henriques, A. G. and Santos, M. J. J.: Regional drought distribution model, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B: Hydrology, Oceans
and Atmosphere, 24, 19–22, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1464-1909(98)00005-7, 1999.

- Herman, J. D., Reed, P. M., Zeff, H. B., Characklis, G. W., and Lamontagne, J.: Synthetic drought scenario generation to support bottom-up water supply vulnerability assessments, Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 142, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000701, 2016.
- Hisdal, H. and Tallaksen, L. M.: Estimation of regional meteorological and hydrological drought characteristics: A case study for Denmark,
 Journal of Hydrology, 281, 230–247, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00233-6, 2003.
 - Hosking, J. R. M.: Modeling persistence in hydrological time series using fractional differencing, Water Resources Research, 20, 1898–1908, https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i012p01898, 1984.
 - Hurst, H. E.: The problem of long-term storage in reservoirs, International Association of Scientific Hydrology. Bulletin, 1, 13–27, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626665609493644, 1956.
- 510 Ibebuchi, C. C.: Patterns of atmospheric circulation in Western Europe linked to heavy rainfall in Germany: preliminary analysis into the 2021 heavy rainfall episode, Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 148, 269–283, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-022-03945-5, 2022.





Ionita, M. and Nagavciuc, V.: Changes in drought features at the European level over the last 120 years, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 21, 1685–1701, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-1685-2021, 2021.

Ionita, M., Lohmann, G., Rimbu, N., Chelcea, S., and Dima, M.: Interannual to decadal summer drought variability over Europe and its

relationship to global sea surface temperature, Climate Dynamics, 38, 363–377, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-011-1028-y, 2012.

Joe, H.: Dependence modeling with copulas, CRC Press. Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, 2015.

- Keef, C., Svensson, C., and Tawn, J. A.: Spatial dependence in extreme river flows and precipitation for Great Britain, Journal of Hydrology, 378, 240–252, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.09.026, 2009.
- Keef, C., Tawn, J. A., and Lamb, R.: Estimating the probability of widespread flood events, Environmetrics, 24, 13–21, https://doi.org/10.1002/env.2190, iSBN: 1099-095X, 2013.
 - Kemter, M., Merz, B., Marwan, N., Vorogushyn, S., and Blöschl, G.: Joint trends in flood magnitudes and spatial extents across Europe, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, 1–8, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087464, 2020.

Kendall, M. G.: A new measure of rank correlation, Biometrika, 30, 81, https://doi.org/10.2307/2332226, 1937.

- Kiran, K. G. and Srinivas, V. V.: Multivariate regional frequency analysis using conditional extreme values approach, Water Resources
 Research, 58, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR031095, 2022.
- Kreienkamp, F., Philip, S. Y., Tradowsky, J. S., Kew, S. F., Lorenz, P., Arrighi, J., Belleflamme, A., Bettmann, T., Caluwaerts, S., Chan, S. C., Ciavarella, A., De Cruz, L., de Vries, H., Demuth, N., Ferrone, A., Fischer, E. M., Fowler, H. J., Goergen, K., Heinrich, D., Henrichs, Y., Lenderink, G., Kaspar, F., Nilson, E., L Otto, F. E., Francesco Ragone, Sonia I. Seneviratne, Roop K. Singh, Amalie Skålevåg, Piet Termonia, Lisa Thalheimer, Maarten van Aalst, Joris Van den Bergh, Hans Van de Vyver, Stéphane Vannitsem, Geert
- 530 Jan van Oldenborgh, Bert Van Schaeybroeck, Robert Vautard, Demi Vonk, and Niko Wanders: Rapid attribution of heavy rainfall events leading to the severe flooding in Western Europe during July 2021, Tech. rep., world weather attribution, https://www.meteo.be/fr/infos/ actualite/ce-que-lon-sait-sur-les-pluies-, 2021.

Kussul, N., Shelestov, A., and Skakun, S.: Grid system for flood extent extraction from satellite images, Earth Science Informatics, 1, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12145-008-0014-3, 2008.

535 Laio, F.: Cramer-von Mises and Anderson-Darling goodness of fit tests for extreme value distributions with unknown parameters, Water Resources Research, 40, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004WR003204, 2004.

Lall, U. and Sharma, A.: A nearest neighbor bootstrap for resampling hydrologic time series, A nearest neighbor bootstrap for resampling hydrologic time series, 32, 679–693, 1996.

- Lancaster, G., Iatsenko, D., Pidde, A., Ticcinelli, V., and Stefanovska, A.: Surrogate data for hypothesis testing of physical systems, Physics
 Reports, 748, 1–60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2018.06.001, 2018.
 - Lee, T., Modarres, R., and Ouarda, T. B.: Data-based analysis of bivariate copula tail dependence for drought duration and severity, Hydrological Processes, 27, 1454–1463, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9233, 2013.
 - Liu, J. and Zhang, Y.: Multi-temporal clustering of continental floods and associated atmospheric circulations, Journal of Hydrology, 555, 744–759, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.10.072, 2017.
- 545 Liu, J., Jia, J., Yang, Y., Tang, M., Xue, Y., and Lu, H.: Risk assessment for drought-flood abrupt alternation in the Pearl River Basin, China, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 452, https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/452/2/022029, 2018.
 - Lun, D., Fischer, S., Viglione, A., and Blöschl, G.: Detecting flood-rich and flood-poor periods in annual peak discharges across Europe, Water Resources Research, 56, e2019WR026 575, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026575, 2020.



550



Mandelbrot, B. B.: Une classe de processus stochastiques homothetiques a soi: Application a la loi climatologique de H. E. Hurst, Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences, 260, 3274–3276, 1965.

Mandelbrot, B. B.: A fast fractional Gaussian noise generator, Water Resources Research, 7, 543–553, 1971.

Marsh, T. J., Parry, S., Kendon, M., Hannaford, J. A., and National Hydrological Monitoring Programme.: The 2010-12 drought and subsequent extensive flooding : a remarkable hydrological transformation, Tech. rep., Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, 2013.

McCabe, G. J. and Wolock, D. M.: Extensive droughts in the conterminous United States during multiple centuries, Earth Interactions, 26,

555 84–93, https://doi.org/10.1175/EI-D-21-0021.1, 2022.

- Mediero, L., Jiménez-Alvarez, A., and Garrote, L.: Design flood hydrographs from the relationship between flood peak and volume, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 2495–2505, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-14-2495-2010, 2010.
 - Mediero, L., Kjeldsen, T. R., Macdonald, N., Kohnova, S., Merz, B., Vorogushyn, S., Wilson, D., Alburquerque, T., Blöschl, G., Bogdanowicz, E., Castellarin, A., Hall, J., Kobold, M., Kriauciuniene, J., Lang, M., Madsen, H., Onuşluel Gül, G., Perdigão, R. A. P., Roald, L. A.,
- 560 Salinas, J. L., Toumazis, A. D., Veijalainen, N., and Orarinsson, O.: Identification of coherent flood regions across Europe by using the longest streamflow records, Journal of Hydrology, 528, 341–360, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.06.016, 2015.

Mejia, J. M., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., and Dawdy, D. R.: Streamflow simulation: 2. The broken line process as a potential model for hydrologic simulation, Water Resources Research, 8, 931–941, https://doi.org/10.1029/WR008i004p00931, 1972.

Merz, B., Nguyen, V. D., and Vorogushyn, S.: Temporal clustering of floods in Germany: Do flood-rich and flood-poor periods exist?, Journal
 of Hydrology, 541, 824–838, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.07.041, 2016.

- Metin, A. D., Dung, N. V., Schröter, K., Vorogushyn, S., Guse, B., Kreibich, H., and Merz, B.: The role of spatial dependence for large-scale flood risk estimation, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 20, 967–979, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-393, 2020.
 - Meylan, P., Favre, A.-C., and Musy, A.: Predictive hydrology. A frequency analysis approach, Science Publishers. St. Helier, Jersey, British Channel Islands, 2012.
- 570 Moon, H., Gudmundsson, L., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Drought persistence errors in global climate models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 3483–3496, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027577, 2018.

Moravec, V., Markonis, Y., Rakovec, O., Svoboda, M., Trnka, M., Kumar, R., and Hanel, M.: Europe under multi-year droughts: How severe was the 2014–2018 drought period?, Environmental Research Letters, 16, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe828, 2021.

Moreira, E. E., Martins, D. S., and Pereira, L. S.: Assessing drought cycles in SPI time series using a Fourier analysis, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 15, 571–585, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-15-571-2015, 2015.

- Mosley, L. M.: Drought impacts on the water quality of freshwater systems; review and integration, Earth-Science Reviews, 140, 203–214, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.11.010, 2015.
 - Mudelsee, M., Börngen, M., Tetzlaff, G., and Grünewald, U.: No upward trends in the occurrence of extreme floods in central Europe, Nature, 425, 166–169, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01928, 2003.
- 580 Neal, J., Keef, C., Bates, P., Beven, K., and Leedal, D.: Probabilistic flood risk mapping including spatial dependence, Hydrological Processes, 27, 1349–1363, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9572, 2013.

Nelsen, R. B.: An introduction to copulas, Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2006.

- Noone, S., Broderick, C., Duffy, C., Matthews, T., Wilby, R. L., and Murphy, C.: A 250-year drought catalogue for the island of Ireland (1765–2015), International Journal of Climatology, 37, 239–254, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4999, 2017.
- 585 Noorisameleh, Z., Gough, W. A., Monirul, . M., and Mirza, Q.: Persistence and spatial-temporal variability of drought severity in Iran, Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28, 48 808–48 822, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14100-4/Published, 2021.



590

610



- Oesting, M. and Stein, A.: Spatial modeling of drought events using max-stable processes, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 32, 63–81, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-017-1406-z, 2018.
- Papalexiou, S. M.: Unified theory for stochastic modelling of hydroclimatic processes: Preserving marginal distributions, correlation struc-
- tures, and intermittency, Advances in Water Resources, 115, 234-252, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2018.02.013, 2018.
- Parry, S., Hannaford, J., Lloyd-Hughes, B., and Prudhomme, C.: Multi-year droughts in Europe: analysis of development and causes, Hydrology Research, 43, 689, https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2012.024, 2012.
- Parry, S., Wilby, L. R., Prudhomme, C., and Wood, J. P.: A systematic assessment of drought termination in the United Kingdom, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20, 4265–4281, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-4265-2016, number: 10, 2016.
- 595 Pelletier, J. D. and Turcotte, D. L.: Long-range persistence in climatological and hydrological time series: analysis, modeling and application to drought hazard assessment, Journal of Hydrology, 203, 198–208, 1997.

Perez Arango, J. D., Lintner, B. R., Carvalho, L. M., and Lyon, B.: Spatial extents of tropical droughts during El Niño in current and future climate in observations, reanalysis, and CMIP5 models, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093701, 2021.
 Prichard, D. and Theiler, J.: Generating surrogate data for time series with several simultaneously measured variables, Physical Review

- 600 Letters, 73, 951–954, 1994.
 - Pulley, S., Foster, I., and Antunes, P.: The dynamics of sediment-associated contaminants over a transition from drought to multiple flood events in a lowland UK catchment, Hydrological Processes, 30, 704–719, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10616, 2016.

Radziejewski, M., Bardossy, A., and Kundzewicz, Z.: Detection of change in river flow using phase randomization, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 45, 547–558, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626660009492356, 2000.

605 Rahimi, L., Deidda, C., and De Michele, C.: Origin and variability of statistical dependencies between peak, volume, and duration of rainfalldriven flood events, Scientific Reports, 11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84664-1, 2021.

Rakovec, O., Samaniego, L., Hari, V., Markonis, Y., Moravec, V., Thober, S., Hanel, M., and Kumar, R.: The 2018–2020 multi-year drought sets a new benchmark in Europe, Earth's Future, 10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002394, 2022.

Renard, B. and Lang, M.: Use of a Gaussian copula for multivariate extreme value analysis: Some case studies in hydrology, Advances in Water Resources, 30, 897–912, 2007.

Ribatet, M. and Sedki, M.: Extreme value copulas and max-stable processes, Journal de la Société Française de Statistique, 154, 138–150, 2013.

Ripley, B. D.: Spatial statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, https://doi.org/10.1002/0471725218, 1981.

- Rossi, G., Benedini, M., Tsakiris, G., and Giakoumakis, S.: On regional drought estimation and analysis, Water Resources Management, 6,
 249–277, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00872280, 1992.
 - Rudd, A. C., Kay, A. L., and Bell, V. A.: National-scale analysis of future river flow and soil moisture droughts: potential changes in drought characteristics, Climatic Change, 156, 323–340, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02528-0, 2019.

Rupp, D. E., Chegwidden, O. S., Nijssen, B., and Clark, M. P.: Changing river network synchrony modulates projected increases in high flows, Water Resources Research, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028713, 2021.

620 Salas, J. D. and Lee, T.: Nonparametric simulation of single-site seasonal streamflows, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 15, 284–296, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000189, 2010.

Salvadori, G.: Bivariate return periods via 2-copulas, Statistical Methodology, 1, 129-144, 2004.

Salvadori, G. and Michele, C. D.: Multivariate real-time assessment of droughts via copula-based multi-site hazard trajectories and fans, Journal of Hydrology, 526, 101–115, 2015.



650



625 Schreiber, T. and Schmitz, A.: Surrogate time series, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 142, 346–382, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2789(00)00043-9, 2000.

Segers, J.: Max-stable models for multivariate extremes, Revstat Statistical Journal, 10, 61-82, arXiv: 1204.0332, 2012.

Serinaldi, F.: Dismissing return periods!, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 29, 1179–1189, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-014-0916-1, 2015.

630 Serinaldi, F.: Can we tell more than we can know? The limits of bivariate drought analyses in the United States, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 30, 1691–1704, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-015-1124-3, 2016.

Serinaldi, F. and Grimaldi, S.: Synthetic design hydrographs based on distribution functions with finite support, Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, 16, 434–446, https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)he.1943-5584.0000339, 2011.

Serinaldi, F. and Kilsby, C. G.: A blueprint for full collective flood risk estimation: demonstration for European river flooding, Risk Analysis,
 37, 1958–1976, https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12747, 2017.

Sharma, A., Tarboton, D. G., and Lall, U.: Streamflow simulation: a nonparametric approach, Water Resources Research, 33, 291–308, 1997.
 Sharma, S. and Mujumdar, P.: Increasing frequency and spatial extent of concurrent meteorological droughts and heatwaves in India, Scientific Reports, 7, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15896-3, 2017.

Sheffield, J., Andreadis, K. M., Wood, E. F., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Global and continental drought in the second half of the twen-

- 640 tieth century: Severity-area-duration analysis and temporal variability of large-scale events, Journal of Climate, 22, 1962–1981, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2722.1, 2009.
 - Shi, W., Huang, S., Liu, D., Huang, Q., Han, Z., Leng, G., Wang, H., Liang, H., Li, P., and Wei, X.: Drought-flood abrupt alternation dynamics and their potential driving forces in a changing environment, Journal of Hydrology, 597, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126179, 2021.
- 645 Shiau, J. T.: Fitting drought duration and severity with two-dimensional copulas, Water Resources Management, 20, 795–815, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-005-9008-9, 2006.

Sklar, A.: Fonctions de répartition à n dimensions et leurs marges, Publ Inst Statist Univ Paris, 8, 229-231, 1959.

Slater, L. J., Anderson, B., Buechel, M., Dadson, S., Han, S., Harrigan, S., Kelder, T., Kowal, K., Lees, T., Matthews, T., Murphy, C., and Wilby, R. L.: Nonstationary weather and water extremes: a review of methods for their detection, attribution, and management, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 3897–3935, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-576, 2021.

Spearman, C.: The proof and measurement of association between two things, 15, 72–101, 1904.

Srinivas, V. V. and Srinivasan, K.: Hybrid matched-block bootstrap for stochastic simulation of multiseason streamflows, Journal of Hydrology, 329, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.01.023, 2006.

Srivastav, R. K. and Simonovic, S. P.: An analytical procedure for multi-site, multi-season streamflow generation using maximum entropy
 bootstrapping, Environmental Modelling and Software, 59, 59–75, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.05.005, 2014.

- Stedinger, J. R. and Taylor, M. R.: Synthetic streamflow generation. 1. Model verification and validation, Water Resources Research, 18, 909–918, https://doi.org/0733-9429, 1982.
 - Stephan, R., Erfurt, M., Terzi, S., Žun, M., Kristan, B., Haslinger, K., and Stahl, K.: An Alpine drought impact inventory to explore past droughts in a mountain region, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 21, 2485–2501, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2021-24, 2021.
- 660 Swain, D. L., Langenbrunner, B., Neelin, J. D., and Hall, A.: Increasing precipitation volatility in twenty-first-century California, Nature Climate Change, 8, 427–433, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0140-y, 2018.





- Szolgay, J., Gaal, L., Kohnova, S., Hlavcova, K., Vyleta, R., Bacigal, T., and Blöschl, G.: A process-based analysis of the suitability of copula types for peak-volume flood relationships, in: Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences, pp. 183–188, IAHS, https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-370-183-2015, 2015.
- 665 Sánchez-Pinillos, M., D'Orangeville, L., Boulanger, Y., Comeau, P., Wang, J., Taylor, A. R., and Kneeshaw, D.: Sequential droughts: A silent trigger of boreal forest mortality, Global Change Biology, 28, 542–556, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15913, 2022.
 - Tanguy, M., Haslinger, K., Svensson, C., Parry, S., Barker, L. J., Hannaford, J., and Prudhomme, C.: Regional differences in spatiotemporal drought characteristics in Great Britain, Frontiers in Environmental Science, 9, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.639649, 2021.
- Tatli, H.: Detecting persistence of meteorological drought via the Hurst exponent, Meteorological Applications, 22, 763–769,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1519, 2015.
 - Teutschbein, C., Quesada Montano, B., Todorović, A., and Grabs, T.: Streamflow droughts in Sweden: Spatiotemporal patterns emerging from six decades of observations, Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 42, 101 171, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2022.101171, 2022.
 - Theiler, J., Eubank, S., Longtin, A., Galdrikian, B., and Farmer, J. D.: Testing for nonlinearity in time series: the method of surrogate data, Physica D, 58, 77–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2789(92)90102-S, 1992.
- 675 Tong, S., Lai, Q., Zhang, J., Bao, Y., Lusi, A., Ma, Q., Li, X., and Zhang, F.: Spatiotemporal drought variability on the Mongolian Plateau from 1980–2014 based on the SPEI-PM, intensity analysis and Hurst exponent, Science of the Total Environment, 615, 1557–1565, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.121, 2018.
 - Touma, D., Michalak, A. M., Swain, D. L., and Diffenbaugh, N. S.: Characterizing the spatial scales of extreme daily precipitation in the United States, Journal of Climate, 31, 8023–8037, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0019.1, 2018.
- 680 Tuel, A. and Martius, O.: A climatology of sub-seasonal temporal clustering of extreme precipitation in Switzerland and its links to extreme discharge, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 21, 2949–2972, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-2949-2021, 2021.
 - Tuel, A., Schaefli, B., Zscheischler, J., and Martius, O.: On the links between sub-seasonal clustering of extreme precipitation and high discharge in Switzerland and Europe, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 26, 2649–2669, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-2649-2022, 2022.
- 685 van der Wiel, K., Wanders, N., Selten, F. M., and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Added value of large ensemble simulations for assessing extreme river discharge in a 2 °C warmer world, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 2093–2102, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL081967, 2019.
 - Van Loon, A. F., Tijdeman, E., Wanders, N., Van Lanen, H. A. J., Teuling, A. J., and Uijlenhoet, R.: How climate seasonality modifies drought duration and deficit, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 4640–4656, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020383, 2014.
 - Vidal, J. P., Martin, E., Franchistéguy, L., Habets, F., Soubeyroux, J. M., Blanchard, M., and Baillon, M.: Multilevel and multiscale drought
- 690 reanalysis over France with the Safran-Isba-Modcou hydrometeorological suite, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 459–478, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-14-459-2010, 2010.
 - Villarini, G., Smith, J. A., Vitolo, R., and Stephenson, D. B.: On the temporal clustering of US floods and its relationship to climate teleconnection patterns, International Journal of Climatology, 33, 629–640, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3458, 2013.
- Vitolo, R., Stephenson, D. B., Cook, L. M., and Mitchell-Wallace, K.: Serial clustering of intense European storms, Meteorologische
 Zeitschrift, 18, 411–424, https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2009/0393, 2009.
 - Wang, N., Lombardo, L., Tonini, M., and Cheng, W.: Space-time clustering of flash floods in a changing climate (China, 1950-2015), Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 21, 2109–2124, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-21-2109-2021, 2020.
 - Ward, P. J., de Ruiter, M. C., Mård, J., Schröter, K., Van Loon, A., Veldkamp, T., von Uexkull, N., Wanders, N., AghaKouchak, A., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Capewell, L., Carmen Llasat, M., Day, R., Dewals, B., Di Baldassarre, G., Huning, L. S., Kreibich, H., Mazzoleni, M., Savelli,





- Find E., Teutschbein, C., van den Berg, H., van der Heijden, A., Vincken, J. M., Waterloo, M. J., and Wens, M.: The need to integrate flood and drought disaster risk reduction strategies, Water Security, 11, 100 070, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2020.100070, 2020.
 - Willkofer, F., Wood, R. R., Trentini, F. V., Weismüller, J., Poschlod, B., and Ludwig, R.: A holistic modelling approach for the estimation of return levels of peak flows in Bavaria, Water, 12, 2349, 10.3390/w12092349, 2020.
 - Yang, S., Wu, B., Zhang, R., and Zhou, S.: Relationship between an abrupt drought-flood transition over mid-low reaches of the
- 705 Yangtze River in 2011 and the intraseasonal oscillation over mid-high latitudes of East Asia, Acta Meteorologica Sinica, 27, 129–143, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-013-0201-0, 2013.
 - Yue, Y., Liu, H. F., Mu, X. X., Qin, M. S., Wang, T. T., Wang, Q., and Yan, Y. Q.: Spatial and temporal characteristics of drought and its correlation with climate indices in Northeast China, PLoS ONE, 16, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259774, 2021.
 - Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S., Bevacqua, E., Raymond, C., Horton, R. M., Hurk, B. v. d., AghaKouchak, A., Jezequel, A., Mahecha,
- 710 M. D., Maraun, D., Ramos, A. M., Ridder, N. N., Thiery, W., and Vignotto, E.: A typology of compound weather and climate events, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1, 333–347, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0060-z, 2020.