

I appreciate the authors' efforts in addressing my comments. The authors did not clarify where exactly (page and line numbers) the revisions have been made; this made it difficult for me to review the revised version. Overall, I see improvements in the manuscript but a few points should still be addressed:

1. The writing is still mediocre and needs improvements. This is not only about English but the way the story is told.
2. Non-linear regression should be examined alongside the linear regression to evaluate the sensitivity of the results.
3. Please briefly discuss your response to my original comment "To what extent, does the sample size change the uncertainty? Is 1,000 a sufficient sample size?" in the revised manuscript.
4. Please briefly discuss your response to my original comment "Are all methods and approaches sensitive to the database type? Can those be generalized to other catchments? If so, what are some considerations?" in the revised manuscript.