Landscape structure and rainstorms swingstructures regulate the <u>contrasting</u> response of recession <u>nonlinearityalong rainfall amounts</u>

Jun-Yi Lee^{1,2}, Ci-Jian Yang^{2,3}, Tsung-Ren Peng¹, Tsung-Yu Lee⁴, Jr-Chuan Huang²

¹Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402202, Taiwan

²Department of Geography, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106319, Taiwan
 ³German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ), Telegrafenberg, Potsdam 14473, Germany.
 ⁴Department of Geography, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 106209, Taiwan

Correspondence to: Jr-Chuan Huang(riverhuang@ntu.edu.tw)

Abstract. Streamflow recession discloses hydrological functioning, runoff dynamics, and storage status within catchments. 10 Understanding recession response to landscape structures tructures and rainstorms can be a guidance for assessing streamflow change under climate change. Yet, the documented response direction of recession is inconsistent and diverse. This study tested how landscape structures tructures and rainstorms regulate the response direction. We derived 260a total of 291 pairs of recession ratecoefficient, *a*, and nonlinearity, *b*, from power-law recession ($-dQ/d = Q^b$) inover all 19 subtropical catchments with a broad rainfall spectrum. Results showed that the recession ratecoefficient increases with the drainage density and L/G

- 15 (the ratio (of flow-path length overto gradient), particularly in small catchments, indicating that the catchments with the dense network or more short-and-gentle hillslopes would result in high ratesvalues of *a*. Apart from landscape structure, the rate surprisingly*a* decreases with rainfall amount_particularly in low L/G catchments. Probably because rainstorm facilitates connectivity in the saturated zones, which might conjoin more water from slow reservoirs and thus water drains slowly. Additionally, the recession nonlinearity increases with spatial heterogeneity (drainage area)rainfall amount in larger
- 20 <u>catchments</u> but decreases with hillslope hydraulies (drainage density).in small catchments. The swing of response direction, which lies in the predominance between spatial heterogeneityarea and hillslope hydrauliesL/G, needs further clarification, particularly for regional recession assessment under climate changes. Incorrect response direction from landscape structure would lead to considerable bias inference.

1 Introduction

- 25 Streamflow recession, the falling segment of hydrograph, reflects a rainfall-runoff process in the falling segment of hydrographand interaction among different runoffs during thea rainstorm. Recession is Therefore, recession, associated with runoff paths within landscape-and, is particularly critical for baseflow estimation (Palmroth et al., 2010). Previous studies analyzed aggregated long-term data to retrieve recession parameters (e.g., Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977), but parameters from individual events can elucidate the recession characteristics of catchments (Jachens et al., 2020) and shed insight into the
- 30 sensitivity of catchments to rainstorms that provides valuable information, which is informative for water resource

management under rainfall intensification. Therefore, recent studies have shifted to investigate recessions from individual events (Biswal and Nagesh Kumar, 2014; Jachens et al., 2020). It is crucial because the frequency and intensity of 10 year return period rainfall will increase 1.7 times and 14%, respectively (under global warming level of 2 °C, by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Seneviratne et al., in press).

- 35 A power-law relationship between streamflow declines <u>(streamflow rate *Q* recesses with a timestep *t*)</u> with streamflow rates ($dQ/dt = aQ^b \hat{a}Q^b$) can describe the recession characteristics at the catchment scale, <u>since</u> (Brutsaert and Nieber-(, 1977), henceforth referred). Parameter \hat{a} approximates to as B&N. Here, the coefficient *a* refers to recession rate, and exponent but is influenced by the unit of flow and *b* (see section 2.2.2), and parameter *b* represents the nonlinearity of storage. Both recessionRecession parameters depend on hydraulic properties (e.g., hydraulic conductivity and soil porosity), are often linked
- 40 to the aquifer geometries, landscape structure, and rainstormsspatial heterogeneity. Since the aquifer in various landscape units (e.g., hillslope, riparian, stream) exhibits different hydraulic properties, and landscape structure, which presents the geometry of catchments and aggregates catchment hydraulic properties, apparently reflects various recession parameters. For example, recession rate, *a*, In theory, parameter *â* has a positive correlation with drainage density (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; Zecharias and Brutsaert, 1988) and total stream length (Bogaart et al., 2016),/drainage area) and aquifer slopes but has a negative
- 45 correlation to flow-path length with aquifer depths, aquifer heterogeneity (of conductivity) (e.g., Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; <u>Rupp and Selker, 2006)</u> and flow-path height (Bogaart et al., 2016; Karlsen et al., 2019). On the other hand, nonlinearity, *b*,inter-hillslope heterogeneity (of celerity) (Harman et al., 2009). Parameter *b* increases with catchment area (Clark et al., 2009; <u>McMillan et al., 2014)the number of streams (Biswal</u> and hillslope height (Karlsen et al., 2019). Although most literature indicated that landscape structure controls<u>Marani, 2010</u>), the general or seasonal pattern of recession, few studies
- 50 investigated<u>heterogeneity of</u> the role of landscape on recession characteristics with various rainstorms.aquifer (Rupp and Selker, 2006) and the inter-hillslope (Harman et al., 2009), yet decrease with the total stream length (Biswal and Marani, 2010). The influence of rainstorms on recession parameters is complicated and inconsistent. Several empirical studies found a positive or independent relationship between recession rate and rainfall amount (Bogaart et al., 2016) streamflow rate (Santos et al., 2019), whereas a theoretical work found that the increasing steady state recharge rate could either enhance or reduce
- 55 recession rate, depending on the spatial heterogeneity (Harman et al., 2009). On the other hand, rainfall amount corresponded negatively (Shaw, 2016) or insensitively to nonlinearity (Biswal and Marani, 2010; Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; Dralle et al., 2017), but antecedent wetness was positive (Harman et al., 2009; Jachens et al., 2020). The various responses from literature Theoretical works also have illustrated the temporal dependence of recession parameters on the groundwater table, recharge, and storage. From the perspective of temporal variability, parameter â is negatively correlated to the initial
- 60 groundwater table (h₀) under unsaturated conditions and renders a slightly positive correlation under saturated conditions (h₀ \geq Btan ϕ , where B is aquifer length and is ϕ aquifer angle, Rupp and Selker, 2006). A large recharge rate also reduces parameter \hat{a} , particularly in homogenous catchments (Harman et al., 2009). On the other hand, hydraulic theories indicate that *b* decreases from 3.0 to 1.5 during the transition from early to late recession, as the groundwater is vertically sourced from different hydraulic properties in wet conditions (e.g., Rupp and Selker, 2006). The spatial heterogeneity theory demonstrates that *b* only

- slightly increases with the wet antecedent condition (Harman et al., 2009). However, the drainage network theory indicates 65 that b increases/decreases with storage while reaches in downstream are contributed by more/fewer subsurface storages (Biswal and Nagesh Kumar, 2013). The various responses among theories implying the control of landscape structure and rainfall amount on recession in different regions should be improved.
- The compilation of pervious empirical recession works (summarized in Table 1 and S1) demonstrated that most studies 70 elucidated the recession parameters at long-term scale, and the relationships between recession parameters against landscape, landcover, and soil were inconsistent. For example, empirical recession parameters have inconsistent responses to several physiographic variables (drainage area, drainage density, water bodies coverage, and surface saturated conductivity), implying that different landscape regimes may have distinct recession responses. Additionally, most inter-event studies just analyzed
- the single parameter (\hat{a}) that decreases with the catchment wetness, which ignores the temporal variability of b. Only Biswal and Nagesh Kumar (2013) found the different directions of b response to peak flow, but which landscape variables would 75 control the direction is still unclear. This compilation indicated that rare studies focused on the subtropical region and the variability of recession parameters at event scale.

This study investigated the recession parameters along with different magnitude of rainstorms (e.g. typhoons) on steep landscape in hope to identify the interactive role of rainfall and physiographic variables in recession. Specifically, we derived

- 80 the recession coefficient and nonlinearity in 19 mountainous catchments (drainage area varies between 77-2,089 km²) across Taiwan with multiyear records of hourly streamflow (291 events in total). Due to frequent tropical cyclones (alias: typhoon) and mountainous landscapes, Taiwan's riverrivers lead to short water travel time and limit water retention capacity in catchments (Lee et al., 2020). Most typhoon rainwater falls in summer and elevates water level dramatically but diminishes quickly within 2-3 days (Huang et al., 2012). Here, the following questions are addressed: (1) What are the recession
- characteristics of typhoon events in subtropical mountainous catchments? (2) How do rainfall and landscape variables affect 85 recession parameters in different landscape regimes? (3) In what way do landscape variables regulate the response of nonlinearity to rainfall? We documented the spatial patterns of recession parameters in Taiwan (Sect. 3) and then discussed how the recession behaviors change in different landscape settings (Sect. 4). Finally, we proposed a hypothesis: landscape structure could swing recession responses to rainstorms. Understanding the recession behaviors after typhoons are vital to
- 90

This study investigated the recession parameters along with the different magnitude of typhoons on steep landscape in the hope of identifying the interactive role of climatic and physiographic variables in recession. Specifically, we derived the recession rate and nonlinearity in 19 mountainous catchments (drainage area varies between 77-2,089 km²) across Taiwan

water resource management, particularly when global warming likely increases the frequency and magnitude of flood and

drought (Shiu et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014).

with multiyear records of hourly streamflow (260 catchment events in total). The following questions are addressed: (1) what are the recession characteristics in subtropical mountainous catchments? (2) how do climatic and physiographic variables affect recession parameters? We documented the spatial patterns of recession characteristics in Taiwan (Sect. 3) and then

discussed how the recession behaviors change in different landscape settings (Seet. 4). Finally, we proposed a hypothesis: landscape structure could swing recession responses to rainstorms.

100 2 Material and methods

2.1 Study area

Taiwan is geographically located at the juncture between the Eurasian and Philippine tectonic plates and climatologically located at the corridor of typhoons. The active mountain belt with frequent typhoons shapes steep and fractured landscapes with verdant forests. The mean annual rainfall is about 2,510 mm, and approx. 40% of annual rainfall is brought by typhoons

- 105 in a few days. The lowest mean annual temperature is approx. 4°C in montane regions and 22°C in plain regions. In this mountainous island, the uplifting elevation (0–4,000 m) within a short horizontal distance (~75 km) shows the steepness of thesteep terrain feature (Huang et al., 2016). Specifically, the drainage area of most catchments is smaller than ~500 km² and stream lengths are less than ~55 km, indicating a short water travel time. The basic catchment descriptions of landscape variables could refer to Table S1.
- 110 <u>S2.</u> Land cover inventories from the Taiwan Ministry of the Interior (www.moi.gov.tw) were reclassified from the original 13 categories into three major categories; namely, water₅ (C_W), forest₅ (C_F), agriculture₅ (C_A), and others for each catchment. The landscape metric describes described by the landscape variables which were retrieved from the digital elevation model (DEM) with 20m resolution and referred to Table S2. The specific variables and their definitions in of landscape variables are below: *A* is the metric were referred to Table S1. drainage area [L²]; *DD* is the drainage density [L/L²] defined as the ratio of total
- 115 stream length to drainage area; S_m is the gradient of mainstream [-]; *HI* is the hypsometric integral [-]; ELO is the basin elongation [-] defined as the ratio of the diameter of the circle (same area with the basin) to basin length. Notably, the flowpath length (*L*), is defined as the hillslope grid point following the surface flow direction toward the channel. Flow-path length (*L*) is the length of this path, and flow-path height (*H*), and gradient (*G*) above the nearest channel were retrieved by the hydrology toolset in AreGIS 10.7, which helps to discuss) is the height difference along this path. *G* is the flow-path gradient
- 120 [-]. These flow-path metrics and the L/G are often used in transit time studies (e.g., McGuire et al., 2005), helping to describe how landscape control on streamflow recession (e.g., Zecharias and Brutsaert, 1988; Bogaart et al., 2016; Jachens et al., 2020). Streamflow in this steep mountainous island usually descends quickly after a considerable surge by a typhoon. Thus, hourly streamflow records are required to describe the entire streamflow recession since it only lasts a few days after peak. This study selected hourly streamflow records during 1986-2014 from the Taiwan Water Resource Agency (www.wra.gov.tw) and Tai-
- 125 Power Company (www.taipower.com.tw). Only the catchments without large water division infrastructures in the upstream area and the total rainfall larger than 30 mm were used to prevent human manipulation on streamflow and guarantee the discharge rise. -Based on the criteria, nineteen catchments and 260291 events were filtered for further recession analysis. Commensurate with the hourly streamflow, the hourly rainfall dataset from the Taiwan Central Weather Bureau (www.cwb.gov.tw) was introduced to Thiessen weighted method for areal rainfall estimation to the corresponding catchments.

130 The rainfall period was defined as the elapse time from 6 hr before the rising flow to the peak flow. Collectively, a hydroclimate metric of rainstorm and streamflow presented total event rainfall, duration, average and maximum rainfall intensity, total streamflow, peak flow, and initialantecedent flow is shown in Table S2S3.

2.2 Recession analysis

As most analyses of hydrological processes do, the water balance equation is primarily described as Eq. (1):

$$135 \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = P - E - Q$$

where *S* is the storage volume within a catchment (in units of volume [L³] or depth [L]), and *P*, *E*, and *Q* are the rates of precipitation [L], evapotranspiration [L], and stream discharge [L³/T] or [L/T], respectively. For solving the unknown storage, which cannot be measured directly, all terms should be identified. The B&N-formula, $Q = mS^n$ with constant *m* and *n* (Vogel and Kroll, 1992), which follows Dupuit-Boussinesq equation, can be used to derive the relationship between storage and stream discharge. In this regard, *S* can be replaced by *Q* to infer the storage changes. During the recession period, *P* and *E* are relatively small compared to *Q*, and then the following equation is derived to represent the recession behaviors within a catchment. $-\frac{dQ}{dt} = nm^{\frac{1}{n}}Q^{\frac{2n-1}{n}} = aQ^{\frac{b}{n}}\hat{a}Q^{b}$

(1)

(2)

145

where a<u>a</u> and b are constants derived from the Q-S relation. In this study, the stream discharge has been normalized by drainage area, and the unit of Q, <u>a</u> and <u>b</u> is [mm/h], [h⁻¹ (mm/h)^{1-b}] and [-], respectively. This power-law form between -dQ/dt and Q indicates that the rate of streamflow decline is highly relevant to Q during the recession and has been widely plotted as "recession plot" (Kirchner, 2009). This plot enables the analysis of streamflow recessions collectivelyaggregatedly or event-independently and facilitates the derivation of storage–outflow relationships (Stölzle et al., 2013). Although the B&Npower-law formula and recession plot are widely used for describing the recession behavior, the calculationretrieval procedures of recession extraction and parameter estimation are diverse due to different practical operations. For example, Stölzle et al. (2013) compared three recession extraction methods of recession segment in conjunction with their corresponding parameter estimations and all possible combinations. They found that recession characteristics like recession characteristics derived with different procedures have only limited comparability and highlight the distinctiveness of individual procedures due to different purposes and philosophies. Dralle et al. (2017) also agreed with the above statement but they found that the relationship between <u>a</u> and antecedent wetness were sensitive to the length of data. Despite the differences among the

procedures, applying the same procedure to a regional extent still captures the recession characteristics. The following subsections present the procedures used for extraction and parameter estimation.

2.2.1 Recession segment extraction

In the extraction procedure, two concerns should be addressed: (1) distinguishing between the early and late recession stage, and (2) elimination of the unexpectedly positive increases in the recession. The early-stage (containing preceding storm and surface flow) and the late stage of recession (only dominated by base flow) are indistinguishable and usually determined

- subjectively based on different purposes. Some studies empirically excluded the early-stage recession from eliminating the influence of quick flow (e.g., Brutsaert, 2008; Vogel and Kroll, 1992). Some other studies used a threshold for the minimum length in extraction procedures from 2- to 10-days (e.g., Mendoza et al., 2003; Vogel and Kroll, 1992). Since the whole recession segment represents the mixing recession behavior from quick and base flow interactively, we used peak flow as the beginning of the recession period. For eliminating unexpectedly positive increases in recession, several approaches have been
- proposed as well, for example, smoothing the hydrograph (Vogel and Kroll, 1992), discarding the segment directly (Brutsaert, 2008; Kirchner, 2009), and breaking-and-rejoining the recession segments (Millares et al., 2009). Each strategy has its advantages and disadvantages; smoothing the hydrograph could not completely erase the bulge caused by precipitation; discarding the segment would lose part of recession events. Although breaking-and-rejoining the recession, too, disturbs the original streamflow records, the method maintains a better integral of a recession event.
- 175 This study focused on the entire streamflow recession and used the complete The specific procedure of recession segmentused in this study was described below. First, the recession evolution by typhoons is our main concern and thus we selected the whole recession period startingsegment from the peak flow of the individual rainstorm. The whole recession segment represents the mixing of quick and base flow interactively. Later, we screened and broke down the hydrograph as an abrupt bulge emerged, erased the positive streamflow increases, and concatenated the remaining segments. This elimination procedure
- 180 is quite similar to the master recession curve on a long-term scale (Millares et al., 2009). Third, data points corresponding to extremely low streamflow ($Q < 0.1 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$) or recession ($-dQ/dt < 0.01 \text{ mm h}^{-2}$) were excluded, due to the undetectable change in recession. Forth, rainfall events with an unreasonable ratio of total flow to total rainfall (Q/P > 1.1 or Q/P < 0.1) were also excluded. Ultimately, a total of 298 rainstorms were selected for further parameter estimation.

2.2.2 Parameter fitting

- 185 All extracted recession segments can be plotted on Generally, the recession plot (-dQ/dt vs Q) is widely used for estimation of B&Nrecession parameters. SeveralBut, several fitting methods have been proposed <u>due to different philosophies</u> in the literature. First, it fitsOne is to fit with the lower envelope of the point-cloud (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977) since the evapotranspiration effect in a recession would lead to a higher value of -dQ/dt. Taking the lower envelope can prevent the evapotranspiration effect. Secondly, it fitsAnother one is to fit with the entire point-cloud (Brutsaert, 2005; Vogel and Kroll,
- 190 1992) as subsoil heterogeneity may overshadow the evapotranspiration effect in larger or steeper catchments (Brutsaert, 2005).
 Thirdly, it fits The other is to fit with the binned means weighted by the square of the standard error of each binned mean (Kirchner, 2009) because the lower values of -dQ/dt could be affected by the measurement errors in the streamflow observation.

Recently, a virtual experiment study suggested that it is unsuitable to represent a general picture through fitting with a group of data clouds (aggregated dataset) because the preceding flow can be superimposed on the event flow, resulting in

- 195 underestimation of nonlinearity (Jachens et al., 2020). In contrast, fitting with individual recession segments canto fit with individual recession segments in order to capture the recession characteristics and offer an opportunity for exploring the impacts of rainstorm properties on recession. Because a group of data clouds (aggregated dataset) might result in underestimation of nonlinearity (Jachens et al., 2020). We_a therefore_a used each recession segment and fitted it with the B&N formula-power-law recession individually. Notably, the ordinary least square method is used to obtain estimates of parameter
- 200 a and b, but the two parameters are interactively dependent, particularly when the number of points is huge. The specific parameter estimation for the retrieved recession segment was described below. Firstly, low-flow record correction: the same low flows appear frequently due to the detection limit of instruments and result in a series of zero value of -dQ/dt which affects parameter estimation, particularly in b. We applied the exponential time step method (Roques et al., 2017) here to reduce the bias, in which the time step of the moving window exponentially increases along the recession. The
- 205 extended sampling period could avoid the occurrence of zero values of -dQ/dt. Secondly, the decorrelation method: another important concern of parameter estimation in recession is the dependence between a and b, which blurs the interpretation of parameters. Therefore, we applied decorrelation method which assumes that the observed flow Q consists of a scale-free flow \hat{Q} and a constant k ($Q = k\hat{Q}$). Thus, the power law formula can be rewritten as $-dQ/dt = ak^{b-1}\hat{Q}^{b}$, where a is scale-free recession coefficient [h⁻¹]. For correcting \hat{a} to a, the observed flow Q was divided by a constant Q_{0} (ideally equal to 1/k, see detail in
- 210 Dralle et al., 2015). After the decorrelation process, the number of catchments with a high correlation between *a* and *b* ($R^2 \ge 0.1$) decreased from 9 to 2. Finally, events with low goodness of fit ($R^2 < 0.5$) were discarded. Ultimately, each watershed had 5 to 26 events (total is 291, see Table S3) selected for exploring the landscape and rainstorm effects, of which events were not necessarily the same rainstorm.

3. Results

215 3.1. Recession parameters from individual and point-cloud fit

After proceeding with the mentioned analysis onto this dataset, we demonstrated the recession plots of <u>W9</u>, W5, W8, and <u>W18W8</u> in Fig. 2. The three catchments have distinct differences in landscape, particularly in <u>drainage area and *L/G*</u> (ratio of median flow-path length to median flow-path gradient to stream) and <u>ELO</u> (elongation), seeing), see Table <u>1S2</u>. Catchment <u>W8W9</u> has a higher *L/G* (1109)<u>larger A</u> and <u>elongated shape</u> (low <u>ELO</u>=0.73), whereas W18<u>lower L/G</u>, W5 has a lower *L/G*A

220 and large *ELO*, indicating an oval shape.lower L/G, and W8 has a smaller A, but higher L/G. In descending order, the ranking of median recession rates<u>b</u> is catchment W8, W18, and W5. As for recession exponents, the mean<u>W9 (2.34), W5 (1.96)</u>, and median nonlinearity of W8<u>W8 (1.63)</u>. The point-cloud derived b are 1.4745 (W9), 1.37(W5), and 1.60, respectively. The three median recession rates<u>0.88(W8)</u>, showing all point-cloud b are highersmaller than the corresponding mean recession rates that indicate the three distribution of nonlinearity, b, are right-skewedmedian ones (Fig. 2c). Notably, the nonlinearity decreases

with the storm magnitude in <u>W5 and</u> W8, yet, W5 presents an inverse pattern<u>the nonlinearity increases</u> with the storm <u>magnitude in W9</u> (Fig. 2b and 2c). The opposite responses of <u>W8W9</u> and W5/<u>W8</u> to storm magnitude coincide with the difference of landscape variables (e.g., *L/G*) between W8 and W5. drainage area. This apparent association will be explored <u>further in the Discussion section</u>.

Further, the frequency distributions of the fitted recession ratecoefficient and nonlinearity of the total catchment-event records are shown in Figure 3a-b. RateCoefficient, *a*, ranges from 0.04003 to 0.29273 hr⁻¹ with mean = 0.076059 hr⁻¹ and median = 0.043047 hr⁻¹. The large difference between the median and mean shows a right-skewed distribution. Nonlinearity, *b*, ranges from 0.5890 to 3.014.39 with mean = 1.66976 and median = 1.57969. The small difference between the median and mean presents an asymmetric distribution of nonlinearity. Spatial patterns of recession ratecoefficient and nonlinearity are illustrated in Fig. 3c-d. Generally, larger recession ratescoefficients are located in the southwestern plain (Fig. 3c). Those plain catchments also have higher L/G values. Apart from this, no other distinct pattern can be found in other mountainous catchments. Conversely, the plot of recession nonlinearity presents a vague pattern (Fig. 3d), and no simple relationship could

- be found. The recession parameters derived from individual segments and aggregated point-cloud data are illustrated in Fig. 4. The
- parameters from the individual segment parameters which demonstrates demonstrate the recession responses to each event,
 present the holistic variation, whereas the point-cloud parameters from the aggregated point-cloud (that aggregate all recession segments in the specific catchment) show the general recession behavior in that catchment. The median of the rate, *a*, increases from 0.033 to 0.121 with a catchment are generally larger for the coefficient and smaller for the nonlinearity. Notably, when the drainage area of 140 km² and decreases to about 0.016 with catchment area above larger than 800 km² and the interquartile ranges are pretty large in catchments with the mid-sized area between 140 (W14) and 220 km² (W12). On the other hand, the
- 245 medians of *a*(W19), the coefficients from aggregated point-cloud get similar to the median of the individual segment. The coefficients are close to the upper limit from the aggregated point-cloud fits fall in the interquartile range of the individual fit distribution, indicating that the recession rates only lightly change between the fitting methods. for small catchments, compared to W19. Besides, the deviations of aggregated point-cloud coefficients are distinctly larger in the small catchments. The median and interquartile of nonlinearity from individual segments are irrelative to catchmentdrainage area, and the values from the
- 250 aggregated point-cloud are consistently lower than that from individual segments except W19. Besides, about half of nonlinearity, b, from the aggregated point-cloud are outside the interquartile range of the distribution. Considerable difference between the two fitting methods brings about. Distinct differences between coefficients and nonlinearities from the two fitting methods present the manipulation of fitting method, which results in the difficulty in comparison and inference. The details of the recession characteristics for each catchment can be referred to Table S3S4.

255

3.2 Recession parameters to event characteristicsand landscape variables

The correlation coefficients of recession parameters to event-associated variables are shown in Fig. 5 and Table 1 to capture how hydrometric forcing affects recession. The total precipitation (P), duration (D), total streamflow (Q_{tot}), initial antecedent streamflow ($Q_{ini}Q_{ant}$) and runoff coefficient (Q_{tot}/P) are negatively correlated to the recession ratecoefficient, a. The average precipitation intensity (I_{avg}) and peak flow (Q_p) , both of which represent the strength of rainstorm magnitude, are not significant. to rate, a. As for initial conditions, simply defined as the 7-day antecedent precipitation, AP_{7day} , is not correlated to the rate, a; other lengths of AP (3-, 5-, 14-, and 30-day) also show insignificant correlation to the rate, a. Collectively, Q_inia. Notably, Qant

is negatively correlated to a. Unlike recession coefficient, which strongly depends on the hydrometric variables, nonlinearity, b, is only positive to Q_{ant} . It indicated that higher antecedent flow could lead to higher nonlinearity. A little surprise is that the 265 rate, a. nonlinearity is statistically negative to peak flow (Q_p), presenting the nonlinearity decreases with rainstorm magnitude. To summarize from the view of the aggregated dataset, hydrometric forcing moderately controls the coefficient and only

slightly involves nonlinearity.

Unlike recession rate, a, which strongly depends on the hydrometric variables, nonlinearity, b, is only positive to O_{ini}-Higher initial flow could lead to higher nonlinearity. What is surprising is that no rainfall or flow variables are associated with the nonlinearity, which contradicts the presumed thoughts and will be discussed in the next section. To summarize from the view

of the aggregated dataset, hydrometric forcing moderately controls the rate and only slightly involves nonlinearity.

3.3 Recession parameters to landscape variables

On our 19 catchments, average height (H), length (L) and gradient (G) of flow-path are approx. $\frac{120m}{252m120}$ m, 252 m and 0.47, respectively (Table S1). The S2). Basically, those flow-path associated parameters are highly dependent. Thus, we 275 used L/G, regarded which has been proven highly correlated to water residence time (McGuire et al., 2005; Seybold et al., 2017), as a proxy presenting the interaction of landscape and climate, is approx. 951m. Forest is the dominant landscape, and the average forest coverage is approx. 67.1% with a range between 11.8-92.1%. Notably, the catchments in the western plain are characterized by gentle gradients of flow-path, such as catchments W8, W9, W11, W12, W13, and W14. Due to the gentle landscape and higher L/G, agricultural activities are the dominant land cover in those catchments. The details of landscape variables could be referred to Table S1.

280

285

260

270

The correlations between recession parameters against event and landscape variables are illustrated in Fig. 5 and Table 1. Most landscape variables (H, L, G, L/G, DD, S_m, HI, C_W, C_F, and C_A) are significantly correlated to the ratecoefficient, particularly for the flow-path-associated ones (H, L, G, L/G, and DD). Note that The flow-path-associated variables, such as flow-path height (H), length (L), and gradient (G), are negatively correlated to the coefficients, but positive to L/G and DD. Besides, the rate that coefficient increases with the decrease of S_m , indicating shows that quick recession occurs in a mainstreamcatchment with a gentle gradient. In contrast, the ratecoefficient increases with HI, showing a sharp recession in actively eroded catchments. Moreover, the ratecoefficient increases with $C_{\rm W}$ (fraction of water body area) and $C_{\rm A}$ (fraction of

agriculture area) and decreases with $C_{\rm F}$ (fraction of forest area). Results illustrate that a catchment with more water bodies and agricultural lands leads to a faster recession, yet a catchment with more forest lands could reduce the recession

290 ratecoefficient. In short, most landscape variables are highly associated with the ratecoefficient and only a few, such as HI and A are slightly negative to the nonlinearity. Yet, putting all catchments with various landscape features together may obscure the landscape control in recession ratecoefficient and nonlinearity.

4. Discussion

4.1 Recession parameters in subtropical mountainous catchments

- 295 The range of recession ratecoefficient from our 19 catchments is 0.010 to 0.290, comparable with values in the literature, for example, 0.012 to 0.230 for Swedish catchments (Bogaart et al., 2016) and 0.015 to 0.171 for USA watersheds (Biswal and Marani, 2010). Higher median recession rates coefficients are found in W8, W11, W12, and W14, where shorter-,- and steeperflow paths-and, i.e., dense drainage networks, are the main landscape features. By contrast, catchments with longer--- and gentle-flow paths and sparse drainage networks, such as W7 and W15, have lower median recession rates coefficients. It 300 implies indicates that landscape structure (e.g., drainage density and flow-path-associated variables) could affect the recession
- rate.coefficient, as Table 2 shows. On the other hand, the median of recession nonlinearity, b, is approx. 1.6 (Fig. 3b) with a range of 0.6 to 3.0, which are also comparable with the ranges in the literature. For example, values of b from 0.5 to 2.1 could be found in 220 Swedish catchments with low flow data (Bogaart et al., 2016), 0.6 to 1.7 for 22 Taiwanese rivers derived from low-flow data (Yeh and Huang, 2019), and 1.5 to 3.2 for 67 USA watersheds with event data (Biswal and Marani, 2010).
- 305 Nonlinearity higher than 1.0 indicates nonNon-linear storage-outflow relationship, typical (b is not equal to 1.0) is prevalent for most catchments worldwide. In our cases, the highest and lowest median nonlinearity isvalues of b are found in W7 and W19, respectively. Catchment W7 with high channel slope and flow-path gradient (Table S1), presents higher non-linear storage-outflow. W19, by contrast, has the similar landscape settings with W7, but has the lowest. OtherPerhaps, other controlling factors, such as geological structure or land cover, might dominateregulate the recession behavior (Tague and 310 Grant, 2004).

Notably, a distinct systematic bias is found between the nonlinearity derived from individual segments and the aggregated point-cloud (Fig. 4). Smaller b value derived from the aggregated point-cloud than that from individual segments could be expected since the flood distribution is right-skewed; that is, large number of small cases with scarce extremes. Nonlinearity b derived from aggregated point-cloud is synthesized from all points, which could be altered either by the numerous small cases or the scarce extreme cases as fitting. The median from aggregated point-cloud is more or less like the way inof the master recession curve. Jachens et al. (2020) indicated that the event properties (variation among inter-event, storm magnitude, and antecedent condition) strongly affect the parameter estimation. In this regard, it suggested that using the median from

315

10

individual segments to represent the central tendency of a collection of recession segments is a better way to obtain the

representative recession properties (Dralle et al., 2017; Jachens et al., 2020), but the way to obtain the b is still goal-dependent 320 (Sharma and Biswal, 2022).

4.2 Landscape structure controls the median of recession parameters

Landscape structure aggregates catchment hydraulic properties, embodying recession parameters conceivably. On the other hand<u>Therefore</u>, recession behaviors in a catchment <u>cancould</u> be interpreted from two perspectives: hillslope hydraulics and inter-hillslope heterogeneity (Harman et al., 2009), both of which <u>are highly relevant to landscape structure</u>, notablymight be

- 325 represented by the flow-path-associated variables (e.g., *H*, *L*, *G*, *L/G*, *DD* in Table 1), which describe hillslope hydraulies by addressing the distance) and gradient of flow to the stream. Further, a drainage area. Notably, heterogeneity may increase with catchment area generally complicatesbecause of the heterogeneitypossibility of a hillslope and consequently increases the recession nonlinearityincluding a wider range of subsurface conditions. Two studies, for example, investigated the recession behaviors in two small forested catchments (68 km² in Mahurangi, New Zealand, McMillan et al., 2014 and 41 ha in Panola
- 330 Mountain Research Watershed, USA, Clark et al., 2009; Harman et al., 2009) and found that recession nonlinearity increases with <u>eatchmentdrainage</u> area because a larger area accommodates more possibility of superimposition of multiple linear reservoirs.

Correlation analysis elucidates that flow-path-associated variables (H, L, G, L/G, DD) dominate the recession rate. The southwestern catchments marked by low gradient have higher recession rates (Fig. 3c); however, in our cases, most landscape

- 335 variables only have a vague correlation with the recession nonlinearity (Fig. 6a).- It might be explained by: first, some of our catchments are much larger than 500 km², which exceeds the extent of common rainstorms (usually less than 200 km²). In those large catchments, the limited extent of rainstorm would not inducebring about a completecomprehensive recession processresponse in the outflow hydrograph (Huang et al., 2012). Second, catchmentdrainage area cannot reflect the unknown number of aquifers (Ajami et al., 2011). Moreover, Karlsen et al. (2019) argued that the dependence of landscape variables
- 340 would change with streamflow rate. Specifically, the <u>variable</u>, *H*, dominates the nonlinearity during high flow, whereas the <u>catchmentvariable</u>, <u>drainage</u> area, gains more importance during low flows. Therefore, the The</u> relationship between <u>hillslope</u> <u>hydraulics_flow-path-associated variables</u> and <u>spatial heterogeneity withdrainage area against</u> recession needs to be further examined in our catchments. Besides, since catchment area could not sufficiently explain the recession behaviors (Fig. 6a), we try including flow path associated variables to estimate the recession parameters.

345 4.2.1 Landscape structure to recession ratecoefficient, a

In trying all The significance of landscape variables might be altered by drainage area, which might result in an opposite recession response. Further, in our cases, catchment area could not solely and significantly explain the recession behaviors (Fig. 6a). The flow-path-associated indices variables were tried to correlate with catchment area, we found that the *L/G* ratio presents drainage area and an inverse relationship between L/G ratio against catchment drainage area emerged surprisingly (Fig.

350 6b). The L/G ratio-is, a measure of the distribution of flow-path length over gradient at a catchment scale (McGuire et al.,

2005), which is <u>highly</u> correlated to *DD* and the topographic wetness index (Beven and Kirkby, 1979). The<u>Therefore</u>, L/G or *DD*, therefore, canis apt to present the hillslope hydraulics at a catchment scale. In this regard, the<u>Fig. 6b</u>, all catchments cancould be <u>simply</u> classified from two dimensions (Fig. 6b); namely, the heterogeneity, in which Type B to into three types: type A is from small to large catchments (area > 500 km²), B is small catchments with low L/G, and the hydraulics dimension,

- 355 from Type B to C is from low tosmall catchments with high L/G. Based on the classification, the The flow-path-associated variables (H, L, L/G, and DD) are highly correlated to-re-applied onto the recession parameters according to this classification (Fig. 7). As expected, the recession coefficients correlate with the flow-path-associated variables. H is directly linked to the water table depth under the relatively homogeneous hillslopes. Hence, steeper hillslope corresponds to permeable soils with higher H, leading to a deeper and longer groundwater flow system and slower drainage (Karlsen et al., 2019). The high DD
- 360 (Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977) and short L (Zecharias and Brutsaert, 1988) lead to a quickhigher recession ratecoefficient due to shorter flow paths. Additionally, McGuire et al. (2005) demonstrated isotopic evidence to prove that the transit times increase increases with L in Oregon, USA. In our case, both DD and L/G (Fig. 7a-c) confirm the documented relationshipsrelations. Catchments with high DD or L/G, which represent a denser stream network or short-and-gentle hillslopes, have a higher recession ratecoefficient.
- 365 Appeal to existing theories, flow-path variables could be regarded as the aggregation of aquifers with various geometries, or vertical heterogeneity of aquifer (Rupp and Selker, 2006). Flow-path variables L, H, G can be the proxy of *Bcos\u03c6*, *Bsin\u03c6*+*Dcos\u03c6*, and *D/B+tan\u03c6*, respectively. Large *B* and *tan\u03c6* aquifers have a small coefficient *a* (Fig. 3 in Rupp and Selker, 2006, where B, D, \u03c6 indicate the length, depth, and slope of the aquifer, respectively). Our inverse relationship between H and *a* confirms that the hydraulic parameters vary markedly with depth (Rupp and Selker, 2006).

370 4.2.2 Landscape structure to recession nonlinearity, b

The recession nonlinearity conditionally responds to landscape structure (Fig. 7e-7h). If Type A catchments (large area with low L/G, gray solid dots in Fig. 7) are excluded, meaning only the hydraulies dimension is considered. Allall flow-path-associated variables become statistically significant for the estimation of with nonlinearity. The positive relationship of b with H and L indicates that steeper and rougher hillslopes tend to divert water to temporarily store behind blocks, leading to ahillslope present non-linear recession behavior.behaviour. With the increase of flow-path, subsurface runoff has more chances of flowing through various blocks (e.g., temporarily perched groundwater). The two composite indices, DD and L/G, are negatively related to the value of b (Fig. 7g-h). Short), perhaps because that short-and-gentle hillslopes, which lead to a larger saturation area nearby the riparian zone as rainfall, reduce the degree of heterogeneity in drainage behavior. By contrast, lower DD characterized by longer subsurface flow systems has a higher value of b (Bogaart et al., 2016; Sayama et al., 2011). The
expansion of saturation area indicates the whole subsurface is getting saturated and connected and thus reduces heterogeneity. It suggested that hillslope hydraulies dimension (DD and L/G) ratio affects the nonlinearity significantly, but for small



catchments; however, it was only is not valid within catchment size less than 500km².- for our large catchments, which necessitates further theory development.

4.3 Rainfall amount controls the variation of recession parameters

- 385 Recession behavior is a convolutional response starting from rainfall amount hitting the catchments. The large deviation in a fixed catchment (Fig. 7) presented the role of rainfall amount (hydrometric variables) in recession behaviors (Biswal and Nagesh Kumar, 2014).as rainfall falling within catchments. Thus, we separately examined the recession parameters against hydrometric variables for the three catchment types to rule out the influences (Fig. 8). Two significant findings are: (1) the recession ratecoefficient decreases with the rainfall amount in all types; (2) the recession nonlinearity shows opposite responses
- 390 in Type A and <u>GB</u> (Type <u>BC</u> is statistically insignificant). The parameter, *b*, in heterogeneity-dominated or hydraulicsdominated catchments would increase or decrease with rainfall amount, respectively. In other words, landscape structure dominates the response direction of recession<u>The contrasting recession responses are further discussed in the following two</u> sections.

4.3.1 Rainfall amount on recession ratecoefficient, a

- 395 Several empirical studies found a positive or independent relationship between ratecoefficient, a and streamflow; for example, Santos et al. (2019) found that higher streamflow has a larger ratea, reflecting a quick recession in Switzerland catchments. In Sweden, annual rainfall variation might be independent of the ratea (Bogaart et al., 2016). By contrast, Harman et al. (2009) designed a's virtual experimentexperiments demonstrated that theoretically considered the recession response corresponding to spatially heterogeneous storages. They assumed that the flow velocities of a catchment, organized by a series of hillslope
- with individual linear reservoirs, can be represented by a probability density distribution (pdf, e.g., Gamma distribution). Thus, the outflow and recession parameters could be evaluated by the recharge rate convolving with instantaneous unit hydrographs. Theoretically, the recession ratecoefficient is determined by the tension between the recharge rate with<u>and the</u> spatial heterogeneity of storage and flow velocity. (Hamann et al., 2009). In this regard, increasing recharge rate could reduce the recession rate. On the other hand, recharge rate also indirectly increases flow velocity and then enhances the rate, *a*. Therefore, the influence of rainfall amount presents various response directions in real catchments.
- Recession rate in our three catchment types reduces <u>, recession coefficients decrease</u> with rainfall amount (Fig. 8a-c). Therefore,<u>It may infer that</u> the influence of<u>huge</u> rainfall amount in our catchments overwhelms<u>brought by typhoons may</u> <u>overwhelm</u> the effect of flow velocity, resulting in a slower recession in large rainstorms. Additionally, the significant decrease inInterestingly, Type C catchments (has a higher *DD* or short and gentle catchments) is likely because intercept of the rainfall-
- 410 <u>a relationship like the theorical curve of h₀/D=1 (Rupp and Selker, 2006), suggesting that, with the rainfall increase, lower H of type C tends to be saturated zones connect more water from slow reservoirs resulting in slow water drainageand have a quick recession.</u>

4.3.2 Opposite control of rainfall on recession nonlinearity, b

- 415 The dependencevariation of recession nonlinearity on rainfallamong events is divergent. It has been documented as insensitive, negative or positive in various literature. Some studies concluded that nonlinearity, *b*, is controlled by landscape structure and is static or is insensitive to rainfall (Biswal and Marani, 2010; Brutsaert and Nieber, 1977; Dralle et al., 2017). In other studies, nonlinearity, *b*, decreases with streamflow rate on different temporal scales (Shaw and Riha, 2012; Karlsen et al., 2019; Santos et al., 2019). Although some studies even argued that the nonlinearity can change over the course of an event (Rupp and Selker,
- 420 2006; Luo et al., 2018), this study treated b as a constant and the inter-event variability is discussed as the following. In our study, nonlinearity b presents a positive, flat, negative relationship with rainfall in Type A, B, and C catchments, respectively (Fig. 8d-f). A possible interpretation is that the short-and-gentle catchments (Type C catchments) have a wide range of contributing area, which expands with rainfall quickly. The pervasive saturation overland flow reduces the nonlinearity of recession. Besides, large rainstorms also can connect saturated zones from slow reservoirs (e.g., hillslope or low hydraulie)
- 425 conductivity region) and thus drain water slowly. With the connection of saturated zones, the large storms can activate different draining sources, mixing them downstream and result in the decrease of b (as Type C demonstrated). On the contrary, the nonlinearity, b, increases with the rainfall amount in Type A catchments. In large and heterogeneous catchments, the expansion of contributing area is more unsteady and complicated, and thus the nonlinearity increases with rainfall amount. The nonlinearity increases with the heterogeneity of catchment properties (Harman et al., 2009). within a large catchment (Harman et al., 2009).
- 430 <u>et al., 2009</u>). The contrasting response of *b* to rainfall was only found in Biswal and Nagesh Kumar (2013), which attributed to the change in subsurface flow contributions along the channel that affect the direction response of *b*. Our study revealed that landscape structure and rainfall amount dominate the direction and magnitude of recession response, respectively. Future research direction could further consider different landscape structures into modelling the intra-event variation of *b*.

4.4 Landscape structure regulates recession patterns

The above two sections elucidate that the role of landscape structure controls the response direction of recession (the median recession parameter), whereas rainfall amount influences the responsive degree of n recession behaviors. Thus, a hypothesis that which demonstrates the interactive regulation of landscape structure and rainfall amount on recession nonlinearity is introduced (Fig. 9). Landscape structure is considered from two dimensions in terms of spatial heterogeneity and hillslope hydraulics. which are, respectively, represented by drainage area and DDL/G. While the drainage area might correlate to the number of perched storages within the catchments, the DDL/G featured by short-and-gentle hillslope indicates that the size of contributing area dominates the associated with runoff generation-mechanism.

Along spatial heterogeneity dimension (from Type B to A, with increasing drainage area), additional perched storages respond increasingly with rainfall amount and thus enhance the recession nonlinearity. Perched storages are inclined to occur where the hydrological conductivity abruptly decreases due to heterogeneous soil properties or geological structure. Large catchments tend to The existence of perched storages was found in an experimental forested catchment in Taiwan by an

445

intensive pore water monitoring scheme (Liang, 2020). Large catchments might have more perched storages, and consequently uneven spatial rainfall activate perched storages locally, and thus, the nonlinearity increases. On the other hand, along the hillslope hydraulies L/G dimension (from Type B to C, with increasing DD), the accumulated rainfall expands saturation zone quickly, which prefers the generation of saturation excess overland flow. With the increase of DDL/G, the runoff generation mechanism varies from unpredictable subsurface runoff to overall saturation excess overland flow, and thus decreases nonlinearity.

5. Summary

455

450

Streamflow recession, which reflects the rainfall-runoff process after rainstorms, is crucial for baseflow estimation and assessment. This study investigated the recession responses to landscape structure and rainfall amount through power-law recession from 260291 catchment events prise the power-law equation being widely used, the procedure of parameter estimation is diverse and has brought about of considerable inconsistency. For example, selecting the recession segment from peak flow might derive higher nonlinearity, and using the whole point cloud data might underestimate the nonlinearity at the event scale. The determination and selection of recession segments predominate predominates the rate coefficient and nonlinearity significantly and leadleads to controversy, which makes the inter-comparison among studies complicated and 460 delivers biased inference.

Several studies have demonstrated the effect of landscape structure and rainfall amount on recession parameters, yet the results are pretty diverse. In our cases, landscape structure, mainly DD or L/G, and rainfall amount play dominant roles 📅 estimating recession ratecoefficient. The ratecoefficient increases with the increase of DD or L/G, indicating catchments with dense networks or more short-and-gentle hillslopes would lead to a higher ratecoefficient. Surprisingly, it decreases with 465 rainfall amount; probably the large rainfall develops saturated zones connectivity, resulting in more water from slow reservoirs and drained slowly. This conceptual interpretation or hypothesis needs further validation. The diverse response direction of nonlinearity likely depends on spatial heterogeneity (drainage area) and hillslope hydraulies (drainage density), L/G, respectively. The more heterogeneous catchments give rise to the increase in the recession nonlinearity. On the contrary, catchments with higher hillslope hydrauliesgentle slopes could expand contributing area easily, and then generate saturation overland flow pervasively and thus reduce recession nonlinearity. Conjointly, our hypothesis presents an interactive regulation 470 of landscape structure and rainfall amount to recession. In sum, landscape structure which has different preferences of recession mechanism, and the rainfall amount tunes the magnitude of recession nonlinearity apparently. If the hypothesis is valid, two challenges should be addressed further. First, the alteration of response direction lies-in the predominance between batial heterogeneity and hillslope hydraulies. L/G necessitates theoretical validation further. Clarifying which factors could present the spatial heterogeneity and hillslope hydraulics is also an arduous task but is helpfulcrucial for recession estimation. Second,

475

15

the determination of response direction is crucial to the regional recession assessment, particularly for climatic scenarios.

The<u>An</u> incorrect direction would strongly affect the inference. Validating the landscape structure control in different regions would aid in completing the <u>understating of</u> recession variations.

480

Data availability. Hourly streamflow data can apply inbe obtained from Taiwan Water Resource Agency and Tai-Power company. The authors declare that data supporting the findings of this study are available within accessible from the article and its supplementary materials.

485 *Author contributions*. Conceptualization and Methodology: JYL and JCH. Data Curation and Validation: TYL. Formal analysis: JYL and CJY. Investigation and Writing – Original Draft: JYL. Writing – Review and Editing: JCH and TRP.

Competing interests. The authors claim no potential competing interests

490 Acknowledgements. This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (110-2811-M-005-509, and 109-2811-B-002-631) and the NTU Research Center for Future Earth (107L901004). J. Y. Lee and C. J. Yang was supported by the grants from Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (110-2811-M-005-521, 110-2917-I-564-009).

References

- 495 Ajami, H., Troch, P. A., Maddock III, T., Meixner, T., and Eastoe, C.: Quantifying mountain block recharge by means of catchment-scale storage-discharge relationships, Water Resour. Res., 47, W04504, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009598, 2011.
 - Beven, K. J. and Kirkby, M. J.: A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology, Hydrol. Sci. J., 24, 43–69, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667909491834, 1979.
- 500 Biswal, B. and Marani, M.: Geomorphological origin of recession curves, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L24403, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045415, 2010.
 - Biswal, B., and Nagesh Kumar, D.: A general geomorphological recession flow model for river basins. Water Resour. Res., 49(8), 4900-4906, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20379, 2013.

Biswal, B. and Nagesh Kumar, D.: Study of dynamic behaviour of recession curves, Hydrol. Process., 28, 784–792, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9604, 2014.

Bogaart, P. W., Van Der Velde, Y., Lyon, S. W., and Dekker, S. C.: Streamflow recession patterns can help unravel the role of climate and humans in landscape co-evolution, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 1413–1432, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-1413-2016, 2016.

Brutsaert, W.: Hydrology: an introduction, Cambridge university press, https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808470, 2005.

- 510 Brutsaert, W.: Long-term groundwater storage trends estimated from streamflow records: Climatic perspective, Water Resour. Res., 44, W02409, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006518, 2008.
 - Brutsaert, W. and Nieber, J. L.: Regionalized drought flow hydrographs from a mature glaciated plateau, Water Resour. Res., 13, 637–643, https://doi.org/10.1029/WR013i003p00637, 1977.
 - Clark, M. P., Rupp, D. E., Woods, R. A., Tromp-van Meerveld, H., Peters, N., and Freer, J.: Consistency between hydrological
- 515 models and field observations: linking processes at the hillslope scale to hydrological responses at the watershed scale, Hydrol. Process. Int. J., 23, 311–319, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7154, 2009.
 - Dralle, D., Karst, N., and Thompson, S. E.: a, b careful: The challenge of scale invariance for comparative analyses in power law models of the streamflow recession. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(21), 9285-9293, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066007, 2015.
- Dralle, D. N., Karst, N. J., Charalampous, K., Veenstra, A., and Thompson, S. E.: Event-scale power law recession analysis: quantifying methodological uncertainty, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 21, 65–81, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-65-2017, 2017.
 Harman, C. J., Sivapalan, M., and Kumar, P.: Power law catchment-scale recessions arising from heterogeneous linear small-scale dynamics, Water Resour. Res., 45, W09404, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR007392, 2009.

Huang, J.-C., Yu, C.-K., Lee, J.-Y., Cheng, L.-W., Lee, T.-Y., and Kao, S.-J.: Linking typhoon tracks and spatial rainfall

525 patterns for improving flood lead time predictions over a mesoscale mountainous watershed, Water Resour. Res., 48, W09540, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011508, 2012.

- Huang, J.-C., Lee, T.-Y., and Lee, J.-Y.: Observed magnified runoff response to rainfall intensification under global warming, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 034008, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/034008, 2014.
- Huang, J.-C., Lee, T.-Y., Lin, T.-C., Hein, T., Lee, L.-C., Shih, Y.-T., Kao, S.-J., Shiah, F.-K., and Lin, N.-H.: Effects of
 different N sources on riverine DIN export and retention in a subtropical high-standing island, Taiwan, Biogeosciences, 13,
 1787–1800, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-1787-2016, 2016.
 - Jachens, E. R., Rupp, D. E., Roques, C., and Selker, J. S.: Recession analysis revisited: impacts of climate on parameter estimation, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 24, 1159–1170, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1159-2020, 2020.
- Karlsen, R. H., Bishop, K., Grabs, T., Ottosson-Löfvenius, M., Laudon, H., and Seibert, J.: The role of landscape properties,
 storage and evapotranspiration on variability in streamflow recessions in a boreal catchment, J. Hydrol., 570, 315–328,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.065, 2019.
 - Kirchner, J. W.: Catchments as simple dynamical systems: Catchment characterization, rainfall-runoff modeling, and doing hydrology backward, Water Resour. Res., 45, W02429, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR006912, 2009.
- Lee, J.-Y., Shih, Y.-T., Lan, C.-Y., Lee, T.-Y., Peng, T.-R., Lee, C.-T., Huang, J.-C.: Rainstorm Magnitude Likely Regulates
 Event Water Fraction and Its Transit Time in Mesoscale Mountainous Catchments: Implication for Modelling Parameterization. Water, 12, 1169, https://doi.org/10.3390/w12041169, 2020.
 - Liang, W. L.: Dynamics of pore water pressure at the soil-bedrock interface recorded during a rainfall-induced shallow landslide in a steep natural forested headwater catchment, Taiwan. J. Hydrol., 587, 125003, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125003, 2020.
- 545 Luo, Z., Shen, C., Kong, J., Hua, G., Gao, X., Zhao, Z., Zhao, H., and Li, L.: Effects of unsaturated flow on hillslope recession characteristics. Water Resour. Res., 54(3), 2037-2056, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017WR022257, 2018
 - McGuire, K., McDonnell, J. J., Weiler, M., Kendall, C., McGlynn, B., Welker, J., and Seibert, J.: The role of topography on catchment-scale water residence time, Water Resour. Res., 41, W05002, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004WR003657, 2005.
 - McMillan, H., Gueguen, M., Grimon, E., Woods, R., Clark, M., and Rupp, D. E.: Spatial variability of hydrological processes
- and model structure diagnostics in a 50 km² catchment, Hydrol. Process., 28, 4896–4913, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9988, 2014.
 - Mendoza, G. F., Steenhuis, T. S., Walter, M. T., and Parlange, J.-Y.: Estimating basin-wide hydraulic parameters of a semiarid mountainous watershed by recession-flow analysis, J. Hydrol., 279, 57–69, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00174-4, 2003.
- 555 Millares, A., Polo, M. J., and Losada, M. A.: The hydrological response of baseflow in fractured mountain areas, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 1261–1271, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-13-1261-2009, 2009.
 - Palmroth, S., Katul, G. G., Hui, D., McCarthy, H. R., Jackson, R. B., and Oren, R.: Estimation of long-term basin scale evapotranspiration from streamflow time series, Water Resour. Res., 46, W10512, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008838, 2010.

- 560 Roques, C., Rupp, D. E., and Selker, J. S.: Improved streamflow recession parameter estimation with attention to calculation of- dQ/dt. Adv. Water Resour. , 108, 29-43, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.07.013, 2017
 Rupp, D. E., and Selker, J. S.: On the use of the Boussinesq equation for interpreting recession hydrographs from sloping aquifers. Water Resour. Res., 42(12), https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005080, 2006.
- Santos, A. C., Portela, M. M., Rinaldo, A., and Schaefli, B.: Estimation of streamflow recession parameters: New insights from an analytic streamflow distribution model, Hydrol. Process., 33, 1595–1609, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13425, 2019.
- Sayama, T., McDonnell, J. J., Dhakal, A., and Sullivan, K.: How much water can a watershed store?, Hydrol. Process., 25, 3899–3908, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.8288, 2011.
 - Seneviratne, S.I., X. Zhang, M. Adnan, W. Badi, C. Dereczynski, A. Di Luca, S. Ghosh, I. Iskandar, J. Kossin, S. Lewis, F. Otto, I. Pinto, M. Satoh, S.M. Vicente Serrano, M. Wehner, and B. Zhou: Weather and Climate Extreme Events in a
- 570 Changing Climate, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou, Cambridge University Press. In Press.
- Shaw, S. B.: Investigating the linkage between streamflow recession rates and channel network contraction in a mesoscale575catchment in New York state, Hydrol. Process., 30, 479–492, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10626, 2016.
 - Shaw, S. B. and Riha, S. J.: Examining individual recession events instead of a data cloud: Using a modified interpretation of dQ/dt–Q streamflow recession in glaciated watersheds to better inform models of low flow, J. Hydrol., 434, 46–54, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.034, 2012.
 - Shiu, C., Liu, S. C., Fu, C., Dai, A., and Sun, Y.: How much do precipitation extremes change in a warming climate?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L17707, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052762, 2012.
 - Stölzle, M., Stahl, K., and Weiler, M.: Are streamflow recession characteristics really characteristic?, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17, 817–828, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-817-2013, 2013.

- Tague, C. and Grant, G. E.: A geological framework for interpreting the low-flow regimes of Cascade streams, Willamette River Basin, Oregon, Water Resour. Res., 40, W04303, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003WR002629, 2004.
- 585 Vogel, R. M. and Kroll, C. N.: Regional geohydrologic-geomorphic relationships for the estimation of low-flow statistics, Water Resour. Res., 28, 2451–2458, https://doi.org/10.1029/92WR01007, 1992.
 - Yeh, H. and Huang, C.: Evaluation of basin storage–discharge sensitivity in Taiwan using low-flow recession analysis, Hydrol. Process., 33, 1434–1447, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13411, 2019.
 - Zecharias, Y. B.Seybold, H., Rothman, D. H., and Brutsaert, Kirchner, J. W.: The influence: Climate's watermark in the
- 590 geometry of basin morphology on groundwater outflow, stream networks. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(5), 2272-2280Water Resour. Res., 24, 1645–1650, https://doi.org/10.1029/WR024i010p01645, 19881002/2016GL072089, 2017.

Table 1

595 <u>Table 1: Summary of empirical recession studies that investigated the dependence of recession parameters on environmental factors. Shade blue, red, and grey represent positive, negative, and no correlation with factors, respectively. The label of number indicates the reference number in Table S1.</u>

<u>Centrality of recession</u> <u>Long-term</u>		Temporal variability of recession					
		Inter-annual		Inter-seasonal		Inter-event	
â	<u>b</u>	â	<u>b</u>	<u>â</u>	<u>b</u>	<u>â</u>	<u>b</u>
<u>1, 21</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>				
	<u>21</u>						
	<u>2</u>						
						<u>5, 13, 22</u>	
						<u>6</u>	
							<u>8</u>
						<u>23</u>	
					<u>11</u>		
					<u>3</u>		
					<u>3</u>		
					<u>3</u>		
<u>1</u>							
<u>1, 21</u>	<u>1</u>			<u>3, 12, 24</u>	<u>24</u>		
	<u>21</u>				<u>3</u>		
<u>16, 17, 21</u>	<u>15, 16, 17, 21</u>						
	<u>2</u>						
	$\frac{1, 7, 16, 18}{24}$						
<u>1</u>	<u>20</u>						
<u>10</u>							
	<u>24</u>						
	<u>2</u>						
	2						
<u>17, 24</u>	<u>2, 17, 24</u>						
14							
	<u><u>â</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u> <u>1.21</u></u>	Long-term â b 1.21 1 21 2 2 2 2 2 1.21 1 2 2 1.21 1 1.21 1 1.21 1 1.21 1 1.21 1 1.21 1 1.21 1 16.17.21 15.16.17.21 16.17.21 15.16.17.21 16.17.21 15.16.17.21 10 24 1 20 10 24 1 20 10 24 1 20 10 24 2 2 12 2 2 2 12 2 13 24 14 20 15 16 16 16 16 16 2 2	Long-term Inter- \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} 1,21 1 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 2 2 2 1,21 1 21 1,21 1 21 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 20 1 10 24 2 10 24 2 17.24 2.17.24 2 16 16 2	Long-term Inter-annual \hat{a} b \hat{a} b \hat{a} \hat{a} \hat{a} \hat{a} 1.21 1 21 21 2 21 21 21 2 2 21 21 2 2 21 21 2 2 31 31 1.21 1 31 31 1.21 1 31 31 1.21 1 31 31 1.21 1 31 31 1.21 1 31 31 1.21 1 21 31 1.21 1.21 1.21 31 $10.16.20$ $1.7.16.18$ 24 31 10 24 21 31 10 24 21 31 $1.7.24$ $2.17.24$ $2.17.24$ 31 16 16 32 31 10 1	Long-term Inter-annual Inter-series \hat{a} b \hat{a} b \hat{a} a	Long-term Inter-annual Inter-senal \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} $\hat{1}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{2}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{2}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{4}, \hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{2}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{4}, \hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{4}, \hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{5}$ $\hat{1}$ $\hat{5}$ 5	Long-twoInter-annualInter-seasonalInter-seasonal \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} a

Subsurface flow contact time		<u>2</u>	1		
Landcover		=			
Reforestation			<u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	
Water management			<u></u> <u>21</u>	21	
Plateaus coverage		<u>15</u>			
Young volcano rock coverage	<u>14</u>	14			
Forest coverage	<u></u> <u>18</u>				
Water bodies coverage	<u></u>	<u>16, 21, 24</u>			
	<u>16</u>				
Flood attenuation due to lakes	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>			
<u>Soil</u>					
Soil depth		<u>24</u>			
Surface hydraulic conductivity	<u>17</u>	<u>21</u>			
Field capacity		<u>16</u>			
Moderate infiltration rates soil		<u>2</u>			
Slow infiltration rates soil		<u>2</u>			
Playas with impermeable soils		<u>15</u>			
Organic matter content		<u>2</u>			

600	<u>Table 2</u> : Spearman	correlation	coefficients	between	logarithmic	hydrometric	characteristics	and recession
	characteristics for all	catchment-ev	vents (n = 260	2 <u>91</u>). Val	ues in bold ar	e statistically s	significant with t	he 99% level of
	confidence (p-value< 0	.01).						

Variable	<u>Meaning</u>	$ba [hr^{-1}]$	<u>b [-]</u> Meaning
Hydrometric			
AP _{7day} [mm]	7-day antecedent precipitation -0.09	-	<u>0.010</u> 7-day
-		0.14 <u>080</u>	antecedent precipitation -0.083Total
P <u>[mm]</u>	Total precipitation-0.44	0.00524	precipitation
D <u>[hr]</u>	Duration of precipitation-0.38	-	-0.054 Duration of
	Duration of precipitation 0.50	0. 07 <u>432</u>	precipitation
$I_{\rm avg}$ [mm hr ⁻¹]	Averaged precipitation intensity 0.19	0.07257	<u>-0.026</u> Averaged precipitation intensity
-		0.07 <u>257</u>	<u>-0.154</u> Tota
Q_{tot} [mm]	Total streamflow-0.52	0. 02 609	streamflow
0 0 []	0.21 Autors dout stream flows	=	Initia
$Q_{ini}Q_{ant}[mm]$	-0.31 <u>Antecedent streamflow</u>	0. <u>38339</u>	streamflow0.260
Q_{p} [mm]	-0.13Peak flow		
£.p_[0. 09 247	Peak flow_0.228
Q_{tot}/P [-]	Runoff coefficient-0.29	0. 00337	<u>-0.097</u> Runof coefficien
Landscape		0.00 <u>357</u>	coefficient
Landseape			Median of flow
H <u>[m]</u>	-0.62 Flow-path height	-	path height above the
		0.07491	nearest drainage0.224
			Median of flow
L <u>[m]</u>	-0.62 Flow-path length	=	path length to the
		0. 13<u>520</u>	nearest drainage0.302
			Median of flow
G <u>[-]</u>	-0.59 <u>Flow-path gradient</u>	0.02452	path gradient to the
		0 . 03 <u>453</u>	nearest drainage0.189 Ratio of flow patl
<i>L</i> / <u><i>G</i>[m]</u>	0.60 Ratio of flow-path length to gradient	_	length to flow path
	stortatio of now paul tengui to gradient	0. 04 470	gradient -0.181
4 [] 2]		-	Catchment area
A <u>[km²]</u>	-0.05 <u>Drainage area</u>	0. 19 <u>040</u>	0.09
<i>DD<u>[km]</u></i>	Drainage density 0.60	-	-0.217 Drainage
	<u>Drumage density</u> 0.00	0. 10 420	density
S_m [%]	Gradient of mainstream-0.40	0.00210	0.229 Gradient o
		0. 08<u>318</u>	mainstream
HI <u>[-]</u>	Hypsometric integral 0.45	0. <u>23498</u>	0.226Hypsometric integra
		0.23 <u>470</u>	0.319Basii
ELO <u>[-]</u>	Basin elongation 0.12	0.14209	elongation
C [0/1]	Land cover - water bodies 0.36	_	-0.147Land cove
Cw [%]	Land cover - water bodies 0.50	0. 08<u>330</u>	- water bodie
$C_{\rm F}$ [%]	Land cover - forest-0.39	=	0.140 Land cover-
-1		0. 08 281	fores
$C_{\rm A}$	Land cover - agriculture	0.073(0	-0.059Land cover
		0. 07 <u>268</u>	- agriculture



Figure 1: Topographic distribution of Taiwan and the locations of the selected catchments. The catchment ID can be referred to Table <u>S1S2</u> and <u>S2S3</u>, in which the primary descriptions of hydrologic events and landscape variables are listed.





Figure 2: Landscape and recession plots for catchment W8W9 (row 1), W18W5 (row 2), and W5W8 (row 3). Landscape and <u>catchment shapeflow-path topography (L/G)</u> are shown in column (a). The selected recession segments from different rainstorms are shown in (b). Recession plots <u>with point-cloud from of</u> all selected rainstorms are shown in column (c). The median of recession <u>coefficientparameter</u> *a* is and *b_m* and the *b_{pc}* derived from the point-cloud are shown in the <u>upper-leftlower-right</u> corner-and the. The recession <u>exponent</u>, *b₅* from individual segment are colored from purple to yellow with increasing value of *b*. Note that the blue cross and red dot in the color bar represent the median and mean of the recession exponent.

615





Figure 3: Distributions of recession parameter a (a) and b (b) in all catchment-events. Spatial distributions of the medians of parameter a (c) and b (d). Colors of dot represent quantiles category.





Figure 4: Boxplots of coefficient a (a) and exponent b (b) derived from individual recession segment (cyan box) and point-cloud data (orange dot). The <u>eatchmentdrainage</u> area is used in x-axis in ascending order. Boxes show the interquartile and data range.





Figure 5: Recession parameter, *a* and *b* against event and landscape variables. Below diagonal: scatter plots for recession parameters with a power-fit regression (red line). Above diagonal: corresponding Spearman correlation coefficients. Values in blue and red color are positive and negative statistically significant with the 9995% level of confidence (p < 0.0105), respectively. Notes that all station and event are shown in this figure.



Figure 6: The relationship between <u>catchmentdrainage</u> area and the recession exponent (a) and the flow path topography (L/G) (b). The error bar on (a) is the range of the recession exponent of each catchment. The orange and gray dots represent small and large catchments, respectively. The solid and hollow dots represent large and small L/G. The recession behaviors in small and large catchments could be explained from two perspectives in terms of hydraulic
 theory (orange box) and heterogeneity issues (gray box).



650 Figure 7: Scatter plots of the median and <u>the</u> range of <u>10th-90th percentile of</u> recession parameters and landscape variables. <u>Orangegray</u> solid, <u>orange</u> hollow, and <u>grayorange solid</u> dots are <u>catchments of small area with high L/G ratio</u>, <u>small area with low L/G ratioType A, B</u>, and <u>large areaC basin</u>, respectively. The <u>solid</u>-orange <u>dash</u> line is the power-law fit for small catchments_τ (Type B and C), respectively. The Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) is listed beside the annotation.





Figure 8: Scatter plots of recession parameters against total rainfall for different catchment types, corresponding to
 Fig. 6a. Type A is large catchments (area > 500 km²), B is small <u>catchments</u> with low L/G ratio-<u>eatchments</u>, and C is small <u>catchments</u> with high L/G ratio-<u>catchments</u>. The <u>yellow-green-blueblack-gray-white</u> color of dots represents the low to large L/G. The orange line is the <u>exponentialpower-law</u> fit with spearman correlation <u>coefficient_coefficients</u> (* and ** means 9590% and 99% level of confidence, respectively).





Figure 9: The conceptual diagram demonstrating the regulation of landscape variables on the direction of the rainfall-670 recession relationship. The top panel presents the eatehmentdrainage area and the stream network of three landscape types of catchment corresponding to Fig. 6b. The middle panel presents the cross-sectional valley with descriptions of drainage behavior. Here, (a) type A, large and steep slope, drains water via multiple sources of subsurface flow; (b) type B, small and steep slope, drains water via fewer sources of subsurface flow; and (c) type C, small and gentle slope, drains via the extension of the saturated zone along the riparian zone. Correspondingly, the recession plots for light

675 (dashed line) and heavy (solid line) rainstorms with their recession parameters are presented on the bottom panel.