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#### Abstract

Thermokarst lake water balances are becoming increasingly vulnerable to change in the Arctic as air temperature increases and precipitation patterns shift. In the tundra uplands east of the Mackenzie Delta in the Northwest Territories, Canada, previous research has found that lakes responded non-uniformly to year-to-year changes in precipitation, suggesting that lake and watershed properties mediate the response of lakes to climate change. To investigate how lake and watershed properties and meteorological conditions influence the water balance of thermokarst lakes in this region, we sampled 25 lakes for isotope analysis five times in 2018, beginning before snowmelt on May 1 and sampling throughout the remainder of the ice-free season. Water isotope data were used to calculate the average isotope composition of lake source water $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ and the ratio of evaporation-to-inflow (E/I). We identified four distinct water balance phases as lakes responded to seasonal shifts in meteorological conditions and hydrological processes. During the freshet phase from May 1 to June 15 , the median $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratio of lakes decreased from 0.20 to 0.13 in response to freshet runoff and limited evaporation due to lake ice presence that persisted for the duration of this phase. During the following warm, dry, and ice-free period from June 15 to July 26, designated the evaporation phase, the median $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratio increased to 0.19 . During the brief soil wetting phase, $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios did not respond to rainfall between July 26 and August 2, likely because watershed soils absorbed most of the precipitation which resulted in minimal runoff to lakes. The median $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratio decreased to 0.11 after a cool and rainy August, identified as the recharge phase. Throughout the sampling period, $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ remained relatively stable and most lakes contained a greater amount of rainfall-sourced water than snow-sourced water, even after the freshet phase due to snowmelt bypass. The range of average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios we observed at lakes $(0.00-0.43)$ was relatively narrow and low compared to thermokarst lakes in other regions, likely owing to the large watershed area to lake area ratio (WA/LA), efficient preferential flow pathways for runoff, and a shorter ice-free season. Lakes with smaller WA/LA tended to have higher $E / I$ ratios $\left(R^{2}=0.74\right)$. An empirical relationship between WA/LA and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ was derived and used to predict the average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratio of 7340 lakes in the region, which identified that these lakes are not vulnerable to desiccation, given that $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios were $<0.33$. If future permafrost thaw and warming cause less runoff to flow into lakes, we expect that lakes with smaller WA/LA will be more influenced by increasing evaporation, while lakes with larger WA/LA will be more resistant to lake-level drawdown. However under wetter conditions, lakes with larger WA/LA will likely experience greater increases in lake level and could be more susceptible to rapid drainage.


Thermokarst lakes are common features in ice-rich permafrost terrain, occupying up to $25 \%$ of the land area (Woo, 2012). The water balance of thermokarst lakes are changing as arctic warming causes precipitation to shift from snowfall to rainfall (Bintanja and Andry, 2017; MacDonald et al., 2021) and permafrost thaw alters the hydrological connectivity of lake watersheds (Wolfe et al., 2011; Walvoord and Kurylyk, 2016; Tananaev and Lotsari, 2022; Koch et al., 2022). Additionally, longer ice-free periods are increasing evaporation (Prowse et al., 2011; Arp et al., 2015), and enhanced vegetation growth is altering snow redistribution and snowmelt timing (Sturm et al., 2001; Essery and Pomeroy, 2004; Pomeroy et al., 2006). In many regions, expansion and contraction of thermokarst lakes has been observed, demonstrating that lake water balances are not responding uniformly to climate change (Smith et al., 2005; Plug et al., 2008; Marsh et al., 2009; Arp et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2011; Andresen and Lougheed, 2015; Travers-Smith et al., 2021).

Previous studies have demonstrated that knowledge of meteorological conditions and lake and watershed attributes, and their influence on lake water balance, can explain why thermokarst lakes react non-uniformly to climate change (Wolfe et al., 2011; Turner et al., 2014; Nitze et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2020). Key drivers of lake water balances include the relative size of a lake within its watershed (Watershed Area/Lake Area, WA/LA), rainfall and snowfall patterns, permafrost dynamics, wildfire, vegetation cover, and ice-free season length (Turner et al., 2014; Arp et al., 2015; MacDonald et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2020). For example, Turner et al. (2014) found that thermokarst lakes in Old Crow Flats, Yukon, with higher evaporation-to-inflow ratios (E/I) tended to have smaller WA/LA ratios, reflecting the control of watershed area on the amount of inflow a lake receives. Arp et al. (2015) found that lakes in the Alaskan Coastal Plain with bedfast ice became ice-free sooner than lakes with floating ice, causing bedfast lakes to lose more water to evaporation as a result of a longer ice-free season.

In several studies, water isotope ( $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) analysis has been the primary method used to efficiently characterize the water balance of a large number of thermokarst lakes because the isotope composition provides an integrated measure of influential hydrological processes (Gibson, 2002; Edwards et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2014; Narancic et al., 2017). Two key metrics of lake water balance modelled using water isotope data include the average isotope composition of lake source waters $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$, which can then be related to the measured isotope composition of different water sources (Yi et al., 2008) and the ratio of evaporation-to-inflow (E/I) (Gibson et al., 1993). Sampling lake water for isotope analysis multiple times throughout the year provides data to assess the response of lake water balances to different hydrological processes, which can be related to meteorological conditions and compared to lake and watershed attributes. Recently, MacDonald et al. (2017) compared E/I and $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ of thermokarst lakes from six regions in northern North America and found a wide range of water balances that were influenced by local meteorological conditions, permafrost extent and vegetation characteristics. In some locations, such as the Alaskan Coastal Plain, E/I ratios were mostly $<0.2$, whereas lakes in the Hudson Bay Lowlands of northeastern Manitoba typically possessed $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios $>0.5$, with some lakes trending towards desiccation.

This study aims to evaluate how meteorological conditions, hydrological processes, and lake and watershed attributes influence the $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ of lakes located in the tundra uplands east of the Mackenzie Delta in the Northwest Territories, Canada (Figure 1). A previous study in this region showed that only 5-53\% of pre-snowmelt lake water is replaced by freshet on av-
erage, because snowmelt bypass leads to minimal mixing between freshet and pre-snowmelt lake water (Wilcox et al., 2022).

## 3 Methods

### 3.1 Lake water and precipitation sampling and analysis of isotope data

Water samples from the 25 thermokarst lakes were collected on five days (May 1, June 15, July 26, August 2, September 3) during 2018 for water isotope analysis. Samples were collected on May 1 through a hole augured through the ice in the centre of each lake to capture the pre-snowmelt lake water balance conditions. The May 1 samples also represent the hydrological status Snowmelt bypass occurs when less dense $\left(\sim 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ freshet runoff flows underneath lake ice and passes through a lake without mixing with and replacing the deeper and denser $\left(<4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ lake water (Bergmann and Welch, 1985). With this study we explore whether snowmelt bypass influences summertime lake water balances, given that in other regions thermokarst lakes are prone to desiccation when they retain little snowmelt (Bouchard et al., 2013). We also investigate how watershed characteristics that have been identified to influence lake water balance in other regions, such as WA/LA, influence lake water balances in our study area. We then use the relationship found between average $E / I$ and watershed characteristics to predict average $E / I$ for lakes in the region and assess their vulnerability to climate change.

## 2 Study Area

The thermokarst lakes in this study are located in the tundra uplands east of the Mackenzie Delta in the northwest region of the Northwest Territories, Canada (Figure 1). The landscape is comprised of rolling hills and has been shaped by the thaw of ice-rich permafrost, evidenced by the thousands of thermokarst lakes in the region (Rampton, 1988; Burn and Kokelj, 2009). Hillslopes are well-drained by the network of peat channels that facilitate subsurface flow between mineral earth hummocks (Quinton and Marsh, 1998), while flatter areas are typically drained by high-centred ice-wedge polygons (Burn and Kokelj, 2009). Vegetation consists of tall shrub ( $>1 \mathrm{~m}$ ), low shrub ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and shrub-free landcover types containing lichen, moss, and tussocks (Lantz et al., 2010; Grünberg et al., 2020).

We selected 25 lakes that span a range of watershed sizes ( 6.45 to 203.56 ha ) and lake surface areas ( 0.37 to 90.48 ha ), among other characteristics, along a $\sim 70 \mathrm{~km}$ stretch of the Inuvik - Tuktoyaktuk Highway (Figure 1, Table 1). Nineteen of the lakes are headwater lakes and the other six lakes are downstream of other sampled lakes (Table 1). All lakes have a defined outlet channel and many lakes have defined channelized inflows from their watersheds in the form of small ephemeral streams or ice-wedge polygon troughs. of lakes during the freeze-up period of 2017, since virtually no hydrological activity occurs during the winter months due to the complete freezing of the soil and lake surface. Because May 1 water samples were influenced by fractionation that occurred during lake ice formation, the isotope compositions were corrected to represent the isotope composition of the lake before lake ice formation took place (Wilcox et al., 2022). Lake water samples were corrected for ice fractionation by estimating the fraction of lake water that had been frozen and the corresponding amount of isotopic depletion of lake water that would have

Table 1. Lake and watershed attributes and isotope-derived hydrological indicators for all lakes.

| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{J} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亏ु } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  | Watershed Slope ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  | Watershed Area (ha) | $\underset{3}{4}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mid c} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 68.557 | 2.24 | 89.0 |  | 0.00 | 1.30 | 2.94 | 6.45 | 2.62 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.28 | -19.82 |
| 8 | 68.559 | 2.30 | 88.9 |  | 0.00 | 2.63 | 2.07 | 15.67 | 4.41 | no | 7 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -20.58 |
| 9 | 68.564 | 1.02 | 86.4 |  | 29.84 | 1.20 | 59.56 | 203.56 | 3.77 | no | 7,8,10 | 22.28 | 0.40 | -18.19 |
| 10 | 68.576 | 1.65 | 87.9 |  | 5.17 | 1.42 | 90.48 | 168.58 | 1.86 | yes | none | 7.40 | 0.35 | -18.85 |
| 11 | 68.604 | 1.91 | 82.7 |  | 2.53 | 2.10 | 1.26 | 21.76 | 17.32 | yes | none | 12.68 | 0.04 | -19.84 |
| 12 | 68.613 | 2.79 | 90.8 |  | 0.00 | 2.45 | 8.19 | 23.05 | 2.81 | no | 11 | 0.51 | 0.43 | -21.84 |
| 14 | 68.789 | 1.42 | 51.9 |  | 8.07 | 3.01 | 10.65 | 60.64 | 5.70 | yes | none | 1.24 | 0.09 | -20.35 |
| 15 | 68.795 | 1.57 | 57.2 |  | 1.62 | 2.73 | 5.98 | 29.83 | 4.99 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.17 | -18.81 |
| 16 | 68.805 | 3.18 | 51.5 |  | 12.57 | 4.04 | 1.23 | 19.75 | 16.02 | yes | none | 4.86 | 0.04 | -19.65 |
| 17 | 68.836 | 1.09 | 39.1 |  | 8.23 | 4.38 | 3.27 | 39.88 | 12.20 | yes | none | 11.53 | 0.08 | -20.21 |
| 19 | 68.882 | 2.46 | 39.2 |  | 4.91 | 4.27 | 5.50 | 38.98 | 7.09 | yes | none | 5.79 | 0.10 | -19.69 |
| 20 | 68.885 | 2.69 | 36.7 |  | 2.76 | 4.69 | 2.17 | 19.93 | 9.18 | yes | none | 3.04 | 0.10 | -19.33 |
| 21 | 68.887 | 1.78 | 35.5 |  | 1.87 | 5.33 | 2.75 | 10.91 | 10.31 | no | 20 | 6.86 | 0.16 | -20.07 |
| 22 | 68.907 | 3.66 | 33.6 |  | 4.11 | 5.33 | 3.41 | 23.67 | 18.25 | no | 23,24 | 6.12 | 0.12 | -19.75 |
| 23 | 68.910 | 3.02 | 34.9 |  | 1.22 | 5.35 | 1.83 | 22.73 | 20.40 | no | 24 | 4.88 | 0.04 | -19.22 |
| 24 | 68.911 | 3.02 | 38.3 |  | 4.49 | 4.69 | 0.37 | 11.10 | 30.10 | yes | none | 9.69 | -0.01 | -19.15 |
| 26 | 68.918 | 1.47 | 38.4 |  | 4.71 | 4.69 | 4.68 | 17.89 | 3.83 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.25 | -18.45 |
| 27 | 68.921 | 3.10 | 45.4 |  | 0.00 | 6.21 | 1.28 | 8.57 | 6.70 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.17 | -20.52 |
| 28 | 68.933 | 2.24 | 24.1 |  | 9.58 | 4.66 | 2.32 | 92.08 | 39.69 | yes | none | 22.73 | 0.01 | -19.28 |
| 49 | 69.119 | 2.18 | 8.6 |  | 4.06 | 3.18 | 18.20 | 46.23 | 2.54 | yes | none | 0.79 | 0.31 | -17.45 |
| 50 | 69.123 | 1.65 | 8.3 |  | 2.92 | 3.85 | 8.70 | 31.92 | 3.67 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.23 | -17.53 |
| 51 | 69.142 | 2.31 | 3.8 |  | 0.00 | 4.90 | 2.29 | 12.01 | 5.26 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.19 | -18.46 |
| 52 | 69.144 | 4.14 | 6.3 |  | 1.26 | 3.93 | 24.32 | 49.92 | 2.05 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.32 | -17.01 |
| 53 | 69.184 | 2.29 | 4.8 |  | 8.71 | 6.54 | 0.46 | 11.21 | 24.21 | yes | none | 10.05 | 0.13 | -19.38 |
| 55 | 69.215 | 1.83 | 2.3 |  | 0.00 | 2.12 | 1.61 | 8.11 | 5.03 | yes | none | 0.00 | 0.18 | -17.71 |
| Min | 68.557 | 1.02 | 2.3 |  | 0.00 | 1.2 | 0.37 | 6.45 | 1.86 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 0.00 | -0.01 | -21.84 |
| Avg. | 68.871 | 2.28 | 43.4 |  | 4.75 | 3.8 | 10.43 | 39.78 | 10.40 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 5.218 | 0.16 | -19.25 |
| Max | 69.215 | 4.14 | 90.8 |  | 29.84 | 6.54 | 90.48 | 203.56 | 39.69 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 22.73 | 0.43 | -17.01 |



Figure 1. Study area showing locations of thermokarst lakes where water samples for isotope analysis were obtained. The study location relative to northwestern North America is shown in the inset map. Not all lakes are labeled on the map, but lakes are numbered sequentially from south to north.
occurred assuming the lake was a closed system, following Ferrick et al. (2002). June 15 was chosen as it marked the first day of the ice-free season for most lakes and was intended to capture the influence of snowmelt bypass, however the southernmost lakes (Lakes 7-12) became ice-free on June 7, and some of the northernmost lakes (Lakes 49-55) became ice-free on June 17 or June 18. More information about ice-correction and the response of lakes to snowmelt bypass is provided by Wilcox et al. (2022). Next, samples were obtained on July 26 to capture the effects of evaporation and rainfall in the early ice-free season, and before a large, forecasted rainfall event. Samples were then collected on August 2 to capture the influence of a week-long rainy period following the sampling date on July 26. Samples were lastly taken on September 3 to assess lake water balance response to the relatively rainy and cool August weather prior to freeze-up. The four time periods between the five sampling dates are identified as "P1" (May 1 to Jun. 15), "P2" (Jun. 15 to Jul. 26), "P3" (Jul. 26 to Aug. 2), and "P4" (Aug. 2 to Sept. 3).


Figure 2. Air temperature and cumulative precipitation during the sampling period and the 1980-2020 mean, as recorded at Inuvik (WMO ID: 71364) (Figure 1). Meteorological data were retrieved from Environment and Climate Change Canada (2019). The five days when water samples were taken from lakes are shown by the vertical dashed lines. The periods between the sampling dates are labeled P1, P2, P3, and P4. Mean daily air temperature for 2018 and 1980-2020 for each period is indicated by the horizontal dashed lines.

From June 15 onward, water samples were collected at the shoreline of each lake where water was freely circulating. Samples of end-of-winter snow and ice-free season rainfall were obtained to determine the average isotope composition of rain $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ and snow $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$. Snow samples $(\mathrm{n}=11)$ were collected from the region in late April by taking a vertical core of the end-of-winter snowpack using a tube, completely melting the snow in a sealed plastic bag, and then filling a sampling bottle with the melted snow. Rainfall $(\mathrm{n}=13)$ was collected between May and September in Inuvik using a clean high density polyethylene container, which was then transferred into a sample bottle shortly after precipitation ceased. All samples were stored in 30 mL high-density polyethylene bottles. A Los Gatos Research (LGR) Liquid Water Isotope Analyser, model T-

LWIA-45-EP was used to measure the ratio of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ in each sample at the Environmental Isotope Laboratory at the University of Waterloo. Each isotope measurement consisted of 8 injections of roughly 1000 nL sample volume, with the first 2 injections being discarded and the remaining 6 injections averaged to produce the measurement value. All samples were pre-filtered to 0.45 micron into $12 \times 32 \mathrm{~mm}$ septum vials. Every fifth sample was measured a second time to determine the analytical uncertainties, which were $\pm 0.1 \%$ of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\pm 0.6 \%$ for $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$, calculated as two standard deviations from the difference between the duplicate samples. Isotope compositions are expressed in standard $\delta$-notation:
$\delta_{\text {sample }}=\frac{R_{\text {sample }}}{R_{V S M O W}}-1$
where R represents the ratio of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ or ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and VSMOW represents Vienna Mean Standard Ocean Water. Isotope values are normalized for Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation to $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}=-55.5 \%$ and $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}=-428 \%$ (Coplen, 1996). VSMOW and Vienna Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (VSLAP) standards provided by LGR (which are calibrated using IAEA standards) were used to calibrate the instrument initially, and VSMOW and VSLAP standards were analyzed intermittently throughout the sample run to confirm the accuracy of the instrument.

### 3.2 Isotope framework, $\delta_{I}$ and E/I

The isotope data were initially described and interpreted with respect to an 'isotope framework' that consists of two fundamental linear relationships that form when isotope data is plotted with $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ on the x -axis and $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ on the y -axis ( ${ }^{18} \delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ space'). Globally, there is a strong linear correlation between $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ in meteoric water, with isotope compositions of precipitation plotting close to the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL, $\delta^{2} H=8.0 * \delta^{18} O+10$, Craig (1961)). However, the slope and intercept of a Meteoric Water Line can vary for precipitation collected locally. The Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL) represents the expected isotope composition of precipitation in a region. We estimated the LMWL for Inuvik using a linear regression through rainfall and snowfall isotope compositions. The average isotope composition of rainfall $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ and snowfall $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ were calculated by averaging the isotope composition of all the rainfall and snowfall samples we collected respectively, with $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$ representing the average of $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}$. The LMWL equation we derived was $\delta^{2} H=7.1 * \delta^{18} O-10.0$, which is similar to the LMWL derived by Fritz et al. (2022), who used precipitation samples collected between 2015 and 2018 in Inuvik and estimated the Inuvik LMWL to be $\delta^{2} H=7.4 * \delta^{18} O-6.7$. Both of these LMWLs compare closely with the LMWL derived from the Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) data set, which is comprised of precipitation samples in Inuvik between 1986 and 1989 and gives an LMWL of $\delta^{2} H=7.3 * \delta^{18} O-3.6$ (IAEA/WMO, 2023). We considered using the LMWL, $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}, \delta_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}$ values produced by Fritz et al. (2022), however we decided to use the LMWL we developed since it more closely represented the input waters during the study period, and the $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}, \delta_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}$ values derived by Fritz et al. (2022) were close to the values we obtained.
Evaporated waterbodies tend to plot along a Local Evaporation Line (LEL), which can be defined independent of measured lake water isotope compositions. Using this approach and for the case of a lake fed by waters of mean annual isotope composition of precipitation, the LEL was anchored at $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$ and at the maximum isotopic enrichment that can be achieved for a given set of environmental conditions $\left(\delta^{*}\right)$, which is dependent on air temperature, relative humidity and the isotope composition of
atmospheric moisture in the region (Gonfiantini, 1986). Along the LEL exists a useful reference point where the amount of evaporation a water body is experiencing is equal to the amount of water input, defined as $\delta_{\text {SSL }}$ (steady-state lake water isotope composition of a terminal basin (Yi et al., 2008)). When lake water isotope compositions are plotted in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ space, they typically plot near the LEL, with more evaporated lakes plotting closer to $\delta^{*}$ and less evaporated lakes plotting closer to $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$. Lakes preferentially sourced by rainfall will tend to plot above the LEL, whereas lakes preferentially influenced by snowmelt will tend to plot below the LEL, owing to the normally distinctive isotope composition of rainfall and snowmelt (Tondu et al., 2013).

Isotope compositions of the lakes were used to estimate the average isotope composition of source water $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{I}}\right.$, or $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ when referring to just $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ). We followed the coupled isotope tracer method introduced by Yi et al. (2008) which of has been applied in a variety of northern locations (Turner et al., 2014; MacDonald et al., 2017; Remmer et al., 2020). Calculating $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ using the approach of Yi et al. (2008) involves generating a lake-specific evaporation line for each lake water isotope composition. This approach assumes that all lakes tend towards $\delta^{*}$ as they evaporate, and the lake-specific evaporation line is defined as the line that intersects the lake water isotope composition and $\delta^{*}$ in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ space. $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ is then calculated as the point of intersection between a lake-specific evaporation line and the LMWL.

We used these $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ values to calculate the ratio of evaporation-to-inflow (E/I):
$E / I=\frac{\delta_{I}-\delta_{L}}{\delta_{E}-\delta_{L}}$
where $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the isotope composition of the lake water and where $\delta_{\mathrm{E}}$ is the isotope composition of evaporated vapour from the lake (Gonfiantini, 1986). More detailed information about the calculation of the isotope framework components, $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ is provided in Appendix A.

### 3.3 Meteorological conditions and lake and watershed attributes

The end-of-winter snowpack at Trail Valley Creek contained 141 mm of snow water equivalent, similar to the 1991-2019 mean of 147 mm (Marsh et al., 2019). Air temperature at Inuvik was within a degree of the long-term mean during P1, P2 and P3, but P 4 was $3.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooler than the long-term mean (Figure 2). P1 and P2 experienced a total rainfall amount of 72.9 mm of rainfall, close to the long-term mean of 66.0 mm for this period, while P3 and P4 experienced 107.3 mm of rainfall, more than double the long-term mean of 45.0 mm for this period (Figure 2).

Multiple lake and watershed attributes for each lake were quantified for comparison with $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$. Lake-specific properties included lake surface area, depth, latitude, and elevation, while watershed-specific properties included watershed surface area, mean hillslope angle, drainage density, vegetation cover and ice-wedge polygon coverage (Table 1). Within each watershed, the areas of ice-wedge polygons were identified visually from satellite imagery and digitized manually. Drainage density was calculated as the length of all flowpaths with a contributing area greater than $5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, and then divided by the total area of the watershed. A threshold of $5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ was chosen as this was roughly the threshold when water tracks became visible in optical satellite images. Vegetation height in each watershed was quantified using the remote sensing vegetation height product
produced by Bartsch et al. (2020), which provides vegetation height at 20 metre spatial resolution with a RMSE of 45 cm in vegetation height. All spatial analysis was carried out using ArcMap 10.7.1 (ESRI, 2019).

To evaluate whether lake and watershed properties were correlated with lake water balance metrics derived from water isotope data, linear regressions between lake and watershed properties and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ were tested. Only correlations where p $<0.01$ were considered significant. The distribution of each variable was plotted on a histogram and data were mathematically transformed if the distribution was non-uniform. Statistical analysis was performed using R 4.1.0 (R Core Team, 2021).

A correlation was identified between WA/LA and average $E / I\left(R^{2}=0.82\right)$ and we used this relationship to predict average $E / I$ for lakes in the region with areas $>0.25$ ha $(\mathrm{n}=7454$, Figure 1$)$. Watershed area was estimated, including the 25 lakes sampled for isotope composition, by applying the D8 water routing algorithm (O'Callaghan and Mark, 1984) to the 2 m resolution the digital elevation model ArcticDEM (PGC, 2018). The ratio of WA/LA was calculated for each lake by dividing the total watershed area by the total area of the lake(s) in the watershed. In rare cases, watersheds were not delineated accurately and part of the watershed was clipped to the lake boundary due to slight offsets between the lake layer and ArcticDEM. We rejected all watersheds where WA/LA $<1.5$, as all watersheds we inspected that met this criteria were improperly delineated due to the offset error described. After rejecting all watersheds where WA/LA $<1.5$, a total of 7340 watersheds remained.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Seasonal evolution of lake water balances

In general, we observed distinct shifts in lake water isotope composition, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ between sampling dates. Shifts in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ between sampling dates were often more pronounced than shifts in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$. During P1 (May 1 to June 15 ), $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ decreased from a median of $-15.04 \%$ ( $-17.53 \%$ to $-13.81 \%$ inter-quartile range $[\mathrm{IQR}]$ ) to $-16.15 \% \%\left(-17.89 \% o\right.$ to $-15.43 \%$ IQR) and $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ values decreased from $-130.35 \%$ o ( $-139.08 \%$ o to $-120.88 \%$ IQR) to $-132.27 \% o(-142.41 \%$ to $-125.77 \%$ IQR) (Figure 3). At the same time, the range of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ values decreased and the median decreased slightly from $-19.36 \%$ ( $-20.33 \%$ o to $-18.34 \%$ o IQR) to $-19.59 \%$ ( $-20.34 \%$ o to $-18.81 \%$ IQR) (Figure $4 a$ ). The median (and range) of E/I values also decreased during P1 from 0.20 ( 0.07 to 0.38 IQR ) to 0.13 ( 0.07 to 0.18 IQR ) (Figure 4 b ). The median change in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ was -0.08 (Figure 4 c ). The reduction in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ values that occurred during P1 was larger than in any other period (Figure 4c).

During P2 (June 15 to July 26), lake water isotope values increased slightly. The median $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ increased from $-16.15 \%$ o $\left(-17.89 \%\right.$ o to $-15.43 \%$ ) to $-15.63 \%$ ( $-17.33 \%$ to $-14.22 \%$ IQR) and the median $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ increased from $-132.27 \%$ ( $-142.41 \%$ to $-125.77 \%$ IQR) to $-129.50 \%$ ( $-137.90 \%$ o to $-124.99 \%$ IQR) (Figure 3). During P2, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ increased slightly from $-19.59 \% o$ ( $-20.34 \%$ to $-18.81 \%$ IQR) to $-19.35 \%$ ( $-20.34 \%$ to $-18.55 \%$ IQR) (Figure 4 a ). The median E/I ratio increased from 0.13 ( 0.07 to 0.18 IQR ) to 0.19 ( 0.09 to 0.31 IQR ) (Figure 4 b ). The median increase in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ was 0.06 ( 0.03 to 0.12 IQR ) although three lakes experienced a decrease in $E / I$ (Figure 4c).

Lake water isotope values decreased marginally during P3 (July 26 to Aug 2). Median $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ decreased from $-15.63 \%$ ($17.33 \%$ to $-14.22 \%$ IQR) to $-15.94 \%$ ( $-17.16 \%$ to $-14.24 \%$ IQR) and median $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ decreased from $-129.50 \% o(-137.90 \%$ to $-124.99 \%_{o} \mathrm{IQR}$ ) to $-132.60 \%_{\circ}\left(-137.51 \%_{\circ}\right.$ to $-122.68 \%$ IQR) (Figure 3). $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ values also shifted minimally during P3.

## Lake and Precipitation Isotope Composition



Figure 3. Isotope values for all lake and precipitation samples, with their respective ranges along each axis illustrated by boxplots, plotted in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}-\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ space, as deviations per mil from Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW). Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL, black solid line, $\delta^{2} H=7.1 * \delta^{18} O-10.0$ ) is calculated as a regression through snow samples collected throughout the study region and rain samples collected from Inuvik in $2018(\mathrm{n}=24)$. The average isotope composition of end-of-winter snow samples ( $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}$ ), rainfall samples $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$, and the average of $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ are labelled along the LMWL. The Local Evaporation Line (LEL, dark grey dashed line), the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL, light solid grey line), the maximum isotopic enrichment possible for a waterbody ( $\delta^{*}$ ), and the point at which evaporation is equal to inflow $\left(\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}=1, \delta_{\mathrm{SSL}}\right)$ are added for reference.


Figure 4. (a) The distribution of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ for each sampling date with rain and snow isotope compositions for reference. (b) The distribution of $E / I$ values at all lakes across the five sampling dates. (c) The lake-specific change in $E / I$ between the sampling dates was calculated as $\Delta[E / I]=[E / I]_{t_{2}}^{L n}-[E / I]_{t_{1}}^{L n}$, where $L n$ represents a specific lake, and $t$ represents a sampling date.

Median $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ decreased from $-19.35 \%$ ( $-20.33 \%$ to $-18.55 \%$ IQR) to $-19.47 \% \%(-20.10 \% o$ to $-18.45 \%$ IQR) (Figure 4b). The median change in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ was -0.01 ( -0.06 to 0.02 IQR ) with a near equal number of lakes experiencing an increase or decrease in E/I (Figure 4c).

During P4 (August 2 to September 3), median $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ values decreased from $-15.94 \%_{0}\left(-17.16 \%\right.$ o to $-14.24 \%_{0} \mathrm{IQR}$ ) to $-16.55 \%$ 。 $\left(-18.65 \%\right.$ to $-15.00 \%$ IQR) and $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ values decreased from $-132.60 \%$ ( $-137.51 \%_{o}$ to $-122.68 \%$ IQR) to $-133.49 \%$ ( $-140.94 \%$ o to $-123.94 \%$ IQR) (Figure 3). On September 3, some lakes plotted close to the LMWL, indicating that their waters had experienced negligible amounts of evaporation (Figure 3). The median $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ values increased from $-19.47 \%$ ( $-20.10 \%$ o to $-18.45 \%$ IQR) to $-18.94 \%$ ( $-19.18 \%$ to $-18.14 \%$ IQR) and the range of values narrowed and became more similar to rain (Figure 4a). Median E/I values also returned towards June 15 values by the end of P4, decreasing to a median of 0.11 ( 0.00 to 0.21 IQR) (Figure 4 b ). Two thirds of the lakes experienced a decrease in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and the median change in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ was $-0.03(-0.09$ to 0.01 IQR) (Figure 4c).

Table 2. Significant correlations between $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$, and explanatory variables. In cases where there are multiple explanatory variables, the p-values for each explanatory variable are listed respectively. Adjusted $R^{2}$ was used in order to control for the tendency of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ to increase as explanatory variables are added to a model (Yin and Fan, 2001). Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was calculated as $R_{\text {adj }}^{2}=1-\left(1-R^{2}\right) *((n-1) /(n-m-1))$, where $n$ is the sample size and $m$ is the number of explanatory variables. p -values $>0.05$ are italicized.

| Response Variable | Explanatory Variable(s) | p-value(s) | Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average E/I | $\log$ (WA/LA) | $<0.0001$ | 0.7361 |
| Average E/I | $\log$ (Lake Area) | <0.0001 | 0.5092 |
| Average E/I | Average Watershed Slope | 0.0085 | 0.2332 |
| Average E/I | $\log ($ WA/LA $)+\log ($ Lake Area $)+$ Average Watershed Slope | 0.0002, $0.1949,0.8472$ | 0.7339 |
| Average Watershed Slope | $\log$ (Lake Area) | 0.0040 | 0.2771 |
| $\log$ (WA/LA) | $\log$ (Lake Area) | <0.0001 | 0.5150 |
| $\log$ (WA/LA) | Average Watershed Slope | 0.0022 | 0.3128 |
| Average $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\%{ }_{0}\right)$ | Lake Latitude | 0.0027 | 0.3010 |
| Average $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}(\%$ o) | Lake Elevation | 0.0029 | 0.2954 |
| Lake Elevation | Lake Latitude | <0.0001 | 0.9695 |

### 4.2 Correlation analysis between lake and watershed attributes and lake water balance metrics

Variability among lakes in average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ was partially explained by statistically significant relationships with lake and watershed properties. Average E/I was negatively correlated with WA/LA ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$, Table 2, Figure 5a) and average watershed slope ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0085$, Table 2 ) and was positively correlated with the $\log$ of lake surface area ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$, Table 2) . Thus, lakes that had higher $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ values tended to have relatively smaller watershed size, have a flatter watershed, and be larger in surface area. When these three variables are combined into a linear model, only WA/LA remained a significant predictor of average $E / I(p=0.0002)$ while lake area $(p=0.1949)$ and average watershed slope $(p=0.8472)$ became insignificant effects (Table 2). Both lake surface area and average watershed slope were correlated with WA/LA (Table 2). For the relationship between average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and WA/LA, the majority of downstream lakes were outliers, having higher than typical E/I ratios for a given WA/LA as they inherited evaporated waters from upstream waterbodies (Figure 5a).

Average $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ was only correlated with two attributes: lake elevation ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0029$ ) and latitude ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0027$ ) (Table 2). Lakes at lower elevations and higher latitudes tended to have higher $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ values (Figure 5b). Lake elevation and latitude are also nearly perfectly correlated $\left(R^{2}=0.97\right.$, Table 2 ), with lake elevation decreasing as latitude increases.


Figure 5. (a) Relationship between average evaporation-to-inflow ratio and watershed area/lake area for sampled lakes. The grey line represents a line of best fit between watershed area/lake area and the average evaporation-to-inflow ratio, such that $\mathrm{y}=\log (\mathrm{x})$, with the $95 \%$ confidence interval shown in grey shading $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.74, \mathrm{p}<0.0001\right)$. Note the logarithmic x -axis. (b) The relationship between latitude and $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$. The grey line represents a linear line of best fit between $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ and latitude, with the $95 \%$ confidence interval shown in grey shading $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.30\right.$, $\mathrm{p}=0.0027$ ).

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 Influence of meteorological conditions on lake water balances

Water isotope measurements from five discrete time points provide context for characterizing the seasonal evolution of thermokarst lake water balances as a series of phases in relation to meteorological conditions and influential hydrological processes (Figure 6). A previous study at many of the same lakes by Wilcox et al. (2022) observed the presence of snowmelt bypass (Henriksen and Wright, 1977; Hendrey et al., 1980; Bergmann and Welch, 1985) during the Freshet Phase (P1; Figure 6). Initial snowmelt runoff flowing into lakes did not mix with water underneath lake ice because the $\sim 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ snowmelt runoff was less dense than the deeper, warmer waters beneath the lake ice, causing it to flow into and out of lakes without mixing with lake water. This resulted in a much smaller reduction in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ than would have occurred if freshet runoff was able to mix with the entire lake water column. While snowmelt bypass does limit the recharge of lake waters during the Freshet Phase, the sheer volume of
snowmelt runoff and lack of evaporation due to lake ice cover appears to compensate for the impact of snowmelt bypass and results in a greater reduction in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ than any other phase (Figure 4c).

During the Freshet Phase, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ shifted towards the value of $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$ and not towards $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}$, even though minimal rainfall fell during the period (Figure 2) and snowmelt runoff was flowing into lakes. Wilcox et al. (2022) determined that by the time snowmelt runoff could mix with lake waters, the soil had begun to thaw and allowed snowmelt runoff to mix with soil water from the previous year before flowing into lakes. This resulted in a post-snowmelt $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ that was a mixture of snow-sourced and rainsourced water (Figure 4a). Since only a small amount of snow-sourced water was incorporated into lakes during the Freshet Phase, the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ of lakes remained primarily rain-sourced throughout the entire study period (Figure 4a).

The Evaporation Phase (P2; Figure 6) was characterized by minimal change in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ (Figure 4a) and rising E/I ratios at nearly all lakes (Figure 4c), caused by the relatively dry and warm conditions that are typical for this region. Previous water balance studies conducted in this region also found that lake evaporation rates were higher as a result of substantial incoming solar radiation in June and July compared to August and September, and when inflow is minimal due to low precipitation (Marsh and Bigras, 1988; Pohl et al., 2009).

During the brief Soil Wetting Phase (P3; Figure 6), there was minimal change in $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ (Figure 4a) and E/I (Figure 4b) despite the 41.2 mm of rainfall, which represented $25 \%$ of the rainfall during the study period. This evidence suggests little runoff was generated by this event and that rainfall that fell directly on lakes had only a minor effect on lake water balances. We hypothesize that dry conditions preceding the rainfall event allowed soils to absorb the rainfall without becoming saturated sufficiently to generate much lateral flow. The lack of runoff in response to this rainfall event is expected given that antecedent soil moisture conditions have been observed to greatly influence the efficiency of runoff generation from rainfall events in areas underlain by continuous permafrost (Roulet and Woo, 1988; Kane et al., 1998; Favaro and Lamoureux, 2014; Stuefer et al., 2017). Since the first half of the summer is typically drier than the second half (Figure 6), soils generally experience drying throughout the first half of the summer before becoming rewetted.

The Recharge Phase (P4; Figure 6) was defined by the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ of lakes becoming more similar to rainfall (Figure 4a) and E/I ratios decreasing to values similar to the beginning of the Freshet Phase (Figure 4b). The Recharge Phase of 2018 experienced temperatures $3.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than average and 66.1 mm of precipitation, exceeding the long-term mean of 37.2 mm (Figure 2). Given the lower air temperatures, it is likely that evaporation rates also decreased and contributed to the decrease in E/I. Since the meteorological conditions were wetter and cooler than the long-term means, we expect the water balance conditions of the Recharge Phase captured in 2018 to be more representative of a later sampling date during a more normal climate year (e.g., late September). E/I ratios on May 1 were greater than September 3, suggesting that lakes received less inflow during the Recharge Phase during 2017 than during 2018 (Figure 4b).

Findings from previous studies of thermokarst lakes in this region imply that the four phases of lake water balance we observed are typical for this region. The four phases of seasonal water balance evolution we identified (Freshet, Evaporation, Soil Wetting, Recharge) roughly follow the pattern of seasonal changes in lake surface area observed by Cooley et al. (2019) who analyzed near-weekly satellite imagery of a large region which encompassed the lakes we studied. Cooley et al. (2019) observed initial decreases in total lake surface area during the Evaporation Phase, followed by stabilizing or increasing trends


Figure 6. A simplified conceptual diagram comparing meteorological conditions and the response of $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ used to designate water balance phases. Air temperature and precipitation data represent average values from 1980-2020 (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2019). Shaded areas around the $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ lines represent the potential variability caused by lake and watershed attributes or meteorological conditions.
in lake surface area by the end of August. Additionally, Pohl et al. (2009) modeled the water balance for a single lake in our study region across a 30-year period, and identified that maximum lake level was most likely to occur in early June or late August/early September, corresponding with the start of the Evaporation Phase and end of the Recharge Phase.

In comparison to the five thermokarst lake regions examined in a synthesis of isotope data by MacDonald et al. (2017), the relatively low $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios and rainfall-like $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ values found at our study lakes compare most closely to lakes in the Alaskan Coastal Plain (ACP), where the majority of lakes also have an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}<0.25$ and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ similar to rain. This region is cooler during the summer and has a shorter ice-free season (Arp et al., 2015) than our study region, but receives less precipitation and is more lake rich (MacDonald et al., 2017), suggesting lakes likely have smaller watersheds than in our study region. The cooler and shorter summers in ACP, which decrease evaporation, may be offset by smaller watersheds and less precipitation, which decrease inflow, leading to similar $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ values as our study region. Our lakes differed from the more nearby OCF, where most lakes have an E/I between 0.25 and 0.75 but have a smaller WA/LA of $\sim 3$, whereas the average WA/LA of lakes we sampled was 9.5 (Table 1). OCF differs from our study region in that it is situated in a post-glacial lake bed underlain by fine-grained glaciolacustrine sediments (Hughes, 1972), resulting in a relatively flat landscape with poorer ability to convey runoff in comparison to our study region, where rolling hills are well drained by networks of peat channels with high hydraulic conductivity (Quinton and Marsh, 1998). These differences between OCF and our study region may explain the greater E/I values at the former, however our study year was cooler and wetter than average, which may also have contributed to the comparatively low $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios.

### 5.2 Effects of lake and watershed attributes on E/I

While shifts in E/I over time can be attributed to changing meteorological conditions and hydrological processes, differences in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ among lakes can be further explained by lake and watershed attributes. Most of the variability in average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ among lakes can be explained by WA/LA ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.74$, Figure 5 a ), as lakes with smaller WA/LA likely receive less inflow and have greater $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios as a result. A similar inverse relationship between E/I ratios and WA/LA has also been observed in OCF (Turner et al., 2014), and the taiga-shield of the Northwest Territories (Gibson and Edwards, 2002). Four of the six downstream lakes we sampled had anomalously high E/I ratios compared to their WA/LA (Figure 5a). Downstream lakes receive evaporated inflow from their upstream lakes, which is then evaporated further in the downstream lake, producing an enhanced E/I ratio. When downstream lakes are removed from the regression between $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and WA/LA, the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ improves from 0.74 to 0.82 .

We estimated $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ for lakes in the study area using the strong relationship between average E/I and WA/LA. This was done by delineating the watersheds of lakes larger than 0.25 ha in the study area (Figure 1 ) and applying the fitted regression between $\log$ (WA/LA) and average E/I for the headwater lakes we sampled:

Average $E / I=-0.10867 * \log (W A / L A)+0.37007$

The resulting histogram of average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ (Figure 7b) is primarily skewed towards larger values, because WA/LA is skewed towards smaller values (Figure 7a). An average E/I between 0.2 and 0.225 is most common for lakes, indicating the lakes are


Figure 7. Distribution of (a) watershed area/lake area and (b) evaporation-to-inflow for 7340 lakes and their watersheds in the study area. Average evaporation-to-inflow is estimated using the relationship with watershed area/lake area of headwater lakes. Note that the leftmost bin in panel (a) is only half the width of other bins, reflecting the rejection of all WA/LA $<1.5$ that we applied when filtering the data.
dominated by inflow. At WA/LA $>30$, the estimated $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ becomes 0 , and as a result $7 \%$ of lakes are predicted to have an average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ of 0 (Figure 7b). None of the lakes appear to be approaching desiccation.

We note that this distribution of $E / I$ values is dependent on the timing of our water sampling, the meteorological conditions during the study period, and on the properties of the lakes that we selected. For example, the median lake depth of 34 lakes sampled in this region by Pienitz et al. (1997) was 3.0 m , similar to the lakes we measured (Table 1), however some lakes sampled by Pienitz et al. (1997) were up to 18.5 m in depth. The relationship we derived between WA/LA and E/I is likely weaker for deeper lakes than sampled in our study, since lake surface area becomes less representative of lake volume when a wider range of lake depths are included. In that case, calculating the ratio of lake volume to watershed area could be a better predictor of $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios.

We hypothesize that the hydrological response of lakes in this region to climate change will be strongly influenced by their WA/LA. Recent predictions of future Arctic precipitation indicate greater rainfall, snowfall and more annual precipitation
overall (Brown and Mote, 2009; Bintanja and Andry, 2017; Bintanja et al., 2020) and in OCF increased rainfall is already reducing lake $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios (MacDonald et al., 2021). We would expect that under wetter conditions $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios would decrease for all lakes, and the threshold where average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}=0$ would also decrease. The logarithmic nature of the relationship between WA/LA and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ indicates that average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ is more sensitive to changes in inflow as WA/LA decreases. Therefore, we expect that lakes with smaller WA/LA would experience greater reductions in average $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ under a wetter future climate. We also hypothesize that lakes with larger WA/LA could be more vulnerable to rapid lake drainage because they receive more inflow and likely experience greater fluctuations in lake level as a result; rapid drainage is typically triggered when extremely high water levels lead to the rapid thermo-mechanical erosion of a new lake outlet (Mackay, 1988; Brewer et al., 1993; Turner et al., 2010).

Alternatively, climate change may lead to a drier future for lakes, as ice-free periods lengthen, higher air temperatures increase evaporation and permafrost thaw leads to landscape drying (Walvoord and Kurylyk, 2016; Koch et al., 2022; Webb and Liljedahl, 2023). A combination of such conditions has already caused lake contraction in western Greenland (Finger Higgens et al., 2019). If drier conditions prevail, given the logarithmic nature of the relationship between WA/LA and average E/I, we expect lakes with smaller WA/LA will experience greater increases in average E/I. If future climate change causes sufficiently dry conditions to cause lake desiccation, a large number of lakes in the study area could potentially be affected, given that the distribution of WA/LA in the region is skewed towards smaller values of WA/LA, with many lakes possessing a WA/LA $<4$ (Figure 7a). The 'drier future' scenario may not necessarily lead to lake desiccation, given that all E/I ratios we estimated were $<1$, however the portion of precipitation converted into runoff can be halved during dry periods when compared to wetter periods (Stuefer et al., 2017), further reducing runoff to lakes.

Future studies could build on our hypothesis that WA/LA will mediate the response of lakes to climate change by comparing past changes in lake surface area, via remote sensing and paleohydrological analyses, with WA/LA. We would expect that during drier periods, lakes with smaller WA/LA experienced greater reductions in lake surface area than lakes with larger WA/LA. Previous studies from this region have already found that lakes change in surface area in response to seasonal (Cooley et al., 2019) and multi-year (Plug et al., 2008) shifts in precipitation, indicating that changes to lake water balances can be observed by tracking changes in water surface area.

## 6 Conclusions

Water isotope-derived metrics were used to derive distinct seasonal phases of lake water balances for 25 thermokarst lakes in the tundra uplands east of the Mackenzie Delta (Northwest Territories, Canada). The Freshet Phase saw lakes experience a reduction in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and a shift of $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ values towards $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$, as a mixture of soil water and snowmelt-sourced freshet entered lakes and evaporation was minimal due to lake ice cover. Following this period was the Evaporation Phase, where minimal precipitation and warm and sunny conditions led to increasing $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ratios and no change in $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$. Then, a brief and intense period of rainfall led to minimal response in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ at lakes, as dry soils absorbed most of the precipitation during the Soil Wetting Phase. In the final stages of summer during the Recharge Phase, air temperatures declined and precipitation was unseasonably high, causing
reductions in $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ and a shift of $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ towards $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}$ as lakes received increased runoff from their watersheds and evaporation rates were reduced.

Comparison of water isotope-derived lake water balance components with lake and watershed attributes shows that WA/LA explains the majority of variability in E/I among lakes. The strong relationship between average E/I and WA/LA allowed us to predict the average E/I for 7340 lakes in the study region. Predicted average E/I values were low compared to other regions of thermokarst lakes, only reaching 0.33 , indicating that lakes are not currently near risk of desiccation. We hypothesize that lakes with larger WA/LA will be more prone to rapid drainage if future conditions are wetter.

Few studies have directly investigated the influence of WA/LA on E/I (Gibson and Edwards, 2002; Turner et al., 2014), but given the strong relationship we found, WA/LA could serve as a useful metric in other permafrost environments for characterizing thermokarst lake water balances and predicting the vulnerability of lakes to climate change. The non-uniform response of lake surface area to past climate change (Smith et al., 2005; Plug et al., 2008; Arp et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2011; Andresen and Lougheed, 2015) may also be explained by WA/LA; future studies could investigate whether lakes with smaller WA/LA are more likely to decrease in surface area than lakes with larger WA/LA. Further associations between WA/LA and biogeochemical properties of lakes may also exist, as $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ has been linked to the biogeochemistry of a wide range of lakes, from tropical to tundra environments (Kosten et al., 2009).

Data availability. Data used in the manuscript are presented in Tables 1, 2 and the Appendix. Lake isotope and attribute data can be downloaded from the Trail Valley Creek Dataverse at https://doi.org/10.5683/SP3/AZE4ER. Meteorological data were retrieved from Environment and Climate Change Canada at https://climate.weather.gc.ca/historical_data/search_historic_data_e.html.

## Appendix A: Isotope framework

The calculation of the point of maximum evaporative isotopic enrichment, or the isotope composition of lake at the point of desiccation ( $\delta^{*}$ ), Gonfiantini (1986):
$\delta^{*}=\frac{h * \delta_{A s}+\varepsilon_{k}+\left(\varepsilon^{*} / \alpha^{*}\right)}{h-\varepsilon_{k}-\left(\varepsilon^{*} / \alpha^{*}\right)}$
where $\alpha^{*}$ is the fractionation factor between the liquid and vapour phase of water, $\varepsilon^{*}$ and $\varepsilon_{k}$ are the equilibrium and kinetic separation terms, with $\varepsilon^{*}$ serving as a convenient expression of $\alpha^{*}$, where $\varepsilon^{*}=\alpha^{*}-1$. The term $h$ represents the relative humidity for the ice-free season (see below). Equilibrium fractionation ( $\alpha^{*}$ ) was calculated following equations from Horita and Wesolowski (1994):
$1000 \ln * \alpha^{*}=-7.685+6.7123 * \frac{10^{3}}{T}-1.6664 * \frac{10^{6}}{T^{2}}+0.35041 * \frac{10^{9}}{T^{3}}$
for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and
$1000 l n * \alpha^{*}=1158.8 * \frac{T^{3}}{10^{9}}-1620.1 * \frac{T^{2}}{10^{6}}+794.84 * \frac{T}{10^{3}}-161.04+2.9992 * \frac{10^{9}}{T^{3}}$

Table A1. List of variables used in isotope framework and their values.

| Variable (unit) | Description | Source | Value(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measured |  |  |  |
| T (K) | Average air temperature from June 15 to September 3, 2018 | Trail Valley Creek (WMO ID: 71683) | 281.95 |
| h (\%) | Average relative humidity from June 15 to September 3, 2018 | Trail Valley Creek (WMO ID: 71683) | 78.3 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Average isotope composition of snowpack samples. | Samples from study region | -24.61, -184.19 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Average isotope composition of rainfall samples. | Samples from study region | -16.67, -128.36 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Average of $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}$. | Samples from study region | -20.64, -156.28 |
| $\delta_{\text {Ps }}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Average isotope composition of precipitation during the ice-free period. | Samples from study region | -17.25, -130.99 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)\left(\%{ }_{0}\right)$ | Isotope composition of lake water. | Samples from study region | Many |
| LMWL (slope, intercept (\%o)) | Local meteoric water line, calculated using a linear regression through $\delta_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{R}}$ samples. | Samples from study region | 7.1,-10.0 |
| Computed |  |  |  |
| $\alpha^{*}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | Fractionation factor between the liquid and vapour phase of water. | Equation A2, A3 | 1.0109, 1.0986 |
| $\varepsilon^{*}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | Equal to $\alpha^{*}-1$ | Equation A2, A3 | 0.0109, 0.0986 |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{k}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | Kinetic separation factor between liquid and vapour phases of water. | Equation A4 | 3.08, 2.71 |
| $\delta_{\text {As }}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%$ ) | Isotope composition of atmospheric water vapour. | Equation A5 | -27.58, -207.7 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{E}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Isotope composition of water vapour evaporating from a lake. | Equation A7 | Many |
| $\delta^{*}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Theoretical isotope composition of a water body at the point of total desiccation. | Equation A1 | -9.88, -101.1 |
| LEL (slope, intercept (\%o)) | Local evaporation line, representing a theoretical lake evaporation line where $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}=\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$. | Equation A1 | 5.2, -48.9 |
| $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Estimated average isotope composition of $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ source water. | Equation A7 | Many |
| $E / I$ | Evaporation-to-inflow ratio. | Equation A8 | Many |
| $\delta_{\text {SSL }}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)(\%)$ | Isotope composition of a lake where $E / I=1$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}=\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$. | Equation A6 | -12.59, -115.70 |

for $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$, where $T$ represents the temperature of the interface $(\mathrm{K})$ (see below). The kinetic separation term $\varepsilon_{k}$ was calculated as:
where $x=0.0142$ for $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $x=0.0125$ for $\delta^{2} \mathrm{H}$ (Gonfiantini, 1986). $\delta_{\mathrm{As}}$ represents the isotope composition of atmospheric vapour, which we assume is in equilibrium with the isotope composition of summertime precipitation ( $\delta_{\mathrm{Ps}}$, Gibson and Edwards, 2002). We can therefore estimate $\delta_{\text {As }}$ as:
$\delta_{A s}=\left(\delta_{P s}-\varepsilon^{*} / \alpha^{*}\right)$
$\delta_{S S L}=\left(\alpha^{*} * \delta_{P} *\left(1-h+\varepsilon_{k}\right)\right)+\alpha^{*} * h * \delta_{A s}+\alpha^{*} * \varepsilon_{k}+\varepsilon^{*}$
where $\delta_{\mathrm{P}}$ represents average precipitation (Gonfiantini, 1986).
Data for air temperature and relative humidity were collected at Trail Valley Creek (TVC) near the centre of the study area. The time period used for the average air temperature and relative humidity spans from when lakes became ice-free (June 15, 2018) until the last day of sampling (September 3, 2018) to match the time span between the first and last sampling dates. For a few dates, air temperature was not recorded by the TVC meteorological station maintained by the Meteorological Service of Canada, and air temperature from another meteorological station at TVC was used instead.

The isotope composition of the lake-specific input water $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ was calculated following Yi et al. (2008), where $\delta_{\mathrm{I}}$ is estimated as the intersection of the LMWL and the lake-specific LEL, defined as the line between the measured isotope composition of the lake $\left(\delta_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{E}}$, which is the isotope composition of vapour evaporating from the lake. $\delta_{\mathrm{E}}$ was calculated following Gonfiantini (1986):
$\delta_{E}=\frac{\left(\delta_{L}-\varepsilon^{*}\right) /\left(\alpha^{*}-h * \delta_{A s}-\varepsilon_{k}\right)}{1-h+\varepsilon_{k}}$
$\delta_{\mathrm{I}}, \delta_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{L}}$ were then used to calculate the ratio of evaporation-to-inflow ( $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}$ ) as described by Yi et al. (2008) and others as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{I}=\frac{\delta_{I}-\delta_{L}}{\delta_{E}-\delta_{L}} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that lakes are well mixed and in hydrological and isotopic steady state.
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