Water sharing policies conditioned on hydrologic variability to inform reservoir operations

3

Guang Yang¹, Paul Block²

Abstract Water resources infrastructure is critical for energy and food security, however, the development 4 5 of large-scale infrastructure, such as hydropower dams, may significantly alter downstream flows, 6 potentially leading to water resources management conflicts and disputes. Mutually agreed upon water sharing policies for the operation of existing or new reservoirs is one of the most effective strategies to 7 8 mitigate conflict, yet this is a complex task involving the estimation of available water, identification of 9 users and demands, procedures for water sharing, etc. A water-sharing policy framework that incorporates 10 reservoir operating rules optimization based on conflicting uses and natural hydrologic variability, 11 specifically tailored to drought conditions, is proposed. First, the trade-off between downstream and 12 upstream water availability utilizing multi-objective optimization of reservoir operating rules is 13 established. Next, reservoir operation with the candidate (optimal) rules is simulated, followed by their 14 performance evaluations, and the rules selections for balancing water uses. Subsequently, a relationship 15 between the reservoir operations simulated from the selected rules and drought-specific conditions is built 16 to derive water-sharing policies. Finally, the reservoir operating rules are re-optimized to evaluate the

¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1415 Engineering Dr., Madison, WI 53706. E-mail: <u>gyang82@wisc.edu</u>

² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1415 Engineering Dr., Madison, WI 53706 (corresponding author). E-mail: <u>pblock2@wisc.edu</u>

effectiveness of the drought-specific water sharing policies. With a case study of the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) on the Blue Nile River, it is demonstrated that the derived water sharing policy can balance GERD power generation and downstream releases, especially in dry conditions, effectively sharing the hydrologic risk in inflow variability among riparian countries. The proposed framework offers a robust approach to inform water sharing policies for sustainable management of water resources.

Keywords: Reservoir operation; Water sharing policy; Drought mitigation; Multi-objective optimization;
 Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam.

24 **1. Introduction**

25 Rapid population growth and socio-economic development exacerbate stress on the management of 26 water resources globally (Vörösmarty et al., 2000; WWAP, 2019). Surface-water reservoirs and their 27 effective management is one of the most efficient means to reduce this stress by reallocating water 28 resources spatially and temporally (Gaudard et al., 2014). Thus in recent decades, many models and 29 strategies have been investigated to inform and improve reservoir operation decision-making (Chaves and 30 Chang, 2008;Cancelliere et al., 2002;Herman and Giuliani, 2018;Karamouz and Houck, 1982;Giuliani et 31 al., 2014; Oliveira and Loucks, 1997). For example, Karamouz and Houck (1982) optimize monthly 32 reservoir releases by deterministic dynamic programming and build a linear reservoir operation model 33 conditioned on the relationship between optimal releases and reservoir state variables. Cancelliere et al. 34 (2002) built a non-linear reservoir operation model by using neural network techniques to improve 35 reservoir irrigation water supply during drought conditions. Herman and Giuliani (2018) design a tree-36 based policy which is flexible and interpretable for reservoir operation over multiple timescales. In general, 37 reservoir decisions (e.g., water releases and power generation) are determined using reservoir operating

rules with available input variables including reservoir state (e.g., reservoir water level) and hydrological
conditions (e.g., reservoir inflow) (Oliveira and Loucks, 1997).

40 Reservoir operating rules are typically derived using fitting-based and simulation-optimization-based 41 approaches. In fitting-based rules derivation (policy fitting), reservoir operation decisions are optimized 42 and subsequently fitted with input variables using linear regression (Bhaskar and Whitlatch Jr, 1980), 43 artificial neural networks (Cancelliere et al., 2002), fuzzy inference (Chang and Chang, 2001), and 44 decision trees (Wei and Hsu, 2008). In simulation-optimization methods, the parameters of reservoir 45 operating rules are optimized with an iterative simulation-based search algorithm in which the 46 performance is evaluated directly from reservoir operation simulations (Le Ngo et al., 2007;Rani and 47 Moreira, 2010). For example, Giuliani et al. (2015a) approximated reservoir operating rules by using 48 artificial neural networks and radial basis functions (RBFs) and optimized the rules for multi-purpose 49 water reservoirs with an evolutionary algorithm. A policy fitting approach requires an optimal set of 50 reservoir inflows, storages, and releases and its effectiveness highly depends on the performance of the 51 optimized reservoir operation model; however, the rules derivation is interpretable and intuitive when 52 optimal reservoir decision-making is highly correlated with state variables. In contrast, simulation-53 optimization-based approaches do not rely on existing optimal reservoir operations and thus it is generally 54 more flexible than fitting-based rules.

Most of these approaches are implemented in water resources systems contained within a basin or jurisdiction in which the benefits (e.g. power generation, water supply, and ecosystem function maintenance) can be quantified and evaluated (Reddy and Nagesh Kumar, 2007;Feng et al., 2018;Yang et al., 2016). Reservoir operations are necessarily more complex after considering a wide variety of social, political, economic, and cultural conditions in river basins (Zeitoun and Mirumachi, 2008). Disputes and 60 conflicts are not uncommon between riparian states in river basins when water sharing agreements are 61 non-existent or non-enforceable and claims may be defined based on historical use. For example, the Nile 62 River serves eleven countries, 250 million people (Nile Basin Initiative, 2017), and is vital to agriculture, 63 industry, and hydropower, (Paisley and Henshaw, 2013), yet no mutually agreed upon water sharing 64 policies exist. The 1959 agreement (Guariso and Whittington, 1987) has been repudiated by upstream 65 riparian states. Acknowledging significantly divergent interests and a history of conflict and distrust, 66 quantifying the impact of reservoir operation on downstream benefits is challenging, hindering 67 development of water sharing strategies (Link et al., 2016).

68 According to the Transboundary Freshwater Dispute Database (McCracken and Wolf, 2019), the 69 existing 310 international river basins across the world are shared by 150 countries and disputed areas, 70 cover 45% of the Earth's land surface, and contribute to 60% of the world's freshwater resources. As 71 suggested by Sadoff and Grey (2002), it is critical to understand and account for the range of inter-related 72 benefits resulting from the development of international rivers in a cooperative way. Such cooperation of 73 water resources development in international river basins has been widely investigated in recent years (Li 74 et al., 2019;Luchner et al., 2019;Arjoon et al., 2016;Wheeler et al., 2018;Degefu et al., 2016). For example, 75 Arjoon et al. (2016) proposed a benefit-sharing method based on the optimization results from a hydro-76 economic model and evaluated the value of cooperative water management in the Eastern Nile River basin; 77 Li et al. (2019) analyzed the water benefits of stakeholders from cooperation under different reservoir 78 operation scenarios by using cooperative game theory methods; Luchner et al. (2019) simulated reservoir 79 operations and water allocation in an international river basin in Central Asia and found that international 80 cooperation in the power sector can ease the conflict between upstream hydropower production and 81 downstream irrigated agriculture.

82 Most previous studies focus on illustrating the importance of a cooperative strategy through water 83 system optimization and simulation (Dombrowsky, 2009; Tilmant and Kinzelbach, 2012) and evaluating 84 the benefits of cooperative operation (Goor et al., 2010;Anghileri et al., 2013;Uitto and Duda, 85 2002;Luchner et al., 2019). There is less literature (Wheeler et al., 2016;Li et al., 2019;Degefu et al., 86 2016; Teasley and McKinney, 2011), however, addressing strategies for reaching an agreement or 87 consensus on water resources development amongst downstream and upstream riparian countries. Also, 88 although cooperation can result in a win-win situation for both downstream and upstream stakeholders, 89 cooperative water use strategies are obstructed by single-sector interests, especially when long-term 90 commitments are involved (Wu and Whittington, 2006). More specifically, it is often difficult to achieve 91 a mutually agreed-on cooperation strategy given divergent solution preferences by stakeholders.

92 Additionally, benefit sharing policies rely heavily on hydro-economic modeling and cost-benefit 93 analysis (Jeuland et al., 2014), which strives to maximize overall aggregated benefits and subsequently 94 allocate benefits in an equitable way. However, (1) the aggregation of benefits can hide important trade-95 offs and may increase the risk of floods and droughts for maximum economic benefit; (2) there is no 96 standard that regulates how benefits of water use from various sectors (e.g., drinking, agriculture, industry, 97 recreation, and navigation) are quantified and what mechanism should be applied to equitably 98 allocate/share the benefits (Acharya et al., 2020); and (3) there is presently no basin-wide authority to 99 enforce benefit allocations (e.g. payments from one country to another) although institutions such as the 100 Nile Basin Initiative could serve in this role (Arjoon et al., 2016). Thus, water sharing policies considering 101 the trade-off between economic benefits and drought risk, rather than benefit sharing policies based on 102 cooperative operation strategies analysis, are investigated in this study. The policies will be flexible, 103 interpretable, and more importantly drought-focused such that downstream drought mitigation will 104 become an inherent part of the water sharing framework.

105 In this study, a systemic framework is proposed to derive operational reservoir water-sharing policies 106 using multi-objective optimization for water use conflict mitigation. Specifically, (1) optimize reservoir 107 operating rules and establish trade-off between upstream benefits and downstream drought risks, (2) 108 simulate reservoir operation with the candidate (optimal) rules, evaluate performance, and select the most suitable rules for balancing benefits, (3) derive water-sharing policies conditioned on reservoir operations 109 110 and water availability, and (4) re-optimize reservoir operating rules incorporating derived water-sharing 111 policies to evaluate effectiveness and performance. The drought-focused water-sharing policies are 112 interpretable as they are derived from and evaluated on reservoir operation simulations from existing 113 optimal rules. Further, the policies are considered flexible by offering opportunities for informing 114 upstream-downstream negotiations. The Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) in Ethiopia is 115 selected to demonstrate the framework and illustrate how operational water-sharing strategies, reflective 116 of upstream and downstream demands and natural hydrologic variability, can promote water-sharing 117 agreements between upstream and downstream countries.

118 2. Study Area and Data

The Blue Nile River, the largest tributary of the Nile River, originates at Tana Lake in Ethiopia and merges with the White Nile River in Khartoum, Sudan. Average annual rainfall in the upper part of the basin varies between 1200 and 1800 mm/yr (Conway, 2000), with a dominant rainy season in June– September contributing approximately 70% of mean annual precipitation. During this season, the Blue Nile contributes nearly 80% of the total Nile River streamflow (Swain, 2011) and the average annual runoff of the Blue Nile at Roseries, near the Ethiopia–Sudan border, is approximately 49 km³/yr (Wheeler et al., 2016), thus it plays a significant role in livelihood and development in Ethiopia, Sudan and Egypt.

126 Ethiopia started constructing the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) across the Blue Nile 127 River in 2011, approximately 15 km upstream of the Sudanese border (Fig. 1). When completed, the 128 GERD will become the largest hydroelectric dam (installed capacity more than 5,000 MW) in Africa 129 (Government of Ethiopia, 2020) and will have a total reservoir capacity of 74 billion cubic meters. The 130 GERD is expected to produce an average of 15,130 GWh of electricity annually (with mean output of 131 1727 MW) (Tan et al., 2017; Tesfa, 2013), which will contribute to Ethiopia's national energy grid and 132 feed the East African power pool (Nile Basin Initiative, 2012). There is uncertainty in media reports 133 regarding the total installed capacity for GERD which ranges from 5,150 MW (Ezega News, 2019b) to 134 6,000 MW (Ezega News, 2019a; Zelalem, 2020). A value of 6,000 MW, which was applied both in the 135 annual electricity production estimation and previous publicly available scientific studies (Tesfa, 136 2013; Yang et al., 2021), is opted for this study. It is worth noting that re-running the simulations with an 137 installed capacity of 5,150 MW instead of 6,000 MW does not change principal conclusions. Although the 138 GERD is primarily designed for hydropower, and thus non-consumptive, operating to maximize power 139 generation may result in a water release schedule significantly different from the natural annual cycle, 140 particularly considering drought periods, with implications to Sudan and Egypt. This is the crux of the 141 current hydro-political confronting the riparian countries.

In this study, GERD reservoir operation rules are developed considering power generation and downstream water release (including turbine outflows and spillage losses) simultaneously to mitigate upstream-downstream water use conflicts, particularly tailored to drought periods. The study investigates water-sharing (drought mitigation) policy derivation procedures (reservoir operation simulation and optimization, power generation and downstream water release analysis, drought mitigation policy extraction and validation) balancing GERD production and downstream flow volumes. Historical monthly

inflow at El Diem gauging station (located just downstream of the GERD site) for 1965-2017 (Fig. 2) areapplied.





151 Fig. 1 Blue Nile basin with Ethiopia country borders and the location of the GERD reservoir





153 Fig. 2 Monthly inflow into the GERD reservoir during 1965-2017.

3. Models and Methods

155	Th	e procedure for water sharing policy derivation and evaluation including large-scale reservoir
156	operatio	ons is introduced in this section (Fig. 3). In summary, the process is as follows:
157	•	First: optimize the reservoir operating rules approximated with Radial Basis Functions (RBFs)
158		and obtain the Pareto front for upstream and downstream benefits trade-off.
159	•	Second: select feasible solutions on the Pareto front according to the requirements of power
160		generation and drought mitigation; specifically, for a given power generation level, the
161		distribution of annual water release is analyzed with special attention to low flow years.
162	•	Third: define the relationship between annual reservoir inflow and releases based on the selected
163		feasible solutions; the policy can be further framed as a function of reservoir annual inflow
164		predictions.
165	•	Fourth: incorporate the policy into general RBFs-based rules to evaluate policy effectiveness and
166		robustness.



167

168 Fig. 3 Procedure of drought mitigation policy derivation and evaluation for reservoir operation.

169 3.1. Reservoir operation model

170 The primary purpose of the GERD reservoir is hydropower production; this objective function can171 be described as follows:

172
$$Max \quad E = \sum_{t=1}^{T} P_t \cdot \Delta t, \quad P_t = \eta \cdot g \cdot \rho \cdot Q_t^P \cdot H_t^P / 1000$$

(1)

where *E* is hydroelectricity generation (kW h) during total number of operational periods *T*; *P_t* is the power generation output (kW) during time period *t* and Δt is the time (h) of a single period; η , *g*, and ρ refer to the dimensionless hydropower generation efficiency of the turbines (set as 0.9 in this study), gravitational acceleration (9.8 m/s²), and water density (1000 kg/m³), respectively; and *Q_t^p* and *H_t^p* are reservoir release for power generation (m³/s) and average power head (m) in period *t*, respectively.

In lieu of modeling specific water requirements downstream of the GERD, minimizing annual water release variance is applied. This approach favors reliable releases yet also reflects natural hydrologic variability, and can be described as below.

181
$$Min \quad Std\left(Q_{y}^{out}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{y=1}^{Y} \left(Q_{y}^{out} - \overline{Q}_{y}^{out}\right)^{2}}{Y}} \qquad (2)$$

182 where Q_y^{out} is the reservoir water release (which includes turbine outflows and spillage losses) in year y 183 and \overline{Q}_y^{out} and $Std(Q_y^{out})$ are the mean value and standard deviation of reservoir annual water release across 184 all operational years Y, respectively.

185 Physical and operational reservoir constraints are listed as below.

186 (a) Water balance:

187
$$S_{t+1} = S_t + \left(Q_t^{in} - Q_t^{out}\right) \cdot \Delta t - EP_t$$
(3)

where S_t and S_{t+1} are reservoir storage (m³) in period t and t+1, respectively, Q_t^{in} represents reservoir inflow (m³/s) in period t, Q_t^{ut} is reservoir release (m³/s) in period t, and EP_t is the sum of evaporation and seepage from the reservoir (m³) in period t.

- 191 (b) Reservoir capacity limits (Jameel, 2014):
- 192 The reservoir structural and operational constraints can be expressed as:

$$S^{\min} \le S_r \le S^{\max} \tag{4}$$

where S^{\min} and S^{\max} are the minimum (14.8 billion m³) and maximum (74 billion m³) allowable reservoir storage.

Additionally, S^{begin} and S^{end} represent the initial and final reservoir storage (m³) for simulations (both of them are set as 65.1 billion m³), respectively, and are prescribed as:

198
$$S_t = \begin{cases} S^{begin} & t = 1\\ S^{end} & t = T \end{cases}$$
(5)

199 (c) Reservoir release limits:

201

200 The reservoir release constraints are expressed as:

$$QL_t \le Q_t^{ad} \le QU_t \tag{6}$$

where QL_t and QU_t are the minimum and maximum release (m³/s) in period *t*, respectively. The expected guidelines for GERD reservoir water release are not explicitly available, thus releases are set higher than zero and lower than the maximum reservoir inflow (21.9 billion m³/month) during the highflow season to reduce or eliminate downstream floods.

where PL_t and PU_t are the minimum (0 MW) and maximum (6000 MW) power limits in period *t*, respectively.

210 3.2. Reservoir operating rules

In this study, reservoir water releases are conditioned on radial basis functions (RBFs), a non-linear function approximating method (Buşoniu et al., 2011) which can provide universal approximation (Tikk et al., 2003) and ensure a flexible reservoir operating rules structure. For more applications of RBF models in reservoir operation see Giuliani et al. (2015a). The reservoir operating rules are defined as below.

215
$$Q_t^{ut} = \sum_{u=1}^U \omega_u \varphi_u (X_t) \quad t = 1, ..., T \quad 0 \le \omega_u \le 1$$
(8)

216
$$\varphi_{u}(X_{t}) = \exp\left[-\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{\left(\left(X_{t}\right)_{j} - c_{j,u}\right)^{2}}{b_{u}^{2}}\right] \quad c_{j,u} \in [-1,1], b_{u} \in (0,1]$$
(9)

where U is the number of RBFs, $\varphi(\cdot)$ and ω_u are the weights of the u^{th} RBF, M is the number of input variables X_t , and \mathbf{c}_u and b_u are the M-dimensional center and radius vectors of the u^{th} RBF, respectively. Because water release generally depends on the reservoir state (Revelle et al., 1969) and inflow, with intra- and inter-annual variability, reservoir storage S_t , inflow Q_t^{in} , and seasonal information τ_t (where τ_t refers to the position of the current period (month) *t* within a water year) are selected as input variables and $X_t = (S_t, Q_t^{in}, \tau_t)$.

In this study, the number of RBFs is set to four (as in Giuliani et al. (2015b)), thus *U*=4 and *M*=3 (three input variables) in equation (8)-(9) resulting in 20 parameters in the RBFs-based rules. The parameters in RBFs-based rules are optimized with a simulation-optimization model (Rani and Moreira, 2010), using the Pareto-Archived Dynamically Dimensioned Search (PA-DDS) evolutionary algorithm which has been successfully applied to reservoir operating rules optimization (Yang et al., 2020). The procedure of the PA-DDS algorithm has been described in detail by Asadzadeh and Tolson (2013).

229 3.3. Drought-focused water sharing policy

To ensure downstream water supply, the GERD reservoir will need to be operated under minimum annual water release constraints. Apart from the RBFs-based rules determining the reservoir water release in each time step (months), a drought mitigation policy is also adopted to address dry periods. The policy is based on annual time steps and represented as a linear regression between annual reservoir inflow and water release. More specifically, the minimum annual reservoir water release can be determined by the following equation:

236
$$R_{y}^{\min} = \alpha \cdot Q_{y}^{in} + \beta + z \cdot \sigma_{d}$$
(10)

where Q_y^{in} and R_y^{min} refer to reservoir inflow and minimum reservoir water release during year y, respectively; α and β are regression parameters estimated from simulations containing reservoir inflow values below the historical average (approximately 49 billion cubic meters per year, BCM/yr). An exceedance parameter z is multiplied by the standard deviation of the regression residuals σ_d to vary how conservative the drought mitigation policy is (see Fig. 4 for a visualization of exceedance parameters). This policy design favors downstream releases under drought conditions by supplementing what would occur under natural flow conditions, however as a trade-off, the minimum reservoir release in any year will not exceed the historical average reservoir inflow (see the horizontal line in Fig. 4).

245 The drought policy is conditioned on reservoir inflows and releases for a transparent, interpretable, and 246 intuitive process, which is important especially when negotiations are involved. The gradient of the policy 247 line is highly correlated with variability in reservoir releases, in general, as the slope increases, so does 248 the variability in releases. Thus, the parameter α can be estimated from the trade-off between reservoir 249 power generation and downstream water release variability. The exceedance parameter z further controls 250 the degree of drought mitigation; larger z values indicate higher drought thresholds (see the intersection 251 between the policy line and 1:1 dash line in Fig. 4). In the drought policy design, these two parameters 252 can be estimated separately to isolate their impact on drought mitigation performance. This case study 253 mainly focuses on the impact of the first parameter as the exceedance parameter z is eventually set as 0%.

In this study, the drought mitigation policy is designed with annual streamflow data, however reservoir operating rules are derived for monthly operation. With reservoir storage in current month S_m , reservoir inflow in current month Q_m^{in} , and the predicted reservoir inflow during the rest of the year Q_{m+1}^{rin} , ..., Q_{12}^{rin} , the reservoir water release in current month Q_m^{out} and the rest of year Q_{m+1}^{rout} , ..., Q_{12}^{rout} can be obtained from equations (8) and (9), thus the annual reservoir inflow and water release can be estimated as below.

259
$$Q_{y}^{\prime in} = \sum_{1}^{m} Q_{m}^{in} + \sum_{m+1}^{12} Q_{m}^{\prime in}$$
(11)

260
$$Q_{y}^{\prime out} = \sum_{1}^{m} Q_{m}^{out} + \sum_{m=1}^{12} Q_{m}^{\prime out}$$
(12)

The minimum reservoir water release in year y can be estimated from equation (10) as R_y^{min} . To ensure the minimum annual water release obligation is met, if the estimated annual reservoir water release Q_y^{out} is lower than R_y^{min} , the release in current month Q_m^{out} will be corrected according to the following:

264
$$Q_m^{out} = Q_m^{out} + \left(R_y^{\prime \min} - Q_y^{\prime out}\right) \times \frac{Q_m^{\prime n}}{\sum_m^{12} Q_m^{\prime in}}$$
(13)

The estimated variables $R_{y}^{\prime \min}$, $Q_{y}^{\prime out}$, and $\sum_{m}^{12} Q_{m}^{\prime in}$ are updated in each time step. In the last month of each year, the annual reservoir inflow estimation $Q_{y}^{\prime in}$ will be equal to actual annual inflow $\sum_{1}^{12} Q_{m}^{in}$ and the estimated minimum annual release $R_{y}^{\prime \min}$ will be R_{y}^{\min} . If $Q_{y}^{out} < R_{y}^{\min}$, the reservoir water release in the last month Q_{12}^{out} will be corrected as $Q_{12}^{out} + (R_{y}^{\min} - Q_{y}^{out})$ and the Q_{y}^{out} will be equal to R_{y}^{\min} . Thus annual reservoir release Q_{y}^{out} will always be greater than or equal to the specified minimum reservoir water release

 R_y^{\min} and it can be inferred that the minimum annual release R_y^{\min} is mainly determined by the policy

271 parameters α , β , and z, rather than forecast accuracy.

270

As illustrated in equation (10) and Fig. 4, the minimum annual reservoir release can be estimated from the annual reservoir inflow after the drought policy line is determined. Considering actual annual reservoir inflow will not be available until the last month of each year, the annual reservoir inflow forecast is used instead. In this study, both a climatology inflow forecast ($Q_t^{\prime in}$ set as the long-term average streamflow for that month) and a perfect inflow forecast ($Q_t^{\prime in}$ set to observed reservoir inflow $Q_t^{\prime in}$) are used to evaluate the performance of the drought mitigation policy. To avoid adverse downstream and upstream flood caused by spillage, both the monthly and annual water release will be less than the maximum reservoir inflow in flood seasons and wet years.



280

Fig. 4 Sample drought mitigation policy with varying exceedance levels (z=0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%)

282 4. Results and Discussion

283 4.1. Multi-objective reservoir operation with no drought policy

284 Multi-objective optimization of GERD reservoir operating rules illustrates that there is a trade-off between 285 reservoir power generation and deviation in reservoir annual water releases (Fig. 5 (a)). More specifically, 286 GERD monthly mean power generation output is estimated at 1788, 1708, 1737, and 1707 MW for annual 287 release standard deviations of 9, 7, 6, 5, and 4 BCM/yr, respectively. Although the reservoir operating rules are not optimized for maximum annual water release, less $Std(Q_y^{out})$ typically leads to relatively 288 more releases in dry conditions (e.g., 5th, 10th, 15th, and 20th percentiles), especially when the mean output 289 290 is greater than 1750 MW (Fig. 5 (b)). Thus downstream countries may benefit more from reservoir operating rules favoring smaller $Std(Q_{y}^{out})$ in drought conditions; this trade-off between power generation 291

and $Std(Q_y^{out})$ can be used to balance GERD power generation and downstream water use benefits. There also exists a trade-off between $Std(Q_y^{out})$ and other power indicators such as firm output (see Fig. S1 in Appendix S1).



Fig. 5 Multi-objective optimization results of reservoir operating rules in terms of (a) Pareto front and (b) the relationship between power generation and 5th, 10th, 15th, and 20th percentile of annual water release.

297 The reservoir operating rules simulation results under various mean output levels illustrates that the 298 variance of annual water release shrinks and reservoir storage declines as power output decreases (Fig. 299 6). Although the median values of annual water release for all six output levels are approximately the 300 same (around 45 BCM/yr), the reservoir operating rules with more output generally have lower minimum 301 water releases (Fig. 6 (a) and (b)), especially in dry periods. In general, greater reservoir storage leads to 302 more power generation (see equation (1)) and vice versa, thus the reservoir operating rules generating 303 1788 MW of mean output produces the highest water level, and 1707 MW the lowest (Fig. 6 (d)). Also, 304 there is a clear trade-off between the variance of reservoir storage and water release (Fig. 6 (a) and (c)); 305 smaller reservoir storage variance ensures higher reservoir levels, greater water release variance, and lower minimum water releases. It is worth noting that the 75th and 90th percentiles of reservoir storage are 306

much more sensitive to power output than those of water release. More specifically, the 90th percentile of water release for rule types 5 and 6 are almost the same, however, the corresponding percentile of reservoir storage (as well as power output) are notably different (Fig. 6 (a) and (c)). This indicates that rule type 6 may be inferior to rule type 5 despite of the trade-off in Fig. 5 (a). Thus, it is necessary to analyze the operation results (including water release and power generation) before selecting the reservoir operating rules based on the Pareto front in Fig. 5 (a).





Fig. 6 Boxplots and values of annual reservoir (a)(b) water releases, (c)(d) storages, and (e) spillages for various
reservoir operating rules; dished line in (b) refers to reservoir inflow.

315 Comparing across rule types, rules with high mean output of power generation generate more hydropower 316 mainly in wet years. In particular, rule type 1 (1788 MW) can generate approximately 670, 760, and 670 317 MW more than rule 6 (1707 MW) in years 1988, 1998, and 2017, respectively. In these years, the annual 318 reservoir inflow is greater than 65 BCM/yr (Fig. 6 (b)). It is worth noting that the annual reservoir inflow 319 in the previous one or two years (i.e., year 1987, 1997, and 2015) is less than 38 BCM/yr (Fig. 6 (b)) and 320 the reservoir storage of rule type 1 is much higher than in other rule types (Fig. 6 (d)). Thus, it can be 321 inferred that rule types with larger power generation can increase the mean output by releasing less water 322 during dry years to maintain relatively higher reservoir water levels. In this way, more water will be 323 available and higher head (H_t^p) in equation (1)) can be achieved for future wet years, leading to much 324 more power generation.

However, releasing less water in dry years is not a strategy preferred by downstream countries. Although downstream releases are always greater than the minimum natural GERD inflow (which occurs in 2015), releases may clearly be less than natural flow in some dry years (e.g., 1965 & 1997, see Fig. 6 (b)), which

328 may aggravate drought conditions. According to the relationship between annual reservoir inflow and 329 water release simulated from rule type 1, water release is less than reservoir inflow in most cases (Fig. 7 330 (a)). In comparison, rule type 3 (1780 MW) releases more water than reservoir inflow in dry years. As 331 power generation decreases further the number of years with reservoir water releases exceeding inflow 332 increases. Applying a linear regression between annual reservoir inflow and water release (see the lines 333 in Fig. 7), a drought mitigation policy (equation (10)) can be extracted to constraint annual water release 334 in reservoir operation. Rule types favoring more power generation generally produce a steeper gradient in 335 the drought mitigation policy.



Fig. 7 Relationship between annual reservoir inflow and water release (points) and the corresponding drought
 mitigation policy (lines) for various power generation levels.

339 4.2. Drought policy selection and analysis

To select the most suitable drought mitigation policy, both the corresponding power generation and reservoir release benefits in drought years may be evaluated. In this study, annual reservoir release amount 342 and the deviation of annual releases are used as proxies for downstream benefits. For example, if annual 343 releases during drought years is greater than annual reservoir inflow (or natural flow), downstream 344 droughts are partially mitigated. In general, the statistical distributions of annual reservoir inflow and 345 releases are significantly different when reservoir operations are tailored to drought mitigation. This 346 difference is more pronounced for lower power generation levels (see Fig. S2 in Appendix S1). Considering low flows, the 10th percentile of water releases increases as hydropower generation decreases, 347 from 35.6 BCM/yr for rule type 1 (1788 MW) to 42.7 BCM/yr for rule type 6 (1707 MW). Except for rule 348 type 1, all rule types ensure that the 10th percentile of releases is greater than the 10th percentile of annual 349 350 reservoir inflow (35.8 BCM/yr). This equates to supplementing downstream flows to address drought 351 conditions when the 10% exceedance value of annual reservoir inflow is used as the drought threshold. Further, even when reservoir inflow is less than its 20th percentile value, water releases are greater than 352 annual reservoir inflow except for rule type 1 (Fig. 8). However, when the threshold exceeds the 25th 353 354 percentile, only solutions based on rule type 3-6 contain annual releases surpassing inflow. These 355 distributions (Fig. 8) can provide critical insights during riparian negotiations regarding trade-offs between 356 power generation and supplementing downstream flows during drought conditions. Although only six 357 candidate solutions are illustrated here, more representative solutions may be analyzed in practice.



359 Fig. 8 Percentiles of annual reservoir inflow and water release under various power generation levels.

360 Incorporating these drought policies (Fig. 7), reservoir operating rules are optimized again for maximum 361 power generation and minimum deviation of annual release volumes, illustrating varying trade-offs for 362 drought policies 1-6 (Fig. 9). Drought policies produce similar but not exact hydropower generation as 363 the original operating rules (e.g. policy 1 original = 1788 MW, drought = 1791 MW); the standard 364 deviation of annual releases also does not change significantly. Comparing drought policies producing a 365 high level of hydropower production (e.g. moving from policy 1 to 2), a small trade-off in production (~4 366 MW) leads to approximately a 2 BCM/yr decrease in the standard deviation of annual releases. For lesser 367 hydropower production policies (e.g. moving from policy 5 to 6), a larger difference of 37 MW leads to a 368 smaller (~1 BCM/yr) change in the standard deviation of annual releases. Also, rules including drought mitigation policy tend to have greater mean output and 10th percentile of water releases, but lesser standard 369 370 deviation of annual water release, spillage loss, and evaporation loss than original rules (see Fig. S3 in 371 Appendix S1).







After re-optimization with the drought policy information included, greater power generation and smaller
 values of the standard deviation of annual water releases are produced. More importantly, the re-optimized

376 rules can fully ensure minimum annual releases under different reservoir inflow levels (Fig. 10). The 377 reservoir operation results of the proposed drought policy are compared with those of conventional 378 drought/water sharing policies. A conventional water sharing policy here refers to a "guaranteed quantity" 379 or "minimum flow" strategy, i.e., GERD will guarantee a fixed volume of water release each year. 380 Compacts adopting this strategy in whole or in part include the Colorado River Compact, Arkansas River 381 Basin Compact, and Sabine River Compact, 68 Stat. 690 (1953) (McCormick, 1994; Draper, 2006). A 382 comparison (Fig. 11) indicates that the flexible drought policy proposed here can generate more power 383 than a conventional (static) drought policy with a similar statistical distribution of water releases. In addition, flexible policies can better mitigate drought conditions (see the kernel distribution as well as 10th 384 385 percentile of water releases in Fig. 11) than static policies for similar power output levels. This is because 386 the flexible policy is derived from optimal reservoir operation results, which tends to generate more power. 387 In contrast, the static policy (which is presented as a horizontal line instead of sloped lines in Fig. 10) 388 transfers the risk of water shortages (or hydrologic variability) completely to the upstream GERD, which 389 will limit GERD's ability to produce more power.



391 Fig. 10 Relationship between annual reservoir inflow and releases using re-optimized reservoir operating rules; 392 drought policies represented by lines; gray points refer to the inflow and release relationship from which drought 393 policies are derived.



394

Fig. 11 Comparison of reservoir operations using flexible and static drought policies based on power generation output and water release distribution analysis. Policy type 1 refers to the comparison with a similar statistical distribution of water releases; Policy type 2-6 refer to comparisons with similar power generation outputs.

398 These re-optimized rules produce slightly more power than the original rules for equivalent standard 399 deviation of annual release values (Fig. 12(a)) even though they are re-optimized constrained on annual 400 water releases for drought conditions). Performance of the re-optimized rules, however, mainly depends 401 on the exceedance parameter z in equation (10); more conservative drought mitigation policies (with larger 402 z values) can generate more power. Because the trade-off between power generation and the standard 403 deviation of annual releases is similar between the original rules and drought policy rules (Fig. 12(b)), it 404 is feasible to base negotiations on the original rules in this case, as the expected drought policy outcomes 405 are superior.



Fig. 12 (a) Pareto fronts of reservoir operation with and without drought policy; (b) boxplot of reservoir storage for
solution A and B in the Pareto fronts and kernel distribution of annual reservoir water releases with and without the
drought policies.

409 **5. Conclusions**

410 Reservoir operations in river basins will become more complex after considering diverse and potentially 411 conflicting objectives between upstream and downstream stakeholders. With the water-sharing policy 412 framework proposed here for the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam on the Blue Nile River, a relationship 413 between downstream and upstream water availability is established, water-sharing policies are derived 414 from multi-objective optimization results of reservoir operating rules, and the effectiveness of these policies during drought periods is analyzed. It is demonstrated that a framework incorporating RBF-based 415 rules and a drought-focused water sharing policy can lead to robust reservoir decision-making. There is a 416 417 clear trade-off between power generation and the standard deviation of reservoir releases; however, 418 effective policies are available to balance this trade-off, even considering drought periods.

419 It is worth noting that there are limited drought periods in the historical streamflow time series, which 420 may lead to greater uncertainty in water sharing during severe droughts and may result in underestimating 421 the impact of hydrologic variability on GERD operations. To address this, the GERD inflow record could 422 be extended by relating it to other long-term gauging stations in the Nile basin to capture more historical 423 droughts and better characterize hydrologic conditions for enhanced policy design. In addition, the trade-424 off in objectives may be affected by land use or climate changes, and if significant, the drought policy 425 may need to be adjusted accordingly in the future. However, there will always exist a trade-off between 426 reservoir power generation and water release variability, which can be used to inform drought policy 427 design, and the linear feature of the drought policy makes it relatively easy to adjust. It is very important 428 to connect the characteristics of a water sharing policy with the trade-off between reservoir storage and 429 releases. In this study, greater variability in releases leads to a steeper gradient of the drought policy line. 430 These types of drought policy characteristics can provide guidance for stakeholders to effectively adjust 431 the water sharing policy. Thus, the interpretable drought policy proposed here can enhance the 432 understanding of water sharing and promote multilateral negotiations between upstream and downstream 433 countries.

This framework here is based on annual flows, however seasonal and monthly scale operations could be of primary importance in smaller basins or for smaller-capacity reservoirs. Also, many other objectives and constraints including firm power output, agricultural water supply reliability, and ecosystem functions could be considered. Future research could explore drought-focused water sharing policies guiding reservoir operations across multiple time scales simultaneously and the application of seasonal-to-subseasonal inflow forecasts.

440 Appendix S1: Supplemental Figures



442 Fig. S1 Pareto front for maximum firm output (90% guarantee) and minimum annual water release variance.



444 Fig. S2 Kernel distribution of annual reservoir inflow (Qin) and water release (Qout) under different power
445 generation levels (1965-2017). Vertical lines represent the 10% exceedance value.



Fig. S3 Parallel plots of multiple objectives (A: mean output (MW), B: 10th percentile of annual water release
(BCM), C: standard deviation of annual water release (BCM), D: spillage loss (BCM/yr), E: evaporation loss
(BCM/yr)) of each policy corresponding to the Pareto fronts in Fig. 9. The bold green line refers to the reservoir
operation without the drought policy.

451 **Code and Data Availability Statement**

452 Some or all data, models, or code that support the findings of this study are available from the 453 corresponding author upon reasonable request.

454 Author Contribution

- 455 Guang Yang developed the model code and performed the simulations, visualizations, and original
- 456 draft preparation. Paul Block conceptualized the idea and performed data curation and writing- reviewing
- 457 and editing.

458 **Competing Interests**

459 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in this work.

460 Acknowledgements

461 This work was partially supported by NSF INFEWS award 1639214.

462 **References**

- Acharya, V., Halanaik, B., Ramaprasad, A., Swamy, T. K., Singai, C. B., and Syn, T.: Transboundary sharing of river water: Informating the policies, River Res. Appl., 36, 161-170, 2020.
- Anghileri, D., Castelletti, A., Pianosi, F., Soncini-Sessa, R., and Weber, E.: Optimizing watershed management by
 coordinated operation of storing facilities, J Water Res Plan Man., 139, 492-500, 2013.
- 467 Arjoon, D., Tilmant, A., and Herrmann, M.: Sharing water and benefits in transboundary river basins, Hydrology
 468 & Earth System Sciences, 20, 2016.
- Asadzadeh, M., and Tolson, B. A.: Pareto archived dynamically dimensioned search with hypervolume-based
 selection for multi-objective optimization, Eng Optimiz, 45, 1489-1509, 2013.

- Bhaskar, N. R., and Whitlatch Jr, E. E.: Derivation of monthly reservoir release policies, Water Resour. Res., 16,
 987-993, 1980.
- 473 Buşoniu, L., Ernst, D., De Schutter, B., and Babuška, R.: Cross-entropy optimization of control policies with
- 474 adaptive basis functions, Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on, 41, 196-209,
- 475 2011.
- 476 Cancelliere, A., Giuliano, G., Ancarani, A., and Rossi, G.: A neural networks approach for deriving irrigation
 477 reservoir operating rules, Water Resour. Manag., 16, 71-88, 2002.
- 478 Chang, L. C., and Chang, F. J.: Intelligent control for modelling of real-time reservoir operation, Hydrol Process.,
 479 15, 1621-1634, 2001.
- Chaves, P., and Chang, F.-J.: Intelligent reservoir operation system based on evolving artificial neural networks,
 Adv Water Resour., 31, 926-936, 2008.
- 482 Conway, D.: The climate and hydrology of the Upper Blue Nile River, Geogr. J., 166, 49-62, 2000.
- 483 Degefu, D. M., He, W., Yuan, L., and Zhao, J. H.: Water allocation in transboundary river basins under water 484 scarcity: a cooperative bargaining approach, Water Resour. Manag., 30, 4451-4466, 2016.
- Dombrowsky, I.: Revisiting the potential for benefit sharing in the management of trans-boundary rivers, Water
 Policy, 11, 125-140, 2009.
- 487 Draper, S. E.: Sharing water in times of scarcity: Guidelines and procedures in the development of effective 488 agreements to share water across political boundaries, 2006,
- 489 Ezega News: Ethiopia dismisses reports of capacity reduction of GERD,
 490 https://www.ezega.com/News/NewsDetails/7321/Ethiopia-Dismisses-Reports-of-Capacity-Reduction-of-GERD,
 491 2019a.
- 492 Ezega News: Power generation capacity of GERD slashed to 5150 MW—Ethiopian Minister,
 493 https://www.ezega.com/News/NewsDetails/7331/Power-Generation-Capacity-of-GERD-Slashed-to-5150MW 494 Ethiopian-Minister, 2019b.
- Feng, Z.-k., Niu, W.-j., and Cheng, C.-t.: Optimization of hydropower reservoirs operation balancing generation
 benefit and ecological requirement with parallel multi-objective genetic algorithm, Energy, 153, 706-718, 2018.
- Gaudard, L., Romerio, F., Dalla Valle, F., Gorret, R., Maran, S., Ravazzani, G., Stoffel, M., and Volonterio, M.:
 Climate change impacts on hydropower in the Swiss and Italian Alps, Sci. Total Environ., 493, 1211-1221, 2014.
- Giuliani, M., Herman, J., Castelletti, A., and Reed, P.: Many objective reservoir policy identification and refinement to reduce policy inertia and myopia in water management, Water Resour. Res., 50, 3355-3377, 2014.
- 501 Giuliani, M., Castelletti, A., Pianosi, F., Mason, E., and Reed, P. M.: Curses, tradeoffs, and scalable management:
- Advancing evolutionary multiobjective direct policy search to improve water reservoir operations, J Water Res Plan
 Man., 142, 04015050, 2015a.

- 504 Giuliani, M., Pianosi, F., and Castelletti, A.: Making the most of data: an information selection and assessment 505 framework to improve water systems operations, Water Resour. Res., 51, 9073-9093, 2015b.
- 506 Goor, Q., Halleux, C. M., Mohamed, Y. A., and Tilmant, A.: Optimal operation of a multipurpose multireservoir 507 system in the Eastern Nile River Basin, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 1895-1908, 2010.
- 508 Government of Ethiopia: Vol. S/2020/409 in, edited by: Council, U. N. S., United Nations Digital Library, 2020.
- Guariso, G., and Whittington, D.: Implications of Ethiopian water development for Egypt and Sudan, International
 Journal of Water Resources Development, 3, 105-114, 1987.
- 511 Herman, J. D., and Giuliani, M.: Policy tree optimization for threshold-based water resources management over 512 multiple timescales, Environ Modell Softw., 99, 39-51, 2018.
- Jameel, A. L.: The Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam: An Opportunity for Collaboration and Shared Benefits in
 the Eastern Nile Basin, World Water and Food Security Lab, Amicus Brief, 1-17, 2014.
- 515 Jeuland, M., Baker, J., Bartlett, R., and Lacombe, G.: The costs of uncoordinated infrastructure management in 516 multi-reservoir river basins, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 105006, 2014.
- 517 Karamouz, M., and Houck, M. H.: Annual and monthly reservoir operating rules generated by deterministic 518 optimization, Water Resour. Res., 18, 1337-1344, 1982.
- Le Ngo, L., Madsen, H., and Rosbjerg, D.: Simulation and optimisation modelling approach for operation of the
 Hoa Binh reservoir, Vietnam, J Hydrol., 336, 269-281, 2007.
- Li, D., Zhao, J., and Govindaraju, R. S.: Water benefits sharing under transboundary cooperation in the Lancang Mekong River Basin, J Hydrol., 577, 123989, 2019.
- Link, P. M., Scheffran, J., and Ide, T.: Conflict and cooperation in the water-security nexus: a global comparative analysis of river basins under climate change, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 3, 495-515, 2016.
- Luchner, J., Riegels, N. D., and Bauer-Gottwein, P.: Benefits of cooperation in transnational water-energy systems,
 J Water Res Plan Man., 145, 05019007, 2019.
- 527 McCormick, Z. L.: INTERSTATE WATER ALLOCATION COMPACTS IN THE WESTERN UNITED 528 STATES-SOME SUGGESTIONS 1, JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 30, 385-395, 529 1994.
- McCracken, M., and Wolf, A. T.: Updating the Register of International River Basins of the world, International
 Journal of Water Resources Development, 35, 732-782, 2019.
- 532 Nile Basin Initiative: State of the River Nile basin, Entebbe (Uganda): Nile Basin Initiative Secretariat, 2012.
- Nile Basin Initiative: Estimated and projected total population in Nile Basin Countries, in, Nile Basin WaterResources Atlas, 2017.
- 535 Oliveira, R., and Loucks, D. P.: Operating rules for multireservoir systems, Water Resour. Res., 33, 839-852, 1997.

- Paisley, R. K., and Henshaw, T. W.: Transboundary governance of the Nile River Basin: Past, present and future,
 Environmental Development, 7, 59-71, 2013.
- Rani, D., and Moreira, M. M.: Simulation-optimization modeling: a survey and potential application in reservoir
 systems operation, Water Resour. Manag., 24, 1107-1138, 2010.
- Reddy, M. J., and Nagesh Kumar, D.: Multi-objective particle swarm optimization for generating optimal tradeoffs in reservoir operation, Hydrological Processes: An International Journal, 21, 2897-2909, 2007.
- Revelle, C., Joeres, E., and Kirby, W.: The linear decision rule in reservoir management and design: 1, Development
 of the stochastic model, Water Resour. Res., 5, 767-777, 1969.
- Sadoff, C. W., and Grey, D.: Beyond the river: the benefits of cooperation on international rivers, Water policy, 4,
 389-403, 2002.
- Swain, A.: Challenges for water sharing in the Nile basin: changing geo-politics and changing climate, Hydrological
 Sciences Journal, 56, 687-702, 2011.
- Tan, C. C., Erfani, T., and Erfani, R.: Water for energy and food: A system modelling approach for Blue Nile River
 basin, Environments, 4, 15, 2017.
- Teasley, R. L., and McKinney, D. C.: Calculating the benefits of transboundary river basin cooperation: Syr Darya
 Basin, J Water Res Plan Man., 137, 481-490, 2011.
- 552 Tesfa, B.: Benefit of grand Ethiopian renaissance dam project (GERDP) for Sudan and Egypt, 2013.
- 553 Tikk, D., Kóczy, L. T., and Gedeon, T. D.: A survey on universal approximation and its limits in soft computing 554 techniques, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 33, 185-202, 2003.
- Tilmant, A., and Kinzelbach, W.: The cost of noncooperation in international river basins, Water Resour. Res., 48,
 2012.
- Uitto, J. I., and Duda, A. M.: Management of transboundary water resources: lessons from international cooperation
 for conflict prevention, Geogr. J., 168, 365-378, 2002.
- Vörösmarty, C. J., Green, P., Salisbury, J., and Lammers, R. B.: Global water resources: vulnerability from climate change and population growth, Science, 289, 284-288, 2000.
- Wei, C. C., and Hsu, N. S.: Derived operating rules for a reservoir operation system: Comparison of decision trees,
 neural decision trees and fuzzy decision trees, Water Resour. Res., 44, 2008.
- Wheeler, K. G., Basheer, M., Mekonnen, Z. T., Eltoum, S. O., Mersha, A., Abdo, G. M., Zagona, E. A., Hall, J. W.,
 and Dadson, S. J.: Cooperative filling approaches for the grand Ethiopian renaissance dam, Water International, 41,
 611-634, 2016.
- Wheeler, K. G., Hall, J. W., Abdo, G. M., Dadson, S. J., Kasprzyk, J. R., Smith, R., and Zagona, E. A.: Exploring
 cooperative transboundary river management strategies for the Eastern Nile Basin, Water Resour. Res., 54, 92249254, 2018.

- 569 Wu, X., and Whittington, D.: Incentive compatibility and conflict resolution in international river basins: A case 570 study of the Nile Basin, Water Resour. Res., 42, 2006.
- 571 WWAP: The United Nations world water development report 2019: leaving no one behind, The United Nations 572 world water development report 2019: leaving no one behind, Paris, UNESCO, 2019.
- Yang, G., Guo, S., Li, L., Hong, X., and Wang, L.: Multi-objective operating rules for Danjiangkou reservoir under
 climate change, Water Resour. Manag., 30, 1183-1202, 2016.
- Yang, G., Guo, S., Liu, P., and Block, P.: Integration and evaluation of forecast-informed multi-objective reservoir
 operations, J Water Res Plan Man., 146, 04020038, 2020.
- Yang, G., Zaitchik, B., Badr, H., and Block, P.: A Bayesian adaptive reservoir operation framework incorporating
 streamflow non-stationarity, J Hydrol., 594, 125959, 2021.
- Zeitoun, M., and Mirumachi, N.: Transboundary water interaction I: Reconsidering conflict and cooperation,
 International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 8, 297, 2008.
- 581 Zelalem, Z.: Ethiopia and Egypt are pushing each other to the brink in a battle for control on the river Nile, Quartz
- 582 Africa, https://qz.com/africa/1862962/ethiopia-egypt-battle-for-river-nile-grand-dam-without-trump/, 2020.