Supplement of

Socio-hydrological modeling of the tradeoff between flood control and hydropower provided by the Columbia River Treaty

Introduction

This supplementary material is structured in three sections. Section 1 provides the input data used for the socio-hydrological system dynamics model development. Section 2 provides the correlation plots that guided some equations used to describe the processes in the Columbia River Basin. Section 3 provides the detail of sensitivity analysis of the model.

To facilitate the identification of the reservoirs modelled in this study, refer to the map below. All the supplementary figures are numbered S1 to S17.
Figure S1. Dams included in the model process to understand the cooperation dynamics at the Columbia Basin scope
Section 1: Input data for system dynamics model

Figure S2. Historical flow observed at the international border (USGS, 2020)
Figure S3. Inflow, outflow, generation flow, monthly spill and hydropower generation data of Grand Coulee Dam (USACE, 2020)
Figure S4. Inflow, outflow, generation flow, monthly spill and hydropower generation data of
Chief Joseph Dam (USACE, 2020)
Figure S5. Inflow, outflow, generation flow, monthly spill, environmental release and hydropower generation data of McNary Dam (USACE, 2020)
Figure S6. Inflow, outflow, generation flow, monthly spill, environmental release and hydropower generation data of John Day Dam (USACE, 2020)
Figure S7. Inflow, outflow, generation flow, monthly spill, environmental release and hydropower generation data of The Dalles Dam (USACE, 2020)
Figure S8. Inflow, outflow, generation flow, monthly spill, environmental release and hydropower generation data of Bonneville Dam (USACE, 2020)
Figure S9. Canadian Dams inflow and outflow data (BPA, 2020)
Figure S10. Canadian Dams water elevation and storage data (BPA, 2020)
Section 2: Correlation plots describing the processes in the Columbia River Basin

Figure S11. Equation to estimate flood damages prevented (adapted from Sopinka and Pitt (2014))

\[ y = 4,007e^{0.0002x} \]

\[ R^2 = 0.7631 \]
Figure S12. Correlation between streamflow observed at the international boundary (USGS 1239950) and Grand Coulee inflow (US. Army Corps of Engineers)
**Figure S13.** Correlation between inflow at the The Dalles Dam (US. Army Corps of Engineers) and the summation of Grand Coulee outflow with Snake River Discharge (US. Army Corps of Engineers and USGS)
Figure S14. Correlation between Hydropower produced by Grand Coulee Dam (US. Army Corps of Engineers) and the product of Grand Coulee water level by Grand Coulee outflow (US Army Corps of Engineers)
**Figure S15.** Correlation between Hydropower produced by Chief Joseph, McNary, John Day, The Dalles and Bonneville Dams (US. Army Corps of Engineers) and the product of Grand Coulee outflow by the Weight factor for fishes described in the methodology (US. Army Corps of Engineers)
Section 3: Sensitivity Analysis

The parameter sensitivity was tested for the calibrated model to understand the sources of possible uncertainties. The sensitivity was tested by increasing and decreasing the value of a selected parameter at a given iteration by 20% and relative changes in probability to cooperate for Canada and the U.S. was measured. The ranking of the sensitivity was done using the sensitivity index (SI) given by below

\[
SI = \frac{\text{Mean of simulated metric} - \text{Mean of default metric}}{\text{Mean of default metric}} \times \frac{\text{New parameter value} - \text{Default parameter value}}{\text{Default parameter value}}
\]

The parameters as discussed in section 3.2, selected for the sensitivity analysis are \( \chi, W_{fish}, n_{CA}, \kappa, \alpha_{US}, \alpha_{CA}, \beta_{US} \) and \( \beta_{CA} \). The overview of the sensitivity analysis is presented in Figure S16 and result of sensitivity analysis is presented in Figure 17.

Figure S16. Overview of the sensitivity analysis
Figure S17. Tornado plots showing sensitivity of parameters to (a) Canada’s probability to cooperate ($C_{CA}$) and (b) U.S.’s probability to cooperate ($C_{US}$) with 20% changes in its value.

The sensitivity analysis showed that different parameters exhibit different sensitivity when comparing probability to cooperation (Fig. S17, supplementary material (SI 3)). $C_{CA}$ is most sensitive to $\chi$ whereas ($C_{US}$) is most sensitive to $\alpha_{US}, \chi, W_{fish}$ and $\kappa$ are equally sensitive to ($C_{US}$), while $n_{CA}$ and $\beta_{CA}$ are mostly sensitive to $C_{CA}$ only. All the parameters used for the sensitivity analysis were selected for model parameterization.
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