The authors wish to thank the editorial team for managing the technical review. The authors also thank the reviewers for the comments and appreciate their support in making the paper more readable and more beneficial. Notes: Authors' responses are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript.

Answer to the comments of Reviewer 1

No.	Comment	Action	Notes
1	The authors are kindly	They are considered in the revised	The authors thank you for the
	advised to revise the	manuscript.	comments and appreciate the
	article as the article as		support and involvement of the
	allow in its current		reviewers to improve the paper.
	form the readers of		
	HESS to use the results		
	/ the rainfall simulator		
	discussed in this work.		
	rewriting of the article		
	is needed to clear up		
	parts that are unclear		
	and to add information		
	that is currently		
	missing.		
2	A photo of the system	Regarding this comment, the author changed	Thanks to the reviewer for this
	needs to be added.	"0.8 m" to "8 cm", similar to the drawing in	comment.
	The text mentions that	Figure 1b.	
	every lateral contains		
	meter. which would		
	make the system 8		
	meters long. I'm		
	assuming that this is		
	drawing in figure 1b		
3	The system seems to be	Regarding this comment, the author rewrites	The sentences have been revised
	80 x 80 cm of nozzles.	the sentence to provide a more clear view,	to avoid confusion with Figure
	flour pallet method is	read as follows:	over one metre $(72\text{cm} + 39\text{cm})$.
	used to determine drop		with the drip spaced at an 8cm
	sizes.	The system consists of 100 nozzle drip	interval. Section 3.2 Drop Sizes
		points distributed throughout a 72 cm \times 72	elaborated on the method of
		cm square area. I en lateral lines connected	using a flour pallet.
		equally spaced at 8 cm. The system's	
		effective test area is $80 \text{ cm} \times 80 \text{ cm}$.	
4			
4	The author make no mention of the ladge	Regarding this comment, the author added a	Thanks to the reviewer for this comment and suggestion
	effects'? Did all the rain	provide a more clear view:	comment and suggestion.
	fall within the 1 square	Free a more create room.	
	meter of the board? Of	The rainfall simulator was calibrated in	
	so: was it uniform?	terms of rainfall intensity to achieve	
		characteristics. Throughout the calibration	

		and experiment, any element that may affect	
		the changes in wind flow, such as an air	
		conditioner or fan, is controlled to ensure	
		that the raindrop falls vertically within the	
		effective test area. The calibrating process	
		was split into two parts. The first step	
		measured the rainfall intensity and spatial	
		rainfall distribution on the designated	
		surface. To obtain high-resolution datasets,	
		100 cylindrical rainfall collectors are	
		positioned on an area of 80 cm x 80 cm	
		under the drip used. Each collector was	
		weighed to determine the amount of rain	
		collected after one hour of simulated rainfall.	
		Based on the observations, no droplet crosses	
		the cylindrical during the calibration and all	
		land directly on the cylindrical beneath the	
		drip. This initial calibration step ensures that	
		each nozzle produces an equal amount of	
		rainfall A second step involved using a	
		single large nlot-sized collection to	
		determine net rainfall intensities. The	
		volumetric method of flow measurement was	
		utilised to calibrate the simulated real	
		intensity. Two loboratory steel trave with top	
		dimensions of 80cm x 80cm x 10cm and	
		hottom dimensions of 100cm x 100cm x 8cm	
		were used for volume control and placed	
		here as the drin systems. The different heights	
		of the ten and hetters are manufacted	
		of the top and bottom are merely a	
		coincidence due to the laboratory's available	
		tray. The primary technical requirement is	
		that the central collection tray (small) must	
		fit within the dimensions of the designed test	
		area and be tall enough to collect the	
		intended rainfall intensity (in this work, the	
		maximum is 80 mm/h.), whereas the	
		secondary collection tray must be larger than	
		the effective test area. Collector boxes were	
		placed in a central location (in relation to the	
		drip location) and collected the precipitated	
		volume at a set pressure. The volume of	
		precipitated water was determined using a	
		measuring cylinder. A ruler was used to	
		measure the water level, and then the	
		precipitation volume collected was recorded.	
		No raindrops landed on the large bottom tray	
		during the second calibration stage based on	
		the observations. This demonstrates that the	
		raindrop area's uniformity is reproducible.	
5	How was the	Regarding this comment, the author added	Thanks to the reviewer for this
	calculation from water	sentences to provide a more clear view:	comment and suggestion.
	flow to mm/hour done?		
		The calibration result enables the flowmeter	
		to correlate the amount of water controlled	

6	Eigung 10 guggget that	by the flowmeter to the amount of water emitted from the nozzle. Thus, the simulator delivers the desired rainfall intensity (mm/hr).	Thenks to the newiowen for this
0	the 'soil' is uneven and thus that different heights are possible in this system. If this is true it needs to be made explicit. If it is not, figure 1a needs to be corrected	No enanges in manuscript	rhanks to the reviewer for this comment. The uneven soil profiles result from another aspect of this research that is not covered in this paper. Calibration results indicated that small changes to these soil profiles do not affect the rainfall simulator's performance.
7	The authors do not mention in their introduction what range their new simulator targets, nor for which applications it is build.	No changes in manuscript	Thanks to the reviewer for this comment and suggestion. The main intention of this simulator setup is to simulate targeted rainfall intensities similar to the cases of landslide occurrences in the authors country. The simulator is applied to a laboratory setup of a specific selection of slope criteria for further understanding on rainfall impact in groundwater level changes. It is beyond this manuscript context; therefore, the authors excluded the explanation of the simulator's application.
8	Paragraph 3 contains a mix of theory and experimental setup that is hard to disentangle. The choices made in how to conduct the experiment are mentioned in between citations to literature. I strongly recommend separating paragraph 3 in a 'theory' and a 'experimental setup' paragraph.	No changes in manuscript	Thanks to the reviewer for this comment and suggestion. The third paragraph discussed solely with the subject's literature.
9	To check the amount of water coming out of the system the flow meter is read for different settings of the pump pressure. It is not mentioned how this experiment was	As mentioned in the previous comment (No. 4 and No. 5), the author added a paragraph on rainfall simulator calibration to provide a clearer view.	Thanks to the reviewer for this comment and suggestion.

	conducted: for how long was the valve opened? Was the flow allowed to settled before starting the measurement? Or was it the same 1 to 4 seconds mentioned in paragraph 3.2?		
10	To check the drop sizes generated with this system, the flour pallet method was used with the flow opened for 1 to 4 seconds (how much? How was this determined? Was the idea to only have a few drops? How many?) This needs clearing up on what was done and how it relates to what is reported.	Regarding this comment, the author added sentences to provide a more clear view: According to Kathiravelu et al., 2016, the flour pallet test would be conducted by various researchers between 1 and 4 seconds, depending on the intensity of rainfall. In our experiment, a 1.0 m x 1.0 m plate containing a 2.54 cm (1 inch) layer of uncompacted fine wheat flour was exposed to a split second of rainfall. The drops must not fall at the same point during rainfall simulation and formed corresponding to the drop size on impact. The objective is to collect a single drop of water. The flour plate was positioned 1.5 metres below the drip and covered with two layers of tray. A collection tray is used on both the top and bottom trays. The top collection tray's purpose is to capture the initial 1 to 2 seconds of rainfall when the valve is opened. This ensures that every nozzle produces a raindrop. Simultaneously with the top tray being set aside, the flour plate was exposed for a split second before being covered by the bottom tray. The valve was immediately closed to halt the rain. Based on the observation, the raindrop pattern is within the effective test area.	Thanks to the reviewer for this comment and suggestion.
11	The authors indicate they open and close the valve for 1 to 4 seconds. Is this how it will always be operated? Or will it be opened fully when used in practice?	No changes in manuscript	Thanks to the reviewer for this comment and suggestion. As explained in comment No. 10, the statement of 1 to 4 seconds is for the flour pallet test only. During the actual rainfall simulation test, the intended volume of rainfall is applied according to the rainfall intensity and duration.