Xylem water in riparian Willow trees (*Salix alba*) reveals shallow sources of root water uptake by *in situ* monitoring of stable water isotopes

Jessica Landgraf^{1,2}, Dörthe Tetzlaff^{1,2,3}, Maren Dubbert^{1,4}, David Dubbert¹, Aaron Smith¹, and Chris Soulsby³

¹Department of Ecohydrology and Biogeochemistry, Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Müggelseedamm 310, 12587 Berlin, Germany

²Department of Geography, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Rudower Chaussee 16, 12489 Berlin, Germany
 ³Northern Rivers Institute, University of Aberdeen, St. Mary's Building, Kings College, Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, UK
 ⁴Landscape Functioning, Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research, Eberswalder Straße 84, 15374 Müncheberg
 Correspondence: Jessica Landgraf (Jessica.Landgraf@igb-berlin.de)

Abstract. Root water uptake (RWU) is an important critical zone process, as plants can tap various water sources and transpire these back into the atmosphere. However, knowledge about the spatial and temporal dynamics of RWU and associated water sources at both high temporal resolution (e.g. daily) and over longer time periods (e.g. seasonal) is still limited. We used cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) for continuous *in situ* monitoring of stable water isotopes in soil and xylem water for two

- 5 riparian willow (*Salix alba*) trees over the growing season (May to October) of 2020. This was complemented by isotopic sampling of local precipitation, groundwater and stream water in order to help constrain the potential sources of RWU. A local eddy flux tower, together with sap flow monitoring, soil moisture measurements and dendrometry were also used to provide the hydroclimatic and ecohydrological contexts for *in situ* isotope monitoring. In addition, respective bulk and twig samples of soil water and xylem water were collected to corroborate the continuous *in situ* data. The monitoring period was
- 10 characterised by frequent inputs of precipitation, interspersed by warm dry periods, which resulted in variable moisture storage in the upper 20 cm of the soil profile and dynamic isotope signatures. This variability was greatly damped at 40 cm and the isotopic composition of the sub-soil and groundwater was relatively stable. The isotopic composition and dynamics of xylem water was very similar to that of the upper soil and analysis using a Bayesian mixing model inferred that overall ~90% of RWU was derived from the upper soil profile. However, while for the soil water signatures, the direct equilibrium method showed
- 15 good comparability with *in situ* results; for xylem water the cryogenic extractions signatures were only moderately or not at all comparable. Sap flow and dendrometry data indicated that soil water availability did not seriously limit transpiration during the study period, though it seemed that deeper (>40 cm) soil water provided a higher proportion of RWU (~30%) in a drier period in the late summer. The study demonstrates the utility of prolonged real time monitoring of natural stable isotope abundance in soil-vegetation systems, which has great potential for further understanding of ecohydrological partitioning under changing
- 20 hydroclimatic conditions.

1 Introduction

Plants - as the interface between atmospheric and soil water - have an important influence on the water cycle. In terrestrial ecosystems, transpiration from plants accounts for up to 90% of evapotranspiration (Jasechko et al., 2013) and up to 70% of incoming precipitation during the growing season (Kozii et al., 2020). Furthermore, vegetation intercepts and redistributes

- 25 precipitation via canopy evaporation, throughfall and stemflow (Friesen and Van Stan, 2019). Subsequent interactions between soil particles and RWU influence soil moisture and soil hydraulic conductivity which in turn affect infiltration and runoff (Thompson et al., 2010). As tree roots take up water, different species can have contrasting preferences in their source water pools (Jackson et al., 1995), while individuals of varying size and age are capable of taking up water from different soil depths (Kühnhammer et al., 2020). However, RWU cannot necessarily be simply correlated with root distribution as it also depends
- 30 on moisture and nutrient availability at different times of the year (Ehleringer and Dawson, 1992). Consequently, there is still limited knowledge on the temporal dynamics of RWU and associated water sources both at high temporal resolution (e.g. daily) and over longer time (e.g. seasonal) periods (Berry et al., 2018; Beyer et al., 2020).

Stable isotopes of water are convenient natural tracers commonly used for the estimation of sources and ages of runoff, (McDonnell et al., 2010; Sprenger et al., 2019), partitioning of evapotranspiration (Williams et al., 2004; Rothfuss et al., 2010;

- 35 Dubbert et al., 2014), and RWU depth distribution (Dawson and Ehleringer, 1991; Goldsmith et al., 2019; Beyer et al., 2018). With the development of compact laser spectrometry systems, *in situ* monitoring of stable water isotopes in soil and tree xylem is now facilitating higher temporal and spatial resolution assessment to advance well-established destructive sampling methods (Herbstritt et al., 2012; Rothfuss et al., 2013; Volkmann and Weiler, 2014; Oerter and Bowen, 2017). However, destructive sampling followed by cryogenic vacuum extraction, is still widely used for stable water isotope analyses in plants (West et al., 2015).
- 40 2006; Yang et al., 2015; Orlowski et al., 2016; Sohel et al. 2021) and is usually required to corroborate *in situ* measurements (see Mennekes et al., 2021). Despite the complexity, daily maintenance and resource demands, the application of *in situ* methods in different compartments of the critical zone including soil, trees (Kübert et al., 2020; Beyer et al., 2020; Marshall et al., 2020) and the atmospheric-interface (Braden-Behrens et al., 2019) is increasing. Unfortunately, no general, widely-tested setup for such *in situ* measurements has been established and agreed upon yet (Beyer et al., 2020; Marshall et al., 2020).
- 45 Despite limitations, destructive sampling in previous studies revealed important process-based insights into plant-soil water interactions. For example, New Zealand riparian willows were analysed monthly over the course of seven months showing that water sources fluctuated seasonally with RWU from the near-stream aquifer during summer and from groundwater during winter (Marttila et al., 2017). A labelling experiment of two small willow trees (*Salix viminalis*) in a lysimeter by Nehemy et al. (2021) also showed RWU to be variable and linked to soil water potential and tree water deficits, shifting deeper as the upper
- 50 soil dried. *In situ* monitoring has also revealed other subtleties: sub-daily investigations of xylem water from several tree and shrub species in French Guiana, China and Germany have found morning RWU (when transpiration is low) to be sustained by deeper soil layers, while daytime RWU was concentrated in shallow soil layers (De Deurwaerder et al., 2020).

For xylem water sampling in particular, destructive methods still dominate the research field. However, it has been shown that the cryogenic extraction method can affect the results due to volatile organic compounds (like alcohols) which can be mixed

55 into the extracted liquid sample (Martín-Gómez et al., 2015) as well as extraction of water held in cell walls (Barbeta et al., 2020). These effects on xylem water samples are only linked to cryogenic extraction and seem absent in *in situ* experiments. For example, Volkmann et al. (2016a) installed a probe horizontally inside the sap wood of a stem borehole to sample xylem water *in situ*. This method, while delivering a new approach for *in situ* measurements in plants, showed none of the above-mentioned effects but an unexplained δ^{18} O offset. Marshall et al. (2020) suggested that this offset might be due to non-equilibrium

60 conditions, leading to their new method of stem borehole equilibration, where the borehole went through the complete stem. This approach was tested to simplify the measuring method and allows for continuous evaporation of liquid xylem water into a flowing airstream that passes the borehole (Marshall et al., 2020). The model description of flow is based on a central core of moving air flow that passes a volume of still air allowing water vapor to diffuse from and to the borehole wall or the moving air stream (Marshall et al., 2020). The method was successful for a cut stem, as well as a live, Scots Pine *Pinus sylvestris* (Marshall

65 et al., 2020).

Despite the advantages, *in situ* xylem isotope monitoring in the field continues to be rare due to methodological and logistical challenges including root distribution, soil heterogeneities and ambiguous water sources. A recent *in situ* labelling experiment by Seeger and Weiler (2021) using the same type of stem borehole probes as Volkmann et al. (2016a) inside European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) trees over the course of 12 weeks found that xylem water differed from actual RWU. This led to the conclusion

- 70 that xylem water isotopic composition does not necessarily represent simple RWU, but rather an integration over certain fractions of RWU from different sources in the past (Seeger and Weiler, 2021). They suggested sub-daily monitoring of tree xylem water isotopes might not be suitable to investigate short term RWU dynamics and instead recommended to focus more on spatial heterogeneity in soil and xylem composition (Seeger and Weiler ,2021). Another labelling experiment by Mennekes et al. (2021) investigated plot-scale xylem water isotopes in three different trees of various species (*Pinus pinea*, *Alnus incana*)
- and *Quercus suber*) at two different heights (15 and 150 cm) over the course of 10 weeks using similar tree probes described by Volkmann et al. (2016a). They sampled xylem water isotopes at ~5 hourly intervals and showed that *in situ* measurements delivered more consistent results compared to destructive samples. Another experiment from May to September 2018 applied an *in situ* system investigating δ^{18} O in soil and xylem water of three beeches with a ~2 hourly interval in Switzerland (Gessler et al. 2022). With a Bayesian isotope mixing model they showed that the beeches did not compensate for restricted top soil water
- 80 by deeper uptake, though the trees recovered rapidly after the rewetting. Recently, a study by Kühnhammer et al. (2022) tested the novel *in situ* borehole equilibration method for xylem and roots of tropical trees, together with *in situ* soil measurements similar to Volkmann et al. (2014). Over four months, they measured δ^2 H and δ^{18} O in xylem, roots, and soil (the latter only at night and at least every second day) and conducted labelled irrigation events. In general, irrigation events were measurable, though single ones were not clearly distinguishable in xylem water isotopic compositions.
- The integration of high-frequency measurements of water stable isotopes with other plant-physiological variables like sap flux and stem size variation can also increase our understanding of sub-daily and seasonal variations in xylem isotopic composition, and improve the comparison of isotope data from different individual plants or trees (De Deurwaerder et al., 2020; Nehemy et al., 2021). Stem size variation is caused by the imbalance between canopy transpiration and RWU or its incremental increase (growth) (cf. Zweifel, 2016). Diurnal patterns can show swelling (water uptake) or shrinking (transpiration)

- 90 response of the tree reflecting its stem water tension (Zweifel et al., 2005) or differences in osmotic water potentials depending on the sugar content of the phloem (Mencuccini et al., 2013). Sap flow monitoring, thus, also provides a continuous proxy of transpiration rates (Paloschi et al., 2021). Although reflecting the atmospheric vapor pressure deficit (Butz et al., 2018) and canopy conductance, sap flow rates may react to soil water availability as well, but these responses are highly species-specific (Brinkmann et al., 2016) and may depend on the distribution of individual water sources, or access to groundwater (Süßel
- 95 and Brüggemann, 2021). In general, low soil water content combined with high air temperatures may lead to moisture stress resulting in lower leaf water potential and turgor, a decline in photosynthesis, stomata closing, decreased sap flow, reduction in cell enlargement and growth (Joshi et al., 2016).

To identify water sources used by plants from isotopic composition, linear end member-mixing models like IsoSource (Phillips and Gregg, 2003) have been widely used (Barbeta and Penuelas, 2017). More recent approaches with Bayesian

100 frameworks like SIAR (Parnell et al., 2010) or MixSIAR (Stock et al., 2018) also provide statistical uncertainty assessments (Rothfuss and Javaux, 2017). These models are based on the basic assumption that the end members include all potential sources of xylem water and are isotopically distinct (von Freyberg et al., 2020). Furthermore, all end members of a mixture should be identified and uncertainties of the sampling/monitoring method should be taken into account. Recent findings suggest combining Bayesian mixing models with ecohydrological information like soil properties and climate to improve their results

105 (Rothfuss and Javaux, 2017; Kühnhammer et al., 2020). Von Freyberg et al. (2020) stated that *in situ* monitoring of stable water isotopes with high temporal resolution may help detect isotopic anomalies (like fractionation or new water inputs) and thus, result in more reliable water source attribution.

Here, we used continuous *in situ* monitoring of the natural abundance of stable water isotopes in soil and xylem water of riparian willow trees (*Salix alba*) under field conditions over a period of five (soil) and three (trees) months. Our overarching

- 110 research question was: Can we generate new insights into fluxes across the soil-plant-atmosphere-continuum from combined *in situ* isotope variation and conventional ecohydrological monitoring (e.g. sap flow, biomass accumulation, soil moisture) to assess water sources tapped by the willow trees? In order to understand uptake of potential water sources, we compared the isotopic composition of xylem water with soil water as well as precipitation, surface water and groundwater from liquid sampling. We also used destructive bulk soil water and xylem sampling for comparison with *in situ* measurements. Furthermore,
- 115 we also monitored soil moisture, sap flow velocity, stem size variation and eddy flux covariance on site. Finally, we used this data in the Bayesian approach mixing model SIAR to calculate the likely distribution water sources used by the trees.

2 Study site

The study site is located in the SE of Berlin, Germany (Fig. 1a). The climate is continental temperate with long-term (1981–2010) mean annual rainfall of ~570 mm (Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD), 2020a) and temperature of 9.3 to 10.0 °C (DWD, 2020a).

120 Berlin is situated in the North European Plain which was formed during the Weichselian glaciation. (Deutsche stratigraphische Kommission, 2016). A glacier tongue stretching from east to west formed a bowl-shaped depression which today contains the largest lake of the city, the Lake Müggelsee at an altitude of 32 m. The lake's inlet and outlet is the river Spree. As a result of



Figure 1. Maps with a) Inner map: Location of Berlin in Germany, Outer map: Overview Berlin with red pin showing the location of the IGB (Map data for map in A: ©OpenStreetMap contributors (2020)), b) Sketch of the study site (highlighted in red rectangle) with GW well (highlighted in red circle), c) Bird's eyes view showing all measuring points.

groundwater pumping since 1905, lake water can infiltrate into the adjacent groundwater aquifer (Driescher et al., 1993). The upper unconfined aquifer at the Müggelsee consists of sandy and gravel sediments and is underlain by an aquitard of silt and till at about sea level (Driescher et al., 1993).

The Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB) is located (Fig. 1a) on the northern shore of the Lake Müggelsee. In its grounds, an area of roughly 480 m² was chosen as our study site and focused on two willow trees (*Salix alba*). To the North of the trees is a small wetland and, in the East, a small woodland separated by a small stream (Fig. 1b). The stream is fed by artificial fish ponds which are constantly sustained by pumped lake water. The two willow trees stand relatively isolated and were chosen to replicate the same species and have similar ages (14 years). The northern willow (height ~18 m, later referred to as Northern Willow) had a stem-diameter of 398 mm and the southern willow (height ~19 m, later referred to as Southern Willow) of 353 mm (16 July 2020). Willow trees were described by Nehemy et al. (2021) as having a fast resource acquisition strategy and are known to have large water demands due to typically high transpiration rates (~30 to

135 In addition, willows are tolerant of waterlogged conditions and can source water from groundwater, so they potentially have flexible strategies of RWU depending on soil moisture conditions (Marttila et al., 2017). At our site that also includes the lake-fed stream to the east of the trees.

In addition to the willows and a few other trees fringing the stream and wetland, the area is covered by grass and moss. There is also a well which we used to monitor groundwater level and isotopes. Lake Müggelsee is roughly 50 m away from

100 l/day cf. Schaeffer et al., 2000). Hence, it could be expected that the trees respond rapidly to variations in water availability.

140 the study site. Despite developing Brown Earth characteristics, the soils comprise relatively homogenous silty sands, with the upper soil layer having a higher organic content. Those soils developed on backfilled ground (following construction work at IGB), which results in the top soil being classified as an Anthrosol (Rossiter, 2007).

3 Data and methods

3.1 Hydroclimatic and hydrometric monitoring

- 145 The study was conducted over most of the growing season in 2020 (from 20 May to 11 October). Climate conditions were monitored using a weather station integrated into a portable eddy covariance system (Li-cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA with LI 7500DS open path analyser; wind measurements via Gill Windmaster pro and a Smart Flux 3 system, frequency 10 to 20 Hz (Burba, 2013)) (Fig. 1c). Automatically calculated mean values were logged over 30 min. Measured air temperature, wind (speed and direction), vapor pressure deficit, solar radiation, precipitation, top soil heat flux, and soil moisture were used in this study. To calculate soil heat flux and moisture, soil water potential was measured with ThetaSondes ML2 (Delta–T
- Devices, Burwell, Cambridge, UK; accuracy ± 2 to 5%) at a depth of 5 cm. The eddy flux system automatically calculated evapotranspiration from the latent heat flux (Burba, 2013).). However, as the eddy flux tower was not above the tree canopy, evapotranspiration data were used mainly for qualitive assessment of dynamics rather than the absolute values. Further, the measurements of the eddy covariance were considered to reflect the general environment of the willows and not the specific
- 155 site. In some cases (e.g. power outage) occasional data gaps occurred which were infilled with data from the IGB weather station, ca. 15 m away at the rooftop of the IGB building. The precipitation data of both stations were compared with the open access precipitation data from the German Weather Service (DWD) of the "Berlin-Marzahn" station (DWD, 2020b).

Soil moisture monitoring took place in two soil pits (Pit A and Pit B) at three depths: 10, 40, and 100 cm. Pit A was located next to the southern willow tree (Southern Willow) and partially covered by its outer branches while Pit B was further south

- 160 and not covered (Fig. 1c). Soil moisture and temperature were measured at the three depths with water content reflectometers CS616 (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan, UT USA; accuracy ±2.5% for volumetric water content (VWC)) and BetaTherm 100K6A1IA Thermistors T107 (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan, USA; tolerance ±0.2°C (over 0° to 50°C)), respectively with a CR800 Datalogger and Multiplexer (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan, USA) logging every 10 min. A delay in completion of Pit A was related to Covid19 lockdowns and resulted in a shorter period of record.
- 165 Groundwater levels nearby were monitored with an automatic datalogger (groundwater level probe) at an interval of 15 min (see location in Fig. 1b). For comparison, the groundwater level was also measured manually once a week with a water level meter. The average groundwater level is around 2.2 m below ground level, and relatively stable with seasonal variations < 10 cm.

3.2 Ecohydrological monitoring

170 We continually monitored sap flow and variation in the stem circumference of the willow trees. Sap flow was measured at 15 min intervals using the heat ratio method (Burgess et al., 2001) with four sap flow meters (SFM1 instrument, ICT International, Australia), from which two per tree were installed on the North and South side of the trunk. All sensors were installed at breast height. The measured increase in sapwood temperature following the release of a heat pulse downstream and upstream of the heater is calculated into heat pulse velocity (V_h) after Marshall (1958) as

175
$$V_h = \frac{k}{x} ln \left(\frac{v_1}{v_2}\right) 3600 \tag{1}$$

where k is the thermal diffusivity of fresh wood, x is the distance between the heater and either temperature probe, and v_1 and v_2 are the increases in temperature at equidistant points downstream and upstream, respectively. Further information on the theory may be found in Burgess et al. (2001).

Variation in stem circumference were observed with two Dendrometers (DR Radius Dendrometer, Ecomatik, Dachau, Germany), one per tree at the northern stem side, at a height of 95 cm measured from the soil surface. Data were logged at a 15 min interval with a CR300 Datalogger (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan, USA).

3.3 Stable water isotope monitoring

Stable isotopes of water were monitored in precipitation, groundwater, lake and stream water, bulk soil water, soil water vapor and xylem water vapor. Precipitation water was collected with an autosampler ISCO 3700 (Teledyne Isco, Lincoln, USA) (see

- 185 Fig. 1c). The autosampler bottles were filled with a paraffin oil layer > 0.5 cm in thickness (after IAEA/GNIP, 2014) to avoid evaporative effects. Samples were collected at an interval of 4 hours. Lake and stream water samples were collected weekly via grab sampling. A similar interval was used for groundwater, which was accessed with a submersible pump (COMET-Pumpen Systemtechnik GmbH & Co. KG, Pfaffschwende, Germany). For a period (16 September to 14 November 2020) the artificial fish ponds were also sampled as a potential water source for RWU. All liquid samples were extracted with a canula equipped
- syringe, filtered with a cellulose acetate filter (0.2 μ m pores) into glass vials and stored in a fridge until analysis and analysed via CRDS (Picarro L2130-i, Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) at the IGB laboratory.

Destructive sampling of bulk soil water was conducted monthly from June (after the first COVID–19 lockdown) to October 2020. Samples were collected at two locations: an open space with grass cover and a 2nd location close to Northern Willow. Replicate samples were collected with a hand auger (diameter: 2 cm) at 0 to 10, 10 to 20, 20 to 40, 40 to 70, and 70 to 100 cm

- 195 depth. Samples were filled in metalized bags and analysed with the direct equilibrium method from Wassenaar et al. (2008). Details of sample preparation can be found in Kleine et al. (2020). The samples were equilibrated for roughly 48 h before analysis. For correction, nine 10 ml standard water samples δ^{18} O (-10.3, -7.68, 2.91 or 1.53%) and δ^{2} H (-72.81, -56.70, 0.78 or 16.74%) were used during every measuring routine. Soil water vapor analysis from the bags was conducted with a Los Gatos Off-Axis Integrated Cavity Output Spectroscopy (OA-ICOS) triple water-vapor isotope analyser (TWIA-45-EP, Los
- 200 Gatos Research, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). In this paper, we will refer to these samples measured with the direct equilibrium method as "bulk soil water".



Figure 2. Conceptual graphic of the general *in situ* isotope measuring setup.

Further, destructive sampling of xylem water was also conducted monthly from July to October 2020 together with the bulk soil water sampling. Each time three sun-exposed branches were collected on Northern and Southern Willow, respectively. Only twigs with intact bark were collected and had their bark and phloem removed to prevent interference. Next, the twigs were cut inside 12 ml vials from Exetainer[®] and immediately sealed with a septum cap. In the field, the vials were stored in a 205 polystyrene container and frozen after reaching the lab until cryogenic vacuum extraction. The cryogenic vacuum extraction was done according to Koeniger et al. (2011), but with 60 to 90 min of extraction time per sample. Before the extraction an empty vial is frozen with liquid nitrogen and evacuated by a vacuum pump. Next, the sample is frozen and connected to the evacuated vial via a stainless-steel capillary tube (Koeniger et al., 2011). Afterwards, to extract the water, the sample

- 210
- vial was placed in a 105°C heated custom-built isolated aluminium block (Gaj et al., 2016). After extraction the xylem water samples were measured using CRDS (Picarro L2130-i). We will further refer to the xylem water extracted by cryogenic vacuum extraction as "cryo-xylem water".

Stable water isotopes in soil water vapor were monitored via CRDS (Picarro L2130-i) in the two soil pits (Pit A and Pit B) at the three depths (Fig. 2). For vapor extraction in the soil, 7 cm long polypropylene membranes (0.2 μ m pores) (Kübert et al., 215 2020) were used. In this paper, we will refer to the samples of the *in situ* soil pits as "*in situ* soil water".

Xylem water vapor was monitored with the same vapor sampling device from the two willows (Northern and Southern Willow) inside two stem boreholes each (Fig. 2). Xylem sampling was also delayed due to restrictions resulting from COVID-19 lockdowns. Consequently, measurements of Southern Willow started at 22 June 2020 and Northern Willow at 10 July 2020. At the beginning of the monitoring, both willows showed wounding effects resulting in unrealistically high isotopic compositions

- 220 declining exponentially over time. Hence, these time periods were excluded from further analysis. The boreholes were at 30 cm (further referred to as "lower") and 170 cm (referred to as "upper") height above the soil surface. As in the soil, polypropylene membranes were inserted into the boreholes passing the stem horizontally (stem borehole equilibration). We will refer to all xylem water samples by this method as "*in situ* xylem water". The method of stem borehole equilibration was only tested before on tree logs and in a greenhouse experiment (Marshall et al. 2020) and a tropical forest in stems and roots of tropical
- 225 trees (Kühnhammer et al. 2022). Therefore, the borehole equilibration method resembles a new design of *in situ* xylem water vapor. In the description by Marshall et al. (2020), the carrier gas was provided from one end while an extraction tube of an isotope ratio infrared spectrometer (IRIS) for suction was set on the other side of the borehole allowing for linear flow and equilibrium conditions (Marshall et al., 2020). Volkmann et al. (2016a) already used microporous polypropylene membranes. Their probe was embedded inside the sap wood and sealed for the inner and outer end of the borehole to prevent contamination
- 230 with air water vapor (Volkmann et al., 2016a). Inside a mixing chamber of the probe, a gas-water-mixture was sucked out by an extraction tube into an IRIS.

However, our new integration of the membrane approach from Volkmann et al. (2016a) into the stem borehole equilibration method of Marshall et al. (2020), which itself is novel, has the advantages of using dried ambient air as input flow for our boreholes with a reduced risk of fungal or bacterial infections of the tree due to the very small (0.2 μ m) pores. Further,

- 235 less artificial air is required that usually would have been needed to be carried into the field, hence making this setup easier compared to those needing constant gas supply from a bottle. Finally, using the same probe type (membrane inserted inside a matrix) makes the comparability of soil vs xylem data easier. The boreholes had an inner diameter of 8 to 10 mm. Inside the membranes, fine PFA-sealed resistance thermometers (HSRTD, Omega Engineering, Norwalk, USA; tolerance: $\pm 0.15^{\circ}$ to 0.35° C (over 0° to 100°C)) were installed to measure the borehole temperature. The thermometers logged with the CR800
- 240 Data Logger and Multiplexer. Daily mean temperature of the boreholes (required to convert vapor measurements into liquid isotopic composition) was similar to daily mean air temperature.

All soil and plant borehole tubes were attached to a bottle filled with desiccant (Drierite W. A. Hammond DRIERITE Co. LTD, Xenia, OH, USA) to dry incoming air at one end and attached to the laser spectrometer (Picarro L2130-i) at the other end of the membrane. The boreholes were further sealed with waterproof glue (ORCA, Aquarium Münster Pahlsmeier GmbH,

245 Telgte, Germany). In situ soil and xylem water was sampled successively for each point, and each sample was measured for 10 min in total at ~2h intervals.

To avoid tube condensation, heating cables (ILLw.CT/Qx, Quintex GmbH, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) were installed and wrapped with the tube in aluminium foil for insulation. The cables were controlled via an automatic multi socket (Gembird EG-PMS2, Gembird Software Ltd., Almere, The Netherlands) to prevent overheating in summer. To minimise condensation

effects, the measurements were checked daily and the system (Manifold with attached tubes and membranes) was flushed for 10 minutes per probe to remove any water (Beyer et al., 2020). In the cases when condensation inside the system was identified the respective data was discarded. We only used daily mean data to exclude sub-daily variance and producing a more reliable dataset, because the focus of our study is on seasonal variability of the willow's behaviour and environment. Daily means were calculated after all corrections and discarding of potential condensation affected data was complete.

255 3.4 Calibration and data analysis

Calibration of the *in situ* soil and xylem water system was achieved by a standard delivery module (Picarro A0101 Standards Delivery Module, Picarro, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) using standards of known isotopic composition in δ^{18} O (-10.41, -7.66 or 1.45‰) and δ^2 H (72.83, -55.86 or 16.74‰), respectively. These lab-standards and all values of isotopic composition in this study are relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW). To correct for isotopic offsets and vapor concentration

260 dependency we used a similar approach to Schmidt et al. (2010) applying a linear regression of vapor concentration dependency slopes for δ^2 H and δ^{18} O, and of the slopes on the δ -values for δ^2 H and δ^{18} O isotopic offset correction. We only used measured water vapor concentrations and added linear regressions of temperature dependency slopes. However, the bulk soil sample results suggested that stronger water concentration dependencies were not fully corrected with this approach, hence, we applied polynomial regressions on measured isotopic compositions for different temperatures. Thus, we were able to correct highly 265 variable water concentrations by using the soil pit temperatures of the specific depths.

To check for CO_2 contamination, we used the linewidth variable ('h2o_vy') of the raw data from the Picarro instrument as suggested by Gralher et al. (2016). We found a strong correlation between this variable and the water concentration measured by the laser spectrometer, which indicated that no significant CO_2 contamination was detected.

Furthermore, we assumed the liquid water source to be in equilibrium with the measured water vapor allowing us to calculate 270 the liquid-vapor fractionation by a model of type 1 (Majoube, 1971):

$$\alpha = exp \frac{a\left(\frac{10^6}{T_k^2}\right) + b\left(\frac{10^3}{T_k}\right) + c}{1000} \tag{2}$$

where α is the isotopic fractionation factor, T_k is the temperature (in K), and *a*, *b*, and *c* are empirical parameters that vary depending on the isotopologue.

The deviation of δ^2 H and δ^{18} O composition in the sample from the global precipitation (or global meteoric water line, GMWL) is presented as deuterium excess (short d-excess) by Dansgaard (1964):

$$d - excess = \delta^2 H - 8 \cdot \delta^{18} O \tag{3}$$

The d-excess indicates fractionation processes of the sample compared to the global precipitation. To investigate the local evaporative effects of the samples water, isotopologues were used to calculate the line-conditioned excess (short lc-excess) (cf. Landwehr and Coplen, 2006). The lc-excess describes the deviation of the sample from the local meteoric water line (LMWL):

280

$$lc - excess = \delta^2 H - a \cdot \delta^{18} O - b \tag{4}$$

where *a* is the slope and *b* the intercept of the weighted isotopic composition of the local precipitation (IGB: a = 7.4, b = 3.7). The LMWL was calculated by linear regression of the precipitation stable water isotopes (plotting δ^{18} O against δ^{2} H) measured at IGB from May 2020 to January 2021 ($R^2 = 0.98$). The similarity of soil water isotopic compositions measured with *in situ* and destructive sampling was assessed by calculating the Euclidean distance to the 1:1 line of δ^{18} O *in situ* vs δ^{18} O direct equilibrium ("bulk") or cryogenic extracted and δ^2 H *in situ* vs δ^2 H direct equilibrium or cryogenic extracted for soil and xylem signatures, respectively.

3.5 Mixing model

- To quantify sources of *in situ* xylem water from soil water of different depths, we used the Bayesian isotopic mixing model,
 SIAR (Parnell et al., 2010). Following an initial assessment of potential water sources (see below), the soil isotopes from 10, 40, and 100 cm from pits A and B were used. These soil depths likely represent the majority of the root distribution of willows due to high near surface rooting densities (Cunniff et al., 2015; Marttila et al., 2017). To establish if the source changes through the growing season, soil and xylem isotopes were divided into weekly bins beginning 21 June 2020, and SIAR was run independently for each weekly group of sources and *in situ* xylem water. To constrain the mixing model, δ²H, δ¹⁸O, and
 d-excess (d-excess = δ²H 8 · δ¹⁸O) were simultaneously used for the soil and vegetation. To optimize the soil source water to *in situ* xylem water, Markov Chain Monte Carlo approaches were utilized with 500,000 simulations, using the first 50,000 as burn-in results (which were then discarded). No prior information was provided to the model (uniform a-priori distribution) for each soil depth. For Southern Willow, soil isotopes for each depth were averaged for Pit A and B as the relative similarities
- between Pit A and B would lead to limited identification of soil source between sites. To evaluate the efficiency of the SIAR
 model for identifying the proportions of source waters, the mean absolute error (MAE) was evaluated for each weekly bin using proportion weighted soil isotopes and vegetation isotopes. Proportion weighted soil isotopes were estimated as

$$\delta_{mix} = P1 \cdot \delta_{10cm} + P2 \cdot \delta_{40cm} + P3 \cdot \delta_{100cm} \tag{5}$$

where P1, P2, and P3 are the proportion of water from 10 cm, 40 cm, and 100 cm, respectively, as estimated by SIAR.

4 Results

305 4.1 Hydroclimatic conditions

The study period was characterised by eleven precipitation events with > 10 mm/d (Fig. 3a). The largest event was in late August while the longest dry period occurred in September. Daily mean air temperatures (T) gradually increased until mid-August and decreased afterwards. Vapor pressure deficit (VPD) as a driver of transpiration correlated with T, but also depended on water vapor pressure (high T and low water vapor pressures causes high VPD). Maxima occurred in the beginning of June and mid-August, in late August the VPD declines alongside T. Evapotranspiration (ET) had minima in times of low

310

precipitation amount and high T, and this was reflected in sap flow rates (Fig. 4a). ET increased after large precipitation events when moisture availability increased. At the end of the measuring period, as T and VPD decreased, ET decreased as well. The volumetric water content (VWC) of the soils at 10 cm depths strongly responded to precipitation events (Fig. 3e and

f). Despite the different time series, it became clear that this variability was more distinct in Pit B (min: 5.7%, max: 21.0%,



Figure 3. Hydroclimatic conditions showing daily precipitation (a), air temperature (b), vapor pressure deficit (c), evapotranspiration (d), and soil moisture for Pit A (e) and Pit B (f).

315 Fig. 3e) than in A (min: 4.0%, max: 11.4%, Fig. 3f). Generally, Pit A (beneath the tree canopy) was much drier than B. A precipitation event on 13 June resulted in a significant increase of soil moisture in Pit A, with rapid increases in VMC even at 40 cm. VWC responses to precipitation at 100 cm depth were very damped with little changes detected in both pits (Pit A min: 12.6%, max: 14.2%; Pit B min: 17.6%, max: 20.7%) even after the August event.

4.2 Vegetation growth dynamics and transpiration

- 320 Daily sap flow ranged from 5000 to 71000 cm³d (5 to 71 l/d) for Northern Willow and 4000 to 86000 cm³d (4 to 86 l/d) for Southern Willow (Fig. 4a). This compares well, in terms of order of magnitude for other willows shown to vary between 30 and 100 l per day during the growing season (Schaeffer et al., 2020). Sap flux in Northern Willow increased from the beginning of the measuring period until 30 June after which it dropped. Sap flux in Southern Willow increased until 13 August and then decreased until 4 September followed by an increase until 24 September. High ET values (Fig. 4a) were generally reflected in
- 325 higher sap flow rates, though ET (recorded below the tree canopy) was low at a time of high sap flow in August, reflecting low ET from grassland soils. Both trees grew consistently with daily stem radius incrementation following leaf-out until the end of the growing season at ~15 September (Fig. 4b). Sub-daily shrinking and swelling of the stem occurred, though this does not show in the averaged daily data set presented in this study. The main growing phase started around June and total growth during the measuring period was 12.8 mm for Northern Willow and 16.4 mm for Southern Willow.



Figure 4. Daily total sap flow measured at the southern stem side and stem diameter variation measured for the stem radius at the northern stem side. Plot a) shows the results of sap flow together with Evapotranspiration for comparison and b) the results of the stem diameter variation during the measuring period.

330 4.3 Dynamics in stable water isotopes

The daily average of the *in situ* isotope values showed strong links between larger (> 10 mm/d) precipitation events and soil water δ^2 H data (Fig. 5a and b, δ^{18} O is shown in S Fig. 1). The highest fluctuations occurred in the upper soil layer, while the isotopic composition at 40 and 100 cm both showed very damped responses to precipitation. At 40 cm, responses were more marked in canopy covered Pit A than Pit B (Fig. 5) probably caused by the relatively smaller water stored in the soil of Pit A compared to Pit B, due to the canopy cover and more interception at Pit A. Due to these generally smaller water amounts,

incoming precipitation affected the isotopic composition of the top soil water of Pit A more than at Pit B.

In contrast, the *in situ* xylem water composition did not show immediate response to precipitation events, though the shorter run of data makes it difficult to interpret longer-term variations, especially for the Northern Willow. However, the longer time series of the Southern Willow suggests that the xylem composition, like the soil at 10 cm, became more depleted after summer rain in July, before slowly increasing again through August and September (Fig. 5b). Comparing the *in situ* xylem water of the

340

335

two probes on each tree (upper and lower) they were mostly very similar to one another.

In situ soil water lc-excess was most negative with again higher variability in canopy covered Pit A than in Pit B at a soil depth of 10 cm, (Fig. 6). In Pit A, lc-excess exhibited variability that was consistent with cycles of wetting and evaporative drying causing negative values (Fig. 6b). A similar, though highly damped trend was apparent at 40 cm, while at 100 cm the lc-

excess remained close to zero, with a suggestion of more fractionated water in the latter part of the study from late September.

345

Variations were more damped in Pit B, and at 10 cm could become positive following rainfall (Fig. 6c). By late summer,



Figure 5. In situ time series of daily δ^2 H in: Precipitation (a), Pit A and Pit B (b), and Northern Willow and Southern Willow (c).



Figure 6. In situ time series of daily lc-excess in: Precipitation (a), Pit A and Pit B (b), and Northern Willow and Southern Willow (c).

lc-excess at 40 and 100 cm was gradually becoming more negative, consistent with the percolation of more fractionated water from the upper soil with successive wetting fronts in response to rainfall inputs.

In situ xylem water lc-excess in Southern Willow varied around -15% until mid-August where the lc-excess increased constantly up to 0.2%. In the Northern Willow, *in situ* xylem water lc-excess also enriched from the end of August to October.



Figure 7. Dual isotope plot of *in situ* (daily) soil and xylem as well as precipitation (daily), surface and groundwater (weekly) sampling. Soil and tree data are highlighted with boundary polygons for 10 cm, 40 cm, 100 cm and tree (upper and lower results joined) clusters. Additional boxplots show the sample distribution of the data sets.

In the dual isotope plot (Fig. 7), all water compartments, except precipitation, mostly lie below the LMWL (local meteoric water line). The largest variability was found in precipitation, while the variability of groundwater and stream water was restricted. This plot also nicely illustrates the substantial overlap between the isotopic compositions of xylem and near-surface soil water measured *in situ*.

The range of stable isotope values for all measured water compartments (precipitation, soil water, groundwater, lake and stream water) is also summarised in Fig. 8 and Table 1. These show again the large variability of precipitation, and the very narrow range of stream, lake and groundwater samples (-53.2 to -42.8% for δ²H and -7.1 to -5.2% for δ¹⁸O). The lc-excess (Fig. 8c) of precipitation varied roughly between -10 and 10%, while mean values of stream and lake water were ≈ -7%, reflecting the influence of fractionation. Groundwater lc-excess was mostly slightly positive. The uppermost *in situ* soil water
of both Pit A and B was most enriched (up to -10.4% for δ²H and 0.0% for δ¹⁸O) and showed larger variabilities compared with deeper *in situ* soil waters. This was generally similar to the bulk soil water (S Fig. 2). The upper *in situ* soil water of the drier Pit A was more variable and enriched during the measuring period than in Pit B. At 100 cm depth, *in situ* soil water of both pits showed a very narrow range of isotopic compositions (Table 1) with water from Pit A being roughly 10% more enriched in δ²H (~1% in δ¹⁸O) compared to Pit B. Like the bulk soil samples, the *in situ* results were more depleted in deeper layers (Table 1). The *in situ* soil water lc-excess of the top layer of the canopy covered Pit A was in general most negative,

while water from open spaced Pit B at 10 cm even reached positive lc-excess values.

Detailed bulk soil water composition is shown in heat maps of δ^{18} O, δ^{2} H and lc-excess from the "Canopy Covered" (NW of Northern Willow) and "Open Space" (south of Pit B) sample sites (S Fig. 3). Both locations showed variation with depth and in time in response to rainfall inputs and changing ET. Usually, the bulk water at 10 cm was most enriched in δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H,



Figure 8. Box plots showing the isotopic composition as well as lc-excess of daily precipitation sampling, weekly sampled groundwater, lake and stream water, and *in situ* sampled soil, and xylem water. The lc-excess is an indicator of evaporational effects and describes the offset of the sample from the LMWL (see Methods 3.4 Calibration and data analysis).

370 while at 40 to 70 cm it was most depleted. "Canopy Covered" bulk soil water was, in general, more enriched in δ^{18} O than at "Open Space" resulting in differences in lc-excess. The mean Euclidean distance as a measure of similarity between bulk soil and *in situ* data showed ~0.7% for δ^{18} O and ~4.6% for δ^{2} H (see Table 2).

The *in situ* xylem water showed most similarities with the top soil signatures (Figs. 7 and 8). Like the upper *in situ* soil water, the *in situ* xylem water varied over wider ranges (e.g., -29.2 to -1.9% for δ^2 H and -5.4 to -0.9% for δ^{18} O of Northern Willow upper) with its distribution mostly being in the range of the signatures at canopy covered Pit A 10 cm. The *in situ* xylem water lc-excess values also showed a wide range (-28 to 0.2%), still the values mostly fit inside the total lc-excess range of *in situ* soil water from Pit A 10 cm. The xylem water isotopic composition showed diurnal fluctuations that were also affected by sap flow activity of the willows (high sap flow resulted in heavier isotopic compositions, but also higher water concentrations in the measurement).

380 Cryogenically determined xylem water isotopic composition from the destructive sampling (S Figs. 4 and 5) was more depleted compared to the *in situ* xylem water (Table 1). The lc-excess of the cryo-xylem water was also more enriched compared to the *in situ* xylem water (S Fig. 4). Like the *in situ* xylem water, the cryo-xylem water isotopic composition was comparable

Table 1. The amount (n) and 5th, 50th (median), and 95th percentiles of δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H (% VSMOW) signatures. (Surface water: Lake and Stream water).

Come la			δ	² H ‰ VSMOW	δ^{18} O ‰ VSMOW			
Sample	n	5 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile	5 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile	
Precipitation	40	-62.73	-34.67	-13.24	-9.03	-4.79	-1.70	
Groundwater	23	-52.87	-49.73	-45.36	-6.99	-6.35	-5.57	
Surface water	40	-48.75	-45.34	-43.90	-6.18	-5.62	-5.24	
Soil 10	265	-56.08	-36.44	-24.13	-7.14	-4.72	-1.53	
Soil 40	242	-66.48	-58.52	-45.36	-8.64	-7.82	-6.12	
Soil 100	261	-60.49	-57.60	-50.21	-8.12	-7.53	-6.89	
Canopy covered 10	6	-53.76	-35.93	-32.37	-7.97	-4.65	-2.05	
Canopy covered 40	12	-62.04	-53.30	-43.56	-8.67	-7.30	-5.56	
Canopy covered 100	12	-65.44	-61.82	-59.03	-9.04	-8.42	-7.95	
Open space 10	6	-55.08	-40.37	-33.32	-7.40	-5.08	-4.53	
Open space 40	12	-61.91	-52.95	-42.51	-8.05	-6.98	-5.63	
Openspace 100	12	-65.39	-63.52	-59.86	-8.65	-8.34	-7.74	
Tree upper	73	-53.01	-42.86	-32.38	-6.15	-3.94	-2.28	
Tree lower	73	-51.08	-38.51	-25.96	-7.01	-3.72	-1.76	
Tree cryo	29	-63.07	-55.63	-46.34	-7.61	-5.85	-4.68	

Table 2. Variance of Euclidean distance between *in situ* and direct equilibrium ("bulk") soil stable water isotopes and between cryogenic extracted twig and *in situ* xylem stable water isotopes. Euclidean distances were calculated between the $\delta^2 H$ (or $\delta^{18}O$) bulk (or cryogenic extracted) and $\delta^2 H$ (or $\delta^{18}O$) *in situ* point and the 1:1 line. *In situ* data were taken from the day of sampling bulk (or twig) samples. The results from "cryo cor" refer to corrected $\delta^2 H$ values where 8.1‰ was added (cf. Chen et al. 2020).

		δ^2 H ‰ VSMOW					
	n	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Max
bulk vs in situ	34	0.01	4.62	12.12	0.00	0.68	2.00
cryo vs in situ	7	5.13	12.08	18.01	0.07	1.62	3.24
cryo cor vs in situ	7	1.20	6.81	12.89	0.07	1.62	3.24

385

to soil 10 cm isotopic composition, but indicated less evaporated conditions. After correcting the cryo-xylem water δ^2 H data by adding 8.1% (Chen et al. 2020) the cryo-xylem δ^2 H results were more comparable to the *in situ* ones. However, after this correction the lc-excess values drifted further apart from the *in situ* xylem water (S Fig. 5). The mean Euclidean distance as a measure of similarity between cyro-xylem and *in situ* xylem water showed ~1.6% for δ^{18} O and ~12.1% for δ^{2} H (or ~6.8% for δ^{2} H after correction by Chen et al. 2020) (see Table 2).



Figure 9. Estimated percentage of willow water from each soil depth in (a) Northern Willow and (b) Southern Willow. The box plots for each source show the 25th and 75th percentiles, with whiskers extending to the minimum and maximum estimated proportion. Gray and white bands show the division of each week. The right-side y-axis shows the soil moisture for each soil depth (weekly average).

4.4 Determining potential xylem water sources

- The SIAR Bayesian mixing model estimated the possible sources of RWU (i.e. integrated in the composition of xylem) of
 the willows from isotope measurements at specific soil water depths (Fig. 9). The mixing model indicated that the xylem composition in both willow trees could be explained by uptake of water almost solely from the top soil (10 cm) for most of the study period, regardless of soil moisture variations and with low uncertainty (as indicated by the standard deviations in the plot). This is consistent with the qualitative overlap of upper soil water and *in situ* xylem water in the dual isotope space in Fig. 7. However, comparing the cumulative tree transpiration and rainfall to soil water storage it would have been also possible
 for the willows to solely take water up from the top 40 cm. Through the soil and xylem water signatures, the model was able to predict RWU as mainly water from the top 10 cm of the soil. RWU from the top soil by the willows probably lead to the observed dry conditions in the top soil even though ET was not very high (max. 2.9 mm) and the site was often shaded. At the end of September and in October, there was a suggestion that deeper water sources might have become more important, though results became increasingly uncertain. By this time, only 60 to 95% could be accounted for by uptake from the top soil, while 0 to 10% and 0 to 40% were taken from 40 and 100 cm depth, respectively, in Northern Willow. In Southern Willow, the
- inferred increased uptake of soil water from 40 and 100 cm occurred one week later with ~70%, ~25% and ~5% taken from 10 cm, 40 cm, and 100 cm, respectively. Overall, mean absolute errors for the prediction of *in situ* xylem water composition by the SIAR model were small with being 6.5 and 8.8% for δ^2 H and 1.8 and 1.3% for δ^{18} O Southern Willow and Northern Willow, respectively (S Fig. 6).

405 5 Discussion

5.1 Dynamics of sources of root water uptake

Both qualitative assessment, and quantitative analysis of the isotope data with the Bayesian mixing model, infer that RWU by the willows was predominantly sourced from the upper soil horizons during the period when xylem water was analysed *in situ*. This water tended to be more enriched in heavier isotopes showing the effects of evaporative fractionation. There was nothing

- 410 to indicate that deeper, more depleted groundwater or stream water sources were major components of RWU during the study period. There was some evidence from the mixing analysis that trees used deeper soil water to supplement shallow sources later in the monitoring period, which coincided with a drier spell in September, though soil moisture availability in the upper soil profile of the pits did not differ significantly compared to hotter summer months like July or August. Although replication was limited, the two soil pits showed marked spatial differences in both soil moisture content and the variability in soil water
- 415 isotopes, though similar changes with depth were apparent. This likely reflects the effects of interception losses below the tree canopy greatly reducing the moisture content of Pit A. This low storage volume, in turn, resulted in more marked effects of both evaporative fractionation and mixing of infiltrating rainfall on the soil water isotope signals. Interestingly, individual rainfall events had no significant effects on xylem water isotopic composition.
- These findings are consistent with other studies that have shown that willows mainly utilise water from the near surface soil horizons (Matilla et al., 2017), which is where nutrient availability is highest (Goldsmith et al., 2012) and most tree species adapt their greatest fine root densities to water and nutrient supply (Hertel et al., 2013). Similarly, Nehemy et al. (2021) found that willows use shallower water sources until drier conditions force water uptake from deeper soil layers. In the current study, it is not totally clear why the results of the mixing model in September showed a shift from top soil to deeper soil layers even though the soil moisture of the top soil started to rewet again. This shift comes at the end of the growing season, shortly after
- 425 stem incremental growth ceases, and may be indicative of physiological changes at the onset of autumn. As was the case for beeches in Gessler et al. (2022) it is possible that the total amount of water taken up from 10 cm soil was reduced at the end of the growing season shifting the relative contributions of deeper soil waters. The decline in sap flow in October (Fig. 4a) also fits such a scenario. However, it should be noted that the summer of 2020 was not characterised by a prolonged period of water stress, such as the well-documented drought summer of 2018 (Kleine et al., 2020; Gessler et al., 2022). As shown
- 430 by their constant growth, high sap flow and relatively low ET, the willows did not experience water stress during our field experiment. Under drought conditions a very different water uptake strategy of the willows might be evident, underlining the need for multi-year ecohydrology studies that capture hydroclimatic variability. However, other studies have shown that soils and not groundwater are the most likely sources of RWU, even when the latter might be expected (Brooks et al., 2010; Evaristo et al., 2015). Furthermore, recent isotopic mixing studies (e.g. Gessler et al., 2022) showed that some tree species (beech) are
- 435 not able to compensate drought reduced top soil water availability by taking up more water from deeper soil layers emphasising the importance to investigate different species individually. A labelling field experiment by Kühnhammer et al. (2022) showed a general response of xylem water isotopic composition to irrigation events, but no direct response to single events which is similar to our findings.

- It should be stressed that the mixing model used here is relatively simplistic and coarse as it does not fully characterise the potential heterogeneity in soil properties and root distribution (cf. Sprenger and Allen, 2020). For example, one of the conditions for the Bayesian mixing model assumes all endmembers are known. Since we conducted a field experiment under natural conditions, we cannot exclude unknown water sources completely. Even though we have covered obvious sources of precipitation, local soil water, groundwater, lake and stream water, roots may be able to access other source. For example, modelling work with the data used in this study showed that the willows likely have a large horizontal range of fine roots (>6 m)
- 445 and may access more distant heterogenous water sources (Smith et al., 2021). Furthermore, numerous recent studies have shown that stem xylem water likely reflects the integrated effects of uptake over many weeks or months, depending on the species, age/size, seasonality and antecedent hydroclimatic variability (e.g. McCutcheon et al., 2017; Tetzlaff et al., 2021; Snelgrove et al., 2021). Moreover, recent modelling work has shown that storage, mixing and re-mobilization of RWU in trees may explain a lack of direct correlation between soil water and xylem water (Knighton et al., 2020). Therefore, it is possible that the increase
- 450 of deeper soil water in the stem water pool in September was observed weeks after its root uptake. Conditioned-controlled experiments with labelled water could be helpful to further investigate such possible temporal integrations of water signatures. However, the mixing model used in this study still provides a reasonable first approximation of the willows studied here. It possibly helps that willows have a rapid metabolism, supported by the high sap flow velocities and steady, sustained growth over the study period. Moreover, the two young, same-aged trees on an immature freely-draining soil provided a relatively
- 455 simple system with more limited variability in the xylem isotope signal between and within the trees than might be expected in a more heterogenous and natural riparian forest. More complex ecohydrological modelling that explicitly conceptualises the travel time of water in trees may be able to provide deeper insights (e.g. Mennekes et al., 2021). Finally, moving window analysis connecting a time-window of the soil water to the best fitting time-window of the xylem water might also be an easy, but useful approach to evaluate the temporal variability.

460 5.2 Using *in situ* monitoring over extended periods: potential and challenges

In situ monitoring is usually characterised by relatively short-term periods (days to weeks) monitoring campaigns, often under controlled experimental conditions (Volkmann et al., 2016; Gaj et al., 2016; Kübert et al., 2020). More recent work has demonstrated the feasibility of field application of spectroscopes in direct *in situ* monitoring to produce longer-term, reliable data of water in different compartments of the critical zone (Mennekes et al., 2021; Seeger and Weiler, 2021; Kühnhammer et al.,

- 465 2022). Our study contributes to this evolution of such isotopic techniques to directly observe ecohydrological processes in soil and vegetation systems. In terms of soil isotope monitoring, our approach was very similar to that of Oerter and Bowen (2017) though we used ambient atmosphere as carrier gas rather than N_2 . Consequently, we needed to dry the air using desiccant and similar to Volkmann et al. (2016) this allowed us to achieve two hourly sampling with stable plateaus of isotope ratios from each inlet in the system (Fig. 2). For seasonal investigations we suggest that fewer sub-daily measurements than we took
- 470 (but at least 2 or 3 times a day) may be sufficient to capture diurnal variation. It might be useful to link the timing of these measurements to sap flow activity of a tree (e.g. one measurement at maximum and minimum daily sap flow, respectively). Of course, such an approach needs further investigation especially for different species.

For the xylem water sampling in the trees we adapted the method proposed by Marshall et al. (2020) with minor changes being the first usage in angiosperms of a temperate climate (see "3.3 Stable water isotope monitoring"). The high frequency

- 475 results present an improvement on results gained by destructive sampling where questions remain over exactly what is extracted by cryogenic methods (Chen et al., 2020; Barbeta et al., 2020). Our results showed moderate to no comparability between *in situ* and cryogenic extracted measurements. Similar results in cryogenic vs *in situ* extracted results were found elsewhere (Gessler et al., 2022; Kühnhammer et al., 2022), but in others, findings differed (Mennekes et al., 2021). Possible reasons for differences in *in situ* vs cryogenic extracted xylem water isotopic composition can be released cell content due to the defrosting process,
- organic contamination (if measuring with an IRIS), and heterogeneity of the tree trunk. In terms of the *in situ* measurements, a much higher variability in the data or slight, undetected condensation effects, or non-equilibrium conditions might also result in off-sets to cryogenic extracted results. Here, we observed no off-set in δ^{18} O (cf. Volkmann et al., 2016a; Marshall et al., 2020; Gessler et al., 2022) and tests of a line width related variable (as suggested by Gralher et al., 2016) showed no effects of organic or CO₂ contamination (cf. Beyer et al., 2020). However, we did see individual differences of the willows showing
- 485 the same trend of xylem water isotopic composition, while the absolute values differed. For a prolonged installation to ensure equilibrium conditions it seemed advantageous to locate the boreholes further up the tree stem where increment of radial growth is lower as the stem narrows.

A particular challenge for *in situ* monitoring during the growing season is cooling and keeping the instrument <35°C in high summer temperatures. This was achieved by using a sun shade over the equipment and computer cooling fans. Despite this, 490 the isotope time series for *in situ* water vapor measured in both soils and xylem showed diurnal variation, which, although reflecting natural processes, did include sampling artifacts that needed to be quality controlled. In the current paper we have not focused on diurnal variations, as the seasonal changes in RWU have been the main focus, and data were averaged to daily time steps. Nevertheless, diurnal cycles of temperature and humidity not only contributed to diurnal variation in measurements but also caused condensation in tubing (e.g. Beyer et al., 2020; Kühnhammer et al., 2022) that could not always be prevented 495 despite heating the tubes (see above). As a result, some data points were rejected but still sufficient data was collected to estimate daily means. This was a particular problem in cooler nights (cf. Gaj et al., 2016) and following rainfall, especially in late summer and autumn as air temperature drops faster compared to soil temperature causing a temperature gradient. The effects were most marked in the top soil layer and xylem where the sampling tubes experienced the most marked temperature variations. For much of the monitoring period flushing the system (Manifold, tubes and probes) with dry air each morning was

500 needed. However, this daily maintenance work is of course highly labour intensive.

In this study we focused on monitoring natural abundance of isotopes in various critical zone compartments, to better understand interactions in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum of a plot of riparian willow trees. Other studies have reported tracer experiments that focused on specific hypothesis testing under controlled conditions (e.g. Seeger and Weiler, 2021) or field experiments with natural conditions, but additional labelling (e.g. Kühnhammer et al., 2022). We see these approaches as

505 being complimentary and our results underline the usefulness of investigating natural abundances of isotopes in critical zone compartments, especially if combined with auxiliary ecohydrological measurements. Although clear tracer "breakthrough"

signals are much more evident in labelling studies, there are advantages to observing conditions as they occur in nature and the realistic reaction of trees to its new rain water inputs in relation to the distribution of the water sources.

Conclusions 6

- 510 We conducted an *in situ* field study of stable water isotopes in soil water and the xylem of willow trees in conjunction with hydroclimatic monitoring and measurements of sap flow, stem size, soil temperature and moisture, and stable water isotopes in precipitation, lake, stream and groundwater. Our investigation delivered reliable high-frequency stable water isotope data in two soil pits at three depths and two willows in two stem boreholes with a ~ 2 hourly resolution over several months (4.5 months in soil and 3 months in trees).
- 515

520

We have shown that the stem borehole approach described by Marshall et al. (2020) successfully worked in the field in an angiosperm species in a temperate climate. We adapted the polypropylene membranes inside the stem boreholes and used dried ambient air as carrier gas reducing the risk of infection and simplifying the field setup.

The upper soil layer was most variable in moisture content and isotopic composition. Spatial heterogeneity was shown by the two soil pits from which one was located under the canopy while the other one was in the open. The canopy covered soil pit had lower volumetric water contents, but more enriched and more variable isotopic composition than the one in open space.

The xylem isotopic composition mostly resembled top soil water and mixing models indicated that the soil water source could explain ~90% of RWU. The summer sap flux velocity remained high suggesting rapid xylem water travel times of a few days and sap flux velocity showed no obvious response to lower soil water conditions (i.e. reduction) or precipitation events (i.e. increase). At the end of the season, the reliance on upper soil water uptake reduced (but was still $\sim 60\%$) suggesting use of additional deeper soil waters. It is likely that the willow trees preferred top soil water in summer due to nutrient supply while at the end of their growing period they shifted to layers with higher soil water content.

```
525
```

Although our approach delivered novel and reliable data, improvements in terms of set up and choosing locations or time periods with more variable water source availability will benefit further investigations. For long-term in situ xylem water investigations further research tackling challenges such as night-time tube condensation, compression of the membrane and testing on different tree species is required.

530

535

Data availability. The isotope data are uploaded and available with a password (to be received from the corresponding author upon request) into the open access data base FRED at IGB.

Author contributions. The study was designed by JL, DT, MD, CS. Fieldwork and data collection were undertaken by JL, DD. Data were analysed by JL, with ongoing discussion and inputs from DT, CS, MD, AS. JL prepared the draft manuscript, which subsequently all authors contributed to and edited.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge the BMBF (funding code 033W034A) which supported the stable isotope laboratory and the Open Access Publication Fund by IGB and the Leibniz Association's Open Access Publishing Fund. The authors are grateful to Jonas Freymüller, Hauke Dämpfling, and Adrian Dahlmann who were involved in the *in situ* site setup and maintenance. We also thank Lukas Kleine and

540 Christian Marx for their help in soil and twig sampling and characterisation as well as Christian Marx for cryogenic extraction of the twig samples. Chris Soulsby's contributions were supported by the Leverhulme Trust ISOLAND project (RPG-2018-375).

References

- Barbeta, A., Peñuelas, J.: Relative contribution of groundwater to plant transpiration estimated with stable isotopes. Scientific reports, 7(1), 1-10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09643-x, 2017.
- 545 Barbeta, A., Gimeno, T. E., Clavé, L., Fréjaville, B., Jones, S. P., Delvigne, C., Wingate, L., Ogée, J.: An explanation for the isotopic offset between soil and stem water in a temperate tree species. New Phytologist, 227(3), 766-779, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16564, 2020.
 - Berry, Z. C., Evaristo, J., Moore, G., Poca, M., Steppe, K., Verrot, L., Asbjornsen, H., Borma, L. S., Bretfeld, M., Hervé-Fernández, P., Seyfried, M., Schwendenmann, L., Sinacore, K., De Wispelaere, L., McDonnell, J.: The two water worlds hypothesis: Addressing multiple working hypotheses and proposing a way forward, Ecohydrology, 11(3), e1843, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1843, 2018.
- 550 Beyer, M., Hamutoko, J. T., Wanke, H., Gaj, M., Koeniger, P.: Examination of deep root water uptake using anomalies of soil water stable isotopes, depth-controlled isotopic labeling and mixing models, Journal of Hydrology, 566, 122-136, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol. 2018.08.060., 2018.
- Beyer, M., Kühnhammer, K., Dubbert, M.: *In situ* measurements of soil and plant water isotopes: a review of approaches, practical considerations and a vision for the future, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(9), 4413-4440, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-4413-2020,
 2020.
 - Braden-Behrens, J., Markwitz, C., Knohl, A.: Eddy covariance measurements of the dual-isotope composition of evapotranspiration, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 269, 203-219, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.035, 2019.
 - Brinkmann, N., Eugster, W., Zweifel, R., Buchmann, N., Kahmen, A.: Temperate tree species show identical response in tree water deficit but different sensitivities in sap flow to summer soil drying, Tree Physiology, 36(12), 1508-1519, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15255, 2016.
- 560 Brooks, J. R., Barnard, H. R., Coulombe, R., McDonnell, J. J.: Ecohydrologic separation of water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate, Nature Geoscience, 3(2), 100-104, https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO722, 2010.
 - Burba, G.: Eddy covariance method for scientific, industrial, agricultural and regulatory applications: A field book on measuring ecosystem gas exchange and areal emission rates, LI-COR Biosciences, Nebraska, USA, 2013.
 - Burgess, S. S., Adams, M. A., Turner, N. C., Beverly, C. R., Ong, C. K., Khan, A. A., Bleby, T. M.: An improved heat pulse method to
- measure low and reverse rates of sap flow in woody plants, Tree physiology, 21(9), 589-598, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/21.9.589, 2001.
 - Butz, P., Hölscher, D., Cueva, E., Graefe, S.: Tree water use patterns as influenced by phenology in a dry forest of Southern Ecuador, Frontiers in plant science, 9, 945, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00945, 2018.
- Chen, Y., Helliker, B. R., Tang, X., Li, F., Zhou, Y., Song, X.: Stem water cryogenic extraction biases estimation in deuterium isotope composition of plant source water, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(52), 33345-33350, www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10. 1073/pnas.2014422117, 2020.
 - Cunniff, J., Purdy, S. J., Barraclough, T. J., Castle, M., Maddison, A. L., Jones, L. E., Shield, I. F., Gregory, A. S., Karp, A.: High yielding biomass genotypes of willow (*Salix* spp.) show differences in below ground biomass allocation, Biomass and Bioenergy, 80, 114-127, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.04.020, 2015.
- 575 Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, Tellus, 16, 436-468, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v16i4.8993, 1964.
 Dawson, T. E., Ehleringer, J. R.: Streamside trees that do not use stream water, Nature, 350(6316), 335-337, https://www.nature.com/articles/ 350335a0, 1991.

- De Deurwaerder, H., Visser, M. D., Detto, M., Boeckx, P., Meunier, F., Kuehnhammer, K., Magh, R.-K., Marshall, J. D., Wang, L., Zhao, L., Verbeeck, H.: Causes and consequences of pronounced variation in the isotope composition of plant xylem water, Biogeosciences, 17(19), 4853-4870, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-4853-2020, 2020.
- Deutsche Stratigraphische Kommission (Hrsg.; Redaktion, Koordination und Gestaltung: Menning , M., Hendrich, A.): Stratigraphische Tabelle von Deutschland 2016, Potsdam (GeoForschungsZentrum), (1) Tafel plan 100 x 141 cm, (2) Tafel gefaltet A4, 2016.
- Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD): https://www.dwd.de/DE/leistungen/klimadatendeutschland/vielj_mittelwerte.html, last access: 16 November 2020a
- 585 Deutscher Wetterdienst (DWD): https://opendata.dwd.de/climate_environment/CDC/observations_germany/climate/daily/momo_precip/ recent/, last access: 16 November 2020b
 - Driescher, E., Behrendt, H., Schellenberger, G., Stellmacher, R.: Lake Müggelsee and its environment—natural conditions and anthropogenic impacts. Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie, 78(3), 327-343, 1993.
 - Dubbert, M., Piayda, A., Cuntz, M., Correia, A. C., Costa e Silva, F., Pereira, J. S., Werner, C.: Stable oxygen isotope and flux partitioning
- 590 demonstrates understory of an oak savanna contributes up to half of ecosystem carbon and water exchange, Frontiers in plant science, 5, 530, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00530, 2014.
 - Ehleringer, J. R., Dawson, T. E.: Water uptake by plants: perspectives from stable isotope composition, Plant, cell & environment, 15(9), 1073-1082, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1992.tb01657.x, 1992.

Evaristo, J., Jasechko, S., McDonnell, J. J.: Global separation of plant transpiration from groundwater and streamflow, Nature, 525(7567),

595 91-94, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14983, 2015.

580

- Friesen, J., Van Stan, J. T.: Early European observations of precipitation partitioning by vegetation: a synthesis and evaluation of 19th century findings, Geosciences, 9(10), 423, https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9100423, 2019.
- Gaj, M., Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., Wanke, H., Hamutoko, J., Himmelsbach, T.: *In situ* unsaturated zone water stable isotope (2 H and 18 O) measurements in semi-arid environments: a soil water balance, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(2), 715-731,
- 600 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-715-2016, 2016.
 - Gessler, A., Bächli, L., Freund, E.R., Treydte, K., Schaub, M., Haeni, M., Weiler, M., Seeger, S., Marshall, J., Hug, C., Zweifel, R., Hagedorn, F., Rigling, A., Saurer, M., Meusburger, K.: Drought reduces water uptake in beech form the drying topsoil, but no compensatory uptake occurs from deeper soil layers. New Phytologist, 233, 194-206, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17767, 2022.
- Goldsmith, G. R., Muñoz-Villers, L. E., Holwerda, F., McDonnell, J. J., Asbjornsen, H., Dawson, T. E.: Stable isotopes reveal linkages among ecohydrological processes in a seasonally dry tropical montane cloud forest, Ecohydrology, 5(6), 779-790, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.268, 2012.
 - Goldsmith, G. R., Allen, S. T., Braun, S., Engbersen, N., González-Quijano, C. R., Kirchner, J. W., Siegwolf, R. T.: Spatial variation in throughfall, soil, and plant water isotopes in a temperate forest, Ecohydrology, 12(2), e2059, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2059, 2019.
- Gralher, B., Herbstritt, B., Weiler, M., Wassenaar, L. I., Stumpp, C.: Correcting laser-based water stable isotope readings biased by carrier
 gas changes, Environmental science & technology, 50(13), 7074-7081, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01124, 2016.
 - Herbstritt, B., Gralher, B., Weiler, M.: Continuous *in situ* measurements of stable isotopes in liquid water, Water Resources Research, 48(3), https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011369, 2012.
 - Hertel, D., Strecker, T., Müller-Haubold, H., Leuschner, C.: Fine root biomass and dynamics in beech forests across a precipitation gradient-is optimal resource partitioning theory applicable to water-limited mature trees?, Journal of Ecology, 101(5), 1183-1200,
- 615 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12124, 2013.

640

- Jackson, P. C., Cavelier, J., Goldstein, G., Meinzer, F. C., Holbrook, N. M.: Partitioning of water resources among plants of a lowland tropical forest, Oecologia, 101(2), 197-203, https://www.jstor.org/stable/4220873, 1995.
- Jasechko, S., Sharp, Z. D., Gibson, J. J., Birks, S. J., Yi, Y., Fawcett, P. J.: Terrestrial water fluxes dominated by transpiration, Nature, 496(7445), 347-350, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11983, 2013.
 - Joshi, R., Wani, S. H., Singh, B., Bohra, A., Dar, Z. A., Lone, A. A., Pareek, A., Singla-Pareek, S. L.: Transcription factors and plants response to drought stress: current understanding and future directions, Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 1029, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01029, 2016.
- Kleine, L., Tetzlaff, D., Smith, A., Wang, H., Soulsby, C.: Using water stable isotopes to understand evaporation, moisture stress, and re wetting in catchment forest and grassland soils of the summer drought of 2018, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(7), 3737-3752, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-3737-2020, 2020.
 - Knighton, J., Kuppel, S., Smith, A., Soulsby, C., Sprenger, M., Tetzlaff, D.: Using isotopes to incorporate tree water storage and mixing dynamics into a distributed ecohydrologic modelling framework, Ecohydrology, 13(3), e2201, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2201, 2020.
- Koeniger, P., Marshall, J. D., Link, T., Mulch, A.: An inexpensive, fast, and reliable method for vacuum extraction of soil and
 plant water for stable isotope analyses by mass spectrometry, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 25(20), 3041-3048, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5198, 2011.
 - Kozii, N., Haahti, K., Tor-ngern, P., Chi, J., Hasselquist, E. M., Laudon, H., Launiainen, S., Oren, R., Peichl, M., Wallerman, J., Hasselquist, N. J.: Partitioning growing season water balance within a forested boreal catchment using sap flux, eddy covariance, and a process-based model, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(6), 2999-3014, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-2999-2020, 2020.
- 635 Kübert, A., Paulus, S., Dahlmann, A., Werner, C., Rothfuss, Y., Orlowski, N., Dubbert, M.: Water stable isotopes in ecohydrological field research: Comparison between *in situ* and destructive monitoring methods to determine soil water isotopic signatures, Frontiers in plant science, 11, 387, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00387, 2020.
 - Kühnhammer, K., Dahlmann, A., Iraheta, A., Gerchow, M., Birkel, C., Marshall, J. D., Beyer, M.: Continuous *in situ* measurements of water stable isotopes in soils, tree trunk and xylem: Field approval. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom. 36(5), e9232, ttps://doi.org/10.1002/rcm. 9232, 2022.
 - Kühnhammer, K., Kübert, A., Brüggemann, N., Deseano Diaz, P., van Dusschoten, D., Javaux, M., Merz, S., Vereecken, H., Dubbert, M., Rothfuss, Y.: Investigating the root plasticity response of Centaurea jacea to soil water availability changes from isotopic analysis, New Phytologist, 226(1), 98-110, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16352, 2020.
- Landwehr, J. M. M., Coplen, T. B.: Line-conditioned excess: A new method for characterizing stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios
 in hydrologic systems, International Conference on Isotopes in Environmental Studies, 132–135, International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), 2006.

Majoube, M.: Fractionnement en oxygène 18 et en deutérium entre l'eau et sa vapeur, Journal de Chimie Physique, 68, 1423-1436, 1971.

Marshall, D. C.: Measurement of sap flow in conifers by heat transport, Plant physiology, 33(6), 385, 1958.

- Marshall, J. D., Cuntz, M., Beyer, M., Dubbert, M., Kuehnhammer, K.: Borehole equilibration: testing a new method to monitor the isotopic
 composition of tree xylem water *in situ*, Frontiers in plant science, 11, 358, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00358, 2020.
- Martín-Gómez, P., Barbeta, A., Voltas, J., Peñuelas, J., Dennis, K., Palacio, S., Dawson, T. E., Ferrio, J. P.: Isotope-ratio infrared spectroscopy: a reliable tool for the investigation of plant-water sources?, New Phytologist, 207(3), 914-927, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13376, 2015.

IAEA/GNIP: Precipitation sampling guide V2.02, September 2014

- Marttila, H., Dudley, B. D., Graham, S., Srinivasan, M. S.: Does transpiration from invasive stream side willows dominate low-flow conditions? An investigation using hydrometric and isotopic methods in a headwater catchment, Ecohydrology, 11(2), e1930, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1930, 2017.
- McCutcheon, R. J., McNamara, J. P., Kohn, M. J., Evans, S. L.: An evaluation of the ecohydrological separation hypothesis in a semiarid catchment, Hydrological processes, 31(4), 783-799, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11052, 2017.
- McDonnell, J. J., McGuire, K., Aggarwal, P., Beven, K. J., Biondi, D., Destouni, G., Dunn, S., James, A., Kirchner, J., Kraft, P., Lyon, S., Maloszewski, P., Newman, B., Pfister, L., Rinaldo, A., Rodhe, A., Sayama, T., Seibert, J., Solomon, K., Soulsby, C., Stewart, M., Tetzlaff,
- 660 D., Tobin, C., Troch, P., Weiler, M., Western, A., Wörman, A., Wrede, S.: How old is streamwater? Open questions in catchment transit time conceptualization, modeling and analysis, Hydrological Processes, 24, 1745-1754, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1027, 2010.
- Mencuccini, M., Hölttä, T., Sevanto, S., Nikinmaa, E. (2013). Concurrent measurements of change in the bark and xylem diameters of trees reveal a phloem-generated turgor signal. New phytologist, 198(4), 1143-1154.Mennekes, D., Rinderer, M., Seeger, S., Orlowski, N.: Ecohydrological travel times derived from *in situ* stable water isotope measurements in trees during a semi–controlled pot experiment, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 1-34, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12224, 2021.
- Mennekes, D., Rinderer, M., Seeger, S., Orlowski, N.: Ecohydrological travel times derived from *in situ* stable water isotope measurements in trees during a semi-controlled pot experiment, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 1-34, https://doi.org/10.5194/ hess-2020-674, 2021.

Oerter, E. J., Bowen, G.: *In situ* monitoring of H and O stable isotopes in soil water reveals ecohydrologic dynamics in managed soil systems, Ecohydrology, 10(4), e1841, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1841, 2017.

OpenStreetMap contributors, www.openstreetmap.org/copyright, last access: 20. June 2020

Orlowski, N., Breuer, L., McDonnell, J. J.: Critical issues with cryogenic extraction of soil water for stable isotope analysis, Ecohydrology,

675 9(1), 1-5, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1722, 2016.

655

Paloschi, R. A., Ramos, D. M., Ventura, D. J., Souza, R., Souza, E., Morellato, L. P. C., Nóbrega, R. L. B., Coutinho, Í., A., C., Verhoef, A., Körting, T. S., Borma, L. D. S.: Environmental Drivers of Water Use for Caatinga Woody Plant Species: Combining Remote Sensing Phenology and Sap Flow Measurements, Remote Sensing, 13(1), 75, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13010075, 2021.

Parnell, A. C., Inger, R., Bearhop, S., Jackson, A. L.: Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too much variation, PloS one, 5(3), e9672, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009672, 2010.

Phillips, D. L., Gregg, J. W.: Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too many sources, Oecologia, 136(2), 261-269, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1218-3, 2003.

- 685 Rothfuss, Y., Biron, P., Braud, I., Canale, L., Durand, J. L., Gaudet, J. P., Richard, P., Vauclin, M., Bariac, T.: Partitioning evapotranspiration fluxes into soil evaporation and plant transpiration using water stable isotopes under controlled conditions, Hydrological processes, 24(22), 3177-3194, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7743, 2010.
 - Rothfuss, Y., Vereecken, H., Brüggemann, N.: Monitoring water stable isotopic composition in soils using gas-permeable tubing and infrared laser absorption spectroscopy, Water resources research, 49(6), 3747-3755, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20311, 2013.

<sup>Nehemy, M. F., Benettin, P., Asadollahi, M., Pratt, D., Rinaldo, A., McDonnell, J. J.: Tree water deficit and dynamic source water partitioning,
Hydrological Processes, 35(1), e14004, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14004, 2021.</sup>

Rossiter, D. G.: Classification of urban and industrial soils in the world reference base for soil resources (5 pp), Journal of Soils and Sediments, 7(2), 96-100, http://dx.doi.org/10.1065/jss2007.02.208, 2007.

- 690 Rothfuss, Y., Javaux, M.: Reviews and syntheses: Isotopic approaches to quantify root water uptake: a review and comparison of methods, Biogeosciences, 14(8), 2199-2224, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-2199-2017, 2017.
 - Schaeffer, S. M., Williams, D. G., Goodrich, D. C.: Transpiration of cottonwood/willow forest estimated from sap flux, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 105(1-3), 257-270, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(00)00186-6, 2000.
 - Schmidt, M., Maseyk, K., Lett, C., Biron, P., Richard, P., Bariac, T., Seibt, U.: Concentration effects on laser-based δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H measure-
- 695 ments and implications for the calibration of vapour measurements with liquid standards, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 24(24), 3553-3561, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4813, 2010.
 - Seeger, S., Weiler, M.: Temporal dynamics of tree xylem water isotopes: *in situ* monitoring and modelling, Biogeosciences Discussions, 1-41, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-35, 2021.
 - Smith, A.A. Tetzlaff, D. Dubbert, M. Dubbert, Landgraf, J. and Soulsby, C.: Modelling temporal variability of *in-situ* soil water and vegetation
- 700 isotopes reveals ecohydrological couplings in a riparian willow plot, Biogeosciences Discussions, 1-28, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-2785, 2021.
 - Snelgrove, J. R., Buttle, J. M., Kohn, M. J., Tetzlaff, D.: Co-evolution of xylem water and soil water stable isotopic composition in a northern mixed forest biome, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25(4), 2169-2186, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-2169-2021, 2021.
- Sohel, M. S. I., Grau, A. V., McDonnell, J. J., Herbohn, J.: Tropical forest water source patterns revealed by stable isotopes: A preliminary
 analysis of 46 neighboring species, Forest Ecology and Management, 494, 119355, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119355, 2021.
- Sprenger, M., Stumpp, C., Weiler, M., Aeschbach, W., Allen, S. T., Benettin, P., Dubbert, M., Hartmann, A., Hrachowitz, M., Kirchner, J. W., McDonnell, J. J., Orlowski, N., Penna, D., Pfahl, S., Rinderer, M., Rodriguez, N., Schmidt, M., Werner, C.: The demographics of water: A review of water ages in the critical zone. Reviews of Geophysics, 57(3), 800-834, https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2018RG000633, 2019.
- Sprenger, M., Allen, S. T.: What ecohydrologic separation is and where we can go with it, Water Resources Research, 56(7), e2020WR027238, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027238, 2020.
 - Stock, B. C., Jackson, A. L., Ward, E. J., Parnell, A. C., Phillips, D. L., Semmens, B. X.: Analyzing mixing systems using a new generation of Bayesian tracer mixing models, PeerJ, 6, e5096, https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5096, 2018.
 - Süßel, F., Brüggemann, W.: Tree water relations of mature oaks in southwest Germany under extreme drought stress in summer 2018, Plant Stress, 1, 100010, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stress.2021.100010, 2021.
- 715 Tetzlaff, D., Buttle, J., Carey, S. K., Kohn, M. J., Laudon, H., McNamara, J. P., Smith, A., Sprenger, M., Soulsby, C.: Stable isotopes of water reveal differences in plant-soil water relationships across northern environments, Hydrological Processes, 35(1), e14023, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14023, 2021.
 - Thompson, S. E., Harman, C. J., Heine, P., Katul, G. G.: Vegetation-infiltration relationships across climatic and soil type gradients, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115(G2), https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001134, 2010.
- 720 Volkmann, T. H., Weiler, M.: Continual *in situ* monitoring of pore water stable isotopes in the subsurface, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18(5), 1819-1833, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1819-2014, 2014.
 - Volkmann, T. H., Kühnhammer, K., Herbstritt, B., Gessler, A., Weiler, M.: A method for *in situ* monitoring of the isotope composition of tree xylem water using laser spectroscopy, Plant, Cell & Environment, 39(9), 2055-2063, https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12725, 2016.
 - Volkmann, T.H., Haberer, K., Gessler, A., Weiler, M. (2016b).: High-resolution isotope measurements resolve rapid ecohydrological dynam-
- res at the soil-plant interface, New Phytologist, 210, 839-849, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13868, 2016.

- von Freyberg, J., Allen, S. T., Grossiord, C., Dawson, T. E.: Plant and root-zone water isotopes are difficult to measure, explain, and predict: Some practical recommendations for determining plant water sources, Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 11(11), 1352-1367, https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13461, 2020.
- Wassenaar, L. I., Hendry, M. J., Chostner, V. L., Lis, G. P.: High resolution pore water δ^2 H and δ^{18} O measurements by H2O (liquid)- H2O
- (vapor) equilibration laser spectroscopy, Environmental science & technology, 42(24), 9262-9267, https://doi.org/10.1021/es802065s, 2008.
 - West, A. G., Patrickson, S. J., Ehleringer, J. R.: Water extraction times for plant and soil materials used in stable isotope analysis, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 20(8), 1317-1321, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2456, 2006.
- Williams, D. G., Cable, W., Hultine, K., Hoedjes, J. C. B., Yepez, E. A., Simonneaux, V., Er-Raki, S., Boulet, G., de Bruin, H. A. R.,
 Chehbouni, A., Hartogensis, O. K., Timouk, F.: Evapotranspiration components determined by stable isotope, sap flow and eddy covari-

ance techniques, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 125(3-4), 241-258, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2004.04.008, 2004.

- Yang, B., Wen, X., Sun, X.: Seasonal variations in depth of water uptake for a subtropical coniferous plantation subjected to drought in an East Asian monsoon region, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 201, 218-228, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.11.020, 2015.
 Zweifel, R., Zimmermann, L., Newbery, D. M.: Modeling tree water deficit from microclimate: an approach to quantifying drought stress,
- 740 Tree physiology, 25(2), 147-156, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/25.2.147, 2005.
- Zweifel, R.: Radial stem variations-a source of tree physiological information not fully exploited yet, Plant, Cell & Environment, 39(2), 231-232, https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12613, 2016.