Modelling groundwater recharge, actual evaporation and transpiration in semi-arid sites of the Lake Chad Basin: The role of soil and vegetation on groundwater recharge

4 Christoph Neukum, Angela Gabriela Morales Santos, Melanie Ronelngar, Sara Ines Vassolo

5 ¹Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources, Hannover, 30655, Germany

- 6 ² Institute for Soil Physics and Rural Water Management (SoPhy), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna,
- 7 1180, Austria
- 8 ³ Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources at Lake Chad Basin Commission, Ndjamena, Chad
- 9 Correspondence to: Christoph Neukum (christoph.neukum@bgr.de)

10 Abstract.

- 11 The Lake Chad Basin, located in the center of North Africa, is characterized by strong climate seasonality with a pronounced
- 12 short annual precipitation period and high potential evapotranspiration. Groundwater is an essential source for drinking water
- 13 supply as well as for agriculture and groundwater related ecosystems. Thus, assessment of groundwater recharge is very
- 14 important although difficult, because of the strong effects of evaporation and transpiration as well as limited available data.
- 15 A simple, generalized approach, which requires only a small number of field data, freely available remote sensing data, and
- 16 well-established concepts and models, is tested for assessing groundwater recharge in the southern part of the basin. This work
- 17 uses the FAO-dual K_c concept to estimate E and T coefficients at six locations that differ in soil texture, climate, and vegetation
- 18 conditions. Measured values of soil water content and chloride concentrations along vertical soil profiles at these locations
- 19 together with different scenarios for E and T partitioning and a Bayesian calibration approach are used to numerically simulate
- 20 water flow and chloride transport. Average potential groundwater recharge d the associated model uncertainty at the six
- 21 locations are assessed for the time-period 2003-2016
- 22 Model results show that interannual variability of groundwater recharge is generally hi phan the uncertainty of the modelled
- 23 groundwater recharge. Furthermore, the soil moisture dynamics at all locations are limited by water availability for evaporation
- 24 in the uppermost part of the soil and by water uptake in the root zone rather than by the reference evapotranspiration.

25 1 Introduction

- 26 The Lake Chad Basin (LCB) is one of the largest endorheic basins of the world with an area of ap
- 27 basin covers parts of Algeria, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Libya, Niger, Nigeria and Sudan. According to the
- 28 Lake Chad Basin Commission (LCBC, 2012), 45 million inhabitants are settled in the basin. The study areas Salamat and
- 29 Waza Logone are located in the southern part of the LCB, along the major tributary river to the Lake Chad (Figure 1), the
- 30 Chari-Logone river system, which accounts for around 80-90% of the Lake Chad inflow (Bouchez et al., 2016).



- 31 Groundwater is an important source for drinking water supply as well as for agriculture and groundwater related ecosystems
- 32 in the LCB. The Lake Chad, the rivers and the floodplains of the major rivers are characterized by strong seasonality, due to a
- 33 pronounced short annual precipitation period and high potential evapotranspiration. Groundwater recharge, evaporation,
- 34 transpiration, and the entire hydrological budget depend strongly on seasonality. However, the impact of transpiration as a
- 35 potentially significant process of the hydrological budget (Jasechko et al., 2013,) has so far rarely been taken into account
- $36 \quad (Bouchez et al., 2016) \bigcirc$
- 37 Many hydrological studies were published concerning the hydrological behaviour and budget of the Lake Chad, due to its 38 substantial and frequent open water surface changes and related consequences to the population and the environment (e.g. 39 Bouchez et al., 2016; Lemoalle et al., 2012; Olivry et al., 1996; Vuillaume, 1981). Another important topic associated to Lake 40 Chad is groundwater recharge by infiltration of lake water into the Quaternary aquifer, which was estimated by isotopes studies 41 (Fontes et al., 1969; Fontes et al., 1970; Gaultier, 2004; Zairi, 2008), by water and salt budgets (Bader et al., 2011; Carmouze, 42 1972; Roche, 1980) or hydrogeological models (Isihoro et al., 1996; Leblanc, 2002). Local scale studies focusing on the 43 hydrological processes in the vadose zone are largely missing in the LCB. Recently Tewolde et al. (2019) published a local 44 scale study using stable isotope and chloride concentrations in partly the same soil profiles used in this work.
- For vadose zone studies, partitioning evapotranspiration (ET) into its respective soil evaporation (E) and plant transpiration (T) components is crucial for process-based understanding of fluxes (Anderson et al., 2017). There are a number of measurement and modelling approaches that can be used to estimate E and T separately. Some of the measurements include micro-lysimeter, soil heat pulse probes, Bowen ratio, and Eddy covariance to determine E; and sap flow, chambers, and biomass-transpiration relationship to measure T (Kool et al., 2014). Evapotranspiration partitioning can also be estimated directly by using stable isotopes to assess the ratio between E and T (Wu et al. 2016). Stable isotopes were used in combination with Eddy covariance on semi-arid environments as well (Aouade et al., 2016).
- Anderson et al. (2017) reviewed some recent methodological developments for partitioning ET. These include micrometeorological approaches involving the flux variance partitioning of high-frequency Eddy covariance observations (Scanlon and Sahu, 2008, Palatella et al., 2014) and proxies for photosynthesis and transpiration such as measurements of isotopic fractionation (Griffis et al., 2010) and carbonyl sulfide uptake (Wohlfahrt et al., 2012). They also discussed the partitioning of energy balance between canopy and soil using remote sensing (Colaizzi et al., 2012; French et al., 2015).
- 57 The Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) published a model (Allen et al., 1998) that uses an
- empirically defined crop coefficient (Kc) in combination with a reference $ET(ET_0)$ to calculate crop evapotranspiration (ETc). There are two approaches for this method: single coefficient and dual crop coefficient. The FAO-dual Kc model is a validated
- 60 method for ET partitioning and the most commonly applied (Kool et al. 2014). It has been widely used with good results for
- 61 numerous crops under different conditions: e.g. wheat and maize in semi-arid regions (Shahrokhnia and Sepaskhah, 2013),
- 62 wheat in humid climate (Vieira et al., 2016), cherry trees in temperate continental monsoon climate (Tong et al., 2016), irrigated
- 63 eucalyptus (Alves et al., 2013), and canola in terrestrial climate (Majnooni-Heris et al., 2012).

64 Quantification of water fluxes in the vadose zone and linking atmospheric water and solute input at the upper boundary of the soil with water and solute fluxes at different soil depths is frequently implemented using different O f models. Numerical 65 models need information on vadose zone properties for accurate parametrization to link fluxes with state variables such as 66 67 unsaturated hydraulic conductivity and water retention curve. Estimation of effective soil hydraulic parameters, which are 68 valid at the modelling scale, might be laborious. Furthermore, parameter estimation might vary significantly depending on the 69 measurement method (Mertens et al., 2005), when water and solute fluxes dynamics are considered. Hydraulic and transport 70 parameters obtained from inverse modelling can be ambiguous, if multiple parameters are simultaneously considered and 71 boundary conditions are not well known. Combining different state variables of water flow and solute transport in one objective 72 function was found to be a strategy for appropriate parametrization (Groh et al., 2018, Sprenger et al., 2015) and for the 73 transient simulation of water and solute fluxes. However, large amount of data are necessary to obtain accurate estimates of 74 state variables, which are rarely available in remote areas of Africa, and measurement of related variables are associated with a huge effort in such environments. Pedotransfer functions (PTF) bridge available and needed data and are frequently used to 75 quantify soil parameters (van Looy et al., 2017; Vereecken et al., 2016). PTF strive to provide a balance between data accuracy 76 and availability (Vereecken et al., 2016). Since PTF usually do not consider soil structure, they will better for homogeneous 77 78 soils than for structured soils (Sprenger et al., 2015; Vereecken et al., 2010). 79 Recharge occurs even in the most arid regions, mainly due to concentration of surface flow and ponding with lateral and

80 vertical infiltration (Lloyd, 1986). Direct recharge by precipitation is possible in semi-arid regions, but intermittently, owing 81 to the fluctuations in the periodicity and volume of precipitation that is inherent to such regions (Lloyd, 2009). Scanlon et al. 82 (2006) synthesized recharge estimates for semiarid and arid regions globally. They found that recharge is sensitive to land use 83 and cover changes, hence management of such changes are necessary to control recharge. Moreover, they state that average 84 recharge rates in semi-arid and arid regions range from 0.2 to 35 mm yr⁻¹, representing 0.1 to 5 % of long-term average annual 85 precipitation. Edmunds et al. (2002) estimated direct recharge rates from precipitation in the Manga Grasslands in NE Nigeria (western LCB) at rates between 16 mm year⁻¹ and 30 mm yr⁻¹. Using the same method, they appraised the regional direct 86 87 recharge for north Nigeria at 43 mm year⁻¹, which highlights the importance of infiltration from precipitation to the 88 groundwater table at regional scale. Recently, Cuthbert et al. (2019) investigated the relationship between precipitation and 89 recharge in sub-Saharan Africa using multidecadal hydrographs. They found that focused recharge predominates in arid areas 90 and is mainly controlled by intense rainfall and flooding events. Intense precipitation, even during years of lower annual 91 precipitation, results in some of the largest years of recharge in dry subtropical locations.

The Chloride Mass Balance (CMB) approach is a widely used technique for estimating groundwater recharge. Edmunds and Gaye (1994) used interstitial water chloride profiles from the unsaturated zone, in combination with measurements of chemical parameters from dug wells samples, to calculate groundwater recharge in the Sahel (mean annual rainfall 1970-1990 around 280 mm). A recharge rate of 13 mm year⁻¹ over the studied area was obtained. They conclude that it is an inexpensive technique, which can be applied in many arid and semi-arid areas. Tewolde et al. (2019) applied the CMB on soil profiles of the LCB,

97 which are partly used in this study. They estimated generally lower annual recharge in Salamat (3 to 19 mm year⁻¹) compared

- to Waza Logone (50 to 118 mm year⁻¹). Among others, one major difficulty of CMB is the choice representative chloride
 concentration for soils, particularly those with a strong vertical chloride concentration variability.
- 100 In general, time series of relevant data for estimating groundwater recharge is scarce in the LCB. A simple, generalized
- and models is tested for assessing groundwater recharge in the semi-arid part of the LCB. This work uses the FAO-dual K_c

approach, which requires only a small number of field data, freely available remote sensing data, and well-established concepts

- 103 concept to estimate E and T coefficients at six locations, which differ in soil texture, climate, and vegetation conditions.
- 104 Measured values of soil water content and chloride concentrations along vertical soil profiles at these locations are used
- 105 together with different scenarios for E and T partitioning and a Bayesian calibration approach to numerically simulate water
- 106 flow and chloride transport. Average potential groundwater recharges and the associated model uncertainty at the six locations
- 107 are assessed for the time-period 2003 6.

108 2 Data and methods

109 **2.1 Study sites**

101

The LCB is a Mesozoic basin and a major part of its geology comprises sedimentary formations from the Tertiary and Quaternary periods (LCBC, 1993). The Quaternary sediments form a continuous layer of fluviatile, lacustrine and aeolian sands. These medium to fine-grained sands act as an unconfined transboundary aquifer, as do the rest of the aquifers in the LCB, and are isolated from underlying aquifers by a thick layer of Pliocene clay (Leblanc et al., 2007; Vassolo, 2009). The Tertiary formation (Continental Terminal) consists of sandstones and argillaceous sands and is a classic example of a confined aquifer system that becomes artesian in the surroundings of the Lake Chad (Ngatcha et al., 2008). The availability of water from precipitation as well as the deposition characteristics of the aquifer play an important role in the aquifer recharge of the

- 117 upper unconfined sands (Vassolo, 2009).
- 118 The study sites (Figure 1, Table 1) are located in the floodplains Waza Logone and Salamat in the southern Sahel subzone. In
- 119 the Salamat region, millet and sorghum are grown with trees such as Acacia albida, A. scorpioides and A. sieberana present
- 120 along the margins of the floodplains (Bernacsek et al., 1992). In the Waza Logone area, the vegetation is classified according
- 121 to the duration of submersion, being the grass savannahs flooded for longer periods of time (Batello et al., 2004).

122 2.2 Climate data

- 123 Monthly precipitation and potential evapotranspiration data from 1970 to 2019 for the specific sites in Salamat and Waza
- 124 Logone are extracted from the CRUTS 4 database (Harris et al. 2020). The potential evapotranspiration is calculated using the
- 125 Penman-Monteith method and is considered herein as the reference evapotranspiration (ET₀). The wind speed values at 10 m
- 126 above ground for Salamat and Waza Logone were obtained from Didane et al. (2017). To adjust these values for 2 m above
- 127 ground, a correction factor of 0.7479 was applied, based on a logarithmic wind speed profile (Allen et al., 1998).

- Average annual precipitation in Salamat and Waza Logone are 807 mm and 709 mm, respectively. The rainy season is typically 128
- 129 from May to September with maximum precipitations in July and August. Overall, Waza Logone presents higher ET_0 (169
- mm month⁻¹) than Salamat (144 mm month⁻¹). Average annual values of ET₀ are 1718 mm in Salamat and 2011 mm in Waza 130 131 Logone, exceeding annual precipitation by more than twice. However, during the peak of the rainy season (July to September)
- 132 the monthly water balance is positive. The average water balance for July until September between 2003 and 2016 is $131 \pm$ 101 mm month⁻¹ and 90 ± 63 mm month⁻¹ for Salamat and Waza Logone, respectively (Figure 2).
- 133
- Chloride conce Control of precipitation were measured in 42 samples collected in N'Djamena and Waza-Logone areas between 134 2014 2020 for different precipitation events and stages of the rainy season. The precipitation was sampled using a 135 136 Hellmann rainwater collector in N'Djamena. Average chloride concentration in May is 2.5 ± 2.3 mg/l (3 samples). Precipitation in June to September have sug pively lower chloride concentrations declining from 0.6 ± 0.3 mg/l to 0.26 ± 0.12 mg/l and 137 0.38 ± 0.14 mg/l at the end of the season. Strong rain events in July and August have chloride concentrations between 0.2 and 138 139 0.3 mg/l. The annual wet Chloride deposition sums to 1.8 ± 0.2 kg/ha. Dry deposition of chloride is estimated between 10 -140 30% of wet deposition (Bouchez et al. 2019). The measured values are in the range of published data (Goni et al. 2001, Gebru 141 and Tesfahunegn, 2019). However, not a initial samples could be analyzed for chloride concentration, due to limited sample amount. This is particular true for minor events with low precipitation amounts at the very beginning of the rainy season. 142

143 2.3 Soil and vegetation data

At each study site, vertical soil profiles are d d using a hand auger. In Salamat, soil profiles were sampled in 2016 and 144 145 2019. In Waza Logone soil samples were sampled in 2017 only, due to security reasons in 2019. Each of the soil profiles were fraction into 10 cm intervals and filled into headspace glass vials and plastic bags. Each soil fraction was tested for grain 146 size distribution using sieving and sedimentation standard procedures, resident chloride concentration, and gravimetric water 147 148 content. Chloride concentration was analyzed after aqueous extraction from oven dried (105°C for 24 hours) soil samples in 149 the plastic bags following the standard guideline DIN EN 12457-1 (Tewolde, 2017). The gravimetric water content was 150 converted into volumetric water content using typical bulk densities for the different soil types and locations (Global Soil Data 151 Task Group, 2000). The type of vegetation and the annual cycle of crops, length of the flooding period, and vegetation 152 throughout the dry period were mapped during field work and documented by surveying resident population. In addition, 153 MODIS vegetation indices data (Didan, 2015) were used to justify the documented annual cycle of phenology (Figure 3).

154 2.4 Partitioning of evaporation and transpiration

- 155 The calculation of reference evapotranspiration ET_0 as well as crop evapotranspiration (ET_c) and its partitioning into potential evaporation and transpiration is based on the dual crop coefficient (Kc) method (Allen et al., 1998). The approach requires two 156 different coefficients the basal crop coefficient (Kcb) that describes plant transpiration and the soil water evaporation 157 158 coefficient (Ke) that depicts evaporation from the soil surface. Kcb is defined as the ratio of the crop evapotranspiration over
- 159 the reference evapotranspiration (ET_c/ET₀), when the soil surface is dry and transpiration occurs at a potential rate (i.e., water

- 160 does not limit transpiration). Ke describes the evaporation component of ET_c. When the topsoil is wet, Ke is maximal, but
- 161 diminishes with drying out of topsoil to become zero, if no water remains near the soil surface for evaporation. The so
- 162 dual Kc is the sum of Kcb and Ke. The parameters required for the estimation of ET_c are the reference evapotranspiration
- 163 (ET₀), the basal crop coefficient (Kcb) and the evaporation coefficient (Ke):
- 164 $ET_c = ET_0 * K_c = ET_0 * (K_{cb} + K_e)$,

(2)

- 165 Onsite information on vegetation and phenology, such as month of planting, full emergence of crop, and harvesting was used
- 166 to define the monthly variation of vegetation at the study sites. These different vegetation periods were combined with crop
- 167 specific Kcb information for sorghum and grass provided in Allen et al. (1998). Following Allen et al. (1998), the coefficients
- 168 Kcb-mid and Kcb-end were adjusted to comply with the local semi-arid climate in Salamat and Waza Lagone. Monthly Kcb
- 169 values for acacia were estimated based on the work of Do and Rocheteau (2003) and Do et al. (2008).
- 170 Site-specific estimated monthly variation of ground cover and flooding periods with ranges of crop coefficient (Kcb), soil
- 171 water evaporation coefficient (Ke), and root depth is provided in Table S1.

172 2.5 Modelling water flow and solute transport

173 2.5.1 Model concept, setup, and initial conditions

174 The chloride profiles measured in soil represent the input history for water and solute budget from past precipitation events, 175 which can be estimated by transient water flow and solute transport modelling. The model concept assumes that atmospheric 176 chloride input is restricted to solute in precipitation and that the chloride concentration profile results from solute enrichment in the soil, due to evaporation and transpiration. A precise parametrization of the unsaturated flow and transport model and a 177 178 robust quantification of groundwater recharge are not possible with the available data and hence cannot be the scope of this study. However, the model results est est groundwater recharge magnitude and variability based on information regarding 179 180 soil texture and vegetation as well as associated results uncertainty. This approach is appropriate for locations with limited 181 availability of long-term soil water measurements.

182 The Hydrus-1D software package was used to simulate transient water flow and solute transport in the six variably saturated soil profiles. Hydrus-1D numerically solves the Richards (1931) equation for variably-saturated water flow and advection-183 dispersion equations for heat and solute transport (Šimůnek et. al, 2009). The processes simulated in the six soils were water 184 flow, solute transport, and root water uptake for a defined period subdivided in monthly ps. The calculations ended at the 185 soil sampling time (December 2016 and July 2019 for Salamat and June 2017 for Waza Logone). Root growth was considered 186 187 in all the profiles except for ST2, in which the roots of the acacia trees were distributed along the whole profile and assumed invariant over the simulation period. Because the initial conditions of soil moisture and resident chloride concentration are 188 189 unknown, arbitrary values were adopted. To account for the different residence times of water and chloride, due to different 190 degrees of evapotranspiration and unknown initial conditions, the models encompass different calculation time periods: ST1, ST2, WL1 and WL2 start in 1990 whereas ST3 in 2010 and WL3 in 1 💬 To adequately estimate the initial conditions and 191



192 reduce the computation time for calibration, a burn-in period of 8 reduce the considered for ST1 and ST2. All profiles were

193 discretized into 101 nodes and different horizons according to the soils types interpreted from the individual grain size

194 distributions.

195 **2.5.2 Water flow**

196 For calculation of water retention and unsaturated hydraulic conductivity functions, the Mualem-van Genuchten (MVG) model

197 (van Genuchten, 1980) was applied. The initial parametrization of these functions was realized using pedotransfer functions 198 implemented in Rosetta (Schaap et al., 2001), which is a dynamically linked library coupled to Hydrus-1D. The input 199 parameters for each profile were the percentages of sand, silt, clay, and bulk density at several depths. Whenever several

- 200 consecutive layers of a profile showed almost the same grain distribution (texture), the layers were lumped in one, parameter 201 averages were used in the model, and the measured soil moisture profiles were considered ind vely. The tortuosity 202 parameter l [-] of the MVG was set to 0.5 in accordance Mualem (1976).
- 203 The upper boundary condition was defined as variable atmospheric condition with surface runoff, whereas the lower boundary
- 204 condition was set to zero-gradient with free drainage of water for all profiles, except WL3. At WL3 confined groundwater
- 205 conditions prevailed below the confining clay layer encountered at 3.9 m depth. Groundwater was Carbona at 3.9 m depth, but
- 206 rapidly rose to 2.6 m below surface. Consequently, a constant head condition was implemented at that depth.

207 2.5.3 Root water uptake and root growth

The sink term *S* in the Richards equation, defined by Feddes et al. (1978) as the volume of water removed from a unit volume of soil per unit time due to plant water uptake, was considered in all soil profiles according to the prevailing vegetation (Table S1). The Feddes' default parameters for grass were used in ST03 and Waza Logone profiles. In ST01, corn parameters were selected, since sor the data is not available. Sorghum and corn roots extract water from approximately the same soil depths and have similar average root density distribution, in comparison with other crops, e.g. soybeans (Righes, 1980). In the case of acacia in ST2, the adopted parameters correspond to deciduous trees.

For sorghum in ST1, an average root depth of 1 m was adopted for the initial and end seasons, and 2 m for development and mid seasons. In ST3 and WL2, the vegetation was defined as grass, while in WL1 and WL3 as grasslands with a flooding period. Rooting depths values used in these sites range from 0.1 to 0.5, depending on the growth stage of grass. Monthly variations were specified as time variable boundary conditions. The median maximum rooting depth value of annual grass in

218 water-limited ecosystems is 0.37 m with a 95 % of confidence in an interval of 0.26-0.55 m (Schenk and Jackson, 2002).

219 For ST2, the root depth of the acacia tree was considered to be constant over the simulation time with maximum root

7

220 distribution at 0.5 m and decreasing distribution down to 2 m (Beyer et al., 2016).

221 2.5.4 Solute transport

222 The chloride concentration in soil water was simulated using an equilibrium advection-dispersion model implemented in

223 Hydrus1-D. Hydrodynamic dispersion was implemented considering dispersivity values of 10 % of the individual layer

thickness in the soil model, a molecular diffusion coefficient of 1.3 x 10⁻⁹ m²s⁻¹, and a tortuosity factor as defined by Millington

and Quirk (1961). Adopted dispersivity values are with the reported ranges between 0.8 cm and 20 cm (Vanderborght and

226 Vereecken 2007, Stumpp et al, 2009, 2012).

- 227 A third type (Cauchy) boundary condition was applied to the upper and a zero-gradient boundary condition to the lower
- 228 boundary. The transient liquid phase concentration of the infiltrating water follows measured chloride concentration in
- 229 precipitation sampled in N'Djamena. Chloride concentration of ponding water in Salamat ranges between 2.5 mg/l and 25
- 230 mg/l with an average of 9 mg/l (n=4).

231 2.5.5 Crop evapotranspiration scenario definition

Simulated crop evaporation scenarios and their individual descriptions are provided in Table 2. Parameter ranges for minimum,
 maximum Kcb and Ke factors are listed in Table S1. The average corresponds to the average value calculated from minimum
 and maximum factors.

235 2.5.6 Bayesian model calibration

Based on the crop evapotranspiration scenarios, the models were calibrated using a Bayesian calibration referenced by the soil moisture and chloride concentration profiles. We implemented the sum of likelihood functions for soil moisture and chloride concentration to calculate the log-likelihood of a simulation given the observations and standard deviations at each calibration step. The posteriori parameter distribution was estimated using the Differential Evolution Markov Chain Monte-Carlo (DEzs) algorithm with three sub-chains (ter Braak and Vrugt, 2008) implemented in the R package BayesianTools (Hartig et al. 2019).

- 241 The number of iterations was defined individually according to a Gelman-Rubin reduction factor \leq 1.2.
- 242 The calibration was implemented with scaling factors ranging from 0.75 to 1.25 for the MVG parameters saturated volumetric
- 243 water content, alpha, and n individually as well as chloride concentration and transpiration to account for observed variabilities.
- 244 However, ranges for MVG model part \bigcirc ern were constraint to n > 1.01. Log-transformed saturated hydraulic conductivity
- 245 for each layer were considered with ranges from -0.5 to 0.5. The scaling factor for transpiration was used as divisor for
- evaporation simultaneously to remain within the calculated rate of ET₀. From all accepted model runs, 100 were randomly

8

247 selected at each individual location to evaluate average model results and standard deviations.

248 3 Results

249 **3.1 Grain size distribution**

250 Soil textures were defined based on grain size distributions of the six profiles (Figure 4) according to the US Department of 251 Agriculture soil texture triangle. Most of them are fine-grained soils (clay, sandy clay) and fine-grained soils with mit parts 252 sand and loam. Only soil profile ST03 is dominated by sand and sandy clay loam.

253 3.2 Model parametrization

254 The calibrated parametrization of the MVG model for each layer of the six sampling locations are plausible in general (Table 3). The posterior distributions of the Bayesian calibration show the sensitive parameters of the model fit. For ST1, these are 255 256 the model parameters n, saturated water content, chloride concentration, and the fraction of transpiration in evapotranspiration. 257 The saturated hydraulic conductivity is less sensitive (Fig. S1). For ST2, the sensitivities of the model parameters are similar with the saturated hydraulic conductivity of the upper layer being sensitive and the influence of chloride concentration being 258 less significant (Fig. S2) compared to the other locations. The model fits of the data from site ST3 are generally insensitive. 259 260 Only the model parameters alpha, n, and saturated hydraulic conductivity of the upper layer and chloride concentration in precipitation show tighter posteriori distributions (Fig. S3). For the model for site WL1, the model parameters n of layers 1, 2, 261 and 3 and as well as the saturated water content of layers 3 and 5, and subordinately of layer 4, are sensitive. The parameters 262 alpha for layers 1 to 5 and the chloride concentration and fraction of transpiration are hardly sensitive in the range of the prior 263 264 distribution (Fig. S4). For the model for WL2, the parameters n of all layers, the saturated hydraulic conductivity of layer 3, and the saturated water content of layers 2 and 3 are sensitive (Fig. S5). For WL3, the saturated water contents of layer 2 and 265 266 as well as the saturated hydraulic conductivity of layers 1 and 2 and the fraction of transpiration in evapotranspiration are 267 sensitive (Fig. S6).

268

269 **3.3** Soil water content, chloride concentration and groundwater recharge

270 Measured and simulated water content and chloride concentration profiles for individual scenarios are shown in Fig. 5. The 271 average root mean squared error (RMSE) of simulated water content for all individual scenarios ranges from 0.02 to 0.06 (Table 4). In general, the models reproduce well the water content and chloride concentrations. However, the 272 273 measured soil water content to simulated water content is considerable for the models ST1 and partly ST2. The models do not represent the high chloride concentrations in the uppermost part of soil profiles for ST3, WL1, and WL2. The standard 274 275 deviations in chloride concentration of the randomly selected model runs are exceptionally high in the lower part of ST2 that corresponds to the poor sensitivity of the chloride concentration at the upper boundary and the comparably wide range of 276 277 measured chloride concentration in ponding water in the Salamat region (2.5 - 25 mg/l).

278

279 The interannual variability of modelled groundwater recharge differs considerably among locations (Figure 6, Table 5). In

280 general, interannual groundwater recharge variability depends on vegetation and soil texture with related water retention

281 capacity. Vegetation with deep roots on soil with comparably high water retention capacity have a higher interannual

variability, e.g. ST1, ST2 where recharge occurs only in years with high precipitation. Fine textured soils with shallow rooting

283 vegetation have an intermediate variability (WL1, WL2, and WL3), where years without recharge occur only during drought

284 periods. The coarser textured soils with grass cover has low interannual recharge variability (ST3) and recharge occurs each

year. Years with high precipitation, e.g. 2006, 2007, and 2008 in Waza Logone as well as 2010 in Salamat, project strong

286 groundwater recharge.

The highest recharge was calculated for ST3 in Salamat, where on one hand water balance during the rainy season (July-September) is higher compared to the Waza Logone region and on the other hand, shallow rooting vegetation on comparably coarse soil texture with low water retention capacity and higher hydraulic conductivity prevail. The other locations in Salamat have lower calculated annual recharge, due to deep rooting vegetation and higher soil water retention capacity. The impact of soil texture on annual groundwater recharge becomes apparent by comparing the three location in Waza Logone with the same vegetation on soils with different water retention capacities and hydraulic conductivities. In general, groundwater recharge expressed as fraction of precipitation is below 8 % (Table 5). Only at ST3, a comparably high fraction of app. \bigcirc is estimated.

294

295 Chloride concentration and water budget of the soils over the modelled time-period are rather unstable and differ for the six 296 locations. At location ST2 with clay loam soil covered by Acacia and grass, accumulation of chloride takes place over several 297 years, due to the high transpiration related to the effective field capacity (Figure 7). However, in high precipitation periods, 298 most of the accumulated chloride is leached to groundwater and soil concentration diminishes. It should be noted that at this 299 site, the measured chloride concentrations cannot be reconstructed if only input via precipitation is considered. The measured 300 profile can only be plausibly modelled with an additional input via ponding water. Chloride input at the upper boundary is 301 consequently much higher at ST2 compared to the other locations considered in this study.

At location ST3, the chloride accumulation is much lower compared to the other locations. Chloride budget is controlled by the fast groundwater recharge response to precipitation, which flushes chloride from the soil towards groundwater annually. The majority of chloride infiltrated with precipitation remains in the vadose zone over years and is leached towards groundwater mainly during years with precipitation or water infiltration above threshold values (Figure 7). Chloride accumulation is highest in profiles with precipitation and high effective field capacity (ST1, WL1, and WL3).

307 3.4 Evaporation and transpiration

308 The transpiration amount depends on the availability of water in the root zone and the type of vegetation cover. At ST1, annual

309 transpiration presents two peaks: one related to sorghum and the other to grass (Figure 8). At each location and in every

310 simulation year, soil water content in the root zone reaches the wilting point defined by the specific parametrization of the root

311 water uptake model.



- 312 The actual evaporation rate depends mainly on the availability of water in the upper soil zone (Table 6). Clay and clay-loam
- 313 with relatively high water storativity have larger amounts of evaporated water compared to sand and loam soils. During dry
- 314 seasons, the uppermost part of the soils dries up annually, which restricts evaporation strongly.
- 315 Actual evapotranspiration is lower than the reference evapotranspiration most of the year. During and shortly after the rainy
- 316 season, when sufficient soil water is available, actual evapotranspiration is comparable to or higher than ET₀ depending on the
- 317 vegetation.

318 4 Discussion

319 Soil texture information is helpful to limit possible MVG parameter ranges while searching for realistic parameter sets 320 (Sprenger et al. 2015). However, poor representation of soil moisture dynamic using MVG parameters derived O ROSETTA are reported (Sprenger et al. 2015) and indications are given that soil structure has to be taken into account (vereecken et al. 321 322 2010), especially for soils where high rock content influences water flow due to inherent heterogeneity (Sprenger et al. 2015). 323 The soils at the locations considered in this study belong to Quaternary sediments in the Lake Chad basin and heterogeneity, due to rock fragments is largely absent. Furthermore, soil moisture dynamics over the year is much higher in soils of flooding 324 planes compared to soils from the more humid regions in the south, where precipitation althous arge occurs over 4-5 months 325 326 and lacks over the rest of the year. It is expected that high soil moisture dynamics, rather homogeneous soils, and the monthly 327 resolution of climate data result in minor impact of soil structure on MVG parametrization and groundwater recharge as shown in chor 3.2. Soil moisture dynamics at all locations considered in this study are limited by water availability for evaporation 328 in the uppermost part of the soil and by water uptake in the root zone, but not by the reference evapotranspiration. However, 329 330 time resolution of precipitation and evapotranspiration data is monthly and the models probably underestimate soil moisture 331 dynamics.

332 Calculated chloride concentrations for the soil profiles give indications of appropriate MVG parametrization as well as 333 evaporation and transpiration partitioning. However, uncertainty of chloride input and its transient variability in particular is expressed in rather wide and partly bimodal distribution of the scaling factor (sc Conc) included in the calibration (Figures 334 335 S1-S6 in supplement material). On one hand, measured chloride concentration in precipitation are in agreement with other 336 studies in central Africa (Goni et al. 2001, Gebru and Tesfahunegn, 2019) and its transient behavior within the rainy season is 337 considered in the applied model. On the other hand, impact of dry deposition is unknown, because of data scarcity and potential 338 lateral flow of periodical flooding. Furthermore, due to the monthly resolution of the atmospheric boundary condition, extreme rain events that cause surface runoff cannot be reflected in the model. The variability of chloride concentration in some of the 339 soil profiles, which cannot be completely reproduced by the model, indicates either a higher var pity of chloride input and/or 340 a larger variability in soil physics. 341

- Bouchez et al. (2019) identified a chloride deficit between deposition and river export in the Chari-Logone river system of 88 % (only 12 % of the deposited chloride is exported via river water). They refer to the chemical memory effect, which can
 - 11

344 play an important role in arid regions. Our simulations show the importance of the vadose zone for storage of chloride over

345 longer periods of time, which explains on one hand the fate of chloride in the basin and confirms the chemical memory effect.

346 In this context, it must be noted that the thickness of the vadose zone at the locations considered in this studies is between 4

347 and 21 m, where important amounts of chloride can be potentially stored leading to a strong delay of the chemical signal from

348 precipitation to groundwater.

349 5 Conclusions

350 The quantitative estimation of groundwater recharge in the LCB is difficult, due to the scarce data availability and the expected 351 low recharge quantities. Estimation of low recharge amounts in arid and semi-arid areas are usually ambiguous, because the immanent measurement inaccuracies lead to uncertainties during data processing and modelling. Quantification of water and 352 353 solute fluxes in the vadose zone is often implemented using long-term time series of soil moisture, pressure heads, and 354 concentration data in combination with appropriate models. Monitoring of soil moisture and solute concentration over longer 355 periods at different depths and sites is difficult in the LCB, due to limited infrastructural prerequisites and challenging climatically boundary conditions. The presented approach combines soil moisture and chloride concentration quantified along 356 357 vertical soil profiles in different locations within the LCB with numerical models and freely accessible data, while considering 358 data uncertainty. Although modelling results of soil water content and chloride concentration deviate considerably from measured values for some profiles, their magnitudes agree largely. This is especially important for chloride concentration in 359 360 the middle and deeper parts of the profiles, where seasonal effects are mainly averaged. Thus, the estimates of soil water 361 balance and especially of groundwater recharge as well as the adopted soil physical parameters are plausible.

Groundwater recharge values estimated in this study are different to those published in Tewolde et al. (2019). This is due to 362 the more extensive availability of chloride concentration data in precipitation available for this study. In addition, Tewolde et 363 al. (2019) roughly estimated one value of saturated porosity for each profile. Because this parameter is rather sensitive in the 364 365 Bayesian calibration, several values along each of the profiles were considered in this study. In contrast to the assessment of groundwater recharge with the chloride balance method (Tewolde et al. 2019), the method used here allows not only estimates 366 of mean recharge, but also its interannual dynamics, variability, and the classification of the uncertainties of the input data and 367 modelling. The interannual variability of groundwater recharge is generally higher than the uncertainty of the modelled 368 369 groundwater recharge. The soil moisture dynamics at all locations considered in this study are limited by water availability for 370 evaporation in the uppermost part of the soil and by water uptake in the root zone and not by the reference evapotranspiration. 371 Upscaling of the results to larger areas must be interpreted with caution since the considered combinations of soils and 372 vegetation probably do not cover all combinations present in Salamat and Waza Logone regions.

373 Author contribution

- 374 M.R. conducted fieldwork; A.G.M.S. and C.N. conducted modelling and interpretation; C.N. and S.V. design the study and
- 375 conducted writing. All authors contributed to the discussion of results and commente manuscript.

376 Acknowledgement

- 377 This study was conducted within the framework of the technical cooperation project "Lake Chad Basin Management of
- 379 Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR). The technical project is funded by the German Federal Ministry for Economic

Groundwater Resources" jointly executed by the Lake Chad Basin Commission (LCBC) and the German Federal Institute for

380 Cooperation and Development (BMZ).

381 References

378

- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Dirk, R., and Smith, M.: Crop evapotranspiration: Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. Rome, Italy. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2010.12.001, 1998.
- 384 Alves, M. E. B., Mantovani, E. C., Sediyama, G. C., & Neves, J. C. L. (2013). Estimate of the crop coefficient for Eucalyptus
- 385 cultivated under irrigation during initial growth. Cerne, 19(2), 247–253. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-77602013000200008
- 386 Anderson, R. G., Zhang, X., and Skaggs, T. H.: Measurement and Partitioning of Evapotranspiration for Application to Vadose
- 387 Zone Studies. Vadose Zone Journal, 16(13), 0. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2017.08.0155, 2017
- 388 Aouade, G., Ezzahar, J., Amenzou, N., Er-Raki, S., Benkaddour, A., Khabba, S., and Jarlan, L.: Combining stable isotopes,
- Eddy Covariance system and meteorological measurements for partitioning evapotranspiration, of winter wheat, into soil
 evaporation and plant transpiration in a semi-arid region. Agricultural Water Management, 177, 181–192.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGWAT.2016.07.021, 2016.
- 392 Bader, J., Lemoalle, J., and Leblanc, M.: Modèle hydrologique du Lac Tchad, Hydrolog. Sci. J., 56, 411–425, 2011.
- 393 Batello, C., Marzot, M., and Harouna Touré, A.: The future is an ancient lake: Traditional knowledge, biodiversity and genetic
- 394 resources for food and agriculture in the Lake Chad basin ecosystems. FAO Interdepartmental Working Group on Biological
- 395 Diversity for Food and Agriculture, Rome, 2004.
- Bernacsek, G. M., Hughes, J. S., and Hughes, R. H. (Ed.): A directory of African wetlands. International Union for the
 Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, 1992.
- 398 Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., and Himmelsbach, T.: Constraining water uptake depths in semi-arid environments using stable water
- 399 isotopes Results & Discussion. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.56159, 2016.
- 400 Bouchez, C, Deschamps P., Goncalves J, Hamelin B, Nour AM, Vallet-Coulomb C & Sylvestre F: Water transit time and
- 401 active recharge in the Sahel inferred by bomb-produced 36Cl. Nature, scientific reports, 9: 7465, (2019).

- 402 Bouchez, C., Goncalves, J., Deschamps, P., Vallet-Coulomb, C., Hamelin, B., Doumnang, J.C., Sylvestre, F.: Hydrological,
- 403 chemical, and isotopic budgets of Lake Chad: a quantitative assessment of evaporation, transpiration and infiltration fluxes,
- 404 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 1599–1619, 2016.
- 405 Carmouze, J.-P.: Originalité de la régulation saline du lac Tchad, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série D:
 406 Sciences Naturelles, 275, 1871–1874, 1972.
- 407 Colaizzi, P. D., Kustas, W. P., Anderson, M. C., Agam, N., Tolk, J. A., Evett, S. R., ... O'Shaughnessy, S. A.: Two-source
- 408 energy balance model estimates of evapotranspiration using component and composite surface temperatures. Advances in
- 409 Water Resources, 50, 134–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.06.004, 2012.
- 410 Cuthbert, M. O., Taylor, R.G., Favreau, G. et al.: Observed controls on resilience of groundwater to climate variability in sub-
- 411 Saharan Africa. Nature, 572: 230-234, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1441-7, 2019.
- 412 Didan, K.: MOD13Q1 MODIS/Terra Vegetation Indices 16-Day L3 Global 250m SIN Grid V006. NASA EOSDIS Land
- 413 Processes DAAC. https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD13Q1.006, 2015.
- 414 Didane, D. H., Rosly, N., Zulkafli, M. F., and Shamsudin, S. S.: Evaluation of wind energy potential as a power generation
- 415 source in Chad. International Journal of Rotating Machinery, vol. 2017, Article ID 3121875, 10 pp, 2017.
- 416 Do, F. and Rocheteau, A.: Cycle annuel de transpiration d'Acacia raddiana par la mesure des flux de sève brute (Nord-Sénégal).
- 417 In Un arbre au désert : Acacia raddiana (pp. 119–142). Paris, (2003).
- 418 Do, F. C., Rocheteau, A., Diagne, A. L., Goudiaby, V., Granier, A., and Lhomme, J. P.: Stable annual pattern of water use by
 419 Acacia tortilis in Sahelian Africa. Tree Physiology, 28(1), 95–104. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/28.1.95, 2008.
- 420 Edmunds, W. M. and Gaye, C.B.: Estimating the spatial variability of groundwater recharge in the Sahel using chloride. J.
- 421 Hydrol., 156(1-4):47-59, 1994.
- Edmunds, W. M., Fellman, E., and Goni, I. B.: Spatial and temporal distribution of groundwater recharge in northern Nigeria.
 Hydrogeology Journal, 10:205-215, 2002.
- 424 Feddes, R. A., Kowalik, P. J., and Zaradny, H.: Simulation of field water use and crop yield. Published in 1978 in Wageningen
- 425 by Centre for agricultural publishing and documentation. Wageningen: Centre for Agricultural Pub. and Documentation.
- 426 https://lib.ugent.be/catalog/rug01:000032129, 1978.
- Fontes, J.-C., Maglione, G., and Roche, M.-A.: Données isotopiques préliminaires sur les rapports du lac Tchad avec les nappes
 de la bordure nord-est, Cah. Orstom. Hydrobiol., 6, 17–34, 1969.
- Fontes, J.-C., Gonfiantini, R., and Roche, M.-A. : Deuterium et oxygène-18 dans les eaux du Lac Tchad. Isotope Hydrology,
 IAEA-SM-129/23, 1970.
- 431 French, A. N., Hunsaker, D. J., and Thorp, K. R.: Remote sensing of evapotranspiration over cotton using the TSEB and
- METRIC energy balance models. Remote Sensing of Environment, 158, 281–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2014.11.003,
 2015.
- 434 Gebru, T.A. and Tesfahunegn, G.B.: Chloride mass balance for estimation of groundwater recharge in a semi-arid catchment
- 435 of northern Ethiopia. Hydrogeology Journal, 27:363-378, 2019.
 - 14

- 436 Global Soil Data Task Group: Global Gridded Surfaces of Selected Soil Characteristics (IGBP-DIS). ORNL DAAC, Oak
- 437 Ridge, Tennessee, USA. https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/569, 2000.
- Goni, I., Fellman, E., and Edmunds, W.: Rainfall geochemistry in the Sahel region of northern Nigeria, Atmos. Environ., 35,
 439 4331–4339, 2001.
- 440 Griffis, T. J., Sargent, S. D., Lee, X., Baker, J. M., Greene, J., Erickson, M., Zhang, X., Billmark, K., Schultz, N., and Hu, N.:
- 441 Determining the Oxygen Isotope Composition of Evapotranspiration Using Eddy Covariance. Boundary-Layer Meteorology,
- 442 137(2), 307–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-010-9529-5, 2010.
- 443 Groh, J., Stumpp, C., Lücke, A., Pütz, T., Vanderborght, J., and Vereecken, H.: Inverse estimation of soil hydraulic and
- transport parameters of layered soils from water stable isotopes and lysimeter data, Vadose Zone Journal 17:170168.
 Doi:10.2136/vzj2017.09.0168, 2018.
- Harris, I., Osborn, T. J., Jones, P. and Lister, D.: Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-resolution gridded multivariate
 climate dataset. Sci Data 7, 109 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0453-3
- Isihoro, S., Matisoff, G., and Wehn, K.: Seepage relationship between Lake Chad and the Chad Aquifers, Groundwater, 34,
 819–826, 1996.
- Hartig, F., Minunno, F., Paul, S.: BayesianTools: General-Purpose MCMC and SMC Samplers and Tools for Bayesian
 Statistics, 2019.
- 452 Jasechko, S., Sharp, Z. D., Gibson, J. J., Birks, S. J., Yi, Y., and Fawcett, P. J.: Terrestrial water fluxes dominated by 453 transpiration, Nature, 496, 347–350, 2013.
- 454 Kool, D., Agam, N., Lazarovitch, N., Heitman, J. L., Sauer, T. J., and Ben-Gal, A.: A review of approaches for 455 evapotranspiration partitioning. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 184, 56–70, 2014.
- Lake Chad Basin Commission. (1993). Monitoring and management of groundwater resources in the Lake Chad Basin.
 Mapping of aquifers, water resources management, final report, R35985, Report BRGM R 35985 EA U/4S/93
- 458 Lake Chad Basin Commission. (2012). Report on the State of the Lake Chad Basin Ecosystem.
- $459 \quad http://www.cblt.org/sites/default/files/download_documents/report_on_the_state_of_the_lake_chad_basin_ecosystem.pdf$
- 460 Leblanc, M.: Gestion des ressources en eau des grands bassins semi-arides à l'aide de la télédétection et des SIG: application
- 461 à l'étude du bassin du lac Tchad, Afrique, PhD thesis, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, 2002.
- Leblanc, M., Favreau, G., Tweed, S., Leduc, C., Razack, M., and Mofor, I.: Remote sensing for groundwater modelling in
 large semiarid areas: Lake Chad basin, Africa. Hydrogeology Journal, 15(1), 97-100, 2007.
- Lemoalle, J., Bader, J.-C., Leblanc, M., and Sedick, A.: Recent changes in Lake Chad: observations, simulations and management options (1973–2011), Global Planet. Change, 80, 247–254, 2012.
- 466 Lloyd, J. W.: A review of aridity and groundwater, Hydrological Processes, Vol. 1, 63-78, 1986.
- 467 Lloyd, J. W.: Groundwater in arid and semiarid regions. In: Silveira, L. and Usunoff E.J. [Eds.]: Groundwater (Vol. I),
- 468 Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems, pp. 284–307, 2009.

- 469 Majnooni-Heris, A., Sadraddini, A. A., Nazemi, A. H., Shakiba, M. R., Neyshaburi, M. R., and Tuzel, I. H.: Determination of
- 470 single and dual crop coefficients and ratio of transpiration to evapotranspiration for canola. Annals of Biological Research,
 471 3(4), 1885–1894, 2012.
- 472 Mertens, J., Barkle, G. F., and Stenger, R.: Numerical analysis to investigate the effects oft he design and installation of
- 473 equilibrium tension plate lysimeters on leachate volume, Vadose Zone Journal, 4:488-499, 2005.
- 474 Millington, R. J. and Quirk, J. P.: Permeability of porous solids. Trans. Int. Congr. Soil Sci., 7(1), 97-106, 1961.
- 475 Mualem, Y.: A new model for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated porous media, Water Resour. Res., 12,
- 476 513–522, doi:10.1029/WR012i003p00513, 1976.
- 477 Ngatcha, B. N., Mudry, J., and Leduc, C.: The state of understanding on groundwater recharge for the sustainable management
- 478 of transboundary aquifer in the Lake Chad basin, 2008.
- 479 Olivry, J., Chouret, A., Vuillaume, G., Lemoalle, J., and Bricquet, J.: Hydrologie du lac Tchad, Editions de l'ORSTOM, Paris
 480 1996.
- 481 Palatella, L., Rana, G., and Vitale, D.: Towards a Flux-Partitioning Procedure Based on the Direct Use of High-Frequency
- 482 Eddy-Covariance Data. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 153(2), 327–337. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-014-9947-x, 2014.
- 483 Richards, L. A.: Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums. Physics, 1(5), 318-333, 1931.
- 484 Righes, A. A.: Water uptake and root distribution of soybeans, grain sorghum and corn. Retrospective Theses and
 485 Dissertations. Iowa State University, 1980.
- 486 Roche, M.: Tracage naturel salin et isotopique des eaux du systeme du Lac Tchad, These de Doctorat d'Etat, Travaux et
- 487 Documents de l'ORSTOM, ORSTOM (Office de la Recherche Scientifique et Technique d'Outre-Mer) editions, Paris, 1980.
- 488 Scanlon, B. R., Keese, K. E., Flint, A. L., Flint, L. E., Gaye, C. B., Edmunds, W. M., and Simmers. I.: Global synthesis of 489 groundwater recharge in semiarid and arid regions. Hydrol. Process. 20, 3335-3370, 2006.
- 490 Scanlon, T. M. and Sahu, P.: On the correlation structure of water vapor and carbon dioxide in the atmospheric surface layer:
 491 A basis for flux partitioning. Water Resources Research, 44(10). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008WR006932, 2008.
- 492 Schaap, M. G., Leij, F. J., and van Genuchten, M. T.: ROSETTA: a computer program for estimating soil hydraulic parameters
 493 with hierarchical pedotransfer functions, Journal of Hydrology, 251, 163-176, 2001.
- Schenk, H. J. and Jackson, R. B.: Rooting depths, lateral root spreads and belowground aboveground allometries of plants in
 water limited ecosystems. Journal of Ecology, 90, 480–494. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2002.00682.x, 2002.
- 496 Shahrokhnia, M. H. and Sepaskhah, A. R.: Single and dual crop coefficients and crop evapotranspiration for wheat and maize
- 497 in a semi-arid region. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 114(3–4), 495–510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0848-6,
 498 2013.
- 499 Šimůnek, J., Sejna, M., Saito, H., Sakai, M., and van Genuchten, M. Th.: The HYDRUS-1D software package for simulating
- 500 the one-dimensional movement of water, heat, and multiple solutes in variably-saturated media, Version 4.15, Riverside,
- 501 California, 2009.

- 502 Sprenger, M., Volkmann, T. H. M., Blume, T., and Weiler, M.: Estimating flow and transport parameters in the unsaturated
- 503 zone with pore water stable isotopes, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19(6), 2617-2635, doi:10.5197/hess-19-2617-2015, 2015.
- 504 Stumpp, C., Nützmann, G., Maciejewski, S., and Maloszewski, P.: A comparative modeling study of a dual tracer experiment 505 in a large lysimeter under atmospheric conditions, Journal of Hydrology, 375, 566-577, 2009.
- 506 Stumpp, C., Stichler, W., Kandolf, M., and Šimůnek, J.: Effects of land cover and fertilization method on water flow and solute 507 transport in five lysimeters: a long-term study using stable water isotopes, Vadose Zone Journal, 11(1), doi: 508 10.2136/vzj2012.0075, 2012.
- 509 ter Braak, C.J.F., Vrugt, J.A.: Differential Evolution Markov Chain with snooker updater and fewer chains. Stat. Comput. 18,
- 510 435-446. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-008-9104-9, 2008.
- 511 Tewolde, D. O.: Investigating unsaturated zone water transport processes by means of biogeochemical analysis of soil depth
- 512 profiles: a comparative study of two semi-arid sites. M.Sc.-Thesis, Leibniz Universitaet Hannover, 2017.
- 513 Tewolde, D. O., Koeniger, P., Beyer, M., Neukum, C., Gröschke, M., Ronnelngar, M., Rieckh, H., and Vassolo, S.: Soil water
- balance in the Lake Chad Basin using stable water isotope and chloride of soil profiles, Isotopes in Environmental & Health
 Studies, submitted, 2019.
- 516 Tong, G. D., Liu, H. L., and Li, F. H.: Evaluation of dual crop coefficient approach on evapotranspiration calculation of cherry
- 517 trees. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 9(3), 29–39. 518 https://doi.org/10.3965/j.ijabe.20160903.1886, 2016.
- Vanderborght, J. and Vereecken, H.: Review of dispersivity for transport modeling in soils, Vadose Zone Journal, 6(1), 29-52,
 doi:20.2136/vzj2006.0096, 2007.
- 521 van Genuchten, M. T.: A close-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils 1, Soil Science
- 522 Society of America Journal, 8(44), 892-898, 1980.
- 523 van Looy, K., Bouma, J., Herbst, M., Koestel, J., Minasny, B., Mishra, U., Montzka, C., Nemes, A., Pachepsky, Y. A.,
- Padarian, J., and Schaap, M. G.: Pedotransfer functions in earth system science: challenges and perspectives, Reviews of
 Geophysics, 55(4), 1199-1256, doi: 10.1002/2017RG000581, 2017.
- 526 Vassolo. S.: The aquifer recharge and storage systems to reduce the high level of evapotranspiration. In: Adaptive Water
- 527 Management in the Lake Chad Basin. World Water Week 09, FAO, pp. 30-44, 2009.
- 528 Vereecken, H., Javaux, M., Weynants, M., Pachepsky, Y. A., Schaap, M. G., and van Genuchten M. T.: Using pedotransfer
- 529 functions to estimate the van Genuchten-Mualem soil hydraulic properties: A review, Vadose zone Journal, 9(4), 759-820,
- 530 doi: 10.2136/vzj2010.0045, 2010.
- 531 Vereecken, H., Schnepf, A., Hopmans, J. W., Javaux, M., Or, D., Roose, T., ... and Young, I. M.: Modeling soil processes:
- 532 Review, key challenges, and new perspectives, Vadose Zone Journal, 15(5), 1-57, doi: 10.2136/vzj.2015.09.0131, 2016.
- 533 Vieira, P. V. D., de Freitas, P. S. L., Ribeiro da Silva, A. L. B., Hashiguti, H. T., Rezende, R. and Junior, C. A. F.: Determination
- 534 of wheat crop coefficient (Kc) and soil water evaporation (Ke) in Maringa, PR, Brazil, African Journal of Agricultural, 11(44),
- 535 4551–4558. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2016.11377, 2016.

- 536 Vuillaume, G.: Bilan hydrologique mensuel et modélisation sommaire du régime hydrologique du lac Tchad, Cahiers
- 537 ORSTOM. Série Hydrologie, 18, 23–72, 1981.
- 538 Wohlfahrt, G., Brilli, F., Hörtnagl, L., Xu, X., Bingemer, H., Hansel, A., and Loreto, F.: Carbonyl sulfide (COS) as a tracer
- 539 for canopy photosynthesis, transpiration and stomatal conductance: Potential and limitations. Plant, Cell and Environment,
- 540 35(4), 657–667. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02451.x, 2012.
- 541 Wu, Y., Du, T., Ding, R., Tong, L., and Li, S.: Multiple Methods to Partition Evapotranspiration in a Maize Field. Journal of
- 542 Hydrometeorology, 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-16-0138.1, 2016.
- 543 Zairi, R.: Étude géochimique et hydrodynamique du Bassin du Lac Tchad (la nappe phréatique dans les régions du Kadzell
- 544 (Niger oriental) et du Bornou (Nord-Est du Nigéria)), PhD thesis, Université de Montpellier 2, Montpellier, 2008.
- 545



547 Figure 1: Location of the six soil sampling sites within the Logone and Salamat river basins in the Lake Chad catchment. The map 548 inlet shows the location of the Lake Chad basin in Africa.





550 Figure 2: Monthly precipitation, reference evapotranspiration from the CRUTS 4 database (NCAR, 2017) and derived water balance

551 for Salamat and Waza Logone.







Figure 3: Average Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI, MODIS 16 day interval and 250 m spatial resolution) measured between 2003 and 2016 in the Salamat region and estimated monthly basal crop coefficient (Kcb, black points) for location S3.





558 Figure 4: Soil textures used in the model (left column) defined according to the grain size distribution analysis (right column) for 559 each of the six soil profiles.



560



50.

Figure 5: Measured and simulated scenarios (for scenario definitions refer to Table 2) of chloride concentration and water content
 for all six soil profiles. Obs: Observations.







Figure 6: Calculated groundwater recharge for all scenarios and sampling locations with indication of vegetation and soil texture.



Fig. 7: Cumulative solute flux on the upper and lower boundary of the models. The shaded areas represents the standard deviation
 of 100 randomly selected model runs. Note the different y-axis scales between sites.



Fig. 8: Reference evapotranspiration from the CRUTS 4 database (NCAR 2017) as well as modelled average actual evaporation and
 transpiration of 100 randomly selected model runs.

573

577 Table 1: Names and geographic coordinates of the sampling locations with indication of average depth to groundwater.

Name	Location	Date of Sampling	Drilling depth (m)	Longitude (°)	Latitude (°)	Elevation (m a. s.l.)	Depth to Groundwater (m)
ST1	Gos	07-12-2016	2.35	19 89644	11 02582	418	21
511	Djarat	11-07-2019	5.0	17.07044	11.02502	410	21
CT2	Kach	09-12-2016	2.0	20.07472	10.81640	206	16 19
512	Kacha	16-07-2019	5.0	20.07475	10.01049	590	10-10
CT2	Gos	11-12-2016	2.2	10.01/07	11.00/20	410	21
515	Djarat	13-07-2019	5.0	19.9108/	11.00629	418	21
WL1	Katoa	01-06-2017	4.0	15.09235	10.82508	362	4
WL2	Loutou	01-06-2017	3.0	15.37817	10.76805	325	11-12
WL3	Zina	08-06-2017	3.8	14.97363	11.28858	304	3.6



579 Table 2: Crop evapotranspiration scenario used with the individual soil profiles.

Scenario	Kcb	Ke	Root depth	Profile
Mean	average	average	average	All profiles
Min	minimum	minimum	average	All profiles
Min-RD	minimum	minimum	minimum	WL1
Mix-1	minimum	average	average	All profiles
Mix-2	average	minimum	average	ST1, WL2, WL3
Mix-3	maximum	average	average	ST3
Max	maximum	maximum	average	All profiles

Table 3: Parametrization of water retention and unsaturated hydraulic conductivity functions according the Mualem-van
 Genuchten model after Bayesian model calibration.

Location	Texture	Depth (m)	θ _r (-)	θ _s (-)	α (m ⁻¹)	n (-)	K _s (md ⁻¹)
ST1	Clay	0-1	0.001	0.61±0.01	2.13±0.27	1.164±0.008	0.09±0.14
511	Sandy clay	1-2.35	0.04	0.43 ± 0.03	2.63±0.37	1.150±0.011	0.43±0.39
ST2	Sandy clay loam	0-1.4	0.04	0.38±0.02	1.18 ± 0.08	1.36±0.047	0.03±0.16
512	Clay	1.4 -2.1	0.07	0.48 ± 0.08	2.66±0.36	1.203±0.052	0.11 ± 0.28
	Loamy fine sand	0-0.8	0.01	0.45 ± 0.08	3.69±0.08	2.332±0.196	2.96±5.72
	Sandy clay loam	0.8-1.5	0.043	0.38 ± 0.07	2.81 ± 0.43	2.210±0.172	2.44±4.19
ST3	Sandy loam	1.5-2.4	0.02	0.43 ± 0.08	3.44 ± 0.51	2.469 ± 0.330	1.66 ± 2.84
	Loamy sand	2.4-2.5	0	0.35±0.06	3.77±0.53	1.980±0.265	2.03±3.11
	Sand	2.5-2.75	0	0.34 ± 0.04	3.73±0.53	2.730±0.372	5.42 ± 8.86
	Loamy sand	2.75-2.84	0	0.35±0.06	3.77±0.53	1.980 ± 0.265	2.03±3.11
	Sand	2.84-2.9	0	0.34 ± 0.04	3.73±0.53	2.730±0.372	5.42±8.86
	Clay	0-0.3	0.065	0.56±0.09	1.37±0.19	1.293±0.092	0.17±0.26
	Sandy clay	0.3-0.9	0.06	0.44 ± 0.07	2.85 ± 0.36	1.416±0.125	0.21 ± 0.38
WL1	Clay	0.9-2.0	0.103	0.42 ± 0.03	1.55±0.21	1.187 ± 0.065	$0.19{\pm}0.42$
	Sandy clay loam	2.0-2.8	0.075	0.49 ± 0.07	2.34±0.33	1.598 ± 0.227	0.13±0.28
	Sandy clay	2.8-3.8	0.081	0.43±0.06	2.60±0.35	1.266±0.134	0.09±0.19

	Sandy clay loam	3.8-4.0	0.071	0.40±0.05	2.69±0.37	1.291±0.137	0.12±0.24
	Sandy clay loam	0-0.2	0.03	0.41 ± 0.07	3.22±0.45	1.502 ± 0.151	0.30±0.57
WL2	Sandy clay	0.2-0.6	0.01	0.37 ± 0.06	2.56±0.39	1.422 ± 0.081	$0.09{\pm}0.19$
	Sandy clay loam	0.6-3.0	0.01	0.37±0.03	1.39±0.19	1.566 ± 0.06	0.10±0.10
	Sandy clay	0-1.4	0.09	0.49±0.09	1.27±0.15	1.470 ± 0.111	0.22±0.14
WL3	Clay	1.4-3.6	0.105	0.53 ± 0.05	2.03 ± 0.29	1.285 ± 0.100	0.17±0.36
	Loamy fine sand	3.6-3.8	0.056	0.39 ± 0.08	$2.90{\pm}0.45$	1.789±0.293	1.23 ± 2.40

Table 4: Average root mean square error (RMSE) and related standard deviation (SD) over all scenarios for water content (Theta) and chloride concentration.

	Theta (-)			Chloride concentration (mg/l)			
Location, Year	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	Average	
	observation	simulation	RMSE	observation	simulation	RMSE	
ST1, 2016/2019	0.25/0.22	0.23/0.23	0.06/0.04	30/6	18/22	19/19	
ST2, 2016/2019	0.17/0.14	0.16/0.15	0.06/0.04	162/106	132/229	82/116	
ST3, 2016/2019	0.06/0.08	0.07/0.06	0.02/0.02	42/10	6/13	58/10	
WL1, 2017	0.27	0.27	0.05	31	6	59	
WL2, 2017	0.13	0.15	0.02	40	3	117	
WL3, 2017	0.31	0.33	0.04	12	13	9	

586

587 Table 5: Calculated average annual recharge, fraction of recharge on average annual precipitation, standard deviations of recharge 588 across the time-period 2005-2019 and 2005 – 2016 for Salamat and Waza Logone respectively.

	Average appuel	Fraction of	Standard deviation
Location	recharge (mm)	average annual	of annual recharge
		precipitation (%)	(mm)
ST1	7	0.9	17
ST2	9	1	29
ST3	93	12	69
WL1	28	4	32
WL2	54	8	46
WL3	6	1	48

589	Table 6: Calculated average annual evaporation and transpiration and related standard deviations of 100 randomly accepted model
590	runs.

Location	Average	Standard	Average	Standard	Average actual
	annual	deviation of	annual	deviations of	evapotranspiration
	evaporation	evaporation	transpiration	transpiration	(mm)
	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	
ST1	210	9	553	11	763
ST2	366	22	388	27	754
ST3	137	12	552	11	689
WL1	344	20	317	23	661
WL2	146	14	477	28	623
WL3	376	12	305	10	681