- 1 Evaporation, infiltration and storage of soil water in
- 2 different vegetation zones in the Qilian Mountains: A
- 3 stable isotope perspective

Guofeng Zhu <sup>a,b\*</sup>, Leilei Yong <sup>a,b</sup>, Zhao Xi <sup>a,b</sup>, Yuwei Liu <sup>a,b</sup>, Zhuanxia Zhang <sup>a,b</sup>, Yuanxiao Xu <sup>a,b</sup>,
Zhigang Sun <sup>a,b</sup>, Liyuan Sang <sup>a,b</sup>, Lei Wang <sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Geography and Environment Science, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou
7 730070, China

8 <sup>b</sup> Shiyang River Ecological Environment Observation Station, Northwest Normal University,

9 Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, China

10 *Correspondence to:* Guofeng Zhu (zhugf@nwnu.edu.cn)

11 Abstract: The processes of water storage and runoff generation have not been fully 12 understood in different vegetation zones in mountainous areas, which is the main obstacle to further understanding hydrological processes and improving water 13 14 resource assessments. To further understand the process of soil water movement and runoff generation in different vegetation zones (alpine meadow, coniferous forest, 15 mountain grassland, and deciduous forest) in mountainous areas, this study monitored 16 17 the temporal and spatial dynamics of hydrogen and oxygen stable isotopes in the 18 precipitation and soil water of the Xiying River Basin. The results show that the evaporation intensities of the four vegetation zones followed the order of mountain 19 grassland > deciduous forest > coniferous forest > alpine meadow. The soil water in 20 the alpine meadows and coniferous forests evaporated from only the topsoil, and the 21 22 rainfall input was fully mixed with each layer of soil. The evaporation signals of the 23 mountain grasslands and deciduous forests could penetrate deep into the middle and lower layers of the soil as precipitation quickly flowed into the deep soil through the 24 25 soil matrix. Each vegetation zone's soil water storage capacity followed the order of alpine meadow > deciduous forest > coniferous forest > mountain grassland. In 26 addition, the water storage capacity of shallow soils in different types of vegetation 27 28 areas was weaker than that of deep soils. This work will provide a new reference for 29 understanding soil hydrology in arid headwater areas.

30 Key words: Xiying River; Stable isotope; Drought, Soil water storage

# 31 **1. Introduction**

In arid inland river basins, changes in climate and vegetation will affect the hydrological cycle (Tetzlaff et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2021). As an important part of the water cycle, soil water in the unsaturated zone can be converted from precipitation to stream or groundwater recharge. Determining soil water's evaporation, infiltration, and storage properties is critical for understanding the regional hydrological cycle and water balance under the background of climate and vegetation changes (Brooks et al., 2010; Grant and Dietrich, 2017).

Isotopes, as "fingerprints" of water, have been used to track ecohydrological
characteristics, such as evaporation (Barnes and Allison, 1988; Zhu et al., 2021b),
groundwater recharge (Koeniger et al., 2016), infiltration paths (Tang and Feng,
2004; Duvert et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2021a), evapotranspiration distribution
(Xiao et al., 2018; Gibson et al., 2021) and water absorption by plants (Rothfuss
and Javaux, 2017).

45 Water seepage in the unsaturated soil zone and the water evaporation at the airsoil interface are the main forms of soil water transport. Seasonal variations in 46 precipitation isotopes are often used to track the process of soil water leakage 47 (Stumpp et al., 2012). During the piston infiltration process, precipitation with 48 different  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  peaks are retained in the soil profile and gradually disappears 49 as the infiltration depth increases (Sprenger et al., 2016a), while the preferential flow 50 will keep these peaks until reaching the deep soil layer (Peralta-Tapia et al., 2015). 51 52 During a precipitation event, the response of the water isotopes in the surface soil to precipitation is more obvious, changing to nearly that of the stable isotopes of the 53 54 precipitation. With the deepening of the soil layer, the seasonal variation in precipitation isotope signals rapidly attenuates, and the influence of precipitation on 55 soil water gradually weakens (Sprenger et al., 2017). Evapotranspiration is the main 56 57 form of soil water dissipation. Because the mass of hydrogen and oxygen atoms that make up water molecules are related to their thermodynamic properties, isotope 58

59 fractionation of water will occur in the process of the water cycle. The evaporation of liquid water produces water vapor enriched in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>16</sup>O, while the remaining water 60 is enriched in <sup>2</sup>H and <sup>18</sup>O (Ferretti et al., 2003). Dansgaard (1964) proposed the 61 concept of d-excess (d-excess= $\delta^2$ H-8 $\delta^{18}$ O) to illustrate the intensity of evaporative 62 63 fractionation. In the state of isotopic equilibrium, the d-excess is 10. Compared with d-excess, lc-excess can better explain the evaporative fractionation process. The main 64 reason is that lc-excess of precipitation and soil water changes smoothly and has 65 66 relatively small seasonal changes (Landwehr et al., 2014). The dynamic changes in isotopes record the signal of soil water evaporation. This enrichment from dynamic 67 fractionation exists in soil water isotopes in different climatic regions. Compared with 68 temperate regions, the signals of evaporation in arid and Mediterranean environments 69 70 penetrate deeper into the soil (Sprenger et al., 2016b). Some water is stored in the soil after evaporation and seepage. The water storage capacity of humid areas is higher 71 than that of arid areas, the water storage capacity of forests is higher than that of 72 grasslands, and the water storage capacity of the surface soil layer is lower than that 73 74 of deeper soil layers with higher clay content (Heinrich et al., 2019; Sprenger et al., 2019; Kleine et al., 2020; Snelgrove et al., 2021). 75

In alpine mountains, climate warming accelerates the melting of glaciers and 76 frozen soil, and the dynamic interaction between water bodies stored in different 77 media becomes the main influence on the water cycle (Penna et al., 2018). Previous 78 79 studies on the evaporation, infiltration, and storage of soil water have mostly focused on different climatic regions or vegetation types in the same climatic region. 80 Understanding the climatic and hydrological conditions of different vertical 81 82 vegetation zones and clarifying the regulating role of vegetation in the water cycle can help better adapt to climate change's influences on the hydrological cycle in source 83 areas. This study monitored the stable isotope composition of precipitation and soil 84 water and the spatiotemporal dynamics of soil water storage in four vegetation zones 85 (alpine meadow, coniferous forest, mountain grassland, and deciduous forest) with 86 87 different hydrothermal conditions in the Xiying River Basin. To explore the differences in soil water evaporation, infiltration, and storage processes in these four 88

3

different climates, vegetation types, and terrain types, the following research objectives were proposed: (1) to explore the evolution of isotope evaporation signals and the "memory effects" of precipitation input, mixing and rewetting; and (2) to understand the soil water storage capacity and influencing factors of four vegetation areas in mountainous areas.

#### 94 **2. Study area**

95 The Xiying River originates from Lenglongling and Kawazhang in the eastern Qilian Mountains (101°40'47"~102°23'5"E, 37°28'22"~38°1'42"N) (Fig. 1). As 96 the largest tributary of the Shiyang River, it is formed by the Shuiguan River, 97 Ningchang River, Xiangshui River, and Tatu River converging from southwest to 98 northeast and ultimately flows into the Xiying Reservoir. The average annual runoff 99 100 of the Xiving River is 388 million m<sup>3</sup>, which is mainly replenished by mountain precipitation and melting water of ice and snow. The runoff is mainly concentrated in 101 summer. The basin elevation is between 2000 m and 5000 m, corresponding to a 102 temperate semiarid climate with strong solar radiation, a long sunshine time, and a 103 104 large temperature difference between day and night. The average annual temperature of the basin is 6°C, the annual average evaporation is 1133 mm, the annual average 105 precipitation is 400 mm, and the precipitation from June to September accounts for 106 107 69% of the annual precipitation. Precipitation increases with elevation, while 108 temperature decreases with elevation in this area, (Table 1) (Ma et al., 2018). The zonal differentiation of vegetation in the basin is dominated by deciduous forest, 109 mountain grassland, cold temperate coniferous forest, and alpine meadow. The soils 110 111 mainly include lime, chestnut, alpine shrub meadow, and desert soil (Fig. 1).





Fig. 1 Study area and location of sampling points

#### 114 **3. Data and methods**

#### 115 **3.1 Sample collection**

In this study, soil water and precipitation samples were collected from four vegetation zones in the Xiying River Basin from April to October in 2017 (plant growing season). In 2017, the precipitation in the alpine meadows, coniferous forests, mountain grasslands and deciduous forests was 595.1 mm, 431.9 mm, 363.5 mm and 262.5 mm, respectively. The average daily temperatures in the alpine meadows, coniferous forests, mountain grasslands and deciduous forests were -0.19°C, 3.34°C, 6.6°C and 7.9°C, respectively (Table 1).

123 Collection of soil samples: Soil samples were collected once a month at depths 124 of 0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-40, 40-50, 50-60, 60-70, 70-80, 80-90, and 90-100 cm from 125 the soil layers in the four vegetation zones. Three duplicate samples were collected for 126 each soil layer. A collected soil sample was placed into a 50 mL glass bottle, and the 127 bottle mouth was sealed with Parafilm marked with the sampling date; the sample was 128 then frozen for storage until experimental analysis. Each sample was collected 129 separately in an aluminum box. Collection of precipitation samples: The precipitation samples were collected by a plastic funnel bottle device. After each precipitation event, the collected precipitation samples were immediately transferred to an 80 mL high-density polyethylene bottle, and the bottle mouth of the samples was sealed with Parafilm; these samples were also frozen and stored until experimental analysis.

Meteorological data: During the sampling period, the local meteorological data were obtained and recorded by automatic weather stations (Watchdog 2000 series weather stations) set up near the sample plot.

138 **Table 1** Basic data of each Vegetation zone from April to October 2017 (*Long*-Longitude,

- 139 *Lat*-Latitude, *Alt*-Altitude, *T*-Air Temperature (daily mean temperature), *P*-Precipitation (total
- 140 precipitation during the observation period), *h*-Relative Humidity (daily mean relative humidity))

Vegetation zone	Geog	raphical para	meter	Meteore	ological pa	arametes Number of samples		
	Long(°E)	Lat(°N)	<i>Alt</i> (m)	$T(^{\circ}\mathbb{C})$	P(mm)	h(%)	Precipitation	Soil
Alpine Meadow	101°51'16"	37°33'28"	3637	-0.19	595.1	69.2	72	47
Coniferous Forest	101°53'23"	37°41'50"	2721	3.34	431.9	66.6	42	41
Mountain Grassland	102°00'25"	37°50'23"	2390	6.6	363.5	60.4	37	54
Deciduous Forest	102°10'56"	37°53'27"	2097	7.9	262.5	59.8	40	53

#### 141 **3.2 Sample determination**

The analysis of  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  values of all the above water samples was 142 completed using a liquid water isotope analyzer (DLT-100, Los Gatos Research, USA) 143 144 in the stable isotope laboratory of Northwest Normal University. Before analyzing the 145 isotope values of soil water, the soil water was extracted from the collected soil samples by a low-temperature vacuum condensation system (LI-2100, LICA United 146 Technology Limited, China). Both the water and isotope standard samples were 147 148 injected 6 times during the analysis. To avoid the "memory effect" of isotope analysis, 149 we discarded the first two injection values and used the average value of the last four injections as the final result (Penna et al., 2012; Qu et al., 2020). The analysis results 150 were relative to VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water): 151

$$\delta = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right) \times 1000\% \tag{1}$$

where  $R_{sample}$  is the ratio of <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O or <sup>2</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H in the sample and  $R_{standard}$  is the ratio of <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O or <sup>2</sup>H/<sup>1</sup>H in the VSMOW. The test error of the  $\delta^2$ H value does not exceed ±0.6‰, and the test error of the  $\delta^{18}$ O value does not exceed ±0.2‰.

155 **3.3 Analysis method** 

## 156 3.3.1 Lc-excess

The linear relationship between  $\delta^2$ H and  $\delta^{18}$ O in precipitation and soil water is defined as the LMWL (local meteoric water line) and SWL (soil waterline), respectively, are of great significance for studying the evaporative fractionation of stable isotopes during the water cycle. We further calculated the line-conditioned excess for each soil water and precipitation sample. The lc-excess in different water bodies can characterize the evaporation index of different water bodies relative to the local precipitation (Landwehr and Coplen, 2006).

$$lc - excess = \delta^2 H - a \times \delta^2 H - b$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where a and b are the slope and intercept of the LMWL, respectively, and  $\delta^2$ H and 164  $\delta^{18}$ O are the isotopic values of hydrogen and oxygen in the sample. The physical 165 166 meaning of lc-excess is expressed as the degree of deviation of the isotope value in the sample from the LMWL, indicating the nonequilibrium dynamic fractionation 167 process caused by evaporation. Generally, the change in lc-excess in local 168 precipitation is mainly affected by different water vapor sources, and the annual 169 average is 0. Since the stable isotopes in soil water are enriched by evaporation, the 170 average lc-excess is usually negative (Landwehr et al., 2014; Sprenger et al., 2017). 171

- 172 **3.3.2 Potential evapotranspiration**
- 173 The potential evapotranspiration was calculated based on the Penman-Monteath174 equation (Allen et al., 1998):

$$PET = \frac{0.408\Delta(R_n - G) + \gamma \frac{900}{T + 273}u^2(e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + 0.34u^2)}$$
(3)

where PET is the daily potential evapotranspiration (mm day<sup>-1</sup>),  $R_n$  is the net radiation (MJ m<sup>2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), *G* is the soil heat flux density (MJ m<sup>2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>),  $\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant (kPa°C<sup>-1</sup>),  $u_2$  is the wind speed at 2 m height (m s<sup>-1</sup>), *T* is the mean daily air temperature at 2 m height (°C),  $\Delta$  is the slope of the vapor pressure curve (kPa°C<sup>-1</sup>),  $e_a$ is the actual vapor pressure (kPa) and  $e_s$  is the saturated vapor pressure (kPa). These data come from nearby weather stations.

### 181 **3.3.3 Soil water storage**

182 Soil water storage is the thickness of the water layer formed by all the water in a 183 certain soil layer (Milly, 1994) and is expressed by the following formula:

$$S = R \times W \times H \times 10 \tag{4}$$

where *S* is the soil water storage in a certain thickness layer (mm), *R* is the soil bulk density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>), and *H* is the soil thickness (cm). *W* is the gravimetric water content, which is expressed by the following formula:

$$W = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{M_2} \times 100\%$$
(5)

in the formula,  $M_1$  is the gravimetric value of wet soil (g), and  $M_2$  is the gravimetric value of dry soil (g).

# 189 **4. Results and analysis**

#### 190 **4.1 Hydrological climate**

191 PET and runoff are important indicators that reflect the dry-wet conditions of river basins. During the study period (April-October 2017), in the Xiving River Basin, 192 the potential evapotranspiration was 872.8 mm, the daily evapotranspiration ranged 193 from 7.5 mm (July 14) to 0.9 mm (October 9), showing a fluctuating trend around 194 195 July, and the PET value in April-July was higher than that in August-October. The input of summer precipitation and ice/snow meltwater increased runoff, resulting in a 196 trend similar to PET. During the observation period, the total runoff was  $3.1 \times 10^9$  m, 197 accounting for 89% of the annual runoff. The variation range of the daily runoff was 198 286848 m<sup>3</sup> (April 17) to 6125760 m<sup>3</sup> (July 13). The basin before July was drier than 199 that after July (Fig. 2). 200



201

Fig. 2 Climatic and hydrological conditions of Xiying River basin and its vegetation
 zones

To explore the differences in the natural environment in different vegetation zones, air temperature, atmospheric humidity, and precipitation were used to indicate each research site's temperature and moisture conditions. The hilltop is a typical alpine meadow zone, with a daily average temperature of 6.1°C, ranging from -9.7°C

(April 5) to 16.8°C (July 27). The daily average humidity was 68.2%, with little 208 209 difference in different periods. During the observation period, there were 72 precipitation events in the alpine meadow zone, and the total precipitation was 534.3 210 mm, which was relatively evenly distributed each month. In the coniferous forest zone, 211 212 the daily average temperature during the study period was 10.9°C, ranging from -5.4°C (April 5) to 22.0°C (July 27). The daily average humidity was 62.5%, and the 213 precipitation was 400.6 mm, mainly concentrated from early August to late September. 214 215 Close to the foothills is the mountain grassland zone, with a daily average temperature of 14.9°C, ranging from -0.7°C (April 5) to 25.3°C (July 27). The average daily 216 humidity was 51.1%, and the precipitation of the vegetation zone during the 217 observation period was 327.2 mm, mainly from late July to mid-August. During the 218 observation period, the daily average temperature in the deciduous forest zone was 219 15.8°C, ranging from -1.2°C (April 6) to 26.3°C (July 27). The daily average 220 humidity was 54.7%, and the total precipitation was 250.6 mm, which was 221 concentrated in the month from late July to late August. The temperatures of the 222 223 studied regions were ordered as follows: AM (alpine meadow) < CF (coniferous forest) < MG (mountain grassland) < DF (deciduous forest). The humidities of the 224 studied regions were ordered as follows: AM > CF > MG > DF (Fig. 2). 225

#### 4.2 Temporal variation in water stable isotopes in different vegetation zones

Influenced by different water sources and complex weather conditions in the 227 precipitation process, the isotopic compositions of precipitation in the four vegetation 228 zones were different during the study period. The mean values of  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  in the 229 alpine meadow zone (number of samples: 72) were -73.1‰±36.3‰ (-163.9~13.7‰) 230 and -10.0‰±4.3‰ (-23.1~-1.3‰), respectively. The average  $\delta^2$ H and  $\delta^{18}$ O values of 231 the coniferous forest zone (number of samples: 42) were -42.0‰±37.2‰ 232  $(-117.8 \sim 13.0\%)$  and  $-7.1\% \pm 4.7\%$   $(-17.4 \sim -0.1\%)$ , respectively. The average  $\delta^2 H$  and 233  $\delta^{18}$ O values of the mountain grassland zone (number of samples: 37) were 234 -37.4‰±30.5‰ (-103.1~4.2‰) and -5.9‰±3.9‰ (-15.1~-0.9‰), respectively. The 235 average  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  values of the deciduous forest zone (number of samples: 40) 236 were -31.8‰±42.8‰ (-110.2~23.2‰) and -5.8‰±5.5‰ (-15.2~3.2‰), respectively 237

(Table 2). The maximum isotopic values of the four vegetation zones appeared on 238 August 4 (AM: 13.7‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -1.3‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O), August 10 (CF: 13.0‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -0.1‰, 239  $\delta^{18}$ O), August 7 (MG: 4.2‰,  $\delta^{2}$ H; -0.9‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O) and August 13 (DF: 23.2‰,  $\delta^{2}$ H; 240 3.2‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O). The highest temperature in each vegetation zone appeared on July 27. 241 The high temperature caused the precipitation to undergo strong below-cloud 242 evaporation during the fall, leading to the enrichment of isotopes. In addition, the 243 atmospheric precipitation isotopes of the four vegetation zones had similar temporal 244 variations: from April to August, the fluctuations in  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  increased, reached 245 the maximum in mid-August, and then gradually decreased (Fig. 3). 246

- 247
- 248

from April to October 2017

**Table 2** General characteristics of precipitation  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  in different vegetation areas

Vegetation zone –		$\delta^2 \mathrm{H}/\%$	0		$\delta^{18}\mathrm{O}/\%$					
	Max	Min	mean	SD	Max	Min	mean	SD		
AM	13.7	-163.9	-73.1	36.3	-1.3	-23.1	-10.0	4.3		
CF	13.0	-117.8	-42.0	37.2	-0.1	-17.4	-7.1	4.7		
MG	4.2	-103.1	-37.4	30.5	-0.9	-15.1	-5.9	3.9		
DF	23.2	-110.2	-31.8	42.8	3.2	-15.2	-5.8	5.5		









the soil and mixing with original pore water, among which evaporation fractionation 259 was stronger in July (-11.9‰ lc-excess) and October (-14.5‰ lc-excess). The soil 260 water isotopes of the coniferous forests gradually changed seasonally. From April to 261 July, precipitation was scarce, the temperature rose, and the isotopes of soil water 262 were gradually enriched on the surface (-52.7~-29.5‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -7.0~-2.1‰,  $\delta^2$ H), 263 reaching the peak value of the observation period in July (-29.5‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -2.1‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O), 264 and continuous rainfall input from late July to mid-August resulted in soil water 265 isotope depletion (-57.0‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -8.1‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O). SWlc-excess was an obvious 266 fractionation signal opposite to the trend of isotope change, reaching the lowest value 267 (-26.3‰) in the sampling period in July, and the change in air temperature and 268 precipitation controlled the evaporation intensity. From April to July, the isotopic 269 270 value of surface soil water in the mountain grasslands was higher ( $\delta^{18}$ O was greater than zero), and SWlc-excess was lower than -30%. During this period, the 271 evaporation and fractionation of shallow soil water were intense. Similar to in the 272 coniferous forests, in the mountain grasslands, the input of heavy precipitation from 273 274 late July to mid-August led to the depletion of soil water isotopes. There was only sporadic rainfall in the deciduous forests from April to July, and the soil water 275 isotopes were gradually enriched on the surface (-46.1~-18.2‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -4.7~0.2‰, 276  $\delta^2$ H), reached a peak in June when there was no rainfall event (-18.2‰,  $\delta^2$ H; 0.2‰, 277  $\delta^{18}$ O), and then became depleted (-53.2‰,  $\delta^{2}$ H; -5.2‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O). In addition, due to the 278 279 influence of the Xiving Reservoir and vegetation coverage, the isotopic enrichment degree of soil water in this vegetation zone was lower than that in the mountain 280 grasslands. As the most intuitive form of water change, the gravimetric water content 281 282 was always at a low value in July (AM: 21.0; CF: 14.8; MG: 11.9; DF: 14.9), when the evaporation was the strongest, and it was most obvious in shallow soil (Table 3) 283 284 (Fig. 4).

285

286

287

Table 3 General characteristics of soil water  $\delta^2 H$ ,  $\delta^{18}O$ , lc-excess and GWC in different

289

# vegetation areas from April to October 2017

Month	Vegetation zone	$\delta^2 \mathrm{H}/\%$		$\delta^{18}\mathrm{O}/\%$			lc-excess/‰			GWC/%			
		Max	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean	Max	Min	Mean
4	AM	-55.2	-70.7	-65.6	-8.5	-10.8	-10.1	-2.7	-7.1	-4.7	25.9	23.0.0.	24.7
	CF	-52.7	-72.2	-63.9	-7.0	-9.9	-8.9	-4.0	-12.0	-8.4	27.6	14.9	20.0
	MG	-7.32	-50.6	-41.0	2.8	-5.8	-3.9	-8.8	-36.8	-19.4	21.7	6.5	14.7
	DF	-46.1	-69.4	-62.1	-4.7	-9.9	-8.5	-2.5	-23.2	-9.7	27.7	19.4	21.8
	AM	-46.1	-76.5	-66.4	-7.4	-12.2	-10.1	-2.6	-7.7	-4.9	32.6	23.2	28.9
5	CF	-45.8	-61.9	-53.5	-5.3	-8.4	-7.0	-9.3	-17.7	-13.0	22.6	9.0	16.1
	MG	-6.7	-47.3	-39.2	2.9	-6.5	-4.3	-4.5	-36.2	-14.4	15.7	7.6	11.2
	DF	-30.8	-63.5	-53.8	-1.9	-9.4	-6.9	-3.2	-30.1	-13.6	26.0	11.7	17.7
	AM	-62.5	-83.9	-69.4	-8.9	-12.6	-10.3	-1.5	-8.4	-5.8	33.3	21.9	26.0
6	CF	-45.8	-78.4	-58.7	-5.1	-12.0	-7.8	5.5	-26.6	-8.5	32.1	10.0	21.3
0	MG	-19.7	-74.9	-46.9	0.8	-11.8	-5.8	13.0	-33.7	-11.0	19.3	7.5	14.2
	DF	-18.2	-64.9	-51.7	0.2	-9.0	-5.9	-4.6	-38.2	-19.4	13.5	8.4	11.1
7	AM	-47.3	-60.1	-51.6	-6.9	-8.4	-7.5	-8.8	-14.8	-11.9	25.4	19.0	21.0
	CF	-29.5	-51.4	-41.6	-2.1	-7.9	-5.6	-2.6	-26.3	-11.2	24.3	7.2	14.8
	MG	-10.6	-48.4	-39.2	2.3	-6.4	-4.1	-5.8	-35.8	-16.1	18.7	6.3	11.9
	DF	-35.1	-69.0	-54.1	-1.7	-8.7	-5.5	-14.8	-35.3	-24.5	18.2	11.8	14.4
8	AM	-58.5	-80.3	-66.6	-8.4	-11.6	-9.6	-6.1	-15.4	-9.7	28.1	19.5	25.1
	CF	-57.0	-75.5	-66.4	-8.1	-9.8	-9.2	-2.5	-13.1	-8.3	21.4	8.7	16.3
	MG	-34.2	-53.8	-44.0	-3.2	-5.5	-4.4	-14.7	-22.6	-18.7	11.3	9.5	10.4
	DF	-53.2	-84.3	-67.6	-5.2	-13.5	-9.2	6.8	-26.1	-9.6	23.6	14.7	20.6
9	AM	-48.0	-79.2	-61.0	-7.8	-11.1	-9.2	-4.3	-10.4	-7.2	29.9	20.3	25.3
	CF	-52.5	-67.7	-60.7	-7.8	-10.1	-8.8	-0.1	-11.3	-6.0	31.3	9.3	20.5
	MG	-32.3	-45.3	-38.8	-3.5	-4.4	-4.0	-9.1	-23.8	-16.5	15.3	9.1	13.0
	DF	-30.5	-77.0	-59.8	-3.1	-11.4	-8.2	-1.8	-19.3	-9.3	25.8	14.7	19.1
10	AM	-42.4	-73.5	-58.9	-6.1	-9.8	-7.9	-12.2	-18.2	-14.5	36.2	25.4	29.5
	CF	-59.1	-66.3	-61.7	-8.8	-10.5	-9.5	5.1	-5.3	-1.5	30.0	16.8	23.1
	MG	-50.3	-66.7	-58.3	-5.6	-8.3	-7.1	-5.5	-18.4	-11.9	18.3	11.4	15.8
	DF	-38.0	-61.8	-48.3	-2.7	-8.2	-4.9	-11.9	-34.8	-23.9	25.5	8.9	17.2





Fig. 4 Heat map of the soil depth profile of  $\delta^2$ H,  $\delta^{18}$ O, lc-excess and GWC in different vegetation zones, and the layer lacking measurement is indicated by grey color



#### **4.3 Spatial variation in water stable isotopes in different vegetation zones**

Isotope data of precipitation and soil water obtained from different vegetation zones are shown in dual-isotope space in Fig. 5. At the alpine meadow observation station, the slope (8.4) and intercept (23) of the LMWL were higher than those of the GMWL. The slope of the LMWL in the other three vegetation zones was lower than that of the GMWL and gradually decreased with decreasing altitude. With the decrease in altitude, the slope of the SWL in all vegetation zones except for the deciduous forest SWL decreased (AM: 6.4; CF: 4.7; MG: 3.4; DF: 4.1), indicating that the evaporation of soil moisture increased. On the one hand, the vegetation coverage of the deciduous forest site was higher. On the other hand, the Xiying Reservoir enhanced the regional air humidity and decreased the local water vapor circulation driving force.



306

Fig. 5 Dual-isotope space of precipitation (left) and soil water (right) isotope data of
four vegetation zones. In the box plots, the box represents 25%-75% percentile, the
line in the box represents median (50th percentile), the required line indicates 90th
and 10th percentile, and the point indicates the 95th and 5th percentile.

311 During the study period, compared with that in other vegetation belts, the surface isotopic value of the soil water in the mountain grasslands was relatively enriched 312 (-24.3‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -0.8‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O), the lc-excess was smaller and deeper into the middle 313 314 and lower soil layers (-25.8‰), and the gravimetric water content was relatively low 315 (8.4%). Due to the difference in vegetation types and the influence of reservoirs, this change did not have an obvious elevation effect. Although the elevation was low, the 316 317 soil water of the deciduous forests had more depleted isotopic characteristics and higher soil moisture than those of the mountain grasslands in most samples. Soil 318 319 profiles obtained from different vegetation zones can reflect the evaporation signals of water. The low-temperature natural environment made alpine meadow soil less 320

affected by evaporation (lc-excess > -20%), and the gravimetric water content was 321 322 high (gravimetric water content > 20%) during the whole study period. The surface soil water of the coniferous forests was easily affected by climate and had a higher 323 isotopic composition (-29.5‰,  $\delta^2$ H; -2.1‰,  $\delta^{18}$ O) and lower lc-excess (-26.3‰). 324 325 Due to evaporation, soil water isotopes in the mountain grassland and deciduous forest areas were enriched in the surface soil layer. In particular, in the mountain 326 grasslands, the average values of  $\delta^2 H$  and  $\delta^{18} O$  in the 0-10 cm soil layer were as high 327 328 as -24.4‰ and -1.2‰, respectively, and SWlc-excess was lower than -25‰, even close to -40% in some samples. In this case, the evaporation signals can easily 329 penetrate the deep soil, making the gravimetric water content values at all the 330 sampling sites lower than 20% (Fig. 4; Fig. 6). 331



332333

334

in each sampling

# 4.4 Variations in the water storage capacity of the 0-40 cm soil layer in different vegetation areas

This study used soil water to calculate the water storage of the 0-40 cm soil layer

in the four vegetation zones during the observation period (Fig 7). The water storage 338 339 capacity of the alpine meadow gradually decreased from April to July (209.7~167.2 mm), and the water storage capacity increased after July (167.2~201.8 mm). The 340 monthly average water storage capacity was the lowest at 0-10 cm (43.0 mm) and the 341 342 highest at 30-40 cm (51.7 mm). The water storage capacity of the coniferous forest gradually decreased from April to July (150.1~101.2 mm), and the water storage 343 capacity increased after July (101.2~160.0 mm). The monthly average water storage 344 345 capacity was the lowest at 0-10 cm (28.0 mm) and the highest at 30-40 cm (40.0 mm). The water storage capacity of the mountain grassland gradually decreased from April 346 to July (80.3~64.0 mm), and the water storage capacity increased after July 347 (64.0~104.6 mm). The monthly average water storage capacity was the lowest at 0-10 348 349 cm (17.5 mm) and the highest at 20-30 cm (22.0 mm). The water storage capacity of the deciduous forest gradually decreased from April to June (159.3~104.0 mm), the 350 water storage capacity increased from June to August (104.0~154.0 mm), and there 351 was a decrease from August to October (154.0~111.8 mm). The monthly average 352 353 water storage capacity was the lowest at 0-10 cm (29.1 mm) and the highest at 20-30 cm (35.0 mm). In general, the soil water storage capacity of the 0-10 cm soil layer 354 was less than that of the other soil layers. The order of the water storage capacity of 355 the 0-40 cm soil layer in the four vegetation zones is AM > DF > CF > MG. 356



Fig. 7 Monthly variation of soil water storage in 0-40cm soil layer of different
 vegetation zones

# 360 **5. Discussion**

### 361 **5.1 Evaporation of soil moisture in different vegetation zones**

In the arid river source area, the replenishment of soil moisture mainly comes from precipitation. The slope of the regional atmospheric precipitation line can reflect the strength of local evaporation. Due to a low atmospheric temperature, low cloud base height, and low air-saturated water vapor loss, the alpine meadow zone was weakly affected by secondary evaporation during precipitation. There, the slope of the LMWL (8.4) was even higher than that of the GMWL (Zhang et al., 2012). As the altitude decreased, the secondary evaporation under clouds strengthened, and the

slope of the LMWL of each vegetation zone decreased (Pang et al., 2011) (Fig 5). The 369 dynamic changes in lc-excess of soil profiles in different vegetation areas reflect the 370 process of soil water evaporation caused by drought during the study period. The 371 monthly average value of SWlc-excess in the alpine meadow zone was less than 0, 372 and the minimum value was -11.9‰ (July). Although the vegetation belt was subject 373 to different degrees of evaporation each month, it was less affected by drought, and it 374 was difficult for evaporation to penetrate into the middle and lower soil layers. The 375 376 SWlc-excess of the coniferous forest belt was greater than that of the alpine meadow from April to June. The evaporation was the strongest in July (-11.2‰ lc-excess). 377 Similar to in the alpine meadows, in the coniferous forest belt, evaporation mainly 378 occurred in the topsoil. The vegetation coverage of the mountain grassland zone was 379 380 low, and the arid environment made the isotopes of the surface soil produce strong evaporation signals (lc-excess was close to -40%). In most samples, the SWlc-excess 381 of the 60-80 cm soil layer was negative. The evaporation signal shifted to the lower 382 layer of the soil (Zimmermann et al., 1966; Barnes and Allison, 1988). Similar 383 384 evaporation signals have been found in the Mediterranean and arid climate regions (Sprenger et al., 2016b; McCutcheon et al., 2017). Evaporation signals exist in only 385 the surface soil in humid areas, and there is no difference between lc-excess and 0 in 386 the soil layer below 20 cm (Sprenger et al., 2017). The monthly surface soil 387 evaporation of deciduous forests was less than that of mountain grasslands from April 388 389 to June, and it was greater than that of mountain grasslands after July, mainly due to the influence of the vegetation and reservoirs. There were commonalities in the soil 390 moisture changes in different vegetation zones characterized by more enriched 391 392 isotopes, stronger evaporation signals, and lower moisture content in the shallow soil. With increasing soil depth, the isotope gradually became depleted, and the 393 evaporation signal was gradually weakened until it disappeared. The evolution of the 394 investigated isotopes, lc-excess, and gravimetric water content in the unsaturated soil 395 showed differences among different vegetation zones. From a high altitude to a low 396 397 altitude, the isotopic value of the surface gradually increased, and the evaporation signal increased (Fig 4; Fig 6). 398

#### 399 5.2 Memory effects of precipitation input, mixing and rewetting

400 The changes in soil water isotopes and soil moisture can evaluate the input, mixing, and rewetting process of precipitation in different vegetation areas. The main 401 methods of precipitation input are plug flow and preferential flow. Plug flow is the 402 403 complete mixing of water through the soil matrix with shallow free water. Under the action of plug flow, precipitation infiltrates along the hydraulic gradient, pushing the 404 original soil water downward. Preferential flow means that precipitation uses soil 405 406 macropores to quickly penetrate shallow soil to form deep leakage (Tang and Feng, 2001). After precipitation, the variability of isotope signals at a certain soil depth can 407 identify the seepage method of water (Peralta-Tapia et al., 2015). During the study 408 period, the soils of the alpine meadow and coniferous forest areas were seasonally 409 410 frozen and thawed year-round, and the difference in the soil isotope profile was small. The soil moisture profile showed a trend of water increasing from top to bottom, 411 indicating the influence of the previous precipitation. The soil was humid, so the 412 replenishment of soil water by precipitation had the characteristics of top-down piston 413 414 replenishment. Preferential infiltration showed high variability in isotopic signals (Brodersen et al., 2000), and the rainwater in mountain grasslands and deciduous 415 forests flowed into the deep soil rapidly through the soil matrix via exposed soil 416 fissures and roots. This resulted in the sudden depletion of soil isotopes at a depth of 417 418 60-100 cm. This may be due to the more recent depleted precipitation that quickly reached this depth and the preferential infiltration into the soil. Water movement and 419 mixing in the unsaturated zone can be observed in the spatiotemporal variation in 420 isotopes within 1 m of the soil profile, and the alpine meadow and coniferous forest 421 422 zones underwent considerable rainfall. After a short period of weak evaporation, the soil was rewetted by the next rainfall. In the alpine meadow, the soil moisture 423 remained above 20% each month. The mountain grassland and deciduous forest zones 424 had only sporadic precipitation from mid-May to late July, and the soil moisture 425 evaporated rapidly. With the decrease in air temperature and the occurrence of 426 427 continuous precipitation after July, the soil was rewetted after two months of drought, and both vegetation zones showed the replacement and mixing of soil water isotopes 428

and precipitation. The results showed that the soil water storage capacity of the alpine 429 430 grassland was seriously insufficient, reflecting the incomplete rewetting of the soil by precipitation at the end of the study. In addition, low soil water storage capacity will 431 enrich the remaining soil water isotopes (Zimmermann et al., 1966; Barnes and 432 433 Allison, 1988). We observed the memory effect of soil rewetting caused by precipitation input and the mixing of different vegetation areas during the entire study 434 period. The changes in soil moisture in each vegetation area reflect different climatic 435 436 and hydrological characteristics (Fig. 4; Fig. 6).

437

### 5.3 Influencing factors of soil water storage capacity in arid headwater areas

As the temperature decreased rapidly with increasing height, precipitation and 438 humidity increased to a certain extent, and the vegetation showed a strip-like 439 440 alternation approximately parallel to the contour line, forming zonal vegetation with obvious differentiation (Yin et al., 2020). The dry-wet conditions of different 441 vegetation zones restricted the soil water storage capacity in the basin. In the process 442 of low-altitude vegetation zone replacement, the precipitation decreased, the 443 444 temperature rose, the groundwater level dropped, and the soil water storage capacity was weak (Coussement et al., 2018; Kleine et al., 2020). The soil water storage 445 capacity of the alpine meadow zone with low-temperature and rainy weather was 446 higher than that of other vegetation zones (results of the 0-40 cm soil layers from 447 448 April to October: AM: 187.8 mm; CF: 128.4 mm; MG: 81.2 mm; DF: 132.1 mm). 449 During the study period, the soil water storage capacity (0-40 cm) exceeded 165 mm each month. With the decrease in altitude, the monthly difference in dry-wet 450 conditions in each vegetation zone gradually became obvious. With the increase in 451 452 temperature in summer, the environment became dry, and the soil water storage capacity weakened (Sprenger et al., 2017). The soil water storage capacity of the 453 coniferous forest zone began to decrease in April, and the water storage capacity of 454 the 0-40 cm layer reached the minimum value (101.2 mm) in July. The variation in 455 temperature and precipitation was the main reason for the monthly difference 456 457 (Dubber and Werner, 2019). Although there was a certain water storage capacity in the coniferous forests with some transpiration loss, the soil water storage capacity in 458

this vegetation zone was not strong. The water storage capacity of mountain grassland 459 soil was lower than that of other vegetation zones. The continuous dry and warm 460 weather in spring and summer led to the water storage capacity of 0-40 cm soil being 461 lower than that of 100 mm every month. In particular, drought stress leads to 462 463 insufficient soil moisture, making it difficult to maintain plant demand, resulting in sparse vegetation and large-scale exposed surface soil, which further accelerates 464 surface water loss. The continuous precipitation from the end of July prevented 465 466 further drought development, and the water input gradually restored the soil water storage capacity (Kleine et al., 2020). The deciduous forests had hydrothermal 467 conditions similar to those of the mountain grasslands, but the soil porosity of the 468 forest zone was obviously larger than that of the barren land, and its permeability was 469 470 higher than that of the barren land. Precipitation infiltrated the ground through roots and turned into groundwater. The forest acted as a reservoir due to its strong water 471 storage and soil conservation capacity (Sprenger et al., 2019). The water storage 472 473 capacity of the 0-40 cm soil layer in the deciduous forest was higher than 100 mm at 474 each sampling time. In addition, the water content of the 0-40 cm soil layer in each vegetation zone increased with the deepening of the soil layer, and the water storage 475 capacity of the surface soil was weak. The difference in soil properties also led to 476 more water storage in the middle and lower soil layers with higher clay contents 477 478 (Heinrich et al., 2019) (Fig. 7). Climate warming and the spatiotemporal imbalance 479 of water resources have disturbed the ecological-water balance of different vegetation 480 zones in inland river source areas (Liu et al., 2015). Plant growth mainly depends on the water stored in shallow soil layers (Amin et al., 2020). Drought reduces soil water 481 482 storage and inhibits plant growth (Li et al., 2020). To effectively improve and manage water resources in arid water source areas, exploring the heterogeneity among 483 different vegetation zones is necessary. According to the basin's current climate, 484 hydrology, and social economy, scientific and reasonable management policies should 485 be formulated according to local conditions for different ecological-hydrological 486 487 contradictions and extended to more areas.

## 488 **6.** Conclusion

This work provides further insights into the movement and mixing of soil water 489 in different vegetation zones in arid source regions. During the study period, the 490 491 dynamic changes in lc-excess in the soil profiles of different vegetation zones reflected the evaporation signals caused by drought. Soil water evaporation in spring 492 493 and summer and insufficient precipitation during the drought period were the main driving forces of isotopic enrichment in the surface soil. The evaporation intensity 494 results of the four vegetation zones followed the order of mountain grassland > 495 deciduous forest > coniferous forest > alpine meadow. In the mountain grassland and 496 497 deciduous forest zones, drought caused the evaporation signal to penetrate deep into the middle and lower soil layers. The SWlc-excess below 70 cm of the ground surface 498 499 remained negative. Soil water isotopes and gravimetric water content record the process of soil rewetting caused by precipitation input and mixing. The alpine 500 meadow and coniferous forest zones were enriched in precipitation. After a short 501 502 period of weak evaporation, the soil was rewetted by the next precipitation event. 503 There was only sporadic precipitation in the mountainous grassland and deciduous forest belt from mid-May to late July. After July, the temperature dropped, and 504 505 continuous precipitation wet the soil again after two months of drought. The mountain grassland and deciduous forest zones had only sporadic precipitation from mid-May 506 507 to late July. With the decrease in air temperature and continuous precipitation after July, the soil was rewetted after two months of drought. Moisture and temperature 508 conditions were the key factors that restricted the soil water storage capacity in the 509 510 different vegetation zones. The soil water storage capacity results followed the order of alpine meadow > deciduous forest > coniferous forest > mountainous grassland. 511 The water storage capacity of the surface soil in each vegetation zone was weak, and 512 513 more water was stored in the middle and lower soil layers with higher clay contents. This research is helpful to understand the hydrological cycle in different vegetation 514 515 areas and can provide theoretical support for obtaining a regional ecological hydrological balance. 516

#### 517 **Reference**

- 518 Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for
- 519 computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56. Fao,
  520 Rome, 300(9), D05109.
- Amin, A., Zuecco, G., Geris, J., Schwendenmann, L., McDonnell, J. J., Borga, M., & Penna, D.
  (2020). Depth distribution of soil water sourced by plants at the global scale: A new direct
  inference approach. Ecohydrology, 13(2), e2177.
- Barnes, C. J., & Allison, G. B. (1988). Tracing of water movement in the unsaturated zone using
  stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen. Journal of Hydrology, 100(1-3), 143-176.
- Brodersen, C., Pohl, S., Lindenlaub, M., Leibundgut, C., & Wilpert, K. V. (2000). Influence of
  vegetation structure on isotope content of throughfall and soil water. Hydrological
  Processes, 14(8), 1439-1448.
- Brooks, J. R., Barnard, H. R., Coulombe, R., & McDonnell, J. J. (2010). Ecohydrologic separation
  of water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate. Nature Geoscience, 3(2),
  100-104.
- Coussement, T., Maloteau, S., Pardon, P., Artru, S., Ridley, S., Javaux, M., & Garré, S. (2018). A
   tree-bordered field as a surrogate for agroforestry in temperate regions: Where does the water
- 534 go?. Agricultural Water Management, 210, 198-207.
- 535 Dansgaard, W. (1964). Stable isotopes in precipitation. tellus, 16(4), 436-468.
- 536 Dubbert, M., & Werner, C. (2019). Water fluxes mediated by vegetation: emerging isotopic 537 insights at the soil and atmosphere interfaces. New Phytologist, 221(4), 1754-1763.
- 538 Duvert, C., Stewart, M. K., Cendón, D. I., & Raiber, M. (2016). Time series of tritium, stable
  539 isotopes and chloride reveal short-term variations in groundwater contribution to a stream.
  540 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(1), 257-277.
- 541 Ferretti, D. F., Pendall, E., Morgan, J. A., Nelson, J. A., Lecain, D., & Mosier, A. R. (2003).
- 542 Partitioning evapotranspiration fluxes from a Colorado grassland using stable isotopes:
  543 Seasonal variations and ecosystem implications of elevated atmospheric CO2. Plant and
  544 Soil, 254(2), 291-303.

- 545 Gibson, J. J., Holmes, T., Stadnyk, T. A., Birks, S. J., Eby, P., & Pietroniro, A. (2021). Isotopic
- 546 constraints on water balance and evapotranspiration partitioning in gauged watersheds across
  547 Canada. Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies, 37, 100878.
- Grant, G. E., & Dietrich, W. E. (2017). The frontier beneath our feet. Water Resources
  Research, 53(4), 2605-2609.
- Heinrich, I., Balanzategui, D., Bens, O., Blume, T., Brauer, A., Dietze, E., ... & Wille, C. (2019).
  Regionale Auswirkungen des Globalen Wandels: Der Extremsommer 2018 in
  Nordostdeutschland. System Erde, 9(1), 38-47.
- Hsieh, J. C., Chadwick, O. A., Kelly, E. F., & Savin, S. M. (1998). Oxygen isotopic composition
  of soil water: quantifying evaporation and transpiration. Geoderma, 82(1-3), 269-293.
- Kleine, L., Tetzlaff, D., Smith, A., Wang, H., & Soulsby, C. (2020). Using water stable isotopes to
  understand evaporation, moisture stress, and re-wetting in catchment forest and grassland
  soils of the summer drought of 2018. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24(7),
  3737-3752.
- Koeniger, P., Gaj, M., Beyer, M., & Himmelsbach, T. (2016). Review on soil water isotope-based
  groundwater recharge estimations. Hydrological Processes, 30(16), 2817-2834.
- Landwehr, J. M., & Coplen, T. B. (2006). Line-conditioned excess: a new method for
  characterizing stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in hydrologic systems.
  In International conference on isotopes in environmental studies (pp. 132-135). Vienna:
  IAEA.
- Landwehr, J. M., Coplen, T. B., & Stewart, D. W. (2014). Spatial, seasonal, and source variability
  in the stable oxygen and hydrogen isotopic composition of tap waters throughout the
  USA. Hydrological Processes, 28(21), 5382-5422.
- Li, X., Piao, S., Wang, K., Wang, X., Wang, T., Ciais, P., ... & Peñuelas, J. (2020). Temporal
  trade-off between gymnosperm resistance and resilience increases forest sensitivity to
  extreme drought. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 4(8), 1075-1083.
- Liu, Y., Liu, F., Xu, Z., Zhang, J., Wang, L., & An, S. (2015). Variations of soil water isotopes and
  effective contribution times of precipitation and throughfall to alpine soil water, in Wolong
  Nature Reserve, China. Catena, 126, 201-208.

- Ma, X., Jia, W., Zhu, G., Ding, D., Pan, H., & Xu, X. (2018). Stable isotope composition of
  precipitation at different elevations in the monsoon marginal zone. Quaternary International,
  493(10), 86-95.
- McCutcheon, R. J., McNamara, J. P., Kohn, M. J., & Evans, S. L. (2017). An evaluation of the
  ecohydrological separation hypothesis in a semiarid catchment. Hydrological
  processes, 31(4), 783-799.
- 580 Milly, P. C. D. (1994). Climate, soil water storage, and the average annual water balance. Water
  581 Resources Research, 30(7), 2143-2156.
- Pang, Z., Kong, Y., Froehlich, K., Huang, T., Yuan, L., Li, Z., & Wang, F. (2011). Processes
  affecting isotopes in precipitation of an arid region. Tellus B: Chemical and Physical
  Meteorology, 63(3), 352-359.
- Penna, D., Hopp, L., Scandellari, F., Allen, S. T., Benettin, P., Beyer, M., ... & Kirchner, J. W.
  (2018). Ideas and perspectives: Tracing terrestrial ecosystem water fluxes using hydrogen and
  oxygen stable isotopes-challenges and opportunities from an interdisciplinary
  perspective. Biogeosciences, 15(21), 6399-6415.
- Penna, D., Stenni, B., Šanda, M., Wrede, S., Bogaard, T. A., Michelini, M., ... & Wassenaar, L. I.
  (2012). Evaluation of between-sample memory effects in the analysis of δ<sup>2</sup>H and δ<sup>18</sup>O of
  water samples measured by laser spectroscopes. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences,
  16(10), 3925-3933.
- Peralta-Tapia, A., Sponseller, R. A., Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., & Laudon, H. (2015). Connecting
  precipitation inputs and soil flow pathways to stream water in contrasting boreal
  catchments. Hydrological Processes, 29(16), 3546-3555.
- Qu, D., Tian, L., Zhao, H., Yao, P., Xu, B., & Cui, J. (2020). Demonstration of a memory
  calibration method in water isotope measurement by laser spectroscopy. Rapid
  Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 34(8), e8689.
- Rothfuss, Y., & Javaux, M. (2017). Reviews and syntheses: Isotopic approaches to quantify root
  water uptake: a review and comparison of methods. Biogeosciences, 14(8), 2199-2224.
- Sharma, H., Ehlers, T. A., Glotzbach, C., Schmid, M., & Tielbörger, K. (2021). Effect of rock
  uplift and Milankovitch timescale variations in precipitation and vegetation cover on
- 603 catchment erosion rates. Earth Surface Dynamics, 9(4), 1045-1072.

- Snelgrove, J. R., Buttle, J. M., Kohn, M. J., & Tetzlaff, D. (2021). Co-evolution of xylem water
  and soil water stable isotopic composition in a northern mixed forest biome. Hydrology and
  Earth System Sciences, 25(4), 2169-2186.
- Sprenger, M., Erhardt, M., Riedel, M., & Weiler, M. (2016a). Historical tracking of nitrate in
  contrasting vineyards using water isotopes and nitrate depth profiles. Agriculture,
  Ecosystems & Environment, 222, 185-192.
- 610 Sprenger, M., Leistert, H., Gimbel, K., & Weiler, M. (2016b). Illuminating hydrological processes
- at the soil-vegetation-atmosphere interface with water stable isotopes. Reviews of
  Geophysics, 54(3), 674-704.
- Sprenger, M., Tetzlaff, D., & Soulsby, C. (2017). Soil water stable isotopes reveal evaporation
  dynamics at the soil-plant-atmosphere interface of the critical zone. Hydrology and Earth
  System Sciences, 21(7), 3839-3858.
- Sprenger, M., Llorens, P., Cayuela, C., Gallart, F., & Latron, J. (2019). Mechanisms of
  consistently disjunct soil water pools over (pore) space and time. Hydrology and Earth
  System Sciences, 23(6), 2751-2762.
- Stumpp, C., Stichler, W., Kandolf, M., & Šimůnek, J. (2012). Effects of land cover and
  fertilization method on water flow and solute transport in five lysimeters: A long-term study
  using stable water isotopes. Vadose Zone Journal, 11(1).
- Tang, K., & Feng, X. (2001). The effect of soil hydrology on the oxygen and hydrogen isotopic
  compositions of plants' source water. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 185(3-4), 355-367.
- 624 Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., Buttle, J., Capell, R., Carey, S. K., Laudon, H., ... & Shanley, J. (2013).
- 625 Catchments on the cusp? Structural and functional change in northern 626 ecohydrology. Hydrological Processes, 27(5), 766-774.
- Kiao, W., Wei, Z., & Wen, X. (2018). Evapotranspiration partitioning at the ecosystem scale using
  the stable isotope method—A review. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 263, 346-361.
- Yin, L., Dai, E., Zheng, D., Wang, Y., & Tong, M. (2020). What drives the vegetation
  dynamics in the hengduan mountain region, southwest china: climate change or human
  activity?. Ecological Indicators, 112, 106013.

- 632 Zhang, X. P., Guan, H. D., Sun, Z. A., Sun, G. L., Zhang, X. Z., & Wu, H. W. (2012). Simulations
- 633 of stable isotopic variations in precipitation and comparison with measured values in Yunnan Province, China. Scientia Geographica Sinica, 32(1), 121-128. 634
- Zhu, G., Yong, L., Zhang, Z., Sun, Z., Sang, L., Liu, Y., ... & Guo, H. (2021a). Infiltration process 635 636 of irrigation water in oasis farmland and its enlightenment to optimization of irrigation mode: Based on stable isotope data. Agricultural Water Management, 258, 107173. 637
- Zhu, G., Yong, L., Zhang, Z., Sun, Z., Wan, Q., Xu, Y., ... & Guo, H. (2021b). Effects of plastic 638
- 639 mulch on soil water migration in arid oasis farmland: Evidence of stable isotopes. CATENA, 640 207, 105580.
- Zimmermann, U., Münnich, K. O., Roether, W., Kreutz, W., Schubach, K., & Siegel, O. (1966). 641
- 642 Tracers determine movement of soil moisture and evapotranspiration. Science, 152(3720), 643 346-347.

#### 644 Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science 645 Foundation of China (41661005, 41867030, 41971036). The authors much thank the 646 647 colleagues in the Northwest Normal University for their help in fieldwork, laboratory analysis, data processing. 648

#### **Author Contribution statement** 649

Guofeng Zhu and Leilei Yong conceived the idea of the study; Yuanxiao Xu and 650 Qiaozhuo Wan analyzed the data; Zhigang Sun and Leilei Yong were responsible for 651 field sampling; Zhuanxia Zhang participated in the experiment; Lei Wang participated 652 653 in the drawing; Leilei Yong wrote the paper; Liyuan Sang and Yuwei Liu checked and 654 edited language. All authors discussed the results and revised the manuscript.

- 655 **Additional Information**
- 656

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.