# **Quantifying pluriannual multi-year** hydrological memory with Catchment Forgetting Curves

Alban de Lavenne<sup>1,2</sup>, Vazken Andréassian<sup>2</sup>, Louise Crochemore<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Göran Lindström<sup>1</sup>, and Berit Arheimer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SMHI, Norrköping, Sweden
 <sup>2</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UR HYCAR, Antony, France
 <sup>3</sup>INRAE, UR RiverLy, Lyon, France
 <sup>4</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP, IGE, Grenoble, France
 Correspondence: Alban de Lavenne (alban.delavenne@inrae.fr)

Abstract. A climatic anomaly can potentially affect on the hydrological behaviour of a catchment for several years. This article presents a new approach to quantifying pluriannual quantify this multi-year hydrological memory, using exclusively streamflow and climate data. The rainfall-runoff Rather than providing a single value of catchment memory, we aim to describe how this memory fades over time. The precipitation-runoff relationship is analyzed through the concept of elasticity, focusing on the

- 5 . Elasticity measures the change in one quantity caused by the change in another quantity. We analyse the elasticity of the relation between the annual anomalies of runoff yield and humidity index. We identify *Catchment Forgetting Curves* (CFC) to quantify pluriannual-multi-year catchment memory, considering not only the current year's humidity anomaly but also the anomalies of the preceding years. CFCs are parameterized using a Gamma distributionderived from the calibration of Gamma distributions.
- 10 The variability of CFCs is investigated on a set of 158 Swedish and 527 French catchments. As expected, French catchments overlying powerful large aquifers exhibit a long memory., i.e. with the impact of climate anomalies detected over several years. In Sweden, the expected effect of the lakes is less clear. Overall, aridity appears to be one of the main drivers of catchment memory in both countries. Our work For both countries, a relatively strong relationship between the humidity index and memory is identified, with drier regions exhibiting longer memory. Taking into account the multi-year memory has
- 15 <u>significantly improved the elasticity analysis for 15% of the catchments. Our work thus</u> underlines the need to account for catchment memory in order to produce meaningful and geographically coherent elasticity indices.

# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Catchment memory

A catchment receives precipitation from the atmosphere, a water amount that is either stored in soils, biotavegetation, snow/glaciers, 20 lakes/wetlands, and aquifers, or returned to the atmosphere (evaporated), exported by the river (as streamflow), or exported to regional aquifers (as intercatchment groundwater flow). The relative distribution between these fluxes depends not only on the physical characteristics of the catchment, but also on the recent climatic sequence: The response of a catchment to incoming precipitation depends largely on its *wetness* (i.e., on the more or less saturated state of soils and wetlands within the catchment; Andréassian and Perrin (see e.g. 2012) for a Turc-Budyko explanation framework). One can thus talk of *catchment memory*, in

25 that in its reaction to the incoming precipitation, a catchment remembers the past to some extent.

The objective of this paper is to characterize catchment memory, in order to understand the time during which the past elimatic sequence one climatic anomaly will affect catchment response. We wish here to follow the experimental psychologist Ebbinghaus (1885) in proposing *forgetting curves*, which will describe the decline of memory in time. To make this discussion of a complex matter simple, we start with a first-order simplifying assumption: We hypothesize that a catchment may have

- 30 both a distinguish short-term and a memory from long-term memory(see e.g., Risbey and Entekhabi, 1996; McDonnell, 2017) ; we. We consider the short-term memory to be seasonal, and will not address it because we decided to work on an annual time step, this will not be addressed in this paperin order to. We rather focus on the long-term (pluriannualmulti-year) memory effects, where instead of analysing each year independently (see e.g., Risbey and Entekhabi, 1996), we aim to take into account previous years to better explain inter-annual variability (following McDonnell, 2017).
- In what follows, we will consider catchment memory from the point of view of annual precipitation yield (i.e., the ratio of annual discharge to annual precipitation) and will research the different climatic factors explaining its <u>spatial</u> variability. We aim in particular to assess the cumulative effect of wet and dry years, i.e., how successions of relatively wet or dry years within a climatic sequence affect the rainfall yield over subsequent years. While catchment memory is obviously a function of catchment storage <u>capacity</u> (in groundwater aquifers, wetlands, lakes or glaciers), the originality of this paper will be in the
- 40 quantification of *forgetting curves* at catchment scale (rather than memory indices or single value usually found in literature, see section 1.3): We will identify them from annual data series and, only then, attempt a physical interpretation.

#### 1.2 Catchment memory vs. water age

45

50

A distinction that we believe is necessary from the onset is the one between catchment memory and water age. Indeed, because catchment memory reflects a temporal aspect, the difference between these two notions might look tenuous, and it is important to clarify it:

- water age describes the time that the water takes to travel through the catchment. It can describe the actual age of the water storage (residence time distribution) or the age of the water when it reaches the outlet (travel time distribution). This is a primary focus when it comes to water quality modeling, where flow paths and travel time have to be understood for tracking nutrients within the catchment (Hrachowitz et al., 2016). As summarized in the recent review by Sprenger et al. (2019), these investigations will generally rely on tracers and on a physical understanding that would explain how catchment storage is sampled by different hydrological processes to generate streamflow. Because we do not use any tracers in this study, we cannot check any hypothesis about water age and we will not discuss this topic further;
- **catchment memory,** as defined in this paper, describes the period of time during which we manage to detect a significant dependency between two signals: the past climatic inputs and the current ability of the catchment to transform precipita-

- 55 tion into river flow. The scientific literature sometimes addresses catchment memory also through "flow persistence" (see e.g., Svensson, 2015; Ouinn et al., 2021) or "flow predictability" (see e.g., Bierkens and van Beek, 2009; van Dijk et al., 2013). Compared with a description of water age, the ambition to physically understand the system is more limited and restricted to explaining catchment behavior from the perspective of an operational flow prediction model. Our study is thus more in line with conceptual modeling, where we focus more on the concept of celerity (pressure wave propagation) rather than on velocity (mass flux of water) to describe the hydrological response (McDonnell and Beven, 2014).
- 60

80

This distinction may also be linked to the different perceptual storages of water in a catchment. "Mobile storage", which controls transport in a catchment is more linked to water age, whereas "dynamic storage" which controls streamflow dynamics is more in line with our definition of catchment memory (see e.g. Staudinger et al., 2017).

#### 1.3 How to describe catchment memory?

- There is a broad literature dealing with catchment memory. Among the authors who have discussed related topics in the past, 65 the contribution of Hurst (1951) is one of the earliest (see also the review by O'Connell et al., 2016). He was followed by many hydrologists who studied the auto-regressive properties of annual flows (e.g. Lins, 1985; Montanari et al., 1997; Vogel et al., 1998; Rao and Bhattacharya, 1999; Wang et al., 2007; Mudelsee, 2007; Szolgayova et al., 2013).
- Spectral analysis can be used to provide insight into catchment memory. It is regularly used for stream chemistry (see e.g. Kirchner et al., 2000), in order to understand travel time distributions. Despite being out of the scope of this study (see section 70 1.2), these spectral analyses generally highlight that catchments do not exhibit a particular flushing time of a contaminant, but instead a rapid flush followed by a low level of contamination that could be surprisingly long.

Simple correlations are a common method for quantifying the dependence on the past. Nippgen et al. (2016) studied the lag correlation between precipitation and runoff ratio, from monthly to annual time steps, and demonstrated that the precipitation

75 of the previous year was equally correlated to the year's runoff ratio in five North Carolina catchments studied. Iliopoulou et al. (2019) computed a lagged seasonal correlation between selected river flow signatures and the average river flow in the antecedent months. They found higher correlations with low-flow signatures than with high-flow signatures.

Gharari and Razavi (2018) analyzed the memory of hydrological systems from the point of view of hysteretic systems, using "path-dependent systems" as a synonym of "systems with memory", and precising that unlike a system in which the future state depends only upon its present state and forcings, the future of a path-dependent system depends on the sequence of states

preceding the present state.

Catchment memory can also be approached by quantifying water storage. Creutzfeldt et al. (2012) used a 10-year time series of high-precision gravimetric measurements to follow the evolution of catchment-scale water storage, as well as the long-term recovery of a particularly strong drought event in 2003, and found that the catchment remembers the event over several years.

Orth and Seneviratne (2013) explain streamflow and evapotranspiration memory as a propagation of soil moisture memory. 85 Instead of quantifying memory using a lag correlation, they proposed calculating the mean time required to recover from anomalous conditions. This enabled them to highlight a longer memory for the more extreme anomalies.

Multi-year memory can also be analyzed through the residuals of annual water balance. Trask et al. (2017) proposed different statistical techniques to account for these residuals of the previous years. It enables one to improve each annual water balance evaluation and to account for inter-annual changes of water storage.

90

Any hydrological model must, in one way or another, conceptualize the hydrological memory by parameters that govern the behavior of model states. Kratzert et al. (2019) proposed a data-driven approach using Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTMs) to simulate discharge. LSTM is a class of neural network where each cell has a memory coming from long-term dependencies between input and output features. This memory conceptualization is quite similar to a state in a hydrologi-

95 cal model. Memory can also be derived from a digital filter applied on the hydrograph. Pelletier and Andréassian (2020b) introduced a memory-based approach for determining the parameters of a conceptual baseflow separation method.

In summary, it appears that most existing methods aiming to analyze memory either summarize the memory by a single value and/or provide an index that cannot be directly interpreted as duration. In this study, we seek to describe memory in the form of duration but also to understand how this memory fades over time, i.e., how the catchment forgets.

#### 100 1.4 Why describe catchment memory?

The concept of catchment memory is used with intra-annual objectives to qualify the predictability linked with initial hydrological states (e.g., Svensson, 2015; Bierkens and van Beek, 2009; van Dijk et al., 2013; Quinn et al., 2021). Studies have aimed to weigh the predictability linked with the past, and conveyed by hydrological states (or catchment memory), in relation to the predictability linked with future rainfall and temperatures for predicting discharge (??). A critical time horizon in these studies, rather than the

105 time when initial states no longer influence future outcomes, is the time when the predictability linked with catchment states is outweighed by future conditions (e.g., Yossef et al., 2013; Shukla et al., 2013). past precipitation and temperatures, leading to the hydrological storage states when a forecast is issued (e.g., Svensson, 2015; van Dijk et al., 2013; Quinn et al., 2021). So far, short-term memory (seasonal storage) has often been the main focus in these studies. Pluriannual (e.g., Yossef et al., 2013; Shukla et al., 20 . Multi-year memory has been explicitly distinguished only recently with advances in decadal forecasting (Yuan and Zhu, 2010)

110 2018).

Catchment memory also has a long history when it comes to water quality modeling or tracer analysis, as past pollution inputs can influence water quality in rivers for several years or decades, creating a legacy that is often difficult to estimate (e.g., Hrachowitz et al., 2015; Van Meter et al., 2016). The time lag to achieve water quality goals, such as nitrogen reduction, thus have to be efficiently captured by the models (e.g., Ilampooranan et al., 2019). Landscape analysis based on water and

115 substance retention in various storages during the flow path from sources to the sea is important for judging the effects of remedial measures (e.g., ??). However, as discussed above, this definition of memory is more in line with the studies of travel time, which is not the direction adopted in this paper (see section 1.2).

Catchment memory is sometimes seen as a way to understand the nonlinearity of streamflow response to precipitation (Risbey and Entekhabi, 1996), and some authors see a better characterization of catchment memory as essential for model

120 structure improvement. For instance, Fowler et al. (2020) analyzed the slow dynamics observed in catchments and argue that streamflow may depend not only on the climatic conditions of the preceding weeks or months, but also on past years or even

decades. They consider that hydrological models often lack an explicit description of these long-term effects. Grigg and Hughes (2018) analyzed memory effects caused by multidecadal changes in catchment groundwater storage and showed that the GR4J model requires a complexification to account for these effects. The modification they propose is shown to be coherent with groundwater observations.

125

Catchment memory is also studied to understand how catchments recover from climatic extremes, such as multiyear droughts (Creutzfeldt et al., 2012; Hughes et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2017). Merz et al. (2016) hypothesized that catchment memory, along with intra- to inter-annual climate variability, could be responsible for temporal clustering of floods in Germany.

#### 1.5 What drives catchment memory?

Several studies have linked catchment memory to catchment size, generally showing that memory increases with catchment size 130 (see e.g., Mudelsee, 2007; Hirpa et al., 2010; Szolgayova et al., 2013; Iliopoulou et al., 2019). This is usually explained by the increase of storage in larger catchments. Mudelsee (2007) also explained the Hurst index through catchment geomorphology and the cascade produced by spatial aggregation along the river network.

Other physical descriptors, such as topography, soil and geology, are regularly used to provide a physical explanation 135 of catchment memory. Staudinger et al. (2017) found the largest dynamic and mobile storage estimates in high-elevation catchments. Merz et al. (2016) noted that catchments with deep soils and/or saprolite zones and powerful large aquifers have a higher catchment state persistence and thus a stronger longer memory. Orth and Seneviratne (2013) showed that soil moisture to some extent serves as an upper bound for streamflow and evapotranspiration memory.

- Some authors also assessed whether memory can be identified through hydrological signatures. Szolgayova et al. (2013) 140 found that the Hurst index generally increases with mean discharge, but decreases with specific discharge. Memory is often related to groundwater storage through indicators such as baseflow index (Grigg and Hughes, 2018; Fowler et al., 2020; Pelletier and Andréassian, 2020a). Several papers have shown that a long seasonal predictability is often correlated with the importance of baseflow (Harrigan et al., 2018; Lopez et al., 2021; Iliopoulou et al., 2019). Tomasella et al. (2008) described a strong memory effect in a small 6-km<sup>2</sup> Amazonian catchment that impacts the hydrological response of the catchment well
- beyond the time span of the seasonal climatic anomalies. The authors attribute this memory to storage in the groundwater 145 and unsaturated zone, and warn against the impact of this memory effect on the closure of water balance by models. Similar phenomena are found close to the Sahara Desert where aguifers may interfere with the surface water of the catchments in areas of certain geology (?). However, when it comes to short-term memory, Lo and Famiglietti (2010) showed that the presence of a groundwater aquifer can either increase or decrease land surface hydrological memory and this depends on the depth of the 150 water table.

Memory is also often related to different dryness indices. Szolgayova et al. (2013) found that memory increases with mean air temperature. In dry regions, the past generally weighs more on the predictability of seasonal forecasting than it does in wet regions (Harrigan et al., 2018; Lopez et al., 2021)(Harrigan et al., 2018; Pechlivanidis et al., 2020; Lopez et al., 2021). Iliopoulou et al. (2019) showed that memory decreases with increased wetness conditions.

Humans also affect the memory of hydrological systems: One can cite, for instance, the Sahelian paradox where runoff has increased since the 1970s, despite decreases and sustained low levels of rainfall (e.g., Amogu et al., 2010; Descroix et al., 2009) probably due to land degradation and soil crusting resulting in Hortonian overland flow instead of infiltration. Similarly, tile drainage of arable land has had large effects on soil storage in Sweden, providing a shorter catchment memory (Andersson and Arheimer, 2003). When building reservoirs, on the contrary, the memory is extended.

#### 160 **1.6 Scope of the paper**

165

A concept that seemed particularly handy to describe synthetically the relationship between two variables is *elasticity*. Taken from economics (Marshall, 1890), it has been applied in hydrology to describe the sensitivity of the changes in streamflow to changes in a climate input variable without requiring a rainfall-runoff precipitation-runoff model (Schaake and Liu, 1989; Andréassian et al., 2016). To our knowledge, it has never been applied with explicit consideration of catchment memory. The goal of our paper is threefold:

- 1. To present a method, based on the concept of elasticity, that not only can provide an index relevant to catchment memory, but can also characterize its dynamic in a manner analogous to a *forgetting curve* (Ebbinghaus, 1885);
  - 2. To disentangle catchment memory and catchment elasticity;
  - 3. To provide some physical indicators of the main drivers of memory and elasticity for France and Sweden.

#### 170 2 Material and Methods

#### 2.1 Catchment data

A total of 685 catchments are used in this study: 527 French catchments and 158 Swedish catchments.

In France, discharge series (Q) were extracted at a daily time step from the French Hydro database (Leleu et al., 2014). Only catchments that are not regulated according to this database (according to the classification within this database) were

175 selected. Corresponding catchment areas vary between 5 km<sup>2</sup> and 26,900 km<sup>2</sup>. Precipitation (P) and temperature (T) data were extracted from the SAFRAN atmospheric reanalysis produced by Météo-France (8x8 km and averaged over the catchment upstream areas; Vidal et al., 2010).

In Sweden, discharge series were extracted at a daily time step from the SMHI database. Only stations having less than 5% of their area regulated are used (information extracted from www.smhi.se). The degree of regulation is the percentage of the

180 volume of the mean annual flow that can be stored in reservoirs located upstream of the gauged catchment outlet. Catchment areas vary between 1 km<sup>2</sup> and 14,400 km<sup>2</sup>. Precipitation and temperature data were extracted at a daily time step from the PTHBV database (4x4 km; Johansson, 2002).

For all stations, potential evaporation ( $E_0$ ) was estimated from daily mean air temperature and daily extraterrestrial radiation following Oudin et al. (2005). Daily hydroclimatic data were aggregated at the annual scale for the purpose of this study, with

- 185 the hydrological year starting on October 1<sup>st</sup>. By defining the start of the year in this way, rather than by a calendar year, we aim to minimise a water volume that could be carried over two calendar years. This start date is a compromise across our entire data set and a sensitivity analysis has shown little influence of choosing earlier or later start months. Moreover, as this study aims to emphasize, a water balance at the annual scale can seldom be comprehensive. We accepted a maximum of 10% of missing data per year: the annual average can therefore be calculated over fewer days but is then rescaled to 365 days. All
- 190 685 stations also respect observe a period of at least 10 years of hydroclimatic data without any gaps in order to be able to to capture long-term memory. In the end, between 23 and 59 gauged years were available for our catchments.

These time series are also used to build five hydroclimatic descriptors for each catchment with the average of the annual values of Q and P,  $E_0$ , Q/P and  $P/E_0$ . In addition, the percentage of the watershed area covered by lakes is used as a supplementary descriptor. This information is provided by SMHI for Swedish catchments (extracted from www.smhi.se). For

- 195 France, the Lake Water Bodies according to the Water Framework Directive is used (extracted from geo.data.gouv.fr). Finally, the contribution of groundwater is assessed by a base flow baseflow index (BFI) calculated according to the work of Pelletier and Andréassian (2020a) where the baseflow is estimated from the outflow of a quadratic reservoir. The approach has two parameters (calibrated for each catchment): The reservoir capacity and the time depth over which past effective precipitations filling this reservoir are taken into account. This baseflow filtering was performed with the associated "baseflow" "baseflow" R
- 200 package (Pelletier et al., 2021).

## 2.2 Discrete memory Memory conceptualization

Our memory conceptualization starts discretely, i.e., on a year-by-year basis, and uses the concept of catchment elasticity (Schaake and Liu, 1989; Andréassian et al., 2016). Elasticity describes the sensitivity of the changes in streamflow related to changes in a climate input variable. More precisely for this study, we focus on the sensitivity of the changes in runoff yield
205 (Y = Q/P) related to changes in humidity index (H = P/E<sub>0</sub>) computed at the annual time step for each hydrological year i, as described by equation 1.

$$\frac{\delta Y}{\overline{Y}} = \varepsilon_1 \frac{\delta H}{\overline{H}} \qquad \frac{\Delta Y_i}{\overline{Y}} = \varepsilon_1 \frac{\Delta H_i}{\overline{H}}$$
with  $\Delta Y_i = (Y_i - \overline{Y})$  and  $\Delta H_i = (H_i - \overline{H})$ 
(1)

where  $\overline{Y}$  and  $\overline{H}$  are long-term average values of catchment yield and humidity indices, respectively, the operator  $\delta \Delta$  indicates the difference between a dated and a given annual value and its long-term average value, and  $\varepsilon_1$  is the elasticity index.

210

In order to investigate memory effects, we need to add a temporal dimension *i* to the traditional relation defined in equation 1: Instead of trying to explain the yield anomaly of year *i* from the climatic anomaly of the same year *i*, we allow for the use of several past climatic anomalies. The influence of each past anomaly is quantified by an additional parameter  $\omega_i$ , with *i* varying from 0 (the current year) to *n* preceding years (fixed to n = 5). By estimating the different values of  $\omega$  over the past years, we will be able to construct the (discrete) *Catchment Forgetting Curve* (CFC): It describes how quickly a catchment forgets past anomalies and when it starts to behave independently from past years' events. The elasticity index  $\varepsilon_2$  is still quantified (as in equation 1) and distinguished from catchment memory  $\omega$ .

$$\frac{\Delta Y_0}{\overline{Y}} = \varepsilon_2 \sum_{i=0}^n \left( \omega_i \cdot \frac{\Delta H_i}{\overline{H}} \right)$$
with  $\sum_{i=0}^n \omega_i = 1$ 
(2)

As we chose to work with dimensionless variables Y and H, one should notice that P appears on both sides of equation 2. However, they do have different time index i. Moreover, in order to avoid the detection of a memory in the signal Y that would have been contained in the climatic input signal H, we checked that no highly significant auto-correlation was found in H.

220

Graphically, the memory effect can be visualized by a series of plots showing the runoff yield anomaly in the ordinate as a function of the climate anomaly of the preceding years in the abseissa (Figure 1, top). Figure 1 shows a real example with a rather peculiar behavior: a catchment where past climatic anomalies are much better related to the past yield anomaly  $(i \in \{1, 2, 3\})$  than the current year anomaly (i = 0).



Figure 1. Elasticity analysis example on two catchments. No multi-year memory is detected on this Swedish catchments: a climatic anomaly  $P/E_0$  is directly affecting Q/P of the *Petit Thérain* River (212 km<sup>2</sup>), Francesame year but not later. The In opposition, a multi-year memory is detected on this French catchment: the effect of a climatic anomaly  $P/E_0$  on the hydrology (Q/P) only starts after 1 year, before slowly decreasing (according to r –Pearson correlation values).

#### 225 2.3 Continuous memory conceptualizationParametrisation of the CFCs

The parameterization of the discrete CFC(as shown in equation 2) A CFC, as formulated in the equation 2, requires the calibration of n + 1 values of  $\omega$ . In order to limit the number of parameters and to avoid having to calibrate each  $\omega$  value independently, we hypothesized (after many attempts that we cannot report here) that the CFC can be described by shape of the shape of the CFC is similar to the shape of a Gamma distribution. This assumption is not uncommon among studies that focus

230 on describing transit time distribution (see for instance: Kirchner et al., 2000, 2001; Dunn et al., 2010; Hrachowitz et al., 2010; Godsey et al., 2010; Tetzlaff et al., 2011; Heidbüchel et al., 2012; Berghuijs and Kirchner, 2017). The choice for a skewed distribution This choice was also driven by visualizing all the plots obtained for our catchment set (see, e.g., Figure 1): We found that a simple exponential parameterization would not be flexible enough as it does not allow lags -would not allow to describe a maximum at time steps different from zero, i.e. not allow to describe a lag between Q/P and  $P/E_0$ . A Gamma

235 distribution (Equation 3) requires the calibration of two parameters: a shape parameter  $\alpha$  and a scale parameter  $\beta$ :

$$\omega(i) = \frac{i^{\alpha - 1}}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\frac{i}{\beta}}$$
(3)

where  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the Gamma function evaluated at  $\alpha$ . The different values of  $\omega$  for each year *i* are estimated by integrating the Gamma density function between *i* and *i*+1. These  $\omega$  values are rescaled so that their sum is equal to 1, according to the equation 2, and to provide the final values of the CFC. In summary, a CFC is built from the optimisation of equation 2 using three parameters ( $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ).

For the sake of simplicity, before fitting a Gamma distributioncalibrating a CFC, we first fit a simple annual elasticity model (a zero-memory model), and use a statistical significance test (Student's *t*-Test with pvalue < 0.01), to decide whether equation 2 improves significantly on equation 1. If the improvement is not significant, we conclude on the absence of pluriannual multi-year memory for that catchment, and keep the simplest representation (that of equation 1). The objective function is a

root mean square error (RMSE) of the Q/P anomalies: it is used for the optimization of the parameter values and for this model selection. Parameters of both equations were calibrated using particle swarm optimization (PSO) through the hydroPSO R package (Zambrano-Bigiarini and Rojas, 2013).

# 2.4 Characterization Summary of the continuous CFCCFCs

240

In order to quantify catchment memory, we assume that the Gamma distribution, from which  $\omega$  values have been extracted, can be used to extrapolate a continuous temporal distribution of catchment memory. We extract two characteristic times from the continuous this temporal distribution (Figure 2). Firstly, we extract the time when the Gamma temporal distribution is at its maximum value. This allows us to describe a possible lag between the climatic anomaly and the main resulting hydrological anomaly (called  $t_p$ ). In addition, to describe the speed of memory loss, we extracted the time when the cumulative distribution reaches 75% (called  $t_{75}$ ), but any other percentage could be easily extracted in a similar way.



Figure 2. Extraction of two characteristic values from an example of a Gamma distribution.

### 255 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Is pluriannual multi-year memory a rare phenomenon?

Approximately 80% of the Swedish catchments and 89% of the French catchments showed no significant pluriannual multi-year memory (*significant* in terms of the aforementioned Student's *t*-Test): This shows that pluriannual multi-year catchment memory is neither common nor very uncommonnot the most common situation. We present in Figure 3 the CFCs identified for the

260 Swedish and French catchments separately. In Sweden, many of the catchments with pluriannual multi-year memory exhibit a lag of 1 year (Figure 3). This lag also appears in France where it sometimes reaches values of up to 3 years.



**Figure 3.** Catchment Forgetting Curves identified for the catchments on our French (left) and Swedish (right) dataset. The black lines represent the catchments where the <u>pluriannual multi-year</u> CFC is very significant, and the color gradient represents, for the rest of the catchments, the p-value of the *t*-Test.

In France, the weight of year 0 ( $\omega_0$ ) can frequently be equal to 0 (Figure 3): This lag effect means that a climatic anomaly will not have an impact until the next year (as in the example presented in Figure 1). By contrast, Sweden rarely shows such an extreme temporal disconnection, and the climatic anomaly of year 0 is most of the time already affecting catchment yield during the current year.

In France, the calibration of the CFC sometimes yields a slow decrease, from year 0 to year 5, without any lag. But this shape does not really appear in Sweden, where the decrease of the memory is usually fast, with most  $\omega$  values becoming negligible already after 2 or 3 years (Figure 3). In France, the  $\omega$  values become negligible after 4 or 5 years (which is why we retained 5 years as maximum duration for the CFC).

#### 270 3.2 Where do catchments exhibit a pluriannual multi-year memory?

265

A spatial analysis of the catchments with significant pluriannual-multi-year memory allows us to already identify some spatial patterns (Figure 4). In France, the Paris basin with its large chalk aquifer is the region where the most significant pluriannual multi-year memory exists. In the rest of France, pluriannual-multi-year memory is generally not significant. In Sweden, long-term memory is mainly detected in the south of the country. Some hydroclimatic characteristics of these regions could explain

these spatial patterns (see section 3.3 below). No spatial pattern appears in snow-covered regions (e.g., the Alps and northern Sweden). This shows that snow melt affects mostly the seasonal memory with no significant impact on Q/P over several years.



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of catchment memory over France and Sweden. Note that the model describing pluriannual\_multi-year catchment memory (equation 2) is used only when the p-value of the *t*-Test is below 0.01. Memory values are extracted from the Gamma distribution (see section 2.4):  $t_p$  is the time when the Gamma density function is maximum, and  $t_{75}$  is the time when the cumulative distribution reaches 75%.

By extracting the quantile 75% of the cumulated Gamma distribution ( $t_{75}$  which we use to characterize the CFC, see section

2.4), it is possible to quantify the duration of catchment memory. For these pluriannual multi-year memory catchments,  $t_{75}$  is often between 2 and 3 years in France, whereas it never exceeds 2 years in Sweden (Figure 4).

### 3.3 Can pluriannual multi-year memory be explained by hydroclimatic descriptors?

Figure 5 links catchment memory ( $t_{75}$ ) to a few hydroclimatic characteristics commonly identified as the main drivers in the literature. If larger Larger catchments tend to have larger longer memory in France, this trend is not confirmed in Sweden whereas in Sweden the memory does not seem to be related to catchment area.

- For both countries, the memory increases with aridity in drier hydro-climatic conditions (as characterized by either lower discharge and precipitation, lower Q/P or lower  $P/E_0$ ). However, the effect of potential evaporation does not appear clearly. This can be understood from the fact that catchments under humid climate are more likely to respond quickly to climatic inputs, whereas the hydrological behavior under less humid climate is more variable and linked to the dynamics of long-term water storage. It thus appears that the hydrological behaviour of the driest catchments is more dependent on past climatic conditions.
- 290 than that of humid catchments. It can be hypothesized that in wetter conditions, water storage is renewed more often and the memory therefore tends to decrease.

In France, a higher baseflow index (BFI) elearly identifies is associated with catchments with a longer memory. This confirms the predominant role of powerful aquifers in catchment memory. It also corroborates the spatial analysis of Figure 4, where long memory is mainly located within the Paris basin where groundwater contributes significantly to total discharge. For France, this spatial organization is thus very consistent with the memory estimates of Pelletier and Andréassian (2020a).

295

In Sweden, the percentage of the catchment area covered by lakes (lake cover, Figure 5) does not indicate a longer memory for catchments with larger lake cover. Compared with France, much of Sweden has thinner soils (as expressed by the available water capacit, which may account for a lower storage capacity and thus a shorter memory. Hydroclimatic characteristics with long memory in Sweden are consistent with catchments having higher seasonal prediction potential identified by Lopez et al. (2021) such as a high BFI and a low amount of precipitation.

300



Figure 5. Distribution of hydroclimatic characteristics according to three classes of memory (described by  $t_{75}$ ). The first class corresponds to catchments with no significant <u>pluriannual-multi-year</u> memory, the remaining catchments are split into two groups (shorter memory and longer memory) according to the median value of their memory. The numbers within each boxplot describe the number of catchments. The boxes are delimited by quantiles 0.25 and 0.75; whiskers by quantiles 0.1 and 0.9.

#### 3.4 What do we miss in catchment elasticity analysis when not accounting for pluriannual multi-year memory?

Equations 1 and 2 both quantify the elasticity  $\varepsilon$  in the relation between Q/P and  $P/E_0$ , but equation 1 does not account for pluriannual multi-year memory effects, while equation 2 does. Figure 6 compares these two elasticity indices and highlights that the elasticity of equation 2 is always higher than the elasticity obtained with equation 1 and generally slightly exceeds the value of 1. Thus, the lower elasticity values-

The numerical values of the elasticities obtained with equation 1 are very likely an artifact, the mark of a pluriannual memory-related buffer effect: Elasticity values and 2 should not be compared (the fact that one is lower than the other has no meaning): Equation 1 uses annual  $P/E_o$  anomalies whereas equation 2 uses a weighted average value of past  $P/E_o$  anomalies. The averaging of past  $P/E_o$  anomalies will inevitably smooth the extremes and will give a value generally closer to zero

310

305

(which is the long-term average value of  $P/E_o$  anomalies). These lower anomalies of  $P/E_o$  are logically compensated by higher elasticity values during calibration ( $\varepsilon_2 \ge \varepsilon_1$ ).

Figure 6 also shows that catchments with multi-year memory usually have higher relative differences between  $\varepsilon_1$  are lower than and  $\varepsilon_2$  simply to account for the smoothing introduced by catchment memory. When past climatic anomalies are explicitly

eonsidered, this buffer effect becomes unnecessary(in the sense of distance to the bisector) than the rest of the catchments. This

315 highlights that, despite the numerical artefact previously discussed, elasticity of catchments with multi-year memory is often under-estimated if this memory is not explicitly considered. A climatic anomaly will thus affect runoff yield more strongly than expected by equation 1, but with a delay.

By considering catchment memory, the elasticity values are thus changed. However, no strong relations were found between elasticity values and memory values (see Appendix A).



**Figure 6.** Comparison of elasticity indices found when ignoring <u>pluriannual multi-year</u> memory effects (equation 1) and when explicitly accounting for them (equation 2) for all catchments (France and Sweden).

### 320 3.5 Can elasticity values be explained by hydroclimatic descriptors?

Similarly to catchment memory, we can try to link elasticity indices to some classic hydroclimatic characteristics. Figure 7 illustrates these relations for the elasticity indices of equation 2 (i.e., the equation accounting explicitly for the memory effect).

The relation between elasticity and catchment area is inverted between France and Sweden: In France, large catchments have larger elasticity, but in Sweden large elasticity is observed in smaller catchments. Our conclusion is that catchment size is not a

325

5 first-order determining factor of memory and elasticity, and this likely reflects some more regional relation between catchment size and hydrology: For instance, specific discharge tends to increase with catchment size in Sweden, whereas it decreases in France (not shown here).

The stronger trends are found between catchment aridity/humidity and elasticity indices. Similarly to catchment memory (Figure 5), elasticity increases with aridity-related indicators: higher aridity index  $E_0/P$  (and humidity-related indicators:

lower humidity index  $P/E_0$ , lower discharge and precipitation, higher  $E_0$ , lower Q/P. This suggests that water-limited

catchments not only have a longer memory, but that their hydrological behavior is also more sensitive to climatic inputs. The low values of elasticity in wet areas can also be explained by the fact that the runoff yield, although generally higher, is less variable, thus leading to a lower slope in the Q/P versus  $P/E_0$  relationship (Figure 8).

335

Higher BFI values coincide with lower elasticity values in both France and Sweden. This suggests that a large contribution of groundwater attenuates the sensitivity to climatic anomalies: Even though these catchments have a longer memory of climatic anomalies, the impact of these anomalies is spread out distributed and smoothed over the years. In Sweden, lakes also smooth the effect of climatic anomalies, but not as much as humid conditions or large baseflow contributions.



Figure 7. Distribution of hydroclimatic characteristics according to three classes of the elasticity index ( $\varepsilon_2$ ) in equation 2. The numbers within each boxplot describe the number of catchments. The boxes are delimited by quantiles 0.25 and 0.75; whiskers by quantiles 0.1 and 0.9.



Figure 8. Comparison of the elasticity index ( $\varepsilon_2$ , equation 2) with the slope in the linear relation between Q/P and  $P/E_0$  (a), and with inter-quartile differences of Q/P values (b). Slopes can be visualized for each catchment through the segments. Segments are drawn between the two points defined by the first and third quartile of these two ratios. A square root transformation is applied on both axes.

The elasticity is also quite well structured in space (Figure 9): This spatial organization reflects the climatic conditions of each region, as already described by Figure 7. The two elasticity indices ( $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$ ) generally have the same spatial patterns, except for the catchments with a significant pluriannual multi-year memory: The very low elasticity values that they obtained with equation 1 (that can even be negative) were due to the impossibility to link correctly Q/P and  $P/E_0$  without considering the memory effect. Because it considers explicitly catchment memory, equation 2 yields elasticity values that are more coherent in space (and avoids the negative values that indicated a lack of hydrological understanding).



(a)  $\varepsilon_1$ : Elasticity index of equation 1

(b)  $\varepsilon_2$ : Elasticity index of equation 2

**Figure 9.** Comparison of elasticity index values obtained by optimizing equation 1 (which does not account for memory effects) and equation 2 (which does account for memory effects). The classes are delimited by quantile values to make a relative comparison of spatial patterns easier.

#### 4 Conclusions

#### 345 4.1 Synthesis

In this article, we proposed a new approach to quantifying catchment <u>pluriannual multi-year</u> memory, requiring only the knowledge of annual discharge data and climatic inputs. Catchment memory is conceptualized in the form of a *Forgetting Curve* (Ebbinghaus, 1885), characterizing how rapidly a catchment forgets past climatic inputs.

The rainfall-runoff precipitation-runoff relationship is analyzed through the concept of elasticity, linking the anomalies of runoff yield (Q/P) to the anomalies of humidity index  $(P/E_0)$ . In this work, we added a new dimension to the elasticity concept by considering past anomalies and by weighting these anomalies with using a Gamma distribution, which gives the shape of the CFC. Last, memory is characterized by the 75% quantile of the fitted this Gamma distribution.

As expected, catchments with significant pluriannual multi-year memory are dominated by groundwater in France. In Sweden, the expected effect of the lakes does not appear clearly. Instead, the aridity humidity index appears to be one of the main 355 drivers of catchment memory in both countries. Catchment area, often referred to in the literature, does not seem to play a first-order role. The elasticity indices were also well related to aridityhumidity, with humid catchments showing lower elasticity. We show that not accounting for pluriannual multi-year memory may yield elasticity indices with erratic values (that can even be negative). Introduction of the memory component produces a much more spatially coherent organization of the elasticity.

4.2 Limits

365

375

380

360 Our methodology relies on a simplifying assumption where short-term and long-term memories are distinguished. It was thus tempting to quote Klemeš et al. (1981), who, at the end of their paper (where they discussed short- and long-memory models), wrote:

As a scientific hypothesis about streamflow series behavior, neither the short-memory nor the long-memory model can be falsified on the basis of historic flow records of a typical length. Hydrologically, they thus both remain, in Popper's sense, within the realm of metaphysics, and the choice between them is a matter of value judgement. The only arguments that can be advanced for either of them are operational and subjective: Occam's razor and lack of hard evidence to the contrary for short-memory models, hedging against a suspected possibility of a slightly higher risk for the long-memory ones.

In our case, we would argue that the behavior described in this paper contributes some "hard evidence" on the behavior of hydrological systems, without any unneeded complexity. We agree that a more comprehensive approach that would not need to distinguish between short and long memory (using a relatively arbitrary p-value, Figure 4) would probably be preferable, if it could be achieved with the same parsimony.

It would also be tempting to directly relate our CFC to distributions of travel time (see the discussion in section 1.2). But this is clearly out of the scope of our method: We do not follow any water particle from its entry to its exit as a tracer would do. Thus, the values of memory that we obtained may not reflect water ages.

#### 4.3 Perspectives

In order to be used operationally, it would be interesting to predict catchment memory without the need for model calibration against long time-series of discharge observation. An efficient regionalization of the approach could rely on defining relations between the characteristics of the CFC and hydroclimatic characteristics. This study shows that elasticity may be regionalized properly, as it is mainly driven by climatic conditions. However, the parameters of the Gamma distribution seem less easy to regionalize, especially the scale parameter  $\beta$  (see Appendix B). One perspective would be to better relate the two parameters

of the Gamma distribution to catchment characteristics or to try other distribution assumptions that would allow for this more easily.

The parsimony of the proposed approach allows us to consider large-scale implementation on well-gauged territories. From a hydrological forecasting perspective, the maps thus produced can be used to better identify the predictability of the hydrological behavior of a catchment through the knowledge of past climatic inputs. From a changing climate perspective, they also provide an initial understanding of the sensitivity of watersheds to climatic anomalies and their effect on a multi-year time scale. We have proposed a static description of the CFC, future work could also examine how climate anomalies might alter the shape of the CFC over time.

- 390 Catchment memory is fundamental for hydrological understanding, especially of regional hydrological processes. We need to learn more about this phenomenon for efficient water management and landscape planning. To better understand the causes of differences in catchment memory and elasticity, we recommend a more thorough analysis against physiographical conditions, including different catchment characteristics mentioned in section 1.5. This could, for instance, be geomorphology, geology, vegetation, soil type and depth, aquifer interactions, groundwater levels, and human modifications (tile drainage, land
- 395 degradation, reservoirs).

Data availability. French climatic and hydrological data are provided by Météo-France and SCHAPI. French discharge time series are available from the French Hydro database (hydro.eaufrance.fr). Swedish climatic and hydrological time series are provided by the SMHI (https://www.smhi.se/data/hydrologi/vattenwebb).

#### **Appendix A: Relations between elasticity and catchment memory**

400 One objective of this study is to disentangle catchment memory from catchment elasticity. This appendix illustrates that no strong relations were found between these two indexes, neither for catchments with nor for catchments without pluriannual multi-year memory.



Figure A1. Relation between elasticity index ( $\varepsilon_2$ ) of equation 2 to catchment memory assessed by  $t_{75}$  and  $t_p$ 

#### Appendix B: Regionalization of Gamma distribution parameters

In this work, we assumed that the CFC can be described by a Gamma distribution. This appendix provides the spatial distribution-visualization of the two parameters of this Gamma distribution ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) optimized for each catchment (Figure B1). Figures B2 and B3 illustrate the challenge of regionalizing these CFCs, with opposite relationships between France and Sweden when relating these parameters to hydroclimatic characteristics.



**Figure B1.** Map of the parameters of the Gamma distribution (equation 3) calibrated inside equation 2. The classes are delimited by quantile values.



**Figure B2.** Distribution of hydroclimatic characteristics according to three classes of the shape parameter  $\alpha$  of the Gamma distribution (equation 3) calibrated inside equation 2. The classes are delimited by quantile values. The numbers within each boxplot describe the number of catchments.



Figure B3. Distribution of hydroclimatic characteristics according to three classes of the scale parameter  $\beta$  of the Gamma distribution (equation 3) calibrated inside equation 2. The classes are delimited by quantile values. The numbers within each boxplot describe the number of catchments.

*Author contributions.* AL, VA and LC designed the study and performed the analysis. GL and BA provided the Swedish data set and analysed the results in Sweden. AL wrote the paper, and all authors provided input on the paper for revision before submission.

410 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was funded by the project AQUACLEW, which is part of ERA4CS, an ERA-NET initiated by JPI Climate, and funded by FORMAS (SE), DLR (DE), BMWFW (AT), IFD (DK), MINECO (ES), ANR (FR) with co-funding by the European Commission [Grant 690462]. The authors gratefully acknowledge the reviews of Gaëlle Tallec, Charles Perrin, and Antoine Pelletier.

### References

435

- 415 Amogu, O., Descroix, L., Yéro, K. S., Breton, E. L., Mamadou, I., Ali, A., Vischel, T., Bader, J.-C., Moussa, I. B., Gautier, E., Boubkraoui, S., and Belleudy, P.: Increasing River Flows in the Sahel?, Water, 2, 170–199, https://doi.org/10.3390/w2020170, 2010.
  - Andersson, L. and Arheimer, B.: Modelling of human and climatic impact on nitrogen load in a Swedish river 1885-1994, Hydrobiologia, 497, 63–77, https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1025409620738, 2003.

Andréassian, V. and Perrin, C.: On the ambiguous interpretation of the Turc-Budyko nondimensional graph, Water Resources Research, 48,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012wr012532, 2012.

Andréassian, V., Coron, L., Lerat, J., and Moine, N. L.: Climate elasticity of streamflow revisited – an elasticity index based on long-term hydrometeorological records, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20, 4503–4524, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-4503-2016, 2016.
 Ballabio, C., Panagos, P., and Monatanarella, L.: Mapping topsoil physical properties at European scale using the LUCAS database,

Geoderma, 261, 110–123, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.07.006, 2016.

425 Berghuijs, W. R. and Kirchner, J. W.: The relationship between contrasting ages of groundwater and streamflow, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 8925–8935, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017gl074962, 2017.

Bierkens, M. F. P. and van Beek, L. P. H.: Seasonal Predictability of European Discharge: NAO and Hydrological Response Time, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 10, 953–968, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009jhm1034.1, 2009.

Creutzfeldt, B., Ferré, T., Troch, P., Merz, B., Wziontek, H., and Güntner, A.: Total water storage dynamics in response to climate

- 430 variability and extremes: Inference from long-term terrestrial gravity measurement, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jd016472, 2012.
  - Descroix, L., Mahé, G., Lebel, T., Favreau, G., Galle, S., Gautier, E., Olivry, J.-C., Albergel, J., Amogu, O., Cappelaere, B.,
     Dessouassi, R., Diedhiou, A., Breton, E. L., Mamadou, I., and Sighomnou, D.: Spatio-temporal variability of hydrological regimes around the boundaries between Sahelian and Sudanian areas of West Africa: A synthesis, Journal of Hydrology, 375, 90–102, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.12.012, 2009.
- Dunn, S. M., Birkel, C., Tetzlaff, D., and Soulsby, C.: Transit time distributions of a conceptual model: their characteristics and sensitivities, Hydrological Processes, 24, 1719–1729, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7560, 2010.

Ebbinghaus, H.: Über das gedächtnis: untersuchungen zur experimentellen psychologie, Duncker & Humblot, 1885.

Fowler, K., Knoben, W., Peel, M., Peterson, T., Ryu, D., Saft, M., Seo, K.-W., and Western, A.: Many commonly used rainfall-runoff models
lack long, slow dynamics: implications for runoff projections, Water Resources Research, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019wr025286, 2020.

- Gharari, S. and Razavi, S.: A review and synthesis of hysteresis in hydrology and hydrological modeling: Memory, path-dependency, or missing physics?, Journal of Hydrology, 566, 500–519, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.06.037, 2018.
  - Godsey, S. E., Aas, W., Clair, T. A., de Wit, H. A., Fernandez, I. J., Kahl, J. S., Malcolm, I. A., Neal, C., Neal, M., Nelson, S. J., Norton, S. A., Palucis, M. C., Skjelkvåle, B. L., Soulsby, C., Tetzlaff, D., and Kirchner, J. W.: Generality of fractal 1/f scaling in
- 445 catchment tracer time series, and its implications for catchment travel time distributions, Hydrological Processes, 24, 1660–1671, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7677, 2010.
  - Grigg, A. H. and Hughes, J. D.: Nonstationarity driven by multidecadal change in catchment groundwater storage: A test of modifications to a common rainfall-run-off model, Hydrological Processes, 32, 3675–3688, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13282, 2018.

Harrigan, S., Prudhomme, C., Parry, S., Smith, K., and Tanguy, M.: Benchmarking ensemble streamflow prediction skill in the UK, Hydrology

450 and Earth System Sciences, 22, 2023–2039, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-2023-2018, 2018.

- Heidbüchel, I., Troch, P. A., Lyon, S. W., and Weiler, M.: The master transit time distribution of variable flow systems, Water Resources Research, 48, W06 520, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011wr011293, 2012.
- Hirpa, F. A., Gebremichael, M., and Over, T. M.: River flow fluctuation analysis: Effect of watershed area, Water Resources Research, 46, W12 529, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009wr009000, 2010.
- 455 Hrachowitz, M., Soulsby, C., Tetzlaff, D., Malcolm, I. A., and Schoups, G.: Gamma distribution models for transit time estimation in catchments: Physical interpretation of parameters and implications for time-variant transit time assessment, Water Resources Research, 46, W10 536, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010wr009148, 2010.
  - Hrachowitz, M., Fovet, O., Ruiz, L., and Savenije, H. H. G.: Transit time distributions, legacy contamination and variability in biogeochemical 1/f<sup>α</sup> scaling: how are hydrological response dynamics linked to water quality at the catchment scale?, Hydrological Processes, 29, 5241–5256, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10546, 2015.
  - Hrachowitz, M., Benettin, P., van Breukelen, B. M., Fovet, O., Howden, N. J., Ruiz, L., van der Velde, Y., and Wade, A. J.: Transit times-the link between hydrology and water quality at the catchment scale, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 3, 629–657, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1155, 2016.
- Hughes, J. D., Petrone, K. C., and Silberstein, R. P.: Drought, groundwater storage and stream flow decline in southwestern Australia,
   Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L03 408, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011gl050797, 2012.
  - Hurst, H. E.: Long-term storage capacity of reservoirs, Trans. Amer. Soc. Civil Eng., 116, 770–799, 1951.
  - Ilampooranan, I., Van Meter, K. J., and Basu, N. B.: A Race Against Time: Modeling Time Lags in Watershed Response, Water Resources Research, 55, 3941–3959, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023815, 2019.
- Iliopoulou, T., Aguilar, C., Arheimer, B., Bermúdez, M., Bezak, N., Ficchì, A., Koutsoyiannis, D., Parajka, J., Polo, M. J., Thirel, G., and
- 470 Montanari, A.: A large sample analysis of European rivers on seasonal river flow correlation and its physical drivers, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 73–91, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-73-2019, 2019.
  - Johansson, B.: Estimation of areal precipitation for hydrological modelling in Sweden, Doctoral Thesis, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, http://hdl.handle.net/2077/15575, 2002.

Kirchner, J. W., Feng, X., and Neal, C.: Fractal stream chemistry and its implications for contaminant transport in catchments, Nature, 403,

475 <u>524–527</u>, https://doi.org/10.1038/35000537, 2000.

460

485

- Kirchner, J. W., Feng, X., and Neal, C.: Catchment-scale advection and dispersion as a mechanism for fractal scaling in stream tracer concentrations, Journal of Hydrology, 254, 82–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-1694(01)00487-5, 2001.
- Klemeš, V., Srikanthan, R., and McMahon, T. A.: Long-memory flow models in reservoir analysis: What is their practical value?, Water Resources Research, 17, 737–751, https://doi.org/10.1029/wr017i003p00737, 1981.
- 480 Kratzert, F., Klotz, D., Shalev, G., Klambauer, G., Hochreiter, S., and Nearing, G.: Towards learning universal, regional, and local hydrological behaviors via machine learning applied to large-sample datasets, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 23, 5089–5110, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-5089-2019, 2019.
  - Leleu, I., Tonnelier, I., Puechberty, R., Gouin, P., Viquendi, I., Cobos, L., Foray, A., Baillon, M., and Ndima, P.-O.: Re-founding the national information system designed to manage and give access to hydrometric data, La Houille Blanche, pp. 25–32, https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/2014004, (in French), 2014.
  - Lins, H. F.: Interannual streamflow variability in the United States based on principal components, Water Resources Research, 21, 691–701, https://doi.org/10.1029/wr021i005p00691, 1985.

Lo, M.-H. and Famiglietti, J. S.: Effect of water table dynamics on land surface hydrologic memory, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010id014191, 2010.

490 Lopez, M. G., Crochemore, L., and Pechlivanidis, I. G.: Benchmarking an operational hydrological model for providing seasonal forecasts in Sweden, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 25, 1189–1209, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-1189-2021, 2021. Marshall, A.: Principles of Economics, vol. 1, Macmillan and Co., first ed. edn., 802 pp., 1890.

McDonnell, J. J.: Beyond the water balance, Nature Geoscience, 10, 396–396, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2964, 2017.

McDonnell, J. J. and Beven, K.: Debates-The future of hydrological sciences: A (common) path forward? A call to action aimed

495 at understanding velocities, celerities and residence time distributions of the headwater hydrograph. Water Resources Research, 50, 5342-5350, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013wr015141, 2014.

Merz, B., Nguyen, V. D., and Vorogushyn, S.: Temporal clustering of floods in Germany: Do flood-rich and flood-poor periods exist?, Journal of Hydrology, 541, 824–838, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.07.041, 2016.

- Montanari, A., Rosso, R., and Taqqu, M. S.: Fractionally differenced ARIMA models applied to hydrologic time series: Identification, 500 estimation, and simulation, Water Resources Research, 33, 1035-1044, https://doi.org/10.1029/97wr00043, 1997.
  - Mudelsee, M.: Long memory of rivers from spatial aggregation, Water Resources Research, 43, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006wr005721, 2007.

Nippgen, F., McGlynn, B. L., Emanuel, R. E., and Vose, J. M.: Watershed memory at the Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory: The effect of past precipitation and storage on hydrologic response. Water Resources Research, 52, 1673–1695, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015wr018196. 2016.

O'Connell, P., Koutsoyiannis, D., Lins, H. F., Markonis, Y., Montanari, A., and Cohn, T.: The scientific legacy of Harold Edwin Hurst (1880–1978), Hydrological Sciences Journal, 61, 1571–1590, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2015.1125998, 2016.

Orth, R. and Seneviratne, S. I.: Propagation of soil moisture memory to streamflow and evapotranspiration in Europe, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 3895–3911, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3895-2013, 2013.

510 Oudin, L., Hervieu, F., Michel, C., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Anctil, F., and Loumagne, C.: Which potential evapotranspiration input for a lumped rainfall-runoff model? Part 2 – Towards a simple and efficient potential evapotranspiration model for rainfall-runoff modelling, Journal of Hydrology, 303, 290–306, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.08.026, 2005.

Pechlivanidis, I. G., Crochemore, L., Rosberg, J., and Bosshard, T.: What Are the Key Drivers Controlling the Quality of Seasonal Streamflow Forecasts?, Water Resources Research, 56, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019wr026987, 2020.

515 Pelletier, A. and Andréassian, V.: Hydrograph separation: an impartial parametrisation for an imperfect method, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 1171–1187, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1171-2020, 2020a.

Pelletier, A. and Andréassian, V.: Caractérisation de la mémoire des bassins versants par approche croisée entre piézométrie et séparation d'hydrogramme, La Houille Blanche, pp. 30–37, https://doi.org/10.1051/lhb/2020032, 2020b.

Pelletier, A., Andréassian, V., and Delaigue, O.: baseflow: Computes Hydrograph Separation, https://doi.org/10.15454/Z9IK5N, r package version 0.13.2, 2021.

520

505

Quinn, D. F., Murphy, C., Wilby, R. L., Matthews, T., Broderick, C., Golian, S., Donegan, S., and Harrigan, S.: Benchmarking seasonal forecasting skill using river flow persistence in Irish catchments, Hydrological Sciences Journal, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2021.1874612, 2021.

Rao, A. and Bhattacharva, D.: Hypothesis testing for long-term memory in hydrologic series, Journal of Hydrology, 216, 183–196,

525 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-1694(99)00005-0, 1999.

- Risbey, J. S. and Entekhabi, D.: Observed Sacramento Basin streamflow response to precipitation and temperature changes and its relevance to climate impact studies, Journal of Hydrology, 184, 209–223, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(95)02984-2, 1996.
- Schaake, J. and Liu, C.: Development and application of simple water balance models to understand the relationship between climate and water resources, in: New Directions for Surface Water Modeling (Proceedings of the Baltimore Symposium), edited by Publication, I., 181, pp. 343–352, 1989.
- Shukla, S., Sheffield, J., Wood, E. F., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: On the sources of global land surface hydrologic predictability, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 2781–2796, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2781-2013, 2013.
- Sprenger, M., Stumpp, C., Weiler, M., Aeschbach, W., Allen, S. T., Benettin, P., Dubbert, M., Hartmann, A., Hrachowitz, M., Kirchner, J. W., McDonnell, J. J., Orlowski, N., Penna, D., Pfahl, S., Rinderer, M., Rodriguez, N., Schmidt, M., and Werner, C.: The Demographics of
- 535 Water: A Review of Water Ages in the Critical Zone, Reviews of Geophysics, 57, 800–834, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018rg000633, 2019. Staudinger, M., Stoelzle, M., Seeger, S., Seibert, J., Weiler, M., and Stahl, K.: Catchment water storage variation with elevation, Hydrological Processes, 31, 2000–2015, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11158, 2017.
  - Svensson, C.: Seasonal river flow forecasts for the United Kingdom using persistence and historical analogues, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 61, 19–35, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2014.992788, 2015.
- 540 Szolgayova, E., Laaha, G., Blöschl, G., and Bucher, C.: Factors influencing long range dependence in streamflow of European rivers, Hydrological Processes, 28, 1573–1586, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9694, 2013.
  - Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., Hrachowitz, M., and Speed, M.: Relative influence of upland and lowland headwaters on the isotope hydrology and transit times of larger catchments, Journal of Hydrology, 400, 438–447, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.01.053, 2011.
- Tomasella, J., Hodnett, M. G., Cuartas, L. A., Nobre, A. D., Waterloo, M. J., and Oliveira, S. M.: The water balance of an Amazonian
   micro-catchment: the effect of interannual variability of rainfall on hydrological behaviour, Hydrological Processes, 22, 2133–2147,
  - https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6813, 2008.

530

- Trask, J. C., Fogg, G. E., and Puente, C. E.: Resolving hydrologic water balances through a novel error analysis approach, with application to the Tahoe basin, Journal of Hydrology, 546, 326–340, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.12.029, 2017.
- van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Peña-Arancibia, J. L., Wood, E. F., Sheffield, J., and Beck, H. E.: Global analysis of seasonal streamflow predictability
- 550 using an ensemble prediction system and observations from 6192 small catchments worldwide, Water Resources Research, 49, 2729–2746, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20251, 2013.
  - Van Meter, K. J., Basu, N. B., Veenstra, J. J., and Burras, C. L.: The nitrogen legacy: emerging evidence of nitrogen accumulation in anthropogenic landscapes, Environmental Research Letters, 11, 035 014, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/035014, 2016.
- Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Franchistéguy, L., Baillon, M., and Soubeyroux, J.-M.: A 50-year high-resolution atmospheric reanalysis over France
  with the Safran system, International Journal of Climatology, 30, 1627–1644, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2003, 2010.
  - Vogel, R. M., Tsai, Y., and Limbrunner, J. F.: The regional persistence and variability of annual streamflow in the United States, Water Resources Research, 34, 3445–3459, https://doi.org/10.1029/98wr02523, 1998.
  - Wang, W., Gelder, P. H. A. J. M. V., Vrijling, J. K., and Chen, X.: Detecting long-memory: Monte Carlo simulations and application to daily streamflow processes, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 11, 851–862, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-11-851-2007, 2007.
- 560 Yang, Y., McVicar, T. R., Donohue, R. J., Zhang, Y., Roderick, M. L., Chiew, F. H., Zhang, L., and Zhang, J.: Lags in hydrologic recovery following an extreme drought: Assessing the roles of climate and catchment characteristics, Water Resources Research, 53, 4821–4837, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017wr020683, 2017.

- Yossef, N. C., Winsemius, H., Weerts, A., van Beek, R., and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Skill of a global seasonal streamflow forecasting system, relative roles of initial conditions and meteorological forcing, Water Resources Research, 49, 4687–4699, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20350, 2013.
- Yuan, X. and Zhu, E.: A First Look at Decadal Hydrological Predictability by Land Surface Ensemble Simulations, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 2362–2369, https://doi.org/10.1002/2018gl077211, 2018.

565

Zambrano-Bigiarini, M. and Rojas, R.: A model-independent Particle Swarm Optimisation software for model calibration, Environmental Modelling & Software, 43, 5–25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.01.004, 2013.