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Abstract.
While groundwater recharge is considered fundamental to hydrogeological insights and basin management, only relatively little attention has been paid to its spatial distribution. And in ungauged catchments it has rarely been quantified, especially on the catchment scale.

For the first time, this study attempts such analysis, in a previously ungauged basin. Our work based on field data of several soil moisture stations, which represent five geological formations of karst rock in Wadi Natuf, a semi-arid to sub-humid Mediterranean catchment in the occupied Palestinian West Bank. For that purpose, recharge was conceptualized as deep percolation from soil moisture under saturation excess conditions, which had been modelled parsimoniously and separately with different formation-specific recharge rates.

For the regionalisation, inductive methods of empirical field-measurements and observations were combined with deductive approaches of extrapolation, following the recommendations for hydrological Prediction in Ungauged Basins (PUB), by the International Association of Hydrological Sciences (IAHS). Our results show an average annual recharge estimation in Wadi Natuf Catchment (103 km²), ranging from 24 to 28 Mm³/yr, equivalent to recharge coefficients (RC) of 39-46% of average annual precipitation.

Thus, for the first time, formation-specific RC-values could be derived, assessed and quantified in their spatial distribution, and by creating a schematic conceptual basin classification framework for regionalisation that is also applicable in many comparable sedimentary basins in the Mediterranean and worldwide.
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1 Introduction
The assessment of distributed groundwater recharge is considered a challenge already in basins with scarce data; even more so its spatial distribution and the regionalisation of point measurements and plot-scale experiments, since the governing processes of recharge and its spatial distribution often remain poorly understood (Hartmann et al., 2012a) even in well-developed basins. An additional complication poses the nature of karstic aquifers, characterized by their diverse and complicated inhomogeneous and anisotropic flow fields (Schmidt et al., 2014 and Geyer et al., 2008). Yet, regionalised information on spatially distributed recharge is highly important, not only for the correct budgeting of inflows on different scales, but also for the overriding and growing demands in resource protection and sustainable management, as well as the equitable allocation of groundwater among different basin riparians.

1.1 Approaches to spatial variability in ungauged basins (results of PUB)
In order to investigate spatial variability, two shifts in general approaches can be observed; on the one hand a shift from so-called indirect to direct approaches, which try to observe, determine and quantify surface-near processes (Dörhöfer and Jesopait, 1997; Lerner et al., 1990), as discussed in Messerschmid et al. (2019). This is particularly
the case in areas, where the observation of deep underground surfaces is limited or severely restricted, as in Wadi Natuf, under Israeli occupation (World Bank, 2009). And on the other hand, many authors of the PUB-literature recommended a shift away from lumped and integrated models and towards distributed models that differentiate hydrological processes, such as soil saturation, runoff or recharge, together with their drivers, e.g. precipitation, evapotranspiration, etc. (Batelaan and de Smedt, 2001; 2007; Hrachowitz et al., 2013 and Sivakumar et al., 2013). This is because lumped aquifer budgeting of observable inflows and outflows (e.g. wells and springs) are problematic in many of the scarcely gauged basins around the world. And furthermore, lumped budgeting all too often is inapplicable in the sub-catchments of large groundwater basins with lateral groundwater flow connections, both, to neighbouring sub-catchments or within the same basin.

In order to differentiate and quantify the spatially distributed processes or to identify organizing principles and to formulate a unified theory, research should start with a synthesis of data, process understanding and the link between catchment form and function (Hrachowitz et al., 2013). This can be done by setting up so-called catchment classification and similarity frameworks that relate observable landscape elements to hydrological diversity (Berne et al., 2005) and are based on similarities of hydrological function (McDonnell and Woods, 2004). Sivapalan et al. (2003a) summarize that such predictive systems should contain three components – (1) a model that describes key processes, (2) climatic input with the meteorological drivers of basin response and (3) parameters of landscape properties that govern these processes. In other words, basin classification frameworks differentiate, describe and, where possible, quantify the observable physical landscape features, both underground (using geology) and above surface (using soil cover or land use and land cover, LU/LC) and relate them to each other. For their part, Sivakumar et al. (2013) offer a three-step procedure for an effective formulation and verification of a catchment classification framework: (1) the detection of possible patterns in hydrologic data and determination of complexity and connectivity levels; (2) the classification into groups and subgroups based on data patterns, system complexity and connections; and (3) the verification of the classification framework.

1.2 Reliable field data in regional flow systems

Several factors pose a challenge to the estimation of spatially distributed recharge, such as the need for reliable field data or the correct conceptual representation of the aquifer and its flow and recharge processes (Goldschieder & Drew, 2007; Scanlon et al., 2006). Important physical landscape features are often spatially highly variable and localised in nature and therefore difficult to control. Yet, they shape the overlapping processes of groundwater recharge (Batelaan and De Smedt, 2001; Beven and Kirkby, 1979). And for basins, in which observations of surface water and of the saturated, deeply buried zone of the aquifer are not available (as in Messerschmidt et al.; 2019), Scanlon et al. (2006) recommend a third group of recharge estimation methods which are based on observations within the unsaturated zone (where available within the bedrock or otherwise in the soil cover).

The problems with the regionalisation of distributed recharge are further exacerbated in deeply buried karstic aquifers, known for their non-Darcian flows and anisotropic natural flow fields, which often are further altered and disturbed by human intervention, e.g. well abstractions. In such basins, even a well-controlled basin response based on lumped outflows in the often strongly confined downstream area often does not truly reflect upstream variability in unconfined and outcropping areas, where recharge takes place. This is especially the case in settings where several sub-units are stacked and hydraulically interconnected in one uniformly acting regional aquifer in the downstream abstraction zone, with low gradients and excessive pumping, as Dafny (2009) and Dafny et al. (2010) have shown for the Western Aquifer Basin (see also Hartmann et al., 2012b; Gutman & Zukerman, 1995 and Abusaada, 2011).

Last not least, with respect to spatially distributed recharge from different hydrogeological units, the concept of budgeting in- and outflows only functions correctly when no downward leakage has to be accounted for. This process, however, is often beyond the reach of observations and measurements, particularly in poorly or entirely ungauged basins around the world.

1.3 Physical landscape characteristics

...
According to Franchini and Pacciani (1991), hydrological models should have a complete and physically realistic representation of dominant processes to ensure that parameters are well constrained, thus combining highly location-specific empirical work conceptual efforts, like the correct differentiation of different groups of landscape features that rule the recharge process. Hrachowitz et al. (2013) detailed the diverse selections of parameter sets representing catchment characteristics; most studies differentiate between three principal groups of spatial parameters governing recharge (and use one or two of them): Geology and lithology as first group (Sanz et al., 2011); as a second group, soil characteristics combined with land use, topography, water level data and lithology (Batelaan and de Smidt, 2001; Batelaan and de Smidt, 2007; Aish, Batelaan and de Smidt, 2010); and as a third group, landscape features, including topography, vegetation and land use, sometimes combined to so-called land forms or more narrowly restricted to land use and land cover characteristics (LU/LC) (Aish, Batelaan and de Smidt, 2010; Zomlot et al., 2015). However, Radulović et al. (2011) used distributed physical parameters from all three groups, and reports that these parameters for groundwater recharge were not actually measured in the field and instead conceptual assumptions were used to assign them with weights as variables in a basin-wide transfer function between spatial characteristics and hydrological response.

In ungauged basins, where information on hydrological basin responses are missing, physical parameters can be regionalised by using physiographic similarity as a proxy (Arheimer and Brandt 1998, Parajka et al. 2005, Dornes et al. 2008, Masih et al. 2010). However, the correct linkage and translation of point- and plot-scale observations into regionalised findings on the catchment scale often remains a crucial challenge (Hartmann et al., 2013). Seibert (1999) developed relationships between the calibrated model parameters and the physical catchment characteristics of landscape found in the field. Yet, PUB emphasised that regionalisation of observable spatial parameters remains connected to the empirical efforts of field observation and measurements (maps, aerial photography, satellite imagery and of course field visits). This article therefore draws on the recharge measurements and modelling in Messerschmid et al. (2019).

### 1.4 Physical basin form and hydrological function

The translation of physical basin form into hydrological function is crucial and challenging, since it involves two discrete conceptual levels and an extraordinary complexity of interactions. Physical features of the basin are far from being uniquely correlated to each other (Beven, 2000; Oudin et al., 2010). Importantly, the scale at which the entire complexity of distributed recharge processes and their interactions is fully at play, is the catchment scale (Hrachowitz et al., 2013), and therefore McDonnell et al. (2007) emphasise that it is the correct scale for the investigation of hydrological processes in general and of recharge in particular. Many studies (Arheimer and Brandt 1998, Parajka et al. 2005, Dornes et al. 2008, Masih et al. 2010) suggested the use of physiographic similarity as a proxy for functional similarity, basing the regionalisation of runoff, recharge or other dynamic catchment response characteristics on physical characteristics (Yadav et al., 2007). In addition, the use of so-called hydrological system signatures can help create a link between physical features and basin response and to describe emergent system properties (Eder et al., 2003; Hartmann et al., 2013). Signatures, e.g. temporal patterns discharge, flow duration curves or spring hydrographs, can be employed quantitatively, e.g. for the calibration of models (Hingray et al., 2010), or qualitatively, as indicators of basin response (see Messerschmid et al., 2019; Sivapalan et al. 2003b and Winsemius et al., 2009). In ungauged catchments, signatures can serve for the regionalisation of plot-scale findings into basin-wide overall processes (e.g. Castellarin et al., 2004; Bulygina et al., 2009 and Pallard et al., 2009), or be used to test and investigate modelling results (see Messerschmid et al., 2019). Conceptually, Sawicz et al. (2011) developed a simple cooking recipe for regionalisation consisting of three steps: (1) classification (to give names), (2) regionalisation (to transfer information), and (3) generalization (to develop new theory), or in brief terms: name it, attribute it, theorize it.

Sivapalan et al. (2003a) stated that in ungauged basins predictive systems must be inferred from direct field observation of dominant processes and empirically derived field parameters. They must be firmly based on local knowledge of the observable landscape (and climate) controls of hydrological processes (see also Messerschmid et al. 2019). On the other hand, McDonnell et al. (2007) argued that any mapping or characterization of landscape heterogeneity and process complexity must be driven by a desire to generalize and extrapolate observations from one place to another, or across multiple scales. A certain degree of extrapolation is therefore inevitable when
attributing physical features and feature ensembles to processes and basin responses (or from the observed to another location). This therefore involves deductive steps. But the need for direct observation remains. PUB theory therefore postulates the imperative of a combination, or better the integration of inductive (experimental and empirical) and deductive approaches in regionalisation (Pomeroy, 2011).

1.5 Western Aquifer Basin – overview and existing recharge studies

Details of the characteristics of the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB) were described in Messerschmid et al. (2019). The WAB is an up to 1000 m thick Upper Cretaceous carbonatic karst aquifer (SUSMAQ, 2002) and conventionally divided into two regional aquifer layers (Fig. 1) – an Upper Aquifer (UA) of Turonian to Cenomanian age and a Lower Aquifer (LA) of Upper Albian age, (see Fig. 2a in Messerschmid et al., 2019). However, this simplified regional hydrostratigraphy applies only to the Coastal Plain downstream, with its productive abstraction and discharge zone, where the fully confined aquifer acts uniformly and with a low hydraulic gradient (Dafny et al., 2010); see Table 1, section 2. On a local scale, especially in the phreatic zone upstream, the hydrostratigraphy is far more complex than the above-mentioned bipartite division into Upper and Lower Aquifers.

Importantly, whereas the productive Coastal Plain is well developed, monitored and gauged through hundreds of Israeli deep wells, the WAB recharge and accumulation zones in the mountains, slopes and foothills of the West Bank, remain almost untouched, ungauged and unexplored, due to severe Israeli restrictions on Palestinian water use and development (World Bank, 2009). Wadi Natuf, the study area of this paper, lies almost entirely within the aquifer’s recharge zone, with only the most downstream western portion bordering on the productive abstraction zone in the coastal plain (Fig. 1) and with one single abstraction well not far from the western catchment boundary.

So far, only a few authors have attempted the analysis of fully distributed recharge in the WAB (Hughes et al., 2008) and no previous study was based on empirical field evidence, measurements and observations. Sheffer (2009) introduced a semi-distributed, partially lumped recharge model, however with a very coarse lithological differentiation into merely two types of rock, either permeable or less permeable. In addition, Sheffer (2009) took his soil model parameters from the general literature and later adjusted them by calibration. In his own words, he focussed and aimed at ‘the understanding of temporal influence on recharge processes’, rather than on understanding spatial influences (Sheffer et al., 2010; Sheffer, 2009).

During the last two decades, other studies of field-based and empirical investigations on sub-catchment, local and plot-scales were conducted. Chloride mass balance calculations were carried out in the adjacent Eastern Aquifer Basin (EAB) (Marci et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2013; Aliewi et al, 2021) and in the central WAB (Jebreen et al., 2018). However, they contributed little to the spatial differentiation of distributed recharge processes, let alone, its regionalisation.

1.6 Research gaps

In the WAB, lumped studies of basin-wide replenishment are widely available, however, mostly based on desktop work. By contrast, distributed recharge quantification has hardly been attempted. Moreover that, the physical form and the spatially variable parameters that rule the recharge process were not observed or measured directly in the field. At most, some empirical recharge studies were conducted on the point scale but without further regionalisation efforts (crucial acc. to Martínez-Santos and Andreu, 2010). This is despite the fact that physical observations of basin form and, if possible, hydrological basin response, were strongly recommended by Sivapalan et al. (2003a). Still, the regionalisation of the observed and modelled field results in most cases must include at least some measure of extrapolation and deduction. In order to guide the extrapolation of local recharge results into regionalised basin recharge, Hrachowitz et al. (2013) therefore recommended the establishment of a basin classification framework, which currently does not exist in the WAB.
1.7 Aims and motivation – our study

In order to advance the crucial but challenging task of a realistic representation of distributed recharge, this study on Wadi Natuf presents a novel combination of existing techniques that are based on observable processes, parameters and signatures. The assessment adheres to the goal of parsimony and that integrates inductive and deductive steps. The previous paper (Messerschmid et al., 2019) was firmly grounded in field observation, measurements and a forward-calculting location-specific model; now, this current paper extends the findings of the local models in a regionalisation effort to the entire surface catchment area of 103 km².

The study aims at generating specific recharge coefficients for every litho-stratigraphic formation in Wadi Natuf in two consecutive steps, i.e. through attribution and extrapolation of the modelled recharge coefficients and based on the understanding of dominant physical parameters and processes: First, a recharge classification framework was set up for this largely ungauged basin and based on field observations, as well as conceptualisation and classification; Relevant physical features were identified and attributed to three different groups and within each group, different recharge classes were differentiated. In a second step, the previous model results, such as the location-specific recharge coefficients (RC) of Messerschmid et al. (2019) were extrapolated along the above grouping and classification scheme.

2 Study area

The 103 km² large catchment of Wadi Natuf extends on the western flanks of the West Bank from the Mountain crest in the east towards 1949 Armistice Line (‘Green Line’) in the wester foothills. Much of its topography is characterized by undulating hills with deeply incised ephemeral rivers (Wadis). The catchment exhibits a pronounced spatial variability of climatic drivers (precipitation, evaporation), land use and land cover features (LU/LC), soil thickness and not least, rock lithology of the different geological (litho-stratigraphical) formations (see Fig. 2a in Messerschmid et al., 2019).

2.1 Geology and hydrogeology

One of the reasons for choosing Wadi Natuf as an exemplary sub-catchment on the recharge zone of the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB), besides field accessibility, was the unrivalled litho-stratigraphic diversity, reaching from the deepest outcropping, Aptian formations, all the way up to the top cover series of impermeable chalks from Senonian (and Lower Tertiary) age. All formations of the WAB are covered in this study (Fig. 2b in Messerschmid et al., 2019). Together, the aquifers cover around two thirds (64.4 %) of the outcrop areas in Wadi Natuf; they are entirely carbonatic and in most parts strongly karstified.

According to the old, conventional view – valid on the regional scale – the regional Upper and Lower Aquifers are divided by some 100 to 150 m thick marly, chalky and carbonatic series of a so-called ‘Middle Aquitard’ or Yatta formation (Bartov et al., 1981; SUSMAQ, 2002; Messerschmid et al., 2003a, 2003b; ESCWA–BGR, 2013). The regional geology is indicated in the land use and geology map, Fig. 2 (for a detailed geological map, compare with Messerschmid et al., 2019, Fig. 2b). However, closer scrutiny reveals that this regional ‘Middle Aquitard’ can be further subdivided. The top forms an aquitard or even aquiclade section of impermeable yellow soft marl (upper Yatta, u-Yat). By contrast, the main (lower) part of this ‘regional aquitard’ is more carbonatic and in parts karstified, however complemented by smaller portions of chalk, marl and chert. These somewhat marly and chalky limestones and dolomites of lower Yatta formation (l-Yat) thus form an intermediate perched aquifer horizon that drains through small local springs.
Figure 1. Overview of regional aquifer outcrops in Wadi Natuf and the WAB; modified after Messerschmid et al. (2003a).

Also, the regional ‘Lower Aquifer’ (LBK & UBK) must be differentiated on the local scale into more aquiferous and more permeable parts (Table 1). Its top is formed by the conspicuous cliff-forming and very permeable reefal limestone of upper UBK (u-UBK), that also acts as a leaky perched aquifer on the local scale (such as in Wadi Zarqa). By contrast, the lower UBK formation (l-UBK) mostly consists of banked, often chalky dolomites (again with intercalations of marl and chert) with a relatively poor aquifer potential. Its top however was found to be more carbonatic but underlain by a twin marl band (Fig. 3c), which hydraulically separates the top from the main, lower part of l-UBK and above which local contact springs align. This top of l-UBK acts as a third local and isolated perched aquifer horizon.

By contrast, the regional ‘Upper Aquifer’ is void of both, perched aquifers and springs, despite the fact that it too contains formations with thin marl intercalations of reduced permeability, such as the colourful plated limestone series of lower Bethlehem formation (l-Bet), the outcrops of which are often covered by small forests. This is due to the presence of the thin marl intercalations which promote the development of thicker soils here (e.g. the forested hilltop in Fig. 3a). It can thus be summarized that almost the entire Upper Aquifer and most of the Lower Aquifer outcrops in the recharge area are void of springs.

Only the intermediate aquifers of the central study area show land forms of deeply incised erosional Wadis, which often completely isolate the small local and often perched aquifer reservoirs on individual hills or hill groups. They drain through over 100 hundred small and very small local contact springs (Fetter, 1994) with individual spring flow between zero and a maximum of 1.7 l/s (Messerschmid et al., 2003a, 2003b).

These isolated perched hilltop aquifers of central Wadi Natuf stand in contrast to the thick regional aquifers and therefore only incompletely contribute to the deep regional groundwater recharge of the two regional storage and flow systems. Together, the formations of the three isolated perched aquifer systems cover 13 % of the catchment.
The outcrop areas of all formations, as well as the differences between the local and the regional hydrostratigraphy form one focus of the present study (see Table 1).

Figure 2. Wadi Natuf Land Use and Land Cover (LU/LC) map and regional hydrostratigraphy in shaded colours (modified after LRC, 2004 and Messerschmid et al., 2003a and ARIJ, 2012).

Note: The land cover types 4 and 5 are almost completely restricted to Yatta formation outcrops (and in some places parts of the UBK formations). Type 3 is typically found over outcrops of the regional Lower Aquifer. The Upper Aquifer outcrop area is dominated by type 1 (grassland and barren rock). Olives (type 2) can be found in all areas, but are grown on tended terraces mostly in the steep slopes of the Lower Aquifer outcrops in upper Wadi Natuf.

Table 1. Outcrop (recharge) area, average precipitation and formation names in Wadi Natuf – regional and local refined hydrostratigraphies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mcm/a)</th>
<th>Formation (symbol)</th>
<th>Local stratigraphy, aquifer potential</th>
<th>Regional Stratigraphy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recent</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>Alluvial (All)</td>
<td>minor</td>
<td>Top Aquiclude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senonian</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Senonian (Sen)</td>
<td>major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turonian</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>Jerusalem (Jer)</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>UPPER AQUIFER (UA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Cenomanian</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>u-Betlehem (u-Bet)</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>-major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Cenomanian</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>l-Betlehem (l-Bet)</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>Hebron (Heb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>u-Yatta (u-Yat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>l-Yatta (l-Yat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>u-Lower Beit Kahil (u-UBK)</td>
<td>local *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>l-Lower Beit Kahil (l-UBK)</td>
<td>good *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>u-Lower Beit Kahil (u-LBK)</td>
<td>local (at top) *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>l-Lower Beit Kahil (l-LBK)</td>
<td>poor (at bottom)</td>
<td>Lower AQUIFER (LA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>Qatannah (Qat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>Ein Qiniya (EQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>good (local)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Tamnouin (Tam)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUM | 102.6 | 61.1 |

Note: The area of formation outcrop here is equated with the area for infiltration (recharge). Precipitation here is expressed as average annual amount of area precipitation over the respective formation outcrops and calculated with rainfall of the respective sub-catchments within Wadi Natuf. Ein Qiniya formation is a local aquifer, which however does not belong to any of the regional aquifer units or basins; its recharge potential does not form part of the water balance calculations for the WAB. * perched leaky aquifers with dashed line at bottom; Source: this study.

2.2 Physical landscape features

Less than 5% of the rural Wadi Natuf landscape are built-up (Messerschmid, 2014). Its typical land forms (Fig. 2) range from rock outcrops and terraces with olives, over grass- and shrublands, arable but currently uncultivated
lands, mixed vegetation and transitional woodlands to agricultural plains and forests (Messerschmid, 2014; LRC, 2004). All land forms in Wadi Natuf are closely related to the underlying geology (Fig. 3). The soft marl of u-Yat usually forms an eroded step in the landscape that can develop into small inland plains with cultivated agricultural fields. By contrast, the mixed intercalations of marly, chalky and limey rocks of l-Yat form natural steps and terraces in the landscape, often with a bushy landscape, partly also with trees. The regional aquitard of u-Yat is overlain by the strongly karstified massively bedded limestone of Hebron formation (Heb), which often restricts soil development to small pockets in an otherwise sparsely vegetated karren-field landscape. This karstic formation with an excellent recharge potential (and very low runoff generation, see Messerschmid et al., 2017), in turn is overlain by the already mentioned soft, plated limestone with thin marl intercalations of l-Bet, which not only erodes differently but also allows the formation of thicker soils; Figure 3a shows l-Bet at the top of the hill, conspicuously covered by a little forest and with a sharp boundary to the LU/LC type of the underlying karstic Hebron formation.

Typically, in Wadi Natuf, this distribution of LU/LC follows the formation outcrops (geology) with great accuracy, discernible even from aerial photographs. Also soil thickness was measured and found to strongly correlate with lithology and land forms (LU/LC) as discussed in the first part of this series (see Table D1 in Messerschmid et al., 2019). This recurrent field finding of strict correlation between the three groups of physical features – LU/LC, soil thickness and geology – forms the basis of the classification framework in Wadi Natuf (see sections 3.2, 3.3, 5.1), since it allows categorization of key elements of recharge and the attribution of lithological and hydro-stratigraphical characteristics with the aquifer and recharging potential of the different formations.

Figure 3. Correlation of landform and lithology. Nabi Ghayth hill, west of Beitillu (a); Nabi Aneer spring group (b). Twin marl band underlying a local perched aquifer (c).

Note: The karstic limestone of Hebron formation forms outcrops with thin soil cover, bare rock or karren fields and tends to erode into steeper slopes above the soft, mostly eroded upper Yatta formation – the only true aquiclude within the Westbank Group (with levelled agricultural plains in the inlet photo in Fig. 3a). By contrast, the top of the hill is formed by lower Bethlehem formation; a thinly plated coloured limestone ensemble with fine marl interbedding that lacks karstification and promotes soil development and natural vegetation. Figure 3c shows the twin marl band, underlying and confining Beitillu, Harat Al-Wad spring group (of Top l-UBK formation).

3 Methodology

The regionalisation of this study employs two consecutive procedures. Step a): Identification and parameterization of physical features and their classification in a conceptual response matrix, attributed to classes of hydrological impacts (Fig. 4, rows 1 and 2). Step b): Extrapolation and regionalisation of the model results within a classification framework (row 3).

3.1 Physical features

The classification of distributed physical landscape features and their parameters stands at the heart of this study. Mapping, detection, interpretation and where possible, quantification of their parameters was carried out over a period of more than ten years and over 200 field visits to gain local knowledge on specific field conditions. Accordingly, the landscape characteristics in Wadi Natuf could be attributed to three groups: geology, soil conditions and land use/land cover features (LU/LC).
First row: field observations on land forms, geology and soil, together with key date campaign on spring flow measurements; second row: setting up a conceptual classification framework; third row: introducing formation-specific RC-values (from Messerschmid et al., 2019) and regionalisation of RC-values for the entire catchment (all formations and all three groups); fourth row: area recharge calculation and comparison of results for the different groups.

First, existing geological maps in the scale 1:50,000 (GSI, 2000; 2008; Rofe & Raffety, 1963) were corrected, complemented and refined by extensive field mapping and remote sensing (stereoscopic aerial photographs) with the target to detect, describe and interpret the lithological rock content, (chemism, texture, grain distribution), the degree of crystallisation and structural features like folding, faulting, cleavage, jointing, as well as primary porosity and karstic features. Another focus was the refinement of local hydrostratigraphy, in particular with respect to the spring-feeding formations (Messerschmid et al., 2003b; Dafny et al., 2009) and their catchment areas. Of particular interest were not only the spatial pattern and distribution of such features, but especially the comparison of these geological features with the features and distribution of the other groups, i.e. soil and LU/LC. This enabled us to assign particular, spatially distributed geological characteristics to each of the different formations. This study first re-examined the distribution of landscape features with respect to their recharge potential and the exact delineation of the outcrop and recharge areas of the different aquifer and aquitard formations.

The second part of field mapping and investigations targeted the soils in Wadi Natuf. Lab tests found silty to clayey residual soils (terra rossa), which are typical for Mediterranean carbonate environments (see also Messerschmid et al., 2019). The main aim of this sub-study was to investigate soil thickness and its distribution over the area. As already mentioned a conspicuous spatial pattern emerged, namely that typical soil thicknesses formed over different formations (see Fig. 3a, 3b). Appendix D in Messerschmid et al. (2019,) presents these results in a soil thickness matrix, where the distribution of soil depth was documented for different LU/LC-types and different lithostratigraphic units.

Thirdly, and similar to geology and soil thickness, land use and land cover characteristics, such as relief, natural vegetation and its alteration by human land use (section 2), can be interpreted as indicators of different hydrological processes that determine recharge. Whereas the differences in landscape units with respect to their runoff potential were discussed in Messerschmid (2014); Messerschmid et al. (2018), this study aimed at creating a simplified but realistic categorization of physical, recharge-controlling landscape features and their spatial distribution along the lines of outcropping formations.

3.2 Conceptual basin classification framework and regionalisation

Conceptually, as already mentioned, the regionalisation in this study comprises of two main steps (rows 2, 3 in Fig. 4), i.e. the creation of a basin classification framework and the attribution of the model results of Messerschmid et al., (2019) to this framework by extrapolation and regionalisation, which will be further specified in the following.
Based on the PUB-understanding that physical characteristics control hydrological processes and thus
(hydrological) function follows (physical) form, a conceptual framework was set up, as shown in Table 2. The
physical features were divided into three groups, such as LU/LC, soil and geology (columns in Table 2), and within
each group separately, the different landscape units were divided into distinct classes of recharge potential (lines
in Table 2), based on the available geological literature and our extensive field investigations. Then, each
lithostratigraphic formation (numbered a, b, c, etc. in the schematic Table 2) was attributed to a distinct recharge
class (from low to high in Table 2; as roman numbers I – V in Table 3). As a result, we obtained three independent
sets of differently ordered litho-stratigraphic formations, ranked by their recharge potential. This separation
allowed us to examine the result of attributed recharge classes separately for each group in order to gain a more
realistic picture, to examine the differences in outcomes and to avoid over-simplification in line with PUB-
recommendations (section 1.2). Again, this procedure was based on the findings of section 2, namely that such a
correlation between the three groups of physical features was clearly discernible in field explorations in Wadi
Natuf. It should be noted here that whereas soil thickness was quantifiable in the field, other physical parameters
such as LU/LC and geology were not; they were hence differentiated qualitatively and correlated with soil
thickness in the aforementioned soil matrix (see Appendix D in Messerschmid et al., 2019).

The general classification framework was then applied to Wadi Natuf and the specific physical features were inserted
in Table 2, to obtain a conceptual recharge classification framework, specific for Wadi Natuf (Table 3). Here, in each
of the groups (columns), the different formations rank differently as to their recharge potential (classes I–V). The next
steps of the recharge distribution analysis were regionalisation and extrapolation by applying the modelled RC-results
from the eight SM stations in Messerschmid et al. (2019) to the un-modelled formations. These values were inserted
into the Wadi Natuf basin classification framework (Table 3), resulting in Table 4. However, the modelled RC-values
cover only five of the different litho-stratigraphic formations of Wadi Natuf. Thus, for the remaining un-modelled
formations, specific RC-values were assigned by attributing discrete recharge coefficient values to the different
classes of recharge potential, again for each group independently (Table 4). After the empirical work of measurement
and modelling, this last part includes strong conceptual elements of extrapolation and deduction (section 5). The last
step of the recharge analysis (row 4 in Fig. 4) was a comparison of the results of the different groups by summing
up total catchment recharge and under consideration of previous findings on lumped area recharge in the WAB.

Table 2. Schematic conceptual basin classification framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes of recharge potential</th>
<th>Groups of physical features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LU/LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phys. features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Rock</td>
<td>b) Thin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Grassland</td>
<td>a) Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Forest</td>
<td>c) Thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td>etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the order of formations (a, b, c, etc.), differs from group to group, thus indicating different ranking orders of
tformations as to their recharge potential (classes) in each group.

Results

3.3 Basin Classification

This analysis results in a basin classification framework that categorizes different groups of recharge potential and
attributes each formation to one of these classes, shown in Table 3. Each formation is attributed to different classes
of recharge potential (lines) and independently for each “group” of physical features (columns). Hereby, the ranking
order of some of the formations differs from group to group, based on literature and field observations as well as on
conceptual considerations grounded in general physical laws, (see Fig. 2; sections 2 and 3).
3.4 Regionalisation and extrapolation of modelled RC-values

Using this basin classification framework, it was now possible to extrapolate the results of the parsimonious percolation model and attribute the modelled recharge coefficients to other formations (according to classes of recharge potential, Table 3). To avoid equifinality problems and increase the reliability of the approach, this attribution of RC-values was performed for each group of physical features independently. This approach rests on the assumption that the seven-year observation period fairly represents long-term variability of inter-annual rainfall (see Messerschmid et al., 2019; App. E). Table 4 shows the modelled and the newly attributed and inserted average annual recharge coefficients for each group. In the table, those RC-values, which are directly taken from the model (Messerschmid et al., 2019) were marked in bold font and red colour (in group 2, representing soil thickness).

xTable 3. Conceptual basin classification framework, specific for Wadi Natuf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>group 1 - LU/LC formations</th>
<th>group 2 - soil features</th>
<th>group 3 - geology formations</th>
<th>features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I u-UBK, cliff = rock outcrops</td>
<td>All, 1-LBK, Jer, Heb, olive terraces, rock outcrops</td>
<td>All, 1-LBK, Jer, Heb, well dev. karst (marl)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II All, 1-LBK, Jer, Heb, olive terraces, rock outcrops</td>
<td>u-UBK, u-LBK, mixed, transit. woodlands</td>
<td>u-UBK, U-LBK, karstified lst / dol (some marl/chalk) (Nari for u-Bet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 1-UBK, u-Bet arable but uncultivated, grass- &amp; shrub-lands</td>
<td>Heb, u-Bet, EQ, 1-UBK, mixed transit. woodlands</td>
<td>u-Bet, 1-UBK, lst / dol (some marl/chalk) (Nari for u-Bet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV 1-Bet, EQ, 1-Yat</td>
<td>l-Bet, 1-Yat mixed transit. woodlands</td>
<td>EQ, l-Yat mixed lst + marl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V u-Yat, Sen, Apt</td>
<td>agri. plains, forests</td>
<td>u-Yat, Sen, Apt marl (chalk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Left column: classes of recharge potential (1 – V); middle columns: groups of phys. features (1-3); formation names as in Table 1; soil thickness increases from thin (--) to thick (++). The formations shown in bold type were the ones monitored and modelled. The grouping and class distribution was based on field work and literature, e.g. SUSMAQ (2002), LRC (2004), GSI (2001), Keshet and Mimran (1993), Messerschmid (2014) and Messerschmid et al. (2018).

Table 4 lists the 15 different outcropping formations in Wadi Natuf in chronological order from the youngest, alluvial series and impermeable Senonian chalks down to the oldest, also impermeable lower Albian – upper Aptian Tamnoun shales formation (Messerschmid, 2003a). In between, there are two aquitard series (Qat, u-Yat) and ten more or less aquiferous formations, almost all of which are partially composed of carbonates (including the unconsolidated carbonate gravels forming the shallow alluvial in the Wadis). However, the recharge coefficients (as fraction of rainfall) of the aquifers deviate by over 15 % between the most susceptible karstic limestones with an RC > 57 % and the more aquitard series, containing some degree of marl and chalk, be it as discrete thin beds (l-Bet) or as marly and chalky limestones (l-Yat) with an RC of almost 42 %. These high recharge rates are partly due to the much reduced (in fact negligible) rates of runoff generation measured in Wadi Natuf. But more importantly, they are a result of the overall quite modest amounts of actual evapotranspiration, caused by the Mediterranean climate with a rather short but very wet winter season and a prolonged rain-free summer season, in which the dried-up soils cannot offer any amounts of water to direct soil evaporation or plant transpiration, undergoing a kind of summer dormancy.

As described before and applied in the modelling code of the SM-saturation excess and percolation model, the rate of groundwater percolation (here equated with recharge) from the soil into the aquifer bedrocks is directly related to the thickness of the soil. In other words, our model and hence also this table is based on the observation and assumption that thicker soils permit less recharge than thin soil covers. Consequently, the highest RC-values are all found in formations with very thin soils and larger portions of rock outcrops (around soil pockets), such as the Turonian limestones of Jerusalem formation at the top of the regional Upper Aquifer and as the bottom of the regional Lower Aquifer, the lower L BK formation (1-LBK), both of which display highly karstified and massively bedded limestone series with strong features of epikarst in the outcrop (SUSMAQ, 2002). These formations also show the highest recharge coefficients in the physical landscape feature group 3 (geology), due to the...
aforementioned lithological features. However, under the third group (LU/LC), these formations rank lower than the maximum RC-values (instead, the cliff-forming u-UBK formation reaches the maximum here). This is due to the fact that, from a land use and land cover point of view, these two formations had to be grouped into class II of recharge potential (see Table 3), because here, besides the extended grass- and scrub lands, olive groves dominate on the cultivated terraces of l-LBK and on the plains of Jerusalem formation (see LU/LC map, Fig. 2). The other, un-modelled aquiferal formations (u-Bet, Hebron, u-LBK and the stratigraphically deep formation Ein Qiniya) are attributed with intermediate RC-values (with 0% for aquitards and 57% for the highest potential), according to their class of recharge potential (Table 3).

Table 4. Extrapolated recharge coefficients per group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Area km²</th>
<th>Precipitation mm/a</th>
<th>Recharge 1. LC/LU mm/a RC (%)</th>
<th>Recharge 2. Soil mm/a RC (%)</th>
<th>Recharge 3. Geology mm/a RC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senonian</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerusalem</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-Betlehem</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-Betlehem</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebron</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-Yatta</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-Yatta</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-UBK</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-UBK</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-LBK</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l-LBK</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qatannah</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ein Qiniya</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammoun</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM / avg.</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>595.3</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The modelled RC-values from Messerschmid et al. (2019) are indicated in red and bold fonts under the second group (soil conditions). Aquitards void of recharge are shaded grey.

Table 5. Annual average recharge in Wadi Natuf for different groups of landscape features – (WAB only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Recharge (mcm/a)</th>
<th>Catchment area (km²)</th>
<th>Average precipitation (mm/a)</th>
<th>Annual recharge rate (l/m²/a)</th>
<th>Recharge coefficient (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>24.1 (20.6)</td>
<td>102.6 (85.5)</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.23 (0.24)</td>
<td>39.4% (40.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>26.8 (22.6)</td>
<td>102.6 (85.5)</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.26 (0.26)</td>
<td>43.8% (44.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>28.1 (23.9)</td>
<td>102.6 (85.5)</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.27 (0.28)</td>
<td>46.1% (47.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: mcm/a = million cubic-metres per year, the blue numbers refer only to the WAB-portion with Wadi Natuf.
Figure 5. Recharge map of Wadi Natuf – Recharge Coefficients of the soil-based group 2 (RC-values in % of annual precipitation)

5 Discussion

5.1 General approach of process representation

PUB research had previously suggested new ways to describe and estimate distributed basin responses, but mostly focussed on runoff rather than on recharge and its spatial distribution. Savenije (2010) suggested assigning individual hydrological processes and distinct hydrological functions (e.g. runoff) to different landscape units by dissecting catchments in a semi-distributed way and according to a hydrologically meaningful landscape classification metric. Batelaan & de Smedt (2001) accounted for spatial variation of physical features using a water budget of rain, evapotranspiration and runoff. In Batelaan and de Smedt (2007) long-term recharge largely depended on soil and LU/LC differences (with parameters based on literature values). Aish, Batelaan and de Smedt (2010) could not only draw on physical features but also on hydrological basin response knowledge (water levels) in their water balance model of the Gaza Strip. Several authors used dimensionless numbers of ‘similarity patterns’ to relate physical form to hydrological impact in basin-wide transfer functions (Berne et al., 2005; Woods, 2003 and Radulović, 2011). Other authors calibrated parameters of the transfer functions such as soil properties (Ali et al., 2012) or LU/LC, soil and geology (Götzinger, 2006). Simple soil water models at the basin scale for daily recharge estimates in moderate climates were used by Dripps et al. (2007) and by Finch (2001) as responses to land cover changes.

This study went a step further – combining deductive (conceptual) and inductive (empirical) approaches to determine spatial variations in groundwater recharge, based on qualitative (dimensionless) and on measured quantitative basin observations alike. Our distribution into distinct classes of recharge potential, we would like to stress here again, was an act of attribution and deduction; it was however, firmly grounded in general physical laws, such as permeability of different lithologies or different forms of land use. Only the second group of soil moisture was empirically quantified by repeated field measurements in the form of soil depth probing. The results of this empirical survey of soil depth distribution for different hydrostratigraphical units are documented in the soil depth matrix in Messerschmid et al. (2019), Table D1.

Previous authors (Beven, 2000; Hartmann et al., 2013; Seibert, 1999; Hrachowitz et al., 2013) have contended that a given feature of basin form, such as land use and land cover, soil conditions and lithologies does not translate directly into one single possible impact of basin behaviour and instead, the hydrologic response of a basin is the result of an assembly of overlapping processes governed by the interaction of different sets of physical features; as a consequence several possible sets of combinations of parameters can lead to the same results. Zomlot et al.
As already mentioned, and by contrast to most earlier studies in the WAB, the focus of our approach was clearly the spatial, not temporal distribution and variability of recharge. This work was based on two assumptions: a) that the seven-year rain period of the SM-percolation model is a fair representation of long-term averages of both inter-annual and seasonal distribution of precipitation (see Messerschmid et al., 2019) and b) that each of the selected SM stations is representative of the entire formation. Here we draw on the above results for the spatial distribution of physical features (Table 2) and soil depth (Table D1 in Messerschmid et al., 2019) of the respective formations. In addition, our results confirmed that the temporal distribution of precipitation – usually as events of several days duration – strongly affects the percolation rates; a modelling frequency of daily steps was found appropriate under the particular climatic conditions of the WAB recharge areas in the Eastern Mediterranean mountainsides.

As the main aim of our research, we thereby obtained a detailed differentiation of the spatial distribution of recharge with formation-specific recharge coefficients for all formations in Wadi Natuf, which is a representative catchment for the recharge area of the WAB. The results of our three-way conceptual analysis and attribution seemed to suggest that indeed, slightly different results of overall recharge rates follow from the three approaches. However, the relative closeness of the three results, e.g. the total WAB recharge in Wadi Natuf of 24, 26 and 28 mcm/a, respectively, did suggest that each of the three independent transfer procedures between basin form and response was a realistic representation of the processes at hand. In other words, instead of producing an apparently precise figure for groundwater recharge, our analysis resulted in a less “exact” but more robust realistic and nonetheless close range of recharge quantifications.

5.2 Annual RC – overall basin RC – compared with other studies

As presented already, the individual recharge coefficients for the different formations cropping out in Wadi Natuf lie between a minimum of 0% (non-recharging formations) and a maximum of 57%. For the WAB portion of Wadi Natuf, the total average recharge for each group was found at 20.6, 22.6 and 23.9 mcm/a, respectively. (This is equivalent to a WAB recharge coefficient of 40.8%, 44.6 % and 47.3 %, respectively, within Wadi Natuf.) These overall recharge values fall well into the range, usually quoted for the WAB (see Table A1). Also compare with the detailed table in Appendix H of Messerschmid et al. (2019) that lists the regional and other reported recharge coefficients, both for annual and event-based calculations and together with the methods applied therein. Weiss and Gvirtzman (2007) reported maximum recharge for one outstanding year (1988) as 91 % of annual rainfall at the small Ein Al-Harrasheh catchment on the SE edge of Wadi Natuf (Table H1). Allocca et al. (2014) found in the Apennine that for single events, up to 97 % of event precipitation may percolate and arrive as recharge at the groundwater table. Rosenzweig (1972) reported that for pasture and grassland at Mt. Carmel Basin, land form-
specific recharge can amount to 60 % of annual precipitation. Our findings of a range between <40 % and >47 %
of overall annual recharge coefficients lie well in the middle of reported literature (incidentally, Weiss and
Gvirtzman’s average RC of 47.2 % for Harrasheh sub-catchment matches exactly with our maximum area RC of
47.3 %). By contrast, RC-values determined in recent studies in the Eastern Aquifer Basin at 33 % in the upper
slopes (Ries et al., 2015) and 25 % in the lower slopes near the Jordan Valley (Schmidt et al., 2014) ranged
somewhat lower; this is according to expectations due to the more arid climatic conditions with less precipitation
and higher evaporation rates.

6 Conclusions

This study contributes to the assessment of distributed recharge in a Mediterranean karst area with a pronounced
annual rainfall pattern of two seasons (dry and wet) and with a high variability in lithostratigraphy and other related
landscape features. In line with the findings of the PUB decade, it was possible to solidly ground our basin
classification for dominant recharge processes in observations of the physical form and based on fundamental laws
of physics. We found an accentuated spatial variability of percolation fluxes and a strong dependency on three
main groups of physical form, namely LU/LC, soil thickness and lithology. For the first time in the WAB, our
study used a truly distributed approach for a great variety of different physical landforms by employing extensive
direct field observations and intensive multi-seasonal measurements. To extrapolate our findings, we ran three
independent sets of basin classification and grouping in classes of recharge potential as observed in our study area.

While our regionalised recharge coefficients originated from plot-scale measurements, the results matched closely
with long-term observations reported in the WAB literature. The application, attribution and extrapolation of these
coefficients for other, unmonitored formations reflect the ranges of recharge reported in the same region (WAB
and environs) by previous studies that used lumped outflow-based basin-wide modelling (without spatial recharge
differentiation).

On the side of spatial differentiation and given the lack of existing hydrological measurements, our approach
followed the three-way compromise prescribed by PUB (Beven and Kirkby, 1979) between the advantages of
model simplicity, the complex representation of spatial variability of hydrological basin response and the economic
limitations on field parameter measurement. This was done by applying the simple cooking recipe of Sawicz et al.
(2011) for regionalisation in ungauged basins, namely classification (to give names), regionalisation (to transfer
information) and generalization (to develop new or enhance existing theory).
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Table A1 below lists the detailed results of the regionalization of RC-values for individual formations (see also Table 4) and independently for each group of physical features. The table refers to the entire catchment, including WAB, EAB and the erosion zone between the two. The arithmetic mean of the results of all three physical feature groups is indicated in the column to the right. The ranges of recharge coefficient for individual formations lay between 57% and 0% of annual rainfall, each depending on the individual land use, geology and soil type conditions of the formation. The order of formations in this table is listed as groups of differing aquifer potential (second column from the left), from the very permeable and productive regional aquifers reaching, in average of all three physical feature groups to over 50% RC (strong blue) down to in average 42% RC for the weak, somewhat aquitardal local aquifers (brown fonts). The aquitards are assumed as impermeable and contributing no recharge. The relative weight of recharge of each aquifer type group is indicated under “group fraction”, indicating the contribution of each group of respective aquifer types between almost 60% (regional aquifers) and only 10% (weak aquifers) of total recharge, summing up to 100%.

The average of total area recharge in Wadi Natuf as arithmetic mean of the three physical landscape feature groups lies at 43.1%. It should be noted that although the regionalisation was performed for each group of physical features independently, the differences in individual formations equal out to very similar overall recharge rates of approximately 27 ±2 mcm/a (or as percentage, between 39% and 46%), as average over the seven-year measurement and modelling period.

APPENDIX

Table A1 below lists the detailed results of the regionalization of RC-values for individual formations (see also Table 4) and independently for each group of physical features. The table refers to the entire catchment, including WAB, EAB and the erosion zone between the two. The arithmetic mean of the results of all three physical feature groups is indicated in the column to the right. The ranges of recharge coefficient for individual formations lay between 57% and 0% of annual rainfall, each depending on the individual land use, geology and soil type conditions of the formation. The order of formations in this table is listed as groups of differing aquifer potential (second column from the left), from the very permeable and productive regional aquifers reaching, in average of all three physical feature groups to over 50% RC (strong blue) down to in average 42% RC for the weak, somewhat aquitardal local aquifers (brown fonts). The aquitards are assumed as impermeable and contributing no recharge. The relative weight of recharge of each aquifer type group is indicated under “group fraction”, indicating the contribution of each group of respective aquifer types between almost 60% (regional aquifers) and only 10% (weak aquifers) of total recharge, summing up to 100%.

The average of total area recharge in Wadi Natuf as arithmetic mean of the three physical landscape feature groups lies at 43.1%. It should be noted that although the regionalisation was performed for each group of physical features independently, the differences in individual formations equal out to very similar overall recharge rates of approximately 27 ±2 mcm/a (or as percentage, between 39% and 46%), as average over the seven-year measurement and modelling period.
Table A1. Recharge of all formations and aquifer groups in all of Wadi Natuf, detailed by groups of physical features (as coefficients and annual recharge rates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Aquifer Group</th>
<th>Area km²</th>
<th>Ø P mcm/a</th>
<th>RC (%)</th>
<th>Recharge (mcm/a)</th>
<th>Group Rech. (mcm/a)</th>
<th>Group fraction (%)</th>
<th>Group RC, Natuf (%)</th>
<th>Ø RC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Alluvial</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-LBK</td>
<td>Strong Regional Aquifer</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerus</td>
<td>Regional Aquifer</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>57.3%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-LBK</td>
<td>Regional Aquifer</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heb</td>
<td>Regional Aquifer</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-UBK</td>
<td>Intermediate Aquifer</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-UBK</td>
<td>Intermediate Aquifer</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-Bet</td>
<td>Intermediate Aquifer</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>45.3%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Bet</td>
<td>Intermediate Aquifer</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.O.</td>
<td>Weak Aquifer</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Yat</td>
<td>Weak Aquifer</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>41.8%</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senon</td>
<td>Aquitard</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qat</td>
<td>Aquitard</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tam</td>
<td>Aquitard</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-Yat</td>
<td>Aquitard</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the above values are surface catchment based, including both, WAB and EAB. The table indicates the outcrop area of each formation in Wadi Natuf and the respective area rainfall (here taken as area average and seven-year average for the sake of comparison).