

242 Let's assume a DA-hydrodynamic modeling framework with l parameters ($p = 1, 2, \dots, l$), m states
 243 ($s = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and n observations ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$). The following EnKF equations are described
 244 in accordance with the flowchart shown in Figure 2. In the EnKF, parameter samples can be
 245 generated by adding the noise of $\tau_t^i \eta_{t+1}^{\theta}$ with covariance $\Sigma_{t+1}^{\theta} - \sigma_t^{\theta}$ to the prescribed parameters.

$$246 \quad \theta_{t+1}^{i-} = \theta_t^{i+} + \tau_t^i \quad \tau_t^i \sim N(0, \sigma_t^{\theta}, \eta_{t+1}^{\theta}) \quad \forall \eta_{t+1}^{\theta} = \Sigma_{t+1}^{\theta} \quad (3)$$

247 Using θ_{t+1}^{i-} and forcing data, a model state ensemble and predictions are generated, respectively.

$$248 \quad x_{t+1}^{i-} = f(x_t^{i+}, u_t^i, \theta_{t+1}^{i-}) + \omega_t^i \quad \omega_t^i \sim N(0, \sigma_t^x Q_{t+1}^x) \quad \forall Q_{t+1}^x = \Sigma_{t+1}^x \quad (4)$$

$$249 \quad \hat{y}_{t+1}^i = h(x_{t+1}^{i-}, \theta_{t+1}^{i-}) + v_{t+1}^i \quad v_{t+1}^i \sim N(0, \sigma_{t+1}^y R_{t+1}^{yy}) \quad \forall R_{t+1}^{yy} = \Sigma_{t+1}^{yy} \quad (5)$$

250 where x_t , u_t , θ_t and \hat{y}_t are the vector of the uncertain state variables, forcing data, model
 251 parameters and observation data model prediction at time step t , respectively. ω_t and v_t represents
 252 the model state and prediction errors due to the imperfect modeling, and v_t is the measurement
 253 error. Most often, ω_t and v_t are assumed to be white noises with mean zero and covariance $\sigma_t^x Q_{t+1}^x$
 254 and $\sigma_{t+1}^y R_{t+1}^{yy}$, respectively. In addition, the two noises ω_t and v_t are assumed to be independent.

255 Then we update the parameter ensemble members using the standard Kalman filter equation:

$$256 \quad \theta_{t+1}^{i+} = \theta_{t+1}^{i-} + K_{t+1}^{\theta} (y_{t+1}^i - \hat{y}_{t+1}^i) \quad (6)$$

257 where \hat{y}_{t+1}^i and $\hat{y}_{t+1}^i y_{t+1}^i$ are the model simulation prediction and observations, respectively, and
 258 $K_{t+1}^{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times n}$ is the Kalman gain matrix for correcting the parameter trajectories obtained by:

$$259 \quad K_{t+1}^{\theta} = \Sigma_{t+1}^{\theta y} [\Sigma_{t+1}^{yy} + R_{t+1}^{yy}]^{-1} \quad (7)$$

$$260 \quad K_{t+1}^{\theta} = \sigma_{t+1}^{\theta y} [\sigma_{t+1}^{yy} + R_{t+1}^{yy}]^{-1} \quad (7)$$

261 where $\sigma_{t+1}^{\theta y} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times n}$ is the cross-covariance matrix of parameter ensemble and prediction
 262 ensemble (Eq. 6). Unlike other studies, and for more realistic characterization of observation and
 263 model errors here the correlation between the errors associated with n observation data are
 264 accounted for during the assimilation process. Therefore, the covariance matrix $R'_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a
 265 nonzero matrix, such that the values in the diagonal represent the error variance associated with
 266 each observation data (R_{t+1}) and all elements lower/upper the main diagonal denote the cross
 267 covariance between different observations (Eq. 79). $\sigma_{t+1}^{yy} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is also a similar
 268 covariance matrix with the inclusion of error correlation between the model simulations (Eq. 8).

$$269 \quad \sigma_{t+1}^{\theta y}(p, j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [(\theta_{t+1}^{i-}(p) - E[\theta_{t+1}^-(p)])(\hat{y}_{t+1}^i(j) - E[\hat{y}_{t+1}(j)])] \quad (8)$$

$$270 \quad R'_{t+1}(j, j') = \begin{cases} R_{t+1} & j = j' \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [(y_{t+1}^i(j) - E[y_{t+1}(j)])(y_{t+1}^i(j') - E[y_{t+1}(j')])] & j \neq j' \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$271 \quad \sigma_{t+1}^{yy}(j, j') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [(\hat{y}_{t+1}^i(j) - E[\hat{y}_{t+1}(j)])(\hat{y}_{t+1}^i(j') - E[\hat{y}_{t+1}(j')])] \quad (10)$$

$$273 \quad E[\theta_{t+1}^-] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_{t+1}^{i-} \quad (11)$$

$$274 \quad E[\hat{y}_{t+1}] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{t+1}^i \quad (12)$$

275 Now using the updated parameter, the new model state trajectories (state forecasts) and prediction
 276 trajectories are generated:

$$277 \quad x_{t+1}^{i-} = f(x_t^{i+}, u_t^i, \theta_{t+1}^{i+}) + \omega_t^i \quad \omega_t^i \sim N(0, \sigma_t^x \Sigma_t^*) \quad \forall Q_t = \Sigma_t^* \quad (13)$$

279 $\hat{y}_{t+1}^i = h(x_{t+1}^{i-}, \theta_{t+1}^{i+}) + v_{t+1}^i \quad v_{t+1}^i \sim N(0, \sigma_{t+1}^{yy} \Sigma_{t+1}^{yy}) \quad \forall R_{t+1} = \Sigma_{t+1}^{yy}$
 280 (14)

281 Model states ensemble is similarly updated as follows:

282 $x_{t+1}^{i+} = x_{t+1}^{i-} + K_{t+1}^x (y_{t+1}^i - \hat{y}_{t+1}^i)$ (15)

283 $y_{t+1}^i = y_{t+1}^i + \eta_{t+1}^i v_{t+1}^i \quad \eta_{t+1}^i v_{t+1}^i \sim N(0, R_{t+1}) \quad \forall R_{t+1} = \Sigma_{t+1}^{yy}$
 284 (16)

285 where $K_{t+1}^x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is the Kalman gain for correcting the state trajectories and is obtained by:

286 $K_{t+1}^x = \Sigma_{t+1}^{xy} [\Sigma_{t+1}^{yy} + R_{t+1}']^{-1}$ (17)

287 $K_{t+1}^x = \sigma_{t+1}^{xy} [\sigma_{t+1}^{yy} + R_{t+1}']^{-1}$.

288 $\sigma_{t+1}^{xy}(s, j) \Sigma_{t+1}^{xy}(s, j) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [(x_{t+1}^{i-}(s) - E[x_{t+1}^{i-}(s)]) (\hat{y}_{t+1}^i(j) - E[\hat{y}_{t+1}^i(j)])]$ (18)

289