



# 1 A new criterion for determining the representative elementary volume of

# 2 translucent porous media and inner contaminant

- 3 Ming Wu<sup>1, 2</sup>, Jianfeng Wu<sup>2\*</sup>, Jichun Wu<sup>2</sup>, and Bill X. Hu<sup>1\*</sup>
- 4
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> Institute of Groundwater and Earth Sciences, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632,
- 6 China
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Surficial Geochemistry, Ministry of Education; Department of
- 8 Hydrosciences, School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing
- 9 210023, China
- 10 Correspondence to: J.F. Wu (jfwu@nju.edu.cn), B.X. Hu (billhu@jnu.edu.cn)
- 11





## 12 ABSTRACT

Representative elementary volume (REV) is essential to measure and quantify the 13 14 effective parameters of a complex heterogeneous medium. Since previous REV estimation criteria having multiple limitations, a new criterion ( $\chi^i$ ) is proposed to estimate REV of a 15 translucent material based on light transmission techniques. Two sandbox experiments are 16 17 performed to estimate REVs of porosity, density, tortuosity and perchloroethylene (PCE) plume using multiple REV estimation criteria. In comparison with  $\chi^i$ , previous REV 18 estimation criteria based on the coefficient of variation  $(C_v^i)$ , the entropy dimension 19  $(DI^{i})$  and the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_{g}^{i})$  are tested in REV quantification of translucent 20 silica and inner PCE plume to achieve their corresponding effects. Results suggest that 21 new criterion  $(\chi^i)$  can effectively identify the REV in the materials, whereas the 22 coefficient of variation  $(C_v^i)$  and entropy dimension  $(DI^i)$  cannot. The relative 23 gradient error  $(\varepsilon_{e}^{i})$  can make the REV plateau obvious, while random fluctuations make 24 the REV plateau uneasy to identify accurately. Therefore, the new criterion is 25 appropriate for REV estimation for the translucent materials and inner contaminant. 26 Models are built based on Gaussian equation to simulate the distribution of REVs for 27 28 media properties, which frequency of REV is dense in the middle and sparse on both sides. REV estimation of PCE plume indicates high level of porosity lead to large value of 29 mean and standard deviation for REVs of PCE saturation (So) and PCE-water interfacial 30 area (Aow). Fitted equations are derived for distribution of REVs for PCE plume related to 31  $d_{\rm m}$  (distances from mass center to considered point) and  $d_{\rm l}$  (distances from injection 32





- 33 position to considered point). Moreover, relationships between REVs of PCE plume and
- S<sub>0</sub> are fitted using regression analysis. Results suggest a decreasing trend appears for
   S<sub>0</sub>-REV when S<sub>0</sub> increases, while A<sub>0w</sub>-REV increases with increasing of S<sub>0</sub>.
- 36 **Keywords:** new criterion; representative elementary volume (REV);translucent material
- 37 1. Introduction

38 Modelling groundwater and contaminant (such as hazardous irons) transport in 39 subsurface environment is based on the premise that micro-structure of aquifer exist a representative elementary volume (REV) (Wang et al., 2016; Lei and Shi, 2019). REV act 40 as a micro-scale characteristic, which is important to improve our understanding of 41 materials, inner fluid flow and other processes (Brown and Hsieh, 2000; 42 Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2017). Previous studies suggested that even the 43 Platinum-Nanoparticle-Catalyzed hydrogenation reactions and ion transport through 44 angstrom-scale slits in cell activity existed apparent size effect, implying size effect is a 45 wide characteristic for many process and materials (Bai et al., 2016; Esfandiar et al., 2017). 46 47 With the help of REV, a porous medium can be treated as continuum medium (Brown and Hsieh, 2000; Kang et al., 2003; Müller and Siegesmund, 2010; Teruel and Rizwan-uddin, 48 49 2010; Hendrick et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012; Ukrainczyk and Koenders, 2014; Kim and 50 Mohanty, 2016; Gilevska et al., 2019). A conceptual representation of "REV curve", characterizing porosity (n) change with measured scale (L) increment, is presented in Fig. 51 1c. Based on the characteristic of REV curve in Fig. 1c, the REV curve can be divided into 52 three regions. When measured scale is in region I, the porosity fluctuates drastically at 53 54 small scales. As measured scale size ranging between  $L_{min}$  and  $L_{max}$ , a flat plateau with





constant and steady value is encountered and the property is factored into its average value. 55 56 Material property in spatial scales less than  $L_{min}$  is spatially varied portions with small scale, which can be easily influenced by individual pores in micro-structure such as region 57 I (Fig. 1c). Likewise, material property is allowed drift to new values in spatial scale 58 59 above  $L_{max}$  due to additional morphological structures of large field heterogeneity (region III). As a matter of fact, REV scale of region II can be derived between the small and 60 61 spatially varied property in region I and large field variability in region III. However, the 62 lower and upper boundaries  $L_{min}$  and  $L_{max}$  of REV plateau is hard to be identified in reality 63 (Brown and Hsieh, 2000; Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011).

As technology advanced and progressed, non-destructive and non-invasive 64 techniques of x-ray and gamma ray micro-tomography were utilized for micro-structure 65 characteristic measurement in materials (Ghilardi, 1993; Brown and Hsieh, 2000; 66 67 Niemet and Selker, 2001; Bob et al., 2008; Al-Raoush and Papadopoulos, 2010; Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011; Al-Raoush, 2012; Borges and Pires, 2012; Fernandes et 68 al., 2012; Rozenbaum and Roscoat, 2014; Pereira Nunes et al., 2016; Piccoli et al., 2019). 69 70 Generally, REV estimation also was usually implemented by micro visualization and scanning of X-ray and gramma ray in laboratory (Brown and Hsieh, 2000; Razavi et al., 71 2007; Nordahl Ringrose, 2008; Al-Raoush and Papadopoulos, 72 and 2010; Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011; Rozenbaum and Roscoat, 2014; Borges et al., 2018), 73 74 while different criteria were utilized to quantify REV (Brown and Hsieh, 2000; Martínez et al., 2007; Nordahl and Ringrose, 2008; Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011). Lower 75 boundary scale  $L_{min}$  of REV was identified by means of entropy dimension ( $DI^i$ ) for eight 76





soil samples (Martínez et al., 2007). Further, REV scale of permeability for ripple 77 78 laminated sandstone intercalated with mudstone was estimated using the coefficient of variation  $(C_{V}^{i})$ , which the REV scale is identified by the variability among the ten 79 samples to achieve average REV scale (Nordahl and Ringrose, 2008). As a result, only 80 81 one REV boundary was identified and not every sample can be estimated effectively (Nordahl and Ringrose, 2008). More interestingly, REV scales for porosity, moisture 82 83 saturation and air-water interfacial areas in porous media were estimated by a criterion 84 named the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_{e}^{i})$  (Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011). In summary, the 85 REV estimation was made by multiple kinds of criteria, while the REV identification effects of these criteria were not clear. 86

In this study, a new criterion ( $\chi^i$ ) for REV estimation is proposed to identify the REV 87 scale of the translucent silica and inner contaminant. Two perchloroethylene (PCE) 88 89 transport experiments are conducted in two dimensional (2D) sandboxes to test the effect of different REV estimation criteria. Translucent silica is selected for associated REV 90 analysis due to its extensive utilization in laboratory experiment of exploring groundwater 91 92 flow and contaminant migration behavior in micro-structure of a sandy aquifer (Niemet and Selker, 2001; Bob et al., 2008; Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011). Moreover, translucent 93 silica is also an important material applied in numerous industries (Bouvry et al., 2016). In 94 laboratory experiments, translucent silica is packed in 2D sandboxes where porosity, 95 96 density, tortuosity, PCE saturation are derived by light transmission technique (Fig. 1a). Porosity and PCE saturation are selected as the representative variables to explore 97 corresponding REV estimation by different criteria, which is very essential and significant 98





99 for REV identification. Previous criteria such as the coefficient of variation  $(C_{\nu}^{i})$ , 100 entropy dimension  $(DI^{i})$ , the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_{g}^{i})$  and the new criterion- $\chi^{i}$  are 101 tested in REV estimation. Associated effects are analyzed to achieve the best criterion of 102 effective and appropriate quantification of REV.

### 103 2. Experiment procedure and method

#### 104 2.1 Experiment

105 Two sandboxes (Fig. 2a and b) packed by translucent silica medium are prepared in 106 laboratory to test different criteria of REV quantification. PCE is selected as a typical 107 DNAPL contaminant used in experiments. 2D sandbox is composed by three aluminum 108 interior frames and two glass walls, which thickness is 1.6cm. The dimensions of 109 sandboxes used in Experiments-I and II are 20 (width) ×15 (height) and 60 (width)×45 (height)F40/50 and F20/30 mesh translucent silica sands are used for background material 110 for Experiments-I and II. To make the translucent silica fully saturated by water in a flow 111 field close to natural groundwater environment (Erning et al., 2012), water flow at flow 112 velocity of 0.5 m/d is set from left to right in laboratory sandbox experiments (Fig. 2a and 113 114 b). Water is restricted in a sandbox that the top and bottom layers of sandbox are packed by F70/80 mesh translucent silica as capillary barriers. Light source is placed behind the 115 116 sandbox to make light penetrate through translucent media and capture emergent light 117 intensity using a thermoelectrically air-cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Fig. 1a). Afterward, PCE is injected into sandboxes from the injection needle at constant rate 118 of 0.5 mL /min for two experiments. Detailed experimental conditions are listed in Table1. 119





#### 120 2.2 Light transmission techniques

By means of light transmission technique (Fig. 1a), DNAPL and water saturation can be obtained rapidly and in real-time, which greatly help to explore mechanism of groundwater flow and contaminant migration in porous media. When light passes through translucent materials of a given thickness, the emergent light intensity after the absorptive and interfacial losses can be expressed as (Niemet and Selker, 2001; Bob et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2017):

$$I_T = CI_0(\Pi \tau_i) exp(-\sum \alpha_i d_i)$$
(1)

where  $I_0$  is the original light intensity; *C* is a constant of correction for light emission and light observation;  $\tau_i$  is the transmittance when light penetrate from phase *i* to *i*+1;  $\alpha_i$  is the absorption coefficient when light penetrate in phase *i*;  $d_i$  is the length of light penetration path in phase *i*.

To derive the porosity, the 2D translucent porous medium should be only saturated by water. Consequently, the emergent light intensity can be expressed as:

134 
$$I_s = CI_0 \tau_{sw}^{2k_o} \exp(-\alpha_s k_s d_s)$$
(2)

where  $\tau_{s,w}^{2k_0}$  is the transmittance of solid-water interface;  $\alpha_s$  is solid particles absorption coefficient;  $d_s$  is median diameter of the solid particles;  $k_o$  is the number of pores across light penetration path;  $k_s$  is the number of solid particles across light penetration path.

139 If we arbitrarily select an infinitesimal element, its area  $A_o$  approaches zero 140  $(A_o \rightarrow 0)$  from the 2D translucent porous media (Fig. 1b), and suppose the infinitesimal 141 element with thickness  $L_T$  containing solid particles and pores that can be regarded as





142 lamellar structure (Fig. 1c), we can obtain the following relationships:

143 
$$\theta A_a L_T = A_a k_a d_a$$
 (3)

- $k_s d_s + k_o d_o = L_T \tag{4}$
- 145 where  $d_o$  is the median diameter of pores;  $\theta$  is porosity.

146 Substituting Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) into Eq. (2), the relationship between emergent

147 light intensity and porosity can be achieved (Wu et al., 2017):

$$lnI_s = \beta + n\gamma \tag{5}$$

149 where 
$$\beta = \ln(\frac{CI_s}{e^{\alpha_s d_s L_T}})$$
 and  $\gamma = \ln(\frac{2L_s}{d_s}e^{\alpha_s L_T})$ .  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  can be determined from

150 experimental data, then porosity can be obtained.

151 The density and tortuosity are derived as (Wu et al., 2018):

$$\rho = \theta \rho_w + (1.0 - \theta) \rho_s \tag{6}$$

153 
$$\tau = 1 + \frac{\pi \cdot 2}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{1 - \theta}}}$$
(7)

where  $\rho$  is the density of translucent porous media;  $\rho_w$  is the density of water;  $\rho_s$  is the density of solid particles;  $\tau$  is tortuosity.

The saturation of dense nonaqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) was quantified by light transmission technique based on light pass through translucent materials (Niemet and Selker, 2001; Bob et al., 2008):

159 
$$S_{o} = \frac{\ln I_{s} - \ln I_{T}}{\ln I_{s} - \ln I_{oil}}$$
(8)

where  $S_0$  is the saturation of DNAPL;  $I_s$  is the light intensity after light penetration through translucent porous when all pores are fully saturated by water;  $I_{oil}$  is the light intensity when all pores are fully saturated by DNAPL;  $I_T$  is the light intensity after





- 163 penetration through translucent materials. After quantification of PCE saturation,
- 164 PCE-water interfacial area (Aow) can be obtained based on the method proposed by Wu et
- 165 al. (2017), where the unit of Aow is cm<sup>-1</sup>.
- 166 2.3 Criteria of REV quantification

167 The REV is defined as the volume range in which all material characteristics are 168 factored into the average and associated values approach single and constant (Brown 169 and Hsies, 2000). In the range of REV, the value of one associated property will meet 170 the condition:

171 
$$\frac{\partial Y(L_i)}{\partial L}|_{L_i=L_o} = 0$$
(9)

where the Y(L<sub>i</sub>) is the value of an associated property when system scale is L<sub>i</sub>; L<sub>i</sub> is the value of system scale; L<sub>o</sub> is the scale range of REV, L<sub>min</sub><L<sub>o</sub><L<sub>max</sub>; L<sub>max</sub> is upper boundary of REV; L<sub>min</sub> is lower boundary of REV scale. According to the Eq. (9), when the measured scale (L<sub>i</sub>) reaches REV range, the derivative  $\frac{\partial Y(L_i)}{\partial L} \rightarrow 0$  will tend to zero. As a matter of fact, most previously used criteria were applied to estimate REV based on this requirement. The REV estimation criteria tested in this study are illustrated in Table 2.

To evaluate the REV of porosity, the coefficient of variation  $(C_v^i)$  (Table 2) is utilized to estimate the variability (Nordahl and Ringrose, 2008):

181 
$$C_V^i = \frac{\hat{s}_i}{\bar{\varphi}_i}$$
(10)

where *i* is the cuboid window (Fig. 1b) increment number;  $\varphi$  is the measured variable, such as porosity;  $\hat{s}_i$  is the standard deviation of sub-grids' variable in different





measured volume or scale;  $\overline{\varphi}_i$  is the arithmetic average of the variable values in the sub-grids. When number of sub-grids (*N*) is less than 10, a correction is utilized to replace Eq. (10). According to Nordahl and Ringrose (2008),  $0 < C_V^i < 0.5$  is defined as homogeneous and  $C_V^i = 0.5$  can be used as criterion to identify the REV scale.

Similarly, for porosity of translucent silica, entropy dimension  $(DI^i)$  (Table 2) is utilized for REV analysis and estimation (Martínez et al., 2007), which is defined as:

190 
$$DI^{i} \approx \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m(i)} \mu_{j}(L_{\varepsilon}) \log \mu_{j}(L_{\varepsilon})}{\log L_{\varepsilon}}$$
(11)

where,  $L_{\varepsilon}$  is the scale of sub-grid; " $\approx$ " indicates the asymptotic equivalence as  $L_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ 191 (Martínez et al., 2007); *j* is the ordinal number of sub-grid in measured cuboid window 192 (Fig. 1b) of increment number i; m(i) is the total number of sub-grids in measured 193 194 cuboid window (Fig. 1b) of increment number i;  $\mu_i(\varepsilon)$  is the proportion of the variable of sub-grid *j* in the whole measured cuboid window *i*. The right hand side of Eq. 195 (11) is the simplification of Shannon entropy of all sub-grids, in which  $DI^i$  can be 196 197 considered as the average of logarithmic values of the variable distribution weighted by  $\mu_i(L_{\epsilon})$  to quantify the degree of medium heterogeneity. Using Eq. (11), a series of values 198 of  $DI^i$  (*i*=1,2,3...) are obtained for each measured cuboid window (Fig. 1b) of 199 200 increment number *i*. For estimation of the REV in a porous medium, the relative increment of entropy dimension and associated criterion of REV identification are 201 respectively expressed as: 202

203 
$$RI^{i} = \left|\frac{DI^{j} - DI^{j-1}}{DI^{j-1}}\right| \times 100$$
(12)

$$RI^i \leq 0.2CV_{DI} \tag{13}$$

205 where  $CV_{DI}$  is the coefficient of variation of  $DI^i$  series (*i*=1,2,3...), which is





206 calculated through  $CV_{DI} = (\hat{s}_{DI} / \overline{DI}) \times 100$ ;  $\overline{DI}$  is the mean value of the  $DI^i$  series; 207  $\hat{s}_{DI}$  is the standard deviation of the  $DI^i$  series.

To achieve the REV for multiple system variables, such as porosity, moisture saturation and air-water interfacial areas in an unsaturated porous medium, a criterion named the relative gradient error (Table 2) was applied (Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011):

211 
$$\varepsilon_{g}^{i} = |\frac{\varphi^{i+1} - \varphi^{i-1}}{\varphi^{i+1} + \varphi^{i-1}}| \frac{1}{\Delta L}$$
(14)

where  $\varepsilon_g^i$  is relative gradient error;  $\Delta L$  is the measured cuboid window size increment length for REV estimation. Usually,  $\varepsilon_g^i$  less than 0.2 (Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011) is utilized to identify a REV sizes.

A new criterion based on the required condition of REV is proposed to estimate
the REV range for the translucent silica in this study:

217 
$$\chi^{i} = \frac{|\delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i-1}|}{\delta_{i}\Delta L}$$
(15)

218 where  $\delta^i$  is the dimensionless range,  $\delta^i = \frac{\phi(L_i)_{\text{max}} - \phi(L_i)_{\text{min}}}{\overline{\phi(L_i)}}$ ;  $\phi(L_i)_{\text{max}}$  is the

maximum value of the variable on the volume scale  $L_i$ ;  $\varphi(L_i)_{min}$  is the minimum value of the variable on the volume scale  $L_i$ ;  $\overline{\varphi(L_i)}$  is the mean value of the variable on the volume scale  $L_i$ . Brown and Hsieh (2000) suggested  $\delta^i = \frac{\phi(L_i)_{max} - \phi(L_i)_{min}}{\overline{\phi(L_i)}} <<1$  can

be used for REV estimation. In fact, the calculated value of  $\delta^i$  mostly is less than 1, while  $\delta^i \ll 1$  is hard to be used to identify the REV scale for realistic materials, such as the translucent silica used in this study. The value limit of  $\chi^i$  used for REV estimation also is explored in this study.





| 226 | In this study, criteria for the coefficient of variation $(C_V^i)$ , entropy dimension                                     |
|-----|--|
| 227 | $(DI^{i})$ , the relative gradient error $(\varepsilon_{g}^{i})$ and the new criterion $(\chi^{i})$ are all applied in REV |
| 228 | estimation for porosity and PCE saturation. Corresponding REV plateau identification                                       |
| 229 | effects are compared to select the best criterion for REV quantification.  |

## 230 3. Results and discussion

231 3.1 REV identification effect of different criteria

#### 232 *3.1.1 The coefficient of variation*

233 Emergent light intensity distributions of translucent silica for two experiments, which had been fully saturated by water, was obtained by a thermoelectrically air-cooled 234 235 charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Niemet and Selker, 2001; Bob et al., 2008). The porosity, density, tortuosity and PCE saturation for two experiments are derived by light 236 transmission technique as shown in Figs. 3a and b. The PCE spreads from the injecting 237 point shaped like a drop of water at t=1.44 min (Fig. 3b). In 2D sandboxes for two 238 239 experiments, PCE plume infiltrates in translucent silica sands infiltration paths and PCE 240 plumes reach the bottom after t=80 min.

Every pixel with small scale could be approximated as infinitesimal element in high resolution image to apply light transmission techniques. As consequence, porosity of translucent silica was derived with light transmission technique through Eq. (5) (Fig. 2c). The whole 2D translucent silica area was numerically discretized that every cell had the uniform dimensions of 0.015m×0.015m. The cuboid window (Fig. 1d) was utilized to quantify the variables (porosity, density, tortuosity, PCE saturation, PCE-water interfacial area) of every cell as measured scale was increased. In detail, the measured cuboid





window scale was increased from the center of each cell and associated value of variable 248 249 was calculated from the high resolution porosity of 2D translucent silica derived by light transmission technique. Observation cells were selected from the discretized cells (Fig. 3b) 250 of which the cells I-1~2 and II-1~2 belong to Experiments-I and II, respectively. Porosity 251 252 and PCE saturation variation curves of these observation cells with increasing measured cuboid window scale were shown in Fig. 4a and b. However, for all observation cells from 253 254 translucent silica, the REV plateaus were not obvious to be objectively judged visually, 255 which made REV plateaus hard to identify effectively by original variation curves for 256 porosity and PCE saturation (Figs. 4a and b).

257 To make the REV plateau more explicit, different criteria of REV quantification 258 are utilized. The coefficient of variation  $(C_{\nu}^{i})$  of porosity and PCE saturation fluctuating 259 with increase of measured cuboid window size is shown in Fig. 4. The measured cuboid window scale is limited to the dimensions of cells in discretization of 2D translucent 260 silica. The observation cells show various characteristics of variation tendency for the 261 262 coefficient of variation ( $C_{\nu}^{i}$ ). The  $\theta$  and S<sub>0</sub> variation curves of coefficient of variation ( $C_{\nu}^{i}$ ) 263 for all observation cells do not reach stable values as those shown in Figs. 4a and b, the 264 beginning of the REV flat plateau is not easy to identify, the coefficient of variation  $(C_{\nu}^{i})$ is not suitable for REV estimation. According to the heterogeneity definition by Corbett 265 266 and Jensen (1992), the heterogeneity of materials is defined by  $C_V^i$  magnitude that  $0 < C_V^i < 0.5$  is classed as homogeneous medium,  $0.5 < C_V^i < 1.0$  is classed as 267 heterogeneous medium and  $1.0 < C_V^i$  is classed as strong heterogeneous medium. For 268 269 the coefficient of variation  $(C_V^i)$  magnitude in Figs. 4a-f, the  $C_V^i$  values are all below





- 270 0.5 that the criterion  $C_V^i = 0.5$  is unable to identify the REV scale for translucent
- 271 silica.
- 272 3.1.2 Entropy dimension

Entropy dimension  $(DI^{i})$  is utilized by Martínez et al. (2007) for multifractal 273 analysis of a porous medium porosity and REV estimation. In this study, entropy 274 275 dimension  $(DI^{i})$  is tested to avoid unclear REV plateau in porosity curves. The entropy dimension  $(DI^{i})$  of porosity is calculated by Eq. (11). Variation curves of entropy 276 277 dimension  $(DI^{i})$  for all observation cells (Fig. 2a) are presented in Fig. 4. The curves of entropy dimension ( $DI^i$ ) of porosity and PCE saturation generally result in the increasing 278 trend curves which makes REV estimates become very difficult and invalid. Entropy 279 280 dimension  $(DI^i)$  was quickly increased with increasing of measured cuboid window size. Compared to the coefficient of variation  $(C_{k}^{\nu})$  of porosity and PCE saturation, entropy 281 dimension  $(DI^{i})$  increased step by step without opposite fluctuation tendency in the 282 283 variation curves as length scale of measured cuboid window increased simultaneously. In 284 general, REV plateau in region II (Fig. 1c) of porosity is not obvious for the entropy dimension  $(DI^{i})$  curves of all observation cells from two experiments, which suggests 285 286 REV scales is uneasy to identify for translucent silica using entropy dimension  $(DI^{i})$  by 287 light transmission technique.

### 288 *3.1.3 The relative gradient error*

289 The relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_g^i)$  of porosity and PCE saturation is calculated by Eq. 290 (14). The variation of  $\varepsilon_g^i$  at different measured cuboid window scales are shown in Fig.





4 for all observation cells in the 2D translucent silica. For all  $\varepsilon_g^i$  curves at observation 291 cells from experiments, the REV plateaus in region II (Fig. 1a) are more clear than the 292 variation curves based on the criteria of  $C_V^i$  and  $DI^i$ . Apparently, erratic variations of 293 294 the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_g^i)$  at small measured cuboid window scales are observed for all  $\epsilon_g^i$  curves as the characteristic of REV region I in Fig. 1c. When measured 295 cuboid window scale further increases for all observation cells, the variability and 296 magnitude of the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_{g}^{i})$  decrease well and factored into average, 297 which can be identified as REV plateau in region II (Fig. 1c). The relative gradient error 298  $(\varepsilon_g^i)$  makes the REV plateau quantification convenient for all observation cells. At the 299 measured cuboid window size above the REV plateau,  $\varepsilon_g^i$  curves result in large 300 variability for observation cells  $I-1\sim 2$ . These findings suggest that that the relative 301 302 gradient error ( $\varepsilon_{a}^{\prime}$ ) can make the REV plateau more obvious, which greatly contribute to convenient and applicable REV quantification for translucent silica by light 303 transmission technique. However, random fluctuations exist in  $\varepsilon_g^i$  curves visually, 304 which make the REV plateau uneasy to identify accurately. 305

### 306 3.1.4 The new criterion $(\chi^i)$

 $\chi^{i}$  of porosity and PCE saturation changing with measured cuboid window size is shown in Fig. 4. Like the region I (Fig. 1c), erratic and random fluctuations appears at small measured cuboid window sizes and  $\chi^{i}$  increases with the increase of the measured cuboid window size. When measured scale increases, the values of  $\chi^{i}$  for all observation cells appear fast reduction and rapidly tend to steady, which exhibit the characteristic of REV plateau as measured scale reaches region II. The  $\chi^{i}$  for observation cells restore the





erratic variation state of increasing trend after measured cuboid window size exceeding the 313 REV plateau. As shown in the variation curves of  $\chi^i$  for all observation cells, the beginning 314 of the REV flat plateaus can be identified easily, suggesting  $\chi^i$  is more convenient and 315 reliable than other methods for REV estimation. All observation cells show similar 316 317 variation curves of  $\chi^i$  that low value intervals are quite apparent, indicating that  $\chi^i$  is very effective to make the REV plateau obvious for translucent silica used in this study. Using 318 319 the criterion of  $\chi^i$ , the REV plateau of region II is flat, which is easily identified, 320 compared with other criteria for observation cells (Figs. 4a and b).

## 321 3.2 REVs of material properties

Based on the REV plateau identifications using the coefficient of variation  $(C_V^i)$ , entropy dimension  $(DI^i)$ , the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_g^i)$  and the proposed new criterion  $\chi^i$  in Figs. 4a and b, the new criterion  $\chi^i$  appears to be the most appropriate criterion for REV plateau identification. Even though the relative gradient error  $(\varepsilon_g^i)$  can also make REV plateau obvious, but various random fluctuations weaken the method and reduce the associated accuracy. Therefore, REVs of porosity, density, tortuosity and PCE plume are estimated using the new criterion  $\chi^i$  in the following study.

In fact, large number of discretized cells in the 2D translucent silica for two experiments are quantified using the new criterion  $\chi^i$ , which is convenient to examine the regularities for REV sizes and related factors. Using the new criterion  $\chi^i$ , the REV estimation is conducted based on Eq. (15). Fig. 5a shows minimum REV sizes of porosity, density and tortuosity quantified by  $\chi^i$  for all cells of two experiments. Associated statistical analysis for REVs is illustrated in Fig. 5b, where circular points represent





frequency and triangular points represent cumulative frequency. Frequency of REVs is
dense in the middle and sparse on both sides, so the distribution of REVs can be fitted by
Gaussian equation:

338 
$$F = \omega + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta}} e^{\frac{(\text{REV}-\nu)}{2\delta^2}}$$
(16)

339 where F is the frequency of REV;  $\omega$ ,  $\delta$  and v are fitted parameters of the model.

After regression analysis, the derived models for REV frequency are listed in Table 3. The coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) of models for REVs of porosity and density all exceed 0.93.  $R^2$  for REV of tortuosity for two experiments exceed 0.7. Moreover, the computed cumulative frequency based on models fit cumulative frequency from experimental results well in Fig. 5b.

The minimum REV size frequency for porosity appears a peak between 4.0 mm and 345 5.0 mm for Experiment-I. As minimum REV size of porosity increases, corresponding 346 347 frequency continuously decreases. Further, smooth convex shape of cumulative frequency 348 is observed for minimum REV size of porosity (Fig. 5b). Most minimum REV sizes of translucent silica distributed in 0.0-7.0mm. For density of translucent silica sand, 349 associated REV frequency appear high values between 2.0~3.0 mm. For the REV sizes of 350 351 tortuosity, minimum REV sizes distribute in 0.0~6.0 mm. Compared with Experiment-I (F40/50 mesh translucent silica sand), the frequency of REV for Experiment-II (F20/30 352 mesh translucent silica sand with larger porosity) show flat shape and has larger value of 353 standard deviation, especially for REV of porosity. Fig. 5b shows that translucent silica 354 355 with larger porosity will achieve border distribution of minimum REV sizes distribution 356 compared to translucent silica with relative lower porosity. The mean REV sizes of





- porosity, density and tortuosity for Experiment-I are 4.35 mm, 2.89 mm and 3.65 mm, respectively. All mean REV sizes of these variables for Experiment-II are larger than REVs of Experiments-II, which corresponding mean REV sizes are 8.05 mm, 2.97 mm and 4.30 mm. These results suggest translucent porous media with higher porosity lead to larger values of mean and standard deviation for REV sizes.
- 362 3.3 REVs of S<sub>o</sub> and A<sub>ow</sub> for PCE plume

The minimum REV sizes of  $S_0$  and Aow obtained using new criterion  $\chi^i$  are shown in Figs. 6a and b. To analyze the regularity of REV distribution for PCE plume, the mass center coordinate of PCE plume for two experiments are quantified for Experiments-I and II. The mass center coordinate are calculated as:

$$x_{m} = \frac{M_{10}}{M_{00}}$$
(17)

368

$$Z_{\rm m} = \frac{M_{01}}{M_{00}}$$
(18)

where  $x_m$  is x coordinate of mass center for PCE plume;  $z_m$  is z coordinate of mass center for PCE plume; M<sub>00</sub>, M<sub>10</sub> and M<sub>01</sub> are computed using definition of spatial moment (M<sub>ij</sub>),  $M_{ij} = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{z_0}^{z_1} \theta(x, z) S_0(x, z, t) x^i z^j d_x d_z$ ; x<sub>0</sub> and z<sub>0</sub> are minimum limits of x axis and z axis; x<sub>1</sub> and z<sub>1</sub> are maximum limits of x axis and z axis;  $\theta(x, z)$  is the porosity at point (x, z); S<sub>0</sub>(x, z, t) is PCE saturation of point (x, z) at time t.

The mass center coordinate of PCE plume derived by Eq. (18) is shown in Fig. 7a. Afterward, the average value of REVs ( $\overline{\text{REV}}$ ) and associated distance ( $d_{\text{m}}$ ) from mass center to corresponding cells contained in PCE plume at t=1523 min are presented in Fig. 7a. Regression analysis is performed for average REVs of PCE plume and  $d_{\text{m}}$ , where fitted





378 models and associated  $R^2$  for Experiments-I and II are listed in Table 4. Simultaneously, the fitted equations between  $\overline{\text{REV}}$  and  $d_1$  (the distance from injection point to cell 379 contained in PCE plume) also are derived by regression analysis. From the results in Fig. 380 7a,  $\overline{\text{REV}}$  of S<sub>o</sub> and A<sub>ow</sub> appear a peak and then decrease with increasing of  $d_{\text{m}}$  and  $d_{\text{I}}$  for 381 382 Experiment-I. REV of S<sub>0</sub> and A<sub>ow</sub> for Experiment-I all firstly increase and then decrease with the increasing of  $d_m$  and  $d_1$ , while  $\overline{\text{REV}}$  of PCE plume presents apparent decreasing 383 384 tendency as  $d_m$  and  $d_l$  increase for Experiment-II. In addition, the value of Aow-REV 385 mostly is higher than the value of S<sub>0</sub>-REV for two experiments.

386 The mean and standard deviation of REVs of PCE plume during 0~1523 min derived by statistical analysis (Fig. 7b). Compared with REVs of PCE plume for Experiment-I, 387 Experiment-II (F20/30 mesh translucent silica sand with higher porosity) has larger value 388 389 of mean and standard deviation of REVs. Besides, the relationship between REVs and PCE saturation are fitted by regression analysis, where fitted equation and  $R^2$  for two 390 experiments are listed in Table 5 and Fig. 7b. With increasing of PCE saturation, REV of 391  $S_o$  appear decline trend for two experiments. However, REV of A<sub>ow</sub> increases when  $S_o$ 392 increases for both two experiments (Fig. 7b). On the other hand, REV of So for 393 Experiment-II is higher than corresponding REV for Experiment-I, while Experiments-I 394 and II have similar values of Aow-REV (Fig. 7b). These results suggest higher porosity 395 will lead to high value of So-REV and the relationship between REVs of PCE plume and 396 397 dm, dı.

#### 398 4. Conclusions

In this study, a new criterion  $\chi^i$  is proposed to identify the REVs of translucent porous





| 400 | media and inner contaminant transformation based on previous criteria. The REV plateaus                    |
|-----|--|
| 401 | of observation cells selected from two experiments of PCE transport are hard to judge                      |
| 402 | visually from the porosity and PCE saturation curves. From the REV identification effects                  |
| 403 | of different criteria, the REV flat plateau is difficult to identify by coefficient of variation           |
| 404 | $(C_v^i)$ and entropy dimension $(DI^i)$ , indicting the coefficient of variation $(C_v^i)$ and entropy    |
| 405 | dimension $(DI^i)$ are not suitable for REV estimation of translucent porous media. The                    |
| 406 | relative gradient error $(\epsilon_g^i)$ can make REV plateaus of all kinds of translucent silica          |
| 407 | explicit in variation curves, but random fluctuations weaken REV plateau identification. In                |
| 408 | comparison with these previous criteria, the beginning and ending of the REV flat plateaus                 |
| 409 | could be easily and directly identified in the curves based on the new criterion $\chi^{i},$               |
| 410 | suggesting the new criterion $\boldsymbol{\chi}^i$ is more convenient and effective for REV estimation. In |
| 411 | this study, REVs of porosity, density, tortuosity, and PCE plume are estimated using the                   |
| 412 | new criterion $\chi^i$ .   |

Statistical results of minimum REV scales quantified by new criterion  $\chi^i$  reveal 413 cumulative frequencies of porosity, density and tortuosity all have smooth convex shapes. 414 Models based on Gaussian equation are built for the distribution of REVs of porosity, 415 416 density and tortuosity, which porous media with larger porosity leads to larger values of mean and standard deviation for REV sizes of media properties. For REVs of PCE plume, 417 result suggested larger porosity lead to larger value of mean and standard deviation. 418 Regression analysis is performed to study the regularity for distribution of REVs, where 419 fitted relationship between REVs and  $d_m$ ,  $d_l$  are derived for PCE plume. REV of S<sub>0</sub> and 420 A<sub>ow</sub> firstly increase and then decrease with the increasing of  $d_m$  and  $d_l$  for Experiment-I 421





| 422 | whose sandbox packed by translucent porous media with relatively lower porosity.  |
|-----|---|
| 423 | However, $\overline{\text{REV}}$ of S <sub>0</sub> and A <sub>ow</sub> directly decrease with the increment of $d_m$ and $d_l$ when |
| 424 | porosity became larger for Experiment-II. Significantly, REV size of $S_0$ presented  |
| 425 | decreasing trend as $S_{\text{o}}$ increases, while increasing tendency appeared for REV size of $A_{\text{ow}}.$                   |
| 426 | Through regression analysis, the fitted equations between REVs of PCE plume and PCE   |
| 427 | saturation are derived for two experiments. Implications of these finding are essential for   |
| 428 | quantitative investigation of scale effect of porous media and contaminant transformation.  |
| 429 | The fluid migration and transform in porous media can be simulated accurately according   |
| 430 | to the REV estimation results using light transmission technique and the appropriate  |
| 431 | criterion $\chi^i$ .  |

### 432 Code and data availability

The codes and data for this paper are available by contacting the corresponding author at <u>jfwu@nju.edu.cn</u>.

## 435 Author contributions

- 436 Ming Wu: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing;
- 437 Jianfeng Wu: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing;
- 438 Jichun Wu: Conceptualization;
- 439 Bill X. Hu: Conceptualization, Writing.





### 440 Declaration of interests

- 441 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
- relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
- 443

#### 444 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support by the National Key Research and Development Plan of
China (2016YFC0402800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41902246,
41730856 and 41772254), the National Natural Science Foundation of China-Xianjiang
Project (U1503282) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M622905).

#### 450 **References**

- Al-Raoush, R., and Papadopoulos, A.: Representative elementary volume analysis of
  porous media using X-ray computed tomography, Power Technol., 200, 60-77,
  2010.
- Al-Raoush, R.: Change in Microstructure Parameters of Porous Media Over
  Representative Elementary Volume for Porosity, Part. Sci. Technol., 30, 1-16,
  2012.
- Bai, L., Wang, X., Chen, Q., Ye, Y., Zheng, H., Guo, J., Yin, Y., and Gao, C.: Explaining
  the Size Dependence in Platinum-Nanoparticle-Catalyzed Hydrogenation
  Reactions, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 55, 15656-15661, 2016.
- 460 Bob, M.M., Brooks, M.C., Mravik, S.C., and Wood, A.L.: A modified light transmission





| 461 | visualization method for DNAPL saturation measurements in 2-D models, Adv.                 |
|-----|--|
| 462 | Water Resour., 31, 727-742, 2008.  |
| 463 | Borges, J.A.R., and Pires, L.F.: Representative elementary area (REA) in soil bulk density |
| 464 | measurements through gamma ray computed tomography, Soil Till. Res., 123,                  |
| 465 | 43-49, 2012.   |
| 466 | Borges, JAR., Pires, L.F., Cássaro, F.A.M., Roque, W.L., Heck, R.J., Rosa, J.A., and Wolf, |
| 467 | F.G.: X-ray microtomography analysis of representative elementary volume (REV)             |
| 468 | of soil morphological and geometrical properties, Soil Till. Res., 182, 112-122,           |
| 469 | 2018.  |
| 470 | Bouvry, B., del Campo, L., Meneses, D.D.S., Rozenbaum, O., Echegut, R., Lechevalier,       |
| 471 | D., Gaubil, M., and Echegut, P.: Hybrid methodology for retrieving thermal                 |
| 472 | radiative properties of semi-transparent ceramics, J. Phys. Chem. C, 120,                  |
| 473 | 3267-3274, 2016.   |
| 474 | Bradford, S.A., Vendlinski, R.A., and Abriola, L.M.: The entrapment and long-term          |
| 475 | dissolution of tetrachloroethylene in fractional wettability porous media, Water           |
| 476 | Resour. Res., 35(10), 295-2964, 1999.  |
| 477 | Brown, G.O., and Hsieh, H.T.: Evaluation of laboratory dolomite core sample size using     |
| 478 | representative elementary volume concepts, Water Resour. Res., 36(5), 1199-1207,           |
| 479 | 2000.  |
| 480 | Corbett, P.W.M., and Jensen, J.L.: Estimating the mean permeability: how many              |
| 481 | measurement do we need? First Break, 10(3), 89-94, 1992.                                   |
|     |  |

482 Costanza-Robinson, M.S., Estabrook, B.D., and Fouhey, D.F.: Representative elementary





| 483 | volume estimation for porosity, moisture saturation, and air-water interfacial areas       |
|-----|--|
| 484 | in unsaturated porous media: Data quality implication, Water Resour. Res., 47,             |
| 485 | W07513, 2011.  |
| 486 | Erning, K., Grandel, S., Dahmke, A., and Schäfe, D.: Simulation of DNAPL infiltration      |
| 487 | and spreading behavior in the saturated zone at varying flow velocities and                |
| 488 | alternating subsurface geometries, Environ. Earth Sci., 65, 1119-1131, 2012.               |
| 489 | Esfandiar, A., Radha, B., Wang, F.C., Yang, Q., Hu, S., Garaj, S., Nair, R.R., Geim, A.K., |
| 490 | and Gopinadhan, K.: Size effect in ion transport through angstrom-scale slits,             |
| 491 | Science, 358, 511-513, 2017.   |
| 492 | Fernandes, J.S., Appoloni, C.R., and Fernandes, C.P.: Determination of the Representative  |
| 493 | Elementary Volume for the study of sandstones and siltstones by X-Ray                      |
| 494 | microtomography, Mater. Res., 15(4), 662-670, 2012.  |
| 495 | Ghilardi, P., Kai, A.K., and Menduni, G.: Self-similar heterogeneity in granular porous    |
| 496 | media at the representative elementary volume scale, Water Resour. Res., 29(4),            |
| 497 | 1205-1214, 1993.   |
| 498 | Gilevska, T., Passeport, E., Shayan, M., Seger, E., Lutz, E.J., West, K.A., Morgan, S.A.,  |
| 499 | Mack, E.E., and Lollar, B.S.: Determination of in situ biodegradation rates via a          |
| 500 | novel high resolution isotopic approach in contaminated sediments, Water Res,              |
| 501 | 149, 632-639, 2019.  |
| 502 | Hendrick, A.G., Erdmann, R.G., and Goodman, M.R.: Practical Considerations for             |
| 503 | Selection of Representative Elementary Volumes for Fluid Permeability in                   |
| 504 | Fibrous Porous Media, Transp. Porous Med., 95, 389-405, 2012.                              |





- Kang, Q.J., Zhang, D.X., and Chen, S.Y.: Simulation of dissolution and precipitation in
  porous media, J. Geophys. Res. 108, NO. B10, 2505, doi:10.1029/2003JB002504,
  2003.
- Kim, J., and Mohanty, B.P.: Influence of lateral subsurface flow and connectivity on soil
  water storage in land surface modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121,704-721,
  2016.
- Lei, S., and Shi, Y.: Separate-phase model and its lattice Boltzmann algorithm for
  liquid-vapor two-phase flows in porous media, Phys. Rev. E, 99, 053302, 2019.
- 513 Martínez, F.S.J., Caniego, F.J., García-Gutiérrez, C., and Espejo, R.: Representative
- elementary area for multifractal analysis of soil porosity using entropy dimension,
  Nonlin. Processes Geophys., 14, 503-511, 2007.
- 516 Müller, C., and Siegesmund, S.: Evaluation of the representative elementary volume (REV)
- of a fractured geothermal sandstone reservoir, Environ. Earth Sci., 61, 1713-1724,
  2010.
- Niemet, M.R., and Selker, J.S.: A new method for quantification of liquid saturation in 2D
  translucent porous media systems using light transmission, Adv. Water Resour., 24,
  651-666, 2001.
- Nordahl, K., and Ringrose, P.S.: Identifying the Representative Elementary Volume for
  permeability in heterolithic deposits using numerical rock models, Math Geosci.,
  40, 753-771, 2008.
- O'Carroll, D.M., Bradford, S.A., and Abriola, L.M.: Infiltration of PCE in a system
  containing spatial wettability variations, J. Contam. Hydrol., 73, 39-63, 2004.





- Pereira Nunes, J.P., Blunt, M.J., and Bijeljic, B.: Pore-scale simulation of carbonate
  dissolution in micro-CT images, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 121, 558-576,
  2016.
- Piccoli, I, Schjønning, P., Lamandé, M., Zanini, F., and Morari, F.: Coupling gas transport
  measurements and X-ray tomography scans for multiscale analysis in silty soils,
  Geoderma, 338, 576-584, 2019.
- Razavi, M.R., Muhunthan, B., and Al Hattamleh, O.: Representative elementary volume
  analysis of sands using x-ray computed tomography, Geotech. Test J., 30(3),
  212-219, 2007.
- Rozenbaum, O., and du Roscoat, S.R.: Representative elementary volume assessment of
  three-dimensional x-ray microtomography images of heterogeneous
  materials: Application to limestones, Phys. Rev. E, 89, 053304, 2014.
- Teruel, F.E., and Rizwan-uddin: Numerical computation of macroscopic turbulence
  quantities in representative elementary volumes of the porous medium, Int. J.
  Heat Mass Transfer., 53, 5190-5198, 2010.
- 542 Ukrainczyk, N., and Koenders, E.A.B.: Representative elementary volumes for 3D
  543 modeling of mass transport in cementitious materials, Modelling Simul. Mater.
  544 Sci. Eng., 22, 035001, 2014.
- Wang, L., Mi, J., and Guo, Z.: A modified lattice Bhatnagar–Gross–Krook model for
  convection heat transfer in porous media, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer., 94, 269-291,
  2016.
- 548 Wang, S., Elsworth, D., and Liu, J.: A mechanistic model for permeability evolution in





| 549 | fractured           | sorbing      | media,       | J.      | Geophys.       | Res,      | 117,       | B06205,     |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 550 | doi:10.1029         | 0/2011JB00   | 8855, 2012   |         |                |           |            |             |
| 551 | Wu, M., Wu, J.F.,   | and Wu,      | J.C.: Simu   | lation  | of DNAPL       | migration | n in hete  | erogeneous  |
| 552 | translucent         | porous med   | lia based or | n estim | ation of repre | sentative | element    | ary volum,  |
| 553 | J. Hydrol., S       | 553, 276-28  | 8, 2017.     |         |                |           |            |             |
| 554 | Wu, M., Wu, J.F., V | Vu, J.C., an | d Hu, B.X.   | : Effe  | ets of microar | rangeme   | nt of soli | d particles |
| 555 | on PCE mig          | gration and  | its remedi   | ation i | n porous med   | ia, Hydr  | ol. Earth  | Syst. Sci., |
| 556 | 22, 1001-10         | 015, 2018.   |              |         |                |           |            |             |
| 557 |                     |              |              |         |                |           |            |             |





## **Table 1.** Experimental conditions

| Experiment                     | Ι                      | II                     |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Sandbox dimensions (cm)        | 20×15                  | 60×45                  |  |
| Packed translucent silica sand | F40/50                 | F20/30                 |  |
| Median grain diameter (mm)     | 0.36                   | 0.72                   |  |
| Permeability (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 4.25×10 <sup>-11</sup> | 1.35×10 <sup>-10</sup> |  |
| VPCE (ml)                      | 9                      | 32                     |  |
| Injection rate (ml/min)        | 0.5                    | 0.5                    |  |

559





| Criterion                    | Equation  |
|------------------------------|---|
| The coefficient of variation | $C_V^i = rac{\hat{s}}{\overline{arphi}_i}$   |
| entropy dimension            | $DI^{i} \approx rac{\sum_{j=1}^{m(i)} \mu_{j}(L_{\varepsilon}) \log \mu_{j}(L_{\varepsilon})}{\log L_{\varepsilon}}$ |
| the relative gradient error  | $arepsilon_{g}^{i}=ertrac{arphi^{i+1}-arphi^{i-1}}{arphi^{i+1}+arphi^{i-1}}ertrac{1}{arDeta L}$                     |
| New criterion                | $\chi^{i} = \frac{ \delta_{i+1} - \delta_{i-1} }{\delta_{i}\Delta L}$   |
|                              |   |

## **Table 2.** Criteria of REV estimation





| Experiment  | Ι  | Π   |
|-------------|--|---|
| θ-REV       | $F = -2.01 \times 10^{-12} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \times 1.50} e^{-\frac{(\text{REV}-4.35)^2}{2^{\text{e}_{1.50^2}}}}$ | $F = -5.30 \times 10^{-3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \times 3.35} e^{-\frac{(\text{REV}-8.05)^2}{2^{*}3.35^2}}$   |
|             | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.955)  | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.932)   |
| ρ-REV       | $F = -7.51 \times 10^{-26} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \times 1.14} e^{-\frac{(RE - 2.89)^2}{2^{*}1.14^2}}$                 | $F = -3.18 \times 10^{-12} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \times 1.71} e^{-\frac{(\text{KEV} - 2.9)^2}{2^{*}1.71^2}}$ |
|             | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.969)  | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.989)   |
| τ-REV       | $F = -2.76 \times 10^{-15} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \times 1.42} e^{-\frac{(\text{REV}-3.65)^2}{2^{*}1.42^2}}$           | $F = -8.55 \times 10^{-8} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \times 2.15} e^{-\frac{(\text{REV}-4.30)^2}{2^* 2.15^2}}$    |
|             | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.774)  | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.850)   |
| *F represen | ts the frequency of REV, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ represents  | porosity, $\rho$ represents density, $\tau$   |
| represents  | tortuosity   |   |

# **Table 3.** The fitted equations of frequency for REVs of porosity, density and tortuosity

567





| Experiment | Ι   |  | II   |   |  |
|------------|---|--|--|---|--|
|            | So-REV  | Aow-REV  | So-REV   | Aow-REV   |  |
| d          | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -1.67 \times 10^{-3} d_{\text{m}}^2 + 0.193 d_{\text{m}} + 2.72$ | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -6.10 \times 10^{-4} d_m^2$<br>+ 5.82×10 <sup>-2</sup> d <sub>m</sub> + 7.20<br>(R <sup>2</sup> =0.401) | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -4.08 \times 10^{-5} d_m^2 + 1.50 \times 10^{-2} d_m + 7.54$    | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -1.92 \times 10^{-5} d_m^2 + 4.47 \times 10^{-3} d_m + 9.46$                     |  |
| um         | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.807)   |  | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.655)  | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.616)   |  |
| dı         | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -1.97 \times 10^{-3} d_1^2 + 0.245 d_1 + 1.12$                   | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -1.47 \times 10^{-3} d_1^2 + 0.205 d_1 + 1.84 (R^2 = 0.733)$  | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -3.94 \times 10^{-5} d_1^2 \\ + 7.80 \times 10^{-3} d_1 + 8.50$ | $\overline{\text{REV}} = -1.92 \times 10^{-5} d_{\text{m}}^2$ $+ 4.47 \times 10^{-3} d_{\text{m}} + 9.46$ |  |
|            | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.832)   | (  | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.327)  | $(R^2=0.616)$   |  |

## 569 **Table 4.** The fitted equations between average value of REV and $d_I$ , $d_m$

 ${}^{*}\overline{REV}$  is the average value of REV size, d<sub>m</sub> is the distance from mass center of PCE plume

571 to the cell contained in PCE plume, d<sub>1</sub> is the distance from injection point to the cell

572 contained in PCE plume

573





| Experiment | Ι   | II  |  |
|------------|---|---|--|
|            | $\text{REV} = -2.13 \times \ln S_{o} + 0.876$ | $\text{REV} = -0.961 \times \ln S_{o} + 1.09$ |  |
| So-REV     | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.466)                       | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.415)                       |  |
| -          | $REV = 2.27e^{2.70*S_o}$                      | $REV = 1.70e^{3.30*S_o}$                      |  |
| Aow-REV    | (R <sup>2</sup> =0.366)                       | $(R^2=0.500)$                                 |  |

# 575 **Table 5.** The fitted relationship between REV and $S_0$





| 578<br>579 | Figure Captions  |
|------------|--|
| 580        | Figure 1. (a) System Device for acquisition of properties of translucent material; (b) The                                   |
| 581        | infinitesimal selected from translucent porous media packed in 2D sandbox; (c)   |
| 582        | Variable changes as measured scale (L) increment in conceptual curve   |
| 583        | (Costanza-Robinson et al., 2011); (d) Scale effect and the cuboid image window   |
| 584        | geometry.  |
| 585        | Figure 2. (a) The system sandbox equipment of Experiment-I; (b) The system sandbox   |
| 586        | equipment of Experiment-II   |
| 587        | Figure 3. (a) The emergent light intensity, porosity, permeability and tortuosity of 2D                                      |
| 588        | translucent silica sand for Experiments-I and II; (b) The PCE saturation of  |
| 589        | Experiments-I and II during 0~1523 min and observation cells   |
| 590        | <b>Figure 4.</b> (a) The change of porosity ( $\theta$ ), associated coefficient of variation ( $C_V^i$ ), entropy           |
| 591        | dimension ( $DI^i$ ), the relative gradient error ( $\varepsilon_g^i$ ), and new criterion- $\chi^i$ for                     |
| 592        | observation cells as cuboid window scale $(L)$ increases; (b) The change of PCE  |
| 593        | saturation (S <sub>0</sub> ), associated $C_V^i$ , $DI^i$ , $\varepsilon_g^i$ , and $\chi^i$ for observation cells as cuboid |
| 594        | window scale (L) increases   |
| 595        | Figure 5. (a) The distributions of minimum REV sizes of porosity, sand density and   |
| 596        | tortuosity for Experiments-I and II; (b) The frequency of minimum REV sizes of   |
| 597        | Experiments and fitted models  |
| 598        | Figure 6. (a) The distributions of S <sub>0</sub> -REV sizes during 0~1523 min for Experiments-I and                         |
| 599        | II; (b) The distributions of AOW-REV sizes during 0~1523 min for Experiments-I   |
| 600        | and II   |





| 601 | Figure 7. (a) The mass center coordinate of PCE plume and the change of average REV                              |
|-----|--|
| 602 | size as the distance $d_{\rm I},d_m$ increases; (b) The mean, standard deviation of $S_{\rm o}\text{-}{\rm REV}$ |
| 603 | and Aow-REV during 0~1523 min and fitted relationship between REV sizes and                                      |
| 604 | So for Experiments-I and II  |
| 605 |  |



























618 Fig. 4

619



621



623















632 Fig. 7

