Ecohydrological travel times derived from in situ stable water isotope measurements in trees during a semi-controlled pot experiment

David Mennekes^{1,2}, Michael Rinderer¹, Stefan Seeger¹, Natalie Orlowski¹

¹ Hydrology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

5 ² now at: Empa – Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Technology and Society Laboratory, St. Gallen, Switzerland

Correspondence to: david.mennekes@empa.ch

Abstract. Tree water uptake processes and ecohydrological travel times have gained more attention in recent ecohydrological studies. In situ measurement techniques for stable water isotopes offer great potential to investigate these processes but have *i*

- 10 not been applied much to tree xylem and soils so far, Here, we used in situ probes for stable water isotope measurements to monitor the isotopic signatures of soil and tree xylem water before and after two deuterium labelled irrigation experiments. To show the potential of the method we tested our measurement approach with 20-year-old trees of three different species (*Pinus pinea*, *Alnus incana* and *Quercus suber*). They were planted in large pots with homogeneous soil in order to have semi-controlled experimental conditions. Additional destructive sampling of soil and plant material allowed for a comparison
- 15 between destructive (cryogenic vacuum extraction and direct water vapour equilibration) and in situ isotope measurements. Furthermore, isotope tracer based ecohydrological travel times were compared to travel times derived from sap flow measurements. The time to first arrival of the isotope tracer signals at 15 cm stem hight were ca. 17 h for all tree species and matched well with sap flow based travel times. However, at 150 cm stem height tracer based travel times differed between tree species and ranged between 2.4 and 3.3 days. Sap flow based travel times at 150 cm stem hight were ca. 1.3 days longer than
- 20 tracer based travel times. The isotope signature of destructive and in situ isotope measurements differed notably, which suggests that the two types of techniques sampled water from different pools. In situ measurements of soil and xylem water were much more consistent between the three tree pots (on average standard deviations were by 8.4 % smaller for δ^{2} H and by 1.6 % for δ^{18} O for the in situ measurements) and also among the measurements from the same tree pot in comparison to the destructive methods (on average standard deviations were by 7.8 % and 1.6 % smaller for δ^{2} H and δ^{18} O, respectively). Our study
- 25 demonstrates the potential of semi-controlled large scale pot experiments and high-frequent in situ isotope measurements for monitoring tree water uptake and ecohydrological travel times. It also shows that differences in sampling techniques or sensor types need to be considered, when comparing results of different studies and within one study using different methods.

1 Introduction

Rapid and small-scale processes driving interactions in the soil-plant-atmosphere system have only recently gained attention and are, so far, neglected in most ecohydrological models. Challenges are <u>partly</u> the lack of sufficiently resolved data <u>but also</u>

-H	Deleted: new opportunities
	Deleted: improve the understanding of tree water uptake processes and ecohydrological travel times
$\left(\right)$	Deleted: applyied
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Deleted:
$\langle \rangle$	Deleted: water
//	Deleted: s to present advantages, opportunities and potential application of the method.
$\langle \rangle$	Deleted: In
4	Deleted: Therefore, w
	Deleted: We
	Deleted: in a built up aour semi-controlled experiment
	Deleted: placed
	Deleted: , we applied in situ probes for stable water isotope measurements to monitor the isotopic signatures of soil water and tree xylem before and after two deuterium labelled irrigations
	Deleted: complemented the in situ measurements and
	Deleted: For the first labelling pulse, the tracer based travel time at a stem height of 15 cm was 0.7 days for all three tree species but at 150 cm height tracer based travel times ranged between 2.4 (for <i>Alnus incana</i>) and 3.3 days (for <i>Quercus suber</i>). The tracer based travel times (i. e., for all trees 0.7 days). However, say flow based travel times (i. e., for all trees 0.7 days). However, say flow based travel times (i. e., for all trees 0.7 days). However, say flow based travel times were 1.3 days (for <i>Alnus incana</i>) longer than tracer based travel times at 150 cm stem height. In terms of different between tree species, we found similar tracer movement in <i>Plnus pinea</i> and <i>Alnus incanca</i> while in <i>Quercus suber</i> tracer travel times were longer which is likely due to lower water uptake rates of <i>Quercus suber</i> .
	Deleted: comparison of
	Deleted: techniques suggests notabl
	Deleted: e
	Deleted: differences in the
	Deleted: based on measured stable water isotope signatures
	Deleted: ls
$\langle \rangle$	Deleted: but
	Deleted: ,
	Deleted: partly
	Deleted: and

Deleted: Recent advances in i

the lack of ecohydrological process understanding, e. g. the origin of water used by different tree species (Brinkmann et al., 2018; Ellsworth et al., 2007; Sprenger et al., 2016a; Volkmann et al., 2016b). Thus, scaling down to a single tree or plant, ecohydrological processes related to water uptake and usage are not yet fully understood (Mahindawansha et al., 2018; Sprenger et al., 2019). A widely used tool in ecohydrological research are stable water isotope applications. They allow to

- 75 investigate and quantify ecohydrological processes such as plant water uptake depth and <u>spatiotemporal patterns of such. The isotopic composition of water allows to link plant water to its putative water source(s), such as groundwater, precipitation, stream water or (mobile or tightly bound) soil water (Goldsmith et al., 2012; Kübert et al., 2020; Orlowski et al., 2016b; Rothfuss and Javaux, 2017). For the separation of water pools based on the concept that potentially each water pool has its own unique stable water isotope signature due to underlying physical or chemical fractionation processes, highly precise and /</u>
- or frequent stable water isotope measurements are needed (Dubbert et al., 2019; Ehleringer and Dawson, 1992; Evaristo et al., 2015). For tracer experiments, until today it is widely assumed that stable water isotopes (²H/¹H and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O) are not altered by adsorption, degradation or delayed when water is taken up by roots (Ehleringer and Dawson, 1992). However, many recent studies report unknown (potentially fractionation) processes which occur, before, during or after water uptake by vegetation, e. g. during root water uptake (e. g. Barbeta et al., 2019; Ellsworth and Williams, 2007; Poca et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2016).
 However, so far, it remains challenging to precisely guantify such potential fractionation effects on isotope results.

Recent studies working with stable water isotopes suggested highly complex plant water uptake mechanisms and <u>spatiotemporal</u> patterns including water isotope fractionation, which is in contrast to often used simplified soil plant water uptake models (e. g. Allen et al., 2019; Brooks et al., 2010; Evaristo et al., 2015; Lawrence et al., 2011; Sprenger et al., 2019). In turn, a profound process understanding is needed for more adequately constrained modelling which will not only give crucial

- 90 information on ecohydrological functioning but may subsequently serve as a robust virtual experimental platform. <u>Finally, this</u> allows for substantial improvements of the understanding of relevant e.g. forest ecosystem processes. However, quantitative databases combining continuous and spatially highly resolved samplings of all components relevant for the ecosystem water cycle are lacking.
- Traditionally isotope <u>composition</u> of soil and xylem <u>water</u> has been obtained by destructive sampling, lab-based water extractions (e.g. for cryogenic vacuum extraction (hereafter abbreviated as cryo)) and <u>subsequent</u> isotope measurements of the extracted water. Destructive extraction methods are critically discussed in the literature in terms of accuracy and effects of soil parameters or <u>interference of</u> organic contamination <u>in laser-based</u> isotope <u>analysis</u> (Araguás-Araguás et al., 1995; Gaj et al., 2017a, 2017b; Millar et al., 2018; Orlowski et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2018a; Sprenger et al., 2015a; Walker et al., 1994). Generally, lab-based water extraction methods rely on destructive sampling of soil and plant material which is not capable for repeated
- 100 measurements at the same location and is strongly limited in temporal and spatial resolution of measurements (Kübert et al., 2020). However, <u>it is undebatable</u> that for fully understanding ecohydrological feedback processes and interactions, a more detailed temporal resolution over a longer observation period is necessary.

Deleted:	such as
Deleted:	water
Deleted:	or ecosystem
Deleted:	the temporal origin of precipitated water in the soil [1]
Field Co	de Changed ([2])
Deleted:	and with that
Deleted:	Th
Deleted:	Since
Deleted:	s
Deleted:	is is possible because
Deleted:	fractioning
Deleted:	temporal resolution
Deleted:	request
Deleted:	
Deleted:	
Deleted:	It
Deleted:	U
Deleted:	accepted
Deleted:	for tracer experiments
Deleted:	On the other hand
Deleted:	exceptions exist
Deleted:	occurr
Deleted:	ed during
Deleted:	the water flow in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum
Field Co	de Changed
Deleted:	inds
Deleted:	predict
Deleted:	ication
Deleted:	and effects of
Deleted:	processes
Deleted:	
Deleted:	; Wigmosta et al., 1994
Deleted:	and therefore
Deleted:	This
Deleted:	information
Deleted:	water
Deleted:	consecutive
Deleted:	on the
Deleted:	measurements
Deleted:	it is not controversial

Such limitations can be overcome by in situ measuring methods for stable water isotopes which are more and more used in the ecohydrological community. An extensive review on in situ measurement methods for stable water isotopes can be found in

- 145 Beyer et al. (2020). So far, many in situ measurement methods are based on the vapour equilibrium principal (Wassenaar et al., 2008) and consist of gas permeable membranes (tubes or probes) through which water vapour is directed to a isotope analyser for real-time stable water isotope measurements (Gaj et al., 2016; Marshall et al., 2020; Oerter et al., 2016; Rothfuss et al., 2013; Volkmann et al., 2016a; Volkmann and Weiler, 2014). The sampled water vapour is assumed to be in equilibrium with the liquid water surrounding the probes (soil or xylem). Water isotope standards (liquid and/or soil) or the equation by
- 150 Majoube (1971) are applied to transfer vapour to liquid stable water isotope ratios and to calibrate the obtained isotope data (see Beyer et al., 2020). <u>Furthermore, for 'membrane-based systems 'no</u> isotope fractionation <u>could be observed when</u> water 'vapour <u>passes</u>' through the membrane. However, <u>it should be considered that a considerable amount of air/vapour is withdrawn</u> 'from the soil or xylem media by the necessary flow rates of the isotope analyse!'

Continuous in situ stable water isotope measurements can provide data in high temporal resolution for relatively low

- 155 monitoring costs with high accuracy (Volkmann and Weiler, 2014). Thus, these methods potentially can provide the measuring accuracy needed to clarify the ongoing discussions about <u>ecohydrological separation</u> (Berry et al., 2018) and help to unravel high temporal and spatial dynamic processes occurring at the soil-plant-atmosphere interfaces. Such processes can further encompass changes in plant water storage and water travel times from the tree to the ecosystem scale. However, in situ measurements have been applied to trees in only very few cases so far (Marshall et al., 2020; Volkmann et al., 2016a).
- 160 Interpreting these results is sometimes challenging due to the complex boundary conditions that are given in natural forest environments. An application on a range of species with different vessel and wood anatomies as well as applications over longer time periods such as weeks or even months, is also still lacking.

Here, we use in situ stable water isotope probes developed and tested by Volkmann and Weiler (2014) and Volkmann et al. (2016) in a semi-controlled outdoor pot experiment with 20 year old trees over the duration of 10 weeks. We test the application

165 of the new in situ measuring method for three different tree species having different anatomies (diffuse-porous vs. ring-porous, shallow vs. deep root system). The aim of our research was to learn more about ecohydrological travel times, namely the time water travels along the soil plant continuum from the roots to the tree stem and further to the canopy. <u>These ecohydrological travel times are particularly relevant to better understand water uptake strategies, source water depths and delays between root water uptake and transpiration at the tree crown. Furthermore, more and better information on ecohydrological travel times is needed to evaluate and to further improve ecohydrological models (Knighton et al., 2020).</u>

So far, tree water uptake is most commonly indirectly estimated by using sap flow velocities measured at one location of the stem (often breast height) to derive the travel time that water travels from the roots to the canopy. We hypothesise that measuring the actual breakthrough of a tracer (e.g., deuterium) that is transported in the tree xylem is a more direct measurement of ecohydrological travel times. However, for detecting such an isotope tracer breakthrough, high frequency

Deleted: M

Deleted: demonstrated not to cause

Deleted: due to the

Deleted: passage of

Deleted: ages

Deleted: one important point to be considered is that (depending on the type of isotope analyser) a considerable amount of air is drawn from the soil or xylem media to be measured

Deleted:

Deleted: "two water world"-like hypotheses

(Deleted: evapo
~(Deleted: we need

-(Deleted:

measurements at the same monitoring location over multiple weeks are a prerequisite. This cannot be accomplished via destructive sampling of soils and tree xylem. The tracer-based travel time approach has the advantage that it can be applied

190 between any two points of the soil-root-stem-branch water flow system of a tree. The temporal delay with which the labelled soil water appears in the tree stem and twigs will allow for new insights into plant water uptake strategies. This delay has often been neglected when relating soil water isotope composition to the isotope composition in trees to derive root water uptake profiles.

In specific, we tested the following research questions:

- Can the new in situ stable water isotope monitoring technique capture the isotope tracer arrival during a controlled labelling experiment and thus allow to derive ecohydrological <u>water</u> travel times in the soil-tree continuum?
 - Can the new in situ stable water isotope monitoring technique be successfully applied to different tree species having different anatomies?
- How do the in situ stable water isotope measurements compare to destructive sampling techniques (cryogenic vacuum extraction and direct water vapour equilibration) that previously have been used for stable water isotope measurements in tree xylem and soils?

2 Methods

195

200

2.1 Experimental setup

- Our experimental set up consisted of a semi-controlled outdoor pot experiment with three approximately 20 year-old, 4 to 6 205 m high trees. One was a coniferous tree, i.e *Pinus pinea*, and two were deciduous trees i.e., *Alnus incana* and *Quercus suber*, which from here on are referred to as *Pinus (P)*, *Alnus (A)* and *Quercus (Q)*. The trees were planted into large pots (1.3 m x 0.75 m x 0.5 m) and the soil was covered with rain-out shelters (Fig. 1). The pots were filled with a fertile loess soil (Ut2, slightly clayey silt, according to the German Soil Classification KA5, Table 1) from a field site in Malterdingen, Germany (N 48.1592087, E 7.7823271). To monitor soil water conditions, we installed soil moisture sensors (Decagon 5TE sensors, Labcell
- 210 Ltd, USA) in 15 and 30 cm soil depth and soil matric potential sensors (MPS sensors, Decagon Devices, USA) in 15 cm soil depth. In the same soil depths (15 and 30 cm) we also installed the in situ stable water isotope probes (for technical details and installation procedure see section about in situ probes) which in the following they are called S15 and S30. Stable water isotope probes were also installed in 15 and 150 cm stem height in trees' xylem (called X15 and X150 in the following). Trees were equipped with sap flow sensors (SF3 3–needle HPV Sensor, East 30 Sensors, USA) slightly above the isotope probes to avoid
- 215 sensor measurement influences. All data were logged every 10 minutes. Meteorologic conditions (i.e., air temperature and precipitation) were measured on site (technical campus of the University of Freiburg, Germany) and at an official weather station of the German Weather Service (DWD) less than 1 km away (station id: 1443, N: 48.0232, E: 7.8343, elevation 237 m,

Deleted:

220 temporal resolution: 10 min). A scaffolding was set up around the tree pots in order to be able to install and maintain the setup and take destructive samples during the experiment (Fig. 1).

Table 1: Characteristics of the soi	used for the experiment.	Note that grain size distribution	n was analysed after removing the
carbonate content with hydrochlori	c acid.		

Parameter		Value
	coarse sand	0.5%
	middle sand	1.9%
Grain size distribution	fine sand	7.4%
	silt	81.3%
	clay	8.9%
German Soil Classification (KA5)		Ut2
Carbon content	fine grained	18.5%
pH		8.2
Max. water storage capacity*		63.5%

* Gutachterausschuss Forstliche Analytik (2015)



225

Figure 1: Experiment set-up including used sensors and probes as well as the valve and tube set-up for the stable water isotope probes. Trees were exposed to outdoor conditions except for natural rainfall which was to prevent unknown water input into the soil. Note that scales for tree heights or equipment are not representative.

2.2 Irrigation labelling experiments

The experiment consisted of two irrigation / labelling events in fall 2019 with two differently deuterated waters (+40 ‰ δ²H and +95 ‰ δ²H, Table 2). During the four weeks prior to the experiment trees were irrigated only once with water of a known isotopic composition to reduce the amount of antecedent water in the soil. The first labelling took place in the evening of 7 September and in the morning of 8 September 2019 with two times 20 mm labelling water (sum = 40 mm) with an isotopic signature of +40 ‰ δ²H (Table 2). During the first labelling, we split the total applied amount of label water into two rounds of irrigation to be able to better monitor the arrival in soil water content. This procedure did not prove to be necessary and was thus not applied for the second labelling, which took place in the evening of the 11 November 2019. We applied a total amount of 40 mm water with an isotope signature of +95 ‰ δ²H. For both labelling, we did not modify the δ¹⁸O composition (Table 2). To avoid saturated soil conditions at the bottom of the pots, a drainage system was installed but no drainage water was captured from these outlets during the entire experiment.

240

Deleted: am

 Table 2: Characteristics of the irrigation and labelling water during the experiment (note: The first labelling was split into labelling

 Ia and Ib to better monitor the response in soil moisture. During the second labelling this procedure was no longer necessary). *

 245
 manual event-based samples taken at a roof-top sampling station at the Chair of Hydrology laboratory, University of Freiburg, Germany. Amount refers to total precipitation.

Applied water	Date and time (local time)	Amount [mm]	$\delta^2H[\%]$	δ ¹⁸ O [‰]
Precipitation before experiment *	2 July 2019 to 20 August 2019	155	-28.8	-4.2
Irrigation tap water	4 September 2019	20	-63.1	-9.2
Labelling 1a	17 September 2019, 21:15	20	+41.2	-9.2
Labelling 1b	18 September, 10:30	20	+41.2	-9.2
Labelling 2	10 October 2019, 20:30	40	+95.1	-9.2

2.3 In situ stable water isotope monitoring

For the in situ stable water isotope monitoring, we used probes similar to Volkmann and Weiler (2014) but with a shorter probe

- 250 head (length: 30 mm). The probes consist of two parts: a hydrophobic microporous polyethylene probing tube (outer diameter: 10 mm; length: 30 mm; Porex Technologies, Germany) and a mixing chamber (Volkmann et al., 2016a). A carrier gas (synthetic dry–air) was directed at a rate of 35 ml min⁻¹ through a Teflon tube into the tip of the porous membrane of the probe. There, the carrier gas equilibrates with the vapour in the xylem/soil surrounding the probe. Consequently, the equilibrated carrier gas was directed via Teflon tubes into a cavity–ringdown isotope analyser (Picarro L1102-*i*, Picarro Inc., USA). To
- 255 prevent condensation in the Teflon tube, the equilibrated carrier gas was diluted with synthetic dry–air in the mixing chamber to lower the vapour content of the sampling gas. The total flow rate was 35 ml min⁻¹ of which 10 ml min⁻¹ were diluted during the measurement. Before each in situ stable water isotope measurement, the tubing system was flushed for 10 to 30 min to prevent contamination of the isotope measurement by residual moisture in the tubing system. For more technical details the reader is referred to Volkmann and Weiler (2014).
- 260 For the probe installation in the tree xylem, the bark was removed and a 3 cm deep hole with a diameter of 1 cm was drilled into the tree stem. The holes in the trees were deep enough to fit the micro porous membrane heads of the isotope probes. The hole with the probe was finally sealed airtight with silicon, which was left to dry for a couple of days before the first isotope measurements.

To allow for later conversion of the vapour isotope measurements into liquid water isotope values, we used a set of isotope standards on site. The isotopic signature of these standards was repeatedly measured over the course of each day to be able to later compensate for any effect on isotope measurements that was potentially caused by applying the method in an outdoor

7

and not in a lab environment. We used two types of standard boxes: Airtight PVC-tubes filled with soil and added water of a known isotopic signature (standard) and airtight PVC-tubes with an air filled headspace over the isotope standard in liquid form. For each soil standard 1200 g soil of the same soil as in the tree pots was dried at 200 °C for 24 h (cooled down in a

270

desiccator) and filled into <u>custom</u>-made PVC- U boxes (KGU 125, Ostendorf KG, Germany; length: 14 cm, diameter: 12.5 cm) (Orlowski et al., 2013, 2016a, 2016b; Sprenger et al., 2016b Beyer et al., 2020). The soil was rewetted with 300 ml of three different standard waters (Table 3). The water standard tubes were filled with 150 ml of water from the same three standards. In each standard tube, one in situ isotope probe was installed and the tubes were sealed airtight. The standard tubes were placed in a Styrofoam box to exclude sun light and minimize temperature effects. Soil moisture content and temperature

275 in each standard tube were monitored using 5TE probes (Labcell Ltd, USA). This setup enabled the compensation for any effect of daily temperature fluctuation on the isotope measurements as a matter of the outdoor setting of the experiment.

Table 3: Soil and water standards for in situ stable water isotope measurements.

Name	Туре	$\delta^2 H ~[\%]$	δ ¹⁸ O [‰]
stdSL / stdWL	soil / water low	-82.0	-11.4
stdSM / stdWM	soil / water middle	-31.9	-8.2
stdSH / stdWH	soil / water high	+19.9	-5.0

For reliable isotope measurements, the in situ isotope probe and the connected tubing were first flushed with synthetic dry-air 280 for 10 to 30 min. Then a valve system facilitated a continuous flow of sampling gas from the in situ isotope probe to the Picarro isotope analyser. Measurements in the sampling mode were carried out until the isotope readings reached a stable plateau. A final data point was calculated based on the median of all reliable measurements of the last 4 min of stable readings. Reliable data were defined by criteria such as isotopic signal in possible range and successful flushing with dry-air.

The standard's vapour isotopic signals were related to liquid isotopic signals by measuring the liquid isotopic signal of the

- 285 standards before and after the experiment (liquid ring-down spectrometer or cryogenic vacuum extraction and vapour equilibrium method). In a second step, the continuous measurements of the standards were used to transform measured in situ stable isotope measurements from vapour to liquid isotopic signals. Isotope data were further corrected for changes in the humidity using the approach by Brand et al. (2009). Finally, the entire isotope dataset was further checked for outliers. We used an agglomerative clustering method combined with a single linkage method (Almeida et al., 2007; Hawkins, 1980) for
- 290 each isotope probe's data to identify potential outliers. Nearest neighbours were detected with Euclidean Distance and maximum clusters were numbers of observations divided by 10. Outliers were manually checked and removed if they were deemed to be unreliable.

Deleted: cost
Deleted: ume

295 2.4 Destructive sampling: Cryogenic vacuum extraction and direct water vapour equilibration method

300

One aim of our work was to compare the in situ stable water isotope measurements with isotope values obtained by commonly used destructive water (vapour) extraction (equilibration) methods. We chose the cryogenic vacuum extraction (Araguás-Araguás et al., 1995) and the direct <u>water</u> vapour equilibration methods (Sprenger et al., 2015a, 2015b; Stumpp and Hendry, 2012; Wassenaar et al., 2008), which have not been compared with such long and continuous in situ plant water isotope values before.

For obtaining isotope samples for the <u>direct water</u> vapour equilibration method, twigs were collected from the tree crown at four different days during the experiment (Table 4). The bark was removed from the twigs and the samples (at least 30 g) were placed in airtight coffee bags (1 L volume, Item no. CB400-420 BRZ, Weber Packaging, Germany). Soil samples were taken from soil cores from 0 to 25 cm and 25 to 50 cm soil depth using a Pürckhauer. Again, samples (at least 30 g) were placed in

305 airtight bags. The sampling bags are comprised of tri-ply, adhesive laminated sheets including a 12 mm layer of aluminium foil and a zip-seal both guaranteeing air-tightness. Sprenger et al. (2015a) showed the suitability of these bags for soil samples and subsequent isotope analysis.

 Table 4: Dates of destructive sampling campaigns for consecutive cryogenic vacuum extraction ("cryo") (Orlowski et al., 2018b) and the direct water vapour equilibration method ("direct equilibration") (Wassenaar et al., 2008). Samples were collected before noon and directly stored in airtight bags/glass tubes and kept cool (4 °C) until further analysis.

Nr.	Date	Туре	Method
1	16 September 2019	soil & xylem	direct equilibration & cryo
2	02 October 2019	soil & xylem	direct equilibration
3	28 October 2019	xylem	direct equilibration
4	14 November 2019	soil & xylem	direct equilibration & cryo

The airtight sampling bags filled with the twig or soil samples were inflated with dry-air (pressure about 1 bar) and sealed. The dry-air was then allowed to equilibrate with the moisture of the twig/soil sample for one (twigs) or two (soils) days at 20 °C lab temperature, respectively. For stable water isotope measurements of the air-tight bags, a silicon drop was placed on the

315 bag, dried for a day and then punctured with a syringe that was attached to a lab-based isotope analyser (Picarro L2120-*i*, Picarro, USA) via a Teflon tube. To transfer vapour isotope values into liquid isotope values, three standards of 10 ml each (FSM: δ^2 H = -126.2 ‰, δ^{18} O = -16.7 ‰; tap water: δ^2 H = -65.9 ‰, δ^{18} O = -9.6 ‰; Baltic Sea: δ^2 H = -2.6 ‰, δ^{18} O = -0.4 ‰) were filled in airtight bags and the isotopic composition was measured in the same way as for the twig / soil samples.

For cryogenic water extraction, we used a custom built set up at the laboratory of the Chair of Tree Physiology, University 320 Freiburg (temperature water bath: 95 °C, time: 90 min; for further information see Dubbert et al., 2014, 2017). This facility participated in the round–robin by Orlowski et al. (2018b). The sampled twig and soil samples were placed into gas–tight 12 ml septum-capped glass vials (LABCO, United Kingdom) and heated for 90 min in a 95 °C hot water bath under a vacuum of at least 0.08 mbar. Extracted vapour was trapped in glass tubes cooled with liquid N₂. After defrosting, samples were filtered.

- Liquid isotope samples (from irrigation water, labelling water, soil and plant water extracts) were analysed using a L2130-i
 laser spectroscope (Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, United States) in the laboratory of the Chair of Hydrology. All runs included three in-house standards, which were calibrated against V-SMOW, SLAP, and GISP (IAEA, Vienna) following Newman et al. (2009). This further allowed for drift and memory corrections. Isotopic ratios are reported in per mil (‰) relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) (Craig, 1961). The deuterium excess was calculated as d = δ²H 8 * δ¹⁸O (Dansgaard, 1964).Precision of analyses was ±0.6 ‰ for δ²H and ±0.16 ‰ for δ¹⁸O. Isotope data were checked for spectral 330 interferences using ChemCorrectTM (Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, United States). In our study, no plant or soil water sample
- was found to be affected by organic contamination.

For the fourth measurement campaign (Table 4), stable water isotope signatures of cryogenically extracted water were additionally measured with a mass spectrometer (Delta plus XP; Thermo Finnigan, USA) following the measurement routine described by Saurer et al. (2016) (precision: 1.5 % for δ^2 H and 0.2 % for δ^{18} O).

335 2.5 Sap flow data post-processing

Heat pulse signals of the sap flow sensors (SF3 3-needle HPV Sensor, East 30 Sensors, USA) were converted into velocities following the Eq. (1) by Hassler et al. (2018) who derived their equation from (Campbell et al., 1991):

$$V_{sap} = \frac{2k}{C_w (r_u + r_d)} \ln\left(\frac{\Delta T_u}{\Delta T_d}\right) \tag{1}$$

where k is the thermal conductivity of the sapwood, set to 0.5 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, C_w is the specific heat capacity of water in J m⁻³ 340 K⁻¹, r is the distance between heating (middle) and measuring (outer) needles in m (here 6mm) and ΔT is the temperature difference before heating and 60 s after the heat pulse in K. Indices d and u stand for downwards and upwards compared to the heated needle in the middle.

Sap flow velocity was additionally corrected for wounding of the xylem tissue and installation effects using Eq. (2) according to Burgess et al. (2001):

$$V_c = b \, V_{sap} + c \, V_{sap}^2 + d \, V_{sap}^3 \tag{2}$$

345 where V_c (m s⁻¹) is the corrected V_{sap} and *b*, *c* and *d* are the correction coefficients. In line with Hassler et al. (2018), we set *b* = 1.8558, *c* = -0.0018 s m⁻¹ and *d* = 0.0003 s² m⁻² (Burgess et al., 2001; Hassler et al., 2018). Outliers were removed by applying a 30 min rolling median to the dataset. General offsets were corrected by shifting values in a way that nightly sap flow velocities were zero following Pfautsch et al. (2010).

2.6 Travel time estimation

- 350 To analyse the isotope tracer arrivals after the labelling events, the tracer arrival times at the in situ isotope probes in 15 cm and 150 cm stem height were derived. The tracer arrival time was determined as the first time step after the labelling for which δ^2 H isotope values exceeded the range of isotope values in the 48h before the labelling. We also determined the time step of the highest isotope measurement after the labelling (called peak time in the following). Due to a short data gap right after the second labelling in November we did not include these data but focussed our analysis only on the first labelling experiment.
- 355 We compared the tracer based travel times with sap flow based travel times for which we calculated cumulated sap flow using sap flow velocity data of *Pinus* and *Alnus* sap flow sensors in 15 cm and 150 cm stem height. The sap flow data of *Quercus* was considered as not reliable and excluded from the analysis. We speculate this could be due to the ring porous sap wood structure (see also Bush et al., 2010; Clearwater et al., 1999). We then determined the time step for which cumulated sap flow was equal to the travel distance from an average rooting depth of 15 cm below surface to 15 cm and 150 cm stem height (i.e. 300 cm and 165 cm travel distance).

3 Results

3.1 Dynamics of climatic, soil moisture and sap flow conditions

During the experiment, average air temperature at the experimental site was 14.0 °C (sd: 4.4 °C) ranging from 4.0 °C on the coldest day to 28.2 °C on the hottest day (Fig. 2). Vapour pressure deficit (VPD) as relevant plant activity indication was highest between 13:00 and 15:00 with average values around 0.84 kPa (sd: 0.6 kPa), reaching maximum values around of 2 kPa for a few days. Soil temperatures in both depths of all pots followed air temperatures trends, although the amplitude was approximately ten times less pronounced and up to 10 h delayed. During the experiment, the mean soil temperature for all sensors was 15.1 °C (sd: 2.7 °C).



370 Figure 2: Weather conditions for the study site over the course of the experiment. Data is provided by the German Weather Service station Freiburg i. Br., Germany, less than 1 km away.

Soil moisture dynamics were only affected by artificial irrigation and the labelling campaigns, since rain-out shelters prevented natural precipitation to enter the tree pots. <u>Thus</u>, irrigation with labelled water caused the average volumetric water content (VWC) to increase by 24 % across all trees (Fig. 4). Soil moisture differed between sensors of the same pot and was highest

Deleted:

Deleted: Daily maxima were recorded at noon ranging from 8.2 °C to 28.2 °C (mean: 18.5 °C, sd: 3.2 °C), while minima were measured around 4:00 in the morning.

Deleted: 8.5

Moved down [1]: The installed drainage system at the bottom never showed any outflow.

Deleted: The installed drainage system at the bottom never showed never showed any outflow. The The installed drainage system at the bottom never showed any outflow.

- for Quercus (range of average VWC values: 12.2 % 32.4 %), and smaller for the Pinus pot (9.6 % 24.5 %) and the Alnus pot (13.0 % 18.5 %) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, median VWC was highest for Quercus (20.8 %) and lower for Pinus (11.7 %) and Alnus (14.2 %). Generally, matric potential values exceeded permanent wilting point (PWP) more often for Alnus and Pinus than for the Quercus soil pot (Fig. 4). In 77 % of all soil moisture profiles across all tree pots we observed higher VWC at 15 cm than at 30 cm soil depth. The installed drainage system at the bottom never showed any outflow.
- Soil matric potential showed a similar dynamic compared to VWC: starting at pF values around 2 after labelling/irrigation and increasing during dry periods (up to 3.2 for *Pinus*, 4.2 for *Alnus* and 3.2 for *Quercus* before the second labelling; up to 4.4 for *Pinus*, up to 5 (measurement limit) for *Alnus*, and 3.5 for *Quercus* at the end of the experiment). Generally, pF values increased fastest and strongest for *Alnus*, while matric potentials in the *Quercus* pot showed the smallest increase (max pF value 3.4). Over the experimental period, median pF value was 2.4 for *Pinus*, 3.2 for *Alnus* and 2.1 for *Quercus*, respectively (Fig. 4).
- 395 The highest sap flow velocities were measured in *Pinus* at 150 cm height (max 12 cm h⁻¹, median = 1.9 cm h⁻¹), while *Alnus* showed lower maximum sap flow velocities (at 150cm height: 7.4 cm h⁻¹, median = 0.8 cm h⁻¹) over the experimental period. A maximum sap flow velocity of 2.1 cm \cdot h⁻¹ was measured for *Quercus*, which is likely due to sensor failure (Fig. 4). Therefore, we excluded this data from further analysis.

3.2 Isotopic tracer arrival in soils and trees

400 The δ²H <u>values of all in situ isotope probes before the first labelling in soils and trees were ca. -30 ‰ and therefore similar to the δ²H <u>values of summer precipitation of the preceding weeks (-29 ‰) (Fig. 3). The first labelling on the 17 September 2019 caused a rapid increase of the isotopic signature measured with the soil probes in each pot <u>see Fig. 3, Tab. 5). In the *Pinus* pot, the labelling water first infiltrated into the upper part (first response of isotope tracer after 5 h) and reached deeper soil depth with a delay of 2 days. In the <u>Alnus and Quercus pots</u>, the upper and lower in-situ isotope probes responded after 15 h
405 and 17 h (<u>Alnus</u>) and 3 h and 15 h (<u>Quercus</u>). All probes reached peaks in isotope signatures after ca. 1 day, except for <u>Pinus</u> S30 and <u>Alnus</u> S15 which showed a slow but steady increase over 2 weeks following the labelling experiment (Tab. 5). A likely explanation is, that the labelling water was not penetrating the entire soil profile as homogeneously as intended.
</u></u></u>

Deleted:	Over the course of the experiment
Deleted:	in each pot
Deleted: was lower t	This suggests that root water uptake of the <i>Quercus</i> tree than for the other trees. This is also reflected in the
Deleted:	that
Moved (i	nsertion) [1]

Deleted:

Deleted:

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Don't keep with next

Deleted: signatures

Deleted: measured with

Deleted: as

Deleted: One exception was the sensor *Pinus* X15 in 15 cm stem height which showed δ^2 H values of ca. -15 ‰. Here, it should be noted that the soil was exposed to the summer precipitation before the start of the experiment.

Deleted: except for *Pinus* S30 and *Alnus* S15 which increased delayed and slower

Moved (insertion) [2]

 Deleted: in

 Deleted: considerable

 Deleted: .

 Formatted: Font: Italic

 Formatted: Font: Italic

 Formatted: Font: Italic

 Formatted: Font: Italic

 Deleted: m



Figure 3: Daily median stable water isotope measurements with in situ probes in xylem and soils. ²H and ¹⁸O are shown in δ notation
 while deuterium excess is calculated as follows: d = δ²H - 8 * δ¹⁸O (Dansgaard, 1964). For reference, plots include destructive measurement campaigns (light grey) and labelling dates (dark grey) as well as summer precipitation (cross) and pre event irrigation isotope signal (triangle). δ²H for label 1 was +41.2 ‰ and +95.1 ‰ for label 2 while δ¹⁸O was equal to pre experiment irrigation (-9.2 ‰).

Deleted: Overall, we observed more similar responses of tracer arrival for stable water isotopes probesmeasurements in the Pinus and Alnus pot. while fFor the Quercus pot the responses were slightly different (see Fig. 3 which is based on daily median values). More precise, Table 5 summarizes the tracer responses for the first labelling experiment in the highest temporal resolution measured. However, not every single stable water isotopes probe was align with this general observed pattern. The Alnus S15 measurements showed a rather steady increase in $\delta^2 H$ values. About two weeks after the first labelling, Alnus S15 isotope values were equal to all other Alnus soil and xylem in situ measurements. In comparison, *Alnus* S30 isotope values responded within 15 h. The peak of the soil δ^2 H isotopic signature of S30 was +9.14 ‰ and therefore elevated by +45.15 ‰ compared to the signature from the day before the labelling. For instance, Pinus S15 and S30 , the response pattern was the opposite. S15 responded within 5 h and reached a peak of +8.77 ‰ for $\delta^2 H$ within a day (delta = +39.94 % for δ^2 H) while Pinus S30 responded within ca. 2 days and had only a peak of -19.08 ‰ for δ^2 H (ca. 2 weeks after the first labelling). On the other hand, Quercus S15 and S30 in situ soil probes responded both within half a day and both reached a peak within 1 day after labelling. This difference in the response of isotopic measurements in different soil depth suggests that for all pots that in the *Alnus* pot our labelling water might have reached lower soil depth by preferential flow paths bypassing the soil matrix of the upper soil profile. In the Pinus pot the labelling water first infiltrated into the upper part and reached deeper soil depth with a considerable delay. In case of the Quercus, our irrigation led ... [4]

Moved up [2]: In the *Pinus* pot the labelling water first infiltrated into the upper part and reached deeper soil depth with a considerable delay.





Figure 4: Soil-, tree- and atmospheric conditions over the course of the experiment in 10 min temporal resolution. Soil condition and sap flow velocity is shown for the three tree pots. Sap flow velocity measurements for *Quercus suber* are most likely affected by sensor failures (panel c).

500

0 Table 5: Tracer arrival and peak times in xylem isotope probes at 15 cm and 150 cm height in *Pinus, Alnus* and *Quercus* of the first labelling on 17 September 2019. In addition, an estimated time delay based on cumulated sap flow velocity data is given. It is calculated as the time needed for sap to travel from -15 cm average rooting depth to 15 cm and 150 cm stem height, respectively. NA: no isotope data available, X: no sap flow data available

Tree	Туре	Height [cm]	δ ² H before labelling [‰]	δ ² H first arrival [‰]	difference between δ ² H before labelling and first arrival [‰]	Time delay first arrival [days]	Time delay based on sap flow [days]	δ²H peak [‰]	difference between $\delta^2 H$ before labelling and peak [‰]	Time delay peak [days]
Pinus	Soil	30	-36.40	-30.42	5.98	1.9		-19.08	17.32	16.8
Pinus	Soil	15	-31.17	-11.80	19.37	0.2		8.77	39.94	0.7
Pinus	Xylem	15	-13.37	4.99	18.36	0.7	0.7	15.11	28.48	2.9
Pinus	Xylem	150	-21.44	NA	NA	NA	3.5	NA	NA	NA
Alnus	Soil	30	-36.01	-31.20	4.81	0.6		9.14	45.15	1.0
Alnus	Soil	15	-35.88	-30.50	5.38	0.7		-11.12	24.76	16.4
Alnus	Xylem	15	-28.28	-7.50	20.78	0.7	0.7	6.74	35.02	3.2

Deleted: the ,

In situ isotope probes in tree xylem of Pinus X15 responded within 17 h and reached a peak 2.9 days after the first labelling (-15.11% for δ^2 H). ,after*Pinus* X150 could not be evaluated because of a data gap shortly after the labelling. Alnus X15 also responded within 17 h and reached a peak ca. 3 days after the first labelling (+6.74 ‰ for $\delta^2 H)$ Alnus X150 responded within 2.4 days and reached a peak after 5.9 days (-13.47 % for δ^2 H). The response of isotope measurements in FOuercus (X15 and X150), X15 and X150 was different from the two other trees. We could not identify a clear first label arrival but instead the isotopic values increased slowly over time. Based on our definition of "first arrival" to be the time step the isotope values exceeded the range of isotope measurements of the 48 h prior to the labelling, the first arrival for Quercus X15 and X150 would have been after 17 h and the peak after 2.3 days but we do not consider these "first arrivals" to be very reliable. More clearclearly were the peaks for the $\delta^2 H$ isotope measurements of in Quercus X15 and X150, which we measured after 2.3 days (peak: -14.67 ‰ δ²H) for X15 and after 16 days, respectively. (peak: -14.68 $\% \delta^2$ H) for X150. ,The travel time based on cumulated sap flow was very similar to our the travel times based on first arrival in isotope measurements (Table 5). For instance, for Alnus X15 the isotope based travel time and the sap flow based travel time were both 17 h (Fig. 5). For Alnus X150, the isotope based travel time was 2.4 days, the sap flow based travel time was 3.7 days. Similarly, for Pinus X15 the isotope based travel time and the sap flow based travel time were 17 h. We lack data to determine the isotope based travel time for Pinus X150 but the sap flow based travel time was 3.5 days. For Quercus, we lack reliable sap flow data that is why we could not compare isotope based and sap flow based travel times. We discuss technical issues in Sec. 4 that led to data gaps but the experimental setup in general was found to be suited for measuring tracer breakthrough and ecohydrological travel times in the soil-plant continuum.

Alnus	Xylem	150	-32.74	-19.80	12.94	2.4	3.7	-13.47	19.27	5.9
Quercus	Soil	30	-32.74	3.26	36.00	0.1		22.73	55.47	1.0
Quercus	Soil	15	-30.39	-21.22	9.17	0.6		1.58	31.97	1.0
Quercus	Xylem	15	-23.85	-19.66	4.19	0.7	Х	-14.67	9.18	2.3
Quercus	Xylem	150	-22.44	-18.68	3.76	3.3	Х	-14.68	7.76	16.3

For in situ isotope probes in the tree xylem, *Quercus* showed a clearly different tracer response compared to *Pinus* or *Alnus*. *Pinus* and *Alnus* X15 responded both within 17 h and reached a peak 2.9 days after the first labelling. In 150 cm, *Alnus* X150 Deleted: with

540 responded after 2.4 days followed by a peak after 5.9 days. *Pinus* X150 could not be evaluated because of a data gap shortly after the labelling. For *Quercus* (X15 and X150), we could not identify a clear first label arrival but instead the isotopic values increased slowly over time. More clearly were the peaks for the δ²H measurements in *Quercus* X15 and X150, which we measured after 2.3 days and after 16 days, respectively.

For *Pinus* and *Alnus*, the travel time based on cumulated sap flow at 15 cm was very similar to the corresponding travel times
based on first arrival in isotope measurements, i. e. 17 h for all calculations (Table 5, Fig. 5). For *Alnus* X150, the isotope based travel time was faster than the sap flow based travel time (2.4 days vs. 3.7 days, Table 5). For *Quercus*, we lack reliable sap flow data that is why we could not compare isotope based and sap flow based travel times.



550 Figure 5: Isotope tracer breakthrough of Alnus, Pinus and Quercus at 15 cm and 150 cm stem height and cumulated sap flow of Alnus and Pinus (no reliable sap flow data for Quercus). Tracer based travel times (i.e. time of first response of isotope tracers) for Alnus and Pinus were similar to sap flow based travel times considering the distance from an average rooting depth of 15 cm below surface to 15 cm and 150 cm stem height.

3.3 Comparison of in situ stable water isotope measurements and destructive sampling techniques

555 When comparing in situ isotope data with destructive measurements, the later showed a wider spread of isotope measurements within the same tree species (soil vs. xylem/twigs) than the in situ measurements (Fig. 6). We found clearly different patterns for δ²H and δ¹⁸O values when comparing destructive measurements with in situ measurements. Hence, for one tree species (soil and xylem/twigs values) results for destructive methods showed maximum differences for δ²H up to 49.2 ‰ during one measurement campaign, while δ¹⁸O showed differences of up to 4.79 ‰. Furthermore, isotope values from in situ measurements from the same tree pot, especially under natural abundance (measurements before labelling).





Comparing the differences between destructive and in situ measurements for δ^2 H, we found significant differences between the four different measurement campaigns (ANOVA, p<0.05). However, comparing differences between methods grouped by tree species or measured material (xylem or soil), no significant differences were found. Additionally, both destructive

580 methods, cryogenic vacuum extraction and direct water vapour equilibration, showed more different results for δ²H values than for δ¹⁸O. On average, the measured values for soil samples for δ²H and δ¹⁸O were 13.23 ‰ and 1.35 ‰ more enriched for cryogenic vacuum extraction than for vapour equilibration. However, for xylem/twig measurements most of the δ-values obtained by the vapour equilibration method were higher than the values obtained by cryogenic vacuum extraction (for δ²H: 5 out of 6; for δ¹⁸O. 4 out of 6). Here, average absolute differences were 11.95 ‰ for δ²H and 1.56 ‰ for δ¹⁸O. Additionally, during the last measurement campaign for most samples the vapour equilibrium method was more enriched in both isotopes.



Figure 6: Comparison of destructive isotope measurement methods (Direct water vapor equilibration = equilibrium, Cryogenic vacuum extraction = cryo) with in situ stable water isotope measurements. Box plots (with median) represent in situ measurements for a six day (on average 11 measurements, range 1 to 41 measurements) time frame around the destructive measurement campaigns. Dates of measurement campaigns and applied methods can be found in Table 4.

Moved (insertion) [5]

Deleted: lay

Deleted: Furthermore, during the measurement campaign before labelling, soil xylem δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values for cryogenic vacuum extraction were slightly more enriched than direct vapour equilibration values, while in xylem the cryogenic vacuum extraction values were mostly more enriched.

Deleted: showed more enriched $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values

Moved (insertion) [4]

Deleted: This was particularly true for 8¹⁸O. For 8¹⁸O under natural abundance conditions and low VWC, the differences between the three isotope methods were much more apparent and became less strong after the first and second labellingin

Deleted: situ measurements δ^{18} O values increased over time (from average -7.8 %) for measurement 1 to -6.9% for measurement 4; Fig. 3), δ^{18} O values of destructive measurements decreased (from average -2.6 %) for measurement 1 to -6.2 %) for measurement 4, Fig. 3) (smallest methodological differences). Xylem δ^{18} O values showed the smallest variation between the three methods for the very last measurement campaign, even so VWC was comparable to the initial values at the start of the experiment (before the first labelling).

Deleted: The soil δ^{18} O values under natural abundance (before labelling) obtained from cryogenic extraction and the direct water vapour equilibration were much more enriched than in situ methods' δ18O valuesdestructively sampled values suggested a greater influence of more enriched pre experiment precipitation (Fig. 3 and Fig. 6) Average values across all measurements were -2.3 % and -3.1 % for direct water vapour equilibration and cryogenic vacuum extraction and -7.8 % for the in situ measurements. Additionally, we observed a trend over time for $\delta^{18}O$ values. While for in situ measurements $\delta^{18}O$ values increased over time (from average -7.8 %for measurement 1 to -6.9‰ for measurement 4; Fig. 3), δ^{18} O values of destructive measurements decreased (from average -2.6 % for measurement 1 to -6.2 ‰ for measurement 4, Fig. 3). For example, δ^{18} O values for *Pinus* decreased on average over all probes (xylem and soil) by -2.69 % between the first and last measurement campaign. For Alnus, this decrease was -3.77 ‰ and for Quercus -4.26 % for δ^{18} O. As a result, differences in δ^{18} O values between measurement methods (in situ vs. destructive) became smaller than ±2.5 ‰ (for measurement campaign three and four) (Fig. 6). Regarding 818O, destructively sampled values suggested a greater influence of more enriched pre experiment precipitation (Fig. 3 and Fig. 6). This was only true for δ^{18} O but not for δ^{2} H.

Moved up [3]: in situ measurements δ^{18} O values increased over time (from average -7.8 % for measurement 1 to -6.9% for measurement 4; Fig. 3), δ^{18} O values of destructive measurements decreased (from average -2.6 % for measurement 1 to -6.2 % for measurement 4, Fig. 3)

Formatted: Justified, Don't keep with next

Moved up [4]: destructively sampled values suggested a greater influence of more enriched pre experiment precipitation (Fig. 3 and Fig. 6). This was only true for δ^{18} O but not for δ^{2} H.¶

Moved (insertion) [3]

590

640 Tree species at x-axis refer to: P = Pinus pinea, A = Alnus incana, Q = Quercus suber. For reference, stable water isotope signature of labelling events, pre experiment precipitation and pre experiment irrigation can be found in Table 2.

We cross-checked the cryogenically obtained isotope data on a mass spectrometer. Overall measured samples (N=12 for after the second labelling campaign), mean differences between the laser-based isotope measurements and the mass spectrometer measurements were 2.9‰ ±1.1 ‰ for δ²H and 0.0‰ ±0.3 ‰ for δ¹⁸O, which lies in an acceptable range of measurement

inaccuracy. Thus, effects of potentially co-extracted organics can be ruled out.

4 Discussion

Our results suggested that the in situ isotope probes were capable of measuring tracer arrival, and thus water transport, at different locations along the soil-plant continuum in high temporal resolution. This is extremely valuable for monitoring

650 ecohydrological travel times, which were also comparable to travel times derived from our sap flow velocity data. In general, in situ isotope probes responded clearly to our applied isotope label suggesting that the technique was capable to detect rapid changes in isotope composition in soils and tree xylem (see also Seeger and Weiler, 2021; Volkmann et al., 2016b; Volkmann and Weiler, 2014). However, when comparing destructive and in situ measurement methods, we partly observed different results, which we discuss in the following section.

655 4.1 In situ stable isotope measurement technique for monitoring ecohydrological travel times

In general, our measurement approach was capable of detecting differences in isotope composition and tracer arrival in different soil depths (e.g., *Pinus* and *Alnus* soil probes) and xylem isotope data of *Pinus* and *Alnus* at 15cm and *Alnus* at 150cm stem height (data gap for *Pinus* X150, no immediate response in *Quercus* X15 and X150). This allowed to monitor the temporal dynamics of isotope signatures (i.e. tracer breakthrough) including a peak isotope signature at all probes in all three trees. In

- 660 terms of this tracer breakthrough, we observed a flatter curve at the X150 probes than at the X15 probes suggesting that along the flow path, diffusion occurred. This could be caused by temporally trapped water or different flow path lengths and velocities or potential isotope, fractionation, effects, which decreased the visibility of the isotope, tracer signal. Moreover, isotope measurements along extended flow paths might also be subject to potential isotope fractionation processes in the root zone, during water uptake or in the plant (Ellsworth and Williams, 2007; von Freyberg et al., 2020; Poca et al., 2019; Vargas et al.,
- 665 2017), which would further complicate the interpretation of tracer breakthrough signals. In general, similar effects are also reported by others (Barbeta et al., 2020; Pfautsch et al., 2015; Schepper et al., 2012) and are likely to increase with increasing flow path length. Furthermore, pthers have discussed the influence of an exchange of water stored in wood cells and transported in tree xylem (Barbeta et al., 2020; Martín-Gómez et al., 2016). Further research is therefore needed to quantify the importance of these processes for isotope measurements in trees. However, for the detection of the first arrival and a tracer breakthrough
- 670 of an isotope label, we argue that these processes are likely of minor importance.

Deleted:

Moved up [5]: For δ^2 H values, 63 % of the destructive measurements lay outside the 95% confidence interval of the in situ stable water isotope measurements when considering a six days' timeslot (centralized on the day of destructive measurement). On average, δ-values for both isotopes were more positive for destructive measurements than for in situ measurements (Fig. 6). Comparing the differences between destructive and in situ measurements for δ^2 H, we found significant differences between the four different measurement campaigns (ANOVA, p< 0.05). However, comparing differences between methods grouped by tree species or measured material (xylem or soil), no significant differences were found. Additionally, both destructive methods, cryogenic vacuum extraction and direct water vapour equilibration, showed different results for δ^2 H values than for δ^{18} O. Furthermore, during the measurement campaign before labelling, soil xylem $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$ values for cryogenic vacuum extraction were slightly more enriched than direct vapour equilibration values, while in xylem the cryogenic vacuum extraction values were mostly more enriched. On average, the measured values for soil samples for $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18} O$ were 13.23 %and 1.35 ‰ more enriched for cryogenic vacuum extraction than for vapour equilibration. However, for xylem/twig measurements most of the δ -values obtained by the vapour equilibration method were

Deleted: In summary, we observed partly different results for $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18}O$ when comparing destructive with in situ isotope (... [5])

Formatted: Normal

water transport, at different locations along the soil-plant cont	([6]	
Deleted: our		
Deleted: especially in low stem heights		
Deleted: soiln situ isotope probes responded quickly	[7]	
Deleted: i		
Field Code Changed		
Formatted	[8]	
Deleted: will bee discussedin this	[9]	
Formatted: English (US)		
Deleted: One aim of our study was to evaluate the capabili	[10]	
Deleted: weur measurement approach was werecapab	[11]	
Deleted: t		
Deleted:). The tracer arrival was clearly visible in the		
Deleted: icfractionationing	[12]	
Deleted: occurred		
Deleted: reducedecreased the visibility of the isotopi	[13]	
Deleted: This effect isimilar effects are also reported by o (Barbeta et al., 2020; Pfautsch et al., 2015; Schepper et al., 2)	others	
Commented [r7R6]:		
Moved down [6]: For our experiment, the use of a tracer s that was elevated by ca. 70 ‰ compared to the isotope signatu	ignal ire in	
Deleted: 1	[15]	

4.2 Jsotope based versus sap flow based ecohydrological travel times

The tracer based and the sap flow based travel times from the root to 15 cm stem height match well, which suggested that

- high-frequency in situ isotope measurements can be used to derive ecohydrological travel times in the soil-plant continuum. 885 However, the difference in isotope based and sap flow based ecohydrological travel times increased with increasing stem height. Thus, the question remains, which of the two travel times is more reliable. We acknowledge that the tracer signal in the tree xylem is a breakthrough curve that allows for some uncertainty in terms of how the first tracer arrival is defined. However, we argue that our definition of the first tracer arrival, namely a sudden increase in isotope signature that significantly exceeds the natural variability and measuring accuracy observed in the days prior to the experiments, is a reliable indication
- 890 of the tracer arrival We further argue that the isotope based travel time approach is a measure that integrates changing flow conditions along the flow path, e. g. short temporal water storages, while the sap flow based travel time is an extrapolation based on a point specific measurement. Our data suggest that this difference is trivial for short travel distances but can become relevant already for measurements at breast height (150 cm). We expect these differences to become even more prominent when calculating travel times from the root to the canopy for entire forest stands (see also Meinzer et al., 2006;
- 895 Schwendenmann et al., 2010). It is likely that the isotope based and the sap flow based ecohydrological travel times differ by the order of several days or even weeks when comparing the two measures in 25 or 50 m tree height. This is supported by Meinzer et al. (2006) who found sap flow velocities to be five times smaller than tracer based sap flow velocities in an experiment in coniferous species (ree heights were 13.5 m to 58 m), Similar results were reported by Schwendenmann et al. (2010) who studied topical trees and bamboo (4.2 m to 19.8 m height, 0.10 to 0.18 m diameter) and found sap flow velocities
- 900 measured with a heat dissipation method seven times smaller than tracer based estimates.

Parts of these differences in water travel times can likely be explained by the fact that only a fraction of the sapwood crosssection consists of conduits and that the specific hydraulic conductivity of latewood is about one order of magnitude lower than that of earlywood (Meinzer et al., 2006) In other words, the sap flow based velocities are likely underestimating true sap flow. We argue that tracer based ecohydrological travel times represent a more direct measure of the water transport in trees

905 over longer distances than sap flow based travel times. The difference in isotope arrival between Quercus and the two other tree species in our study also suggests that the in situ isotope technique is capable to measure tree species specific differences in ecohydrological travel times.

4.3 Differences between tree species

So far, the in situ measurement method by Volkmann and Weiler (2014) was applied in soils (Volkmann and Weiler, 2014) 910 and a limited number of tree species (maple (Acer campestre), and European beach (Fagus sylvatica)) (Seeger et al., 2020; Seeger and Weiler, 2021; Volkmann et al., 2016a), However, water uptake and transport varies for different tree species depending on multiple factors such as abiotic factors (Fonti and García-González, 2008), vessel width (Hagen-Poiseuille equation) and vessel structure. Clear differences in conifers' xylem structure (e. g. for Pinus pinea) and hardwoods' xylem

Moved (insertion) [6]

Deleted: For our experiment, the use of a tracer signal that was elevated by ca. 70 % compared to the isotope signature in soils and trees before the labelling (ca. -30 ‰) was enough to detect the tracer arrival in 150 cm stem height. Marshall et al. (2020) suggest to use an even more elevated tracer signal for a similar tracer experiment under controlled conditions and with mature trees.

Formatted: Heading 2

Deleted: In terms of ecohydrological travel times, the first arrival in isotone signature in 15 cm stem height of Pinus and Alnus could be detected 17 h after the labelling. The same duration was determined based on cumulated sap flow data. This

Deleted: Our results in 15 cm stem height

Deleted: s

Deleted: . In 150cm stem height of the Alnus tree, the isotope based travel time was 2.4 days while the sap flow based travel time was 3.7 days..

Deleted:

Furthermore, we want to highlight the importance of using isotope based travel times for ecohydrological travel time analysis. Thus, Deleted: Wwe

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: compared sap flow base and deuterium tracer based measurements

Deleted: Pseudotsuga meziesii (Mirb.) Franco and Tsuga heterophylla (Raf.) Sarg

Deleted: with heights ranging from 13.5 m to 58

Deleted: m and diameters between 0.14 m to 1.43 m. They found san flow velocities to be five times smaller than tracer based san flow velocities

Deleted:

(Formatted: Heading 2
λ	Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body (Times New Roman)
λ	Deleted:
(Field Code Changed
~(Formatted: German
(Formatted: German
\mathcal{A}	Formatted: German
•••••(Deleted: Thus, c

structure (e.g. *Alnus incana, Quercus suber*) exist. Conifers are dominated by tracheids which mainly transport water equally within the xylem cross section (Hacke, 2015). On the other hand, hardwoods' xylem consist of twisting non parallel traches (vessels) for nutrition and water transport and wood fibre for stabilization purpose (Kadereit et al., 2014). Here, vessel

- 950 distribution and thus water transport within the xylem differs between (semi)-ring porous (e.g. *Quercus suber*) and diffuse porous (e.g. *Alnus incana*) tree species. While ring porous trees tend to build vessels in different sizes, vessels of diffuse porous trees are more equal in size, which also means a more equal water transport velocity distribution within the xylem (Barij et al., 2011; Kadereit et al., 2014; Leal et al., 2007). Furthermore, it should be mentioned that *Quercus suber* is a Mediterranean species and does not naturally grow in Germany.
- 955 We could identify differences in the dynamics of isotope tracer arrival between tree species that can likely be explained by differences in tree physiology (Fig. 4, Fig. 7 (a) and (b)). When differences of X15 minus the label/irrigation signal are calculated for both stable water isotopes and plotted in dual isotope space, negative δ²H values suggest that the tree did not use much of the isotopically enriched water of the label (Fig. 7 (a) and (b)). Consequently, the trees take up a mixture of labeled and of non-enriched pre experimental soil water (Table 2). In contrast, for X150, δ²H values for all trees were similar.
- 960 This contrasts to the observed water uptake rates or sap flow velocities for the different tree species (Fig. 4, Table 5). However, for X15, the slower tracer arrival for *Quercus* (Fig. 3) is visible when looking at the δ²H values, which are more negative compared to the other trees (Fig. 7 (a)). Overall, this suggests that with increasing height (X150) the isotopic signal of the water is more similar across all trees regardless of their water uptake quantities.

-(Deleted: Thus,
-(Deleted: C
)(Deleted: c
`(Deleted: H

Deleted: which should be considered in terms of plant physiological properties since our experiment took place not in a Mediterranean area but Germany.

Deleted: Deleted: water

Deleted: pre experimental soil water



975

985

Figure 7: (a) and (b) show differences between daily median in situ stable water isotope measurements and the label water's stable water isotope signature presented in a dual isotope plot. (c) and (d) compare daily median in situ soil measurements (averaged over both soil depths) with the corresponding xylem measurements of the same day.

Note that the points "before label" refer to the average value between pre event precipitation and pre event irrigation water while first and second label refer to the isotopic signal of the label water. See Table 2 for the isotopic compositions.

The same differences can be calculated for the soils (averaged over both depths) and X15 or X150 (Fig. 7 (c) and (d)). Here, negative numbers in the dual isotope plots show isotopic enrichment in xylem compared to the soil, which especially is visible for δ^{18} O. Differences for δ^{2} H in Fig. 7 (c) show that *Quercus* did not take up as much labelled water as the other trees, since the soil water is more enriched in ²H than the xylem water. This is consistent with our previous findings of a slower decrease in VWC after irrigation (Fig. 4). However, differences between before the first labelling, after the first labelling and after the second labelling measurements were less obvious than in Fig. 7 (a).

In general, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 suggest that soil water at S15 and S30 was not fully replaced by the labelling water since soil water isotopic composition was not exactly similar to the introduced isotopic label. Thus, the enrichment of xylem water for *Pinus* and *Alnus* compared to soil water isotopic composition could be potentially due to plant water uptake of newer and

990 easier available labelling water which contributes only partly to the measured isotopic composition measured with the soil probes. Another possible explanation could be fractionation processes during or after plant water uptake which deplete xylem

Deleted: . This is	
Deleted: especially	
Deleted: , e. g.	

Deleted: s	
Deleted: with)
Deleted: in	
Deleted: ing	

water, e. g. water transpiration through the bark (Zhao et al., 2017). This is supported by data from before the labelling
 experiment, which shows for all trees in both isotopes a higher isotopic enrichment in the xylem compared to the soil water
 isotope composition (Fig. 7 (c) and (d)). These findings are supported by the ongoing discussion in literature about fractionation,
 processes during plant water uptake (e. g. von Freyberg et al., 2020; Poca et al., 2019; Vargas et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017).
 Thus, we argue that the in situ stable isotope measurement method could be valuable in future research to answer questions regarding isotope fractionation, during water uptake by plants.

- 005 Furthermore, Fig. 7 (d) suggests similar to Fig. 7 (b) and Fig. 5 that the tracer arrival in X150 was less pronounced than in X15 since soil water was comparably more enriched in ²H than xylem water. The decrease of tracer visibility in X150 was stronger for *Pinus* than for *Quercus* (for *Alnus*: not sufficient data). <u>Based on our findings</u>, we recommend to manipulate only one isotope of water (either hydrogen or oxygen) since this holds the chance for a backup and controlling with the not manipulated isotope (here ¹⁸O).
- 010 Additionally, we argue that information about vessel structure or tree reaction to probe installation, such as blocking traches due to wounding should be considered and better investigated in future research, Tissue wounding can cause a decrease in xylem water flow, including the transport of a stable water isotope tracer, passing by the in situ stable water isotope probes installed in the tree xylem. Similar observations for different species, e. g. installation of sap flow sensors or impact of outer forces, are shown in literature (e. g. Ballesteros et al., 2010; Barrett et al., 1995; Burgess et al., 2001; Schmitt and Liese, 1993).
- 1015 This is generally consistent with our observations which show less sensor failure for sap flow sensors in the coniferous tree compared to the semi-ring porous *Quercus suber* (Fig. 4, Fig. 6).

For future research, we suggest that in situ stable water isotope probes should be tested in a range of different tree species to better understand influences of tree properties such as xylem structure, reaction to wounding, soil conditions etc. However, more repetitions per tree species are also indispensable to improve statistical accuracy, since even trees from the same species are used by the device of the same species are species are also indispensable to improve statistical accuracy.

1020 may respond differently. Using the shorter probe heads (3 cm) in our study compared to Volkmann and Weiler (2014) (5 cm) ensured the measurement in the sapwood with active sap flow, which normally takes place in the outer 3 cm of the tree trunk (Caylor and Dragoni, 2009; Cohen et al., 2008; Hacke, 2015).

4.4 Comparison of in situ and destructive isotope measurements

Over the course of the experiment, we especially found clear differences between in situ and destructive isotope measurements
 for ¹⁸O that we did not spike but used as "control" stable water isotope. This is likely due to sampling water from different water pools in soil and xylem. Hence, the effect of the sampling method on the isotopic signature of a sample is heavily discussed within the ecohydrological research community (Berry et al., 2018; Beyer et al., 2020; Bowers et al., 2020; Kübert et al., 2020; Orlowski et al., 2019; Penna et al., 2018; Sprenger et al., 2018, Cryogenic vacuum extraction is known to sample bulk soil water but also hygroscopic and biologically bound water (Koeniger et al., 2011; Orlowski et al., 2016b; Sprenger et al., 2015a).

	Deleted: stable water
	Deleted: with
	Deleted: ing
	Deleted: help
·····	Deleted: research
	Deleted: ing in
	Deleted: In case of complete water replacement Fig. 7 (c) would be similar to Fig. 7 (a).
	Deleted: were observed
	Deleted: 1 1 W
	Deleted: ratio
	Deleted: We
·····	Deleted: should be considered for analysing in situ isotope measurements. Furthermore
\sim	Deleted: ,
$\langle \rangle$	Deleted: ,
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Deleted: Thus,
	Deleted: especially if
	Deleted: t
	Deleted: this
	Deleted: es
	Deleted: less
	Deleted: flow with
Ζ	Deleted:
	Deleted: rther
1	Formatted: Heading 2
/	Deleted: The second aim of this work was to systematically compare the in situ isotope measurements with isotope values gained by destructive sampling.
1	Deleted:
1	Deleted: our
2	Deleted: ¹⁸ O
	Deleted: In our study the destructive measurements showed a wider

spread of isotope measurements in soil and xylem than the in situ isotope measurements (Fig. 6). Particularly before and during the first labelling experiment the δ 18O isotope values differed considerably between the in situ method on the one hand and the cryogenic vacuum extraction and the equilibration method on the other hand.

Deleted: T

Deleted: . In our study this effect is clearly visible for $\delta^{18}O$ and less for $\delta^{2}H$

Moreover, comparing results of different methods remains challenging as also shown by other authors (e. g. Miller et al., 2018; Orlowski et al., 2016b). For instance, we found, that δ -values for both isotopes were mostly more positive for the destructive

- measurements than for in situ measurements. In a method comparison by Orlowski et al. (2016b) over different soil types, the direct water vapour equilibration method showed higher $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$ values in comparison to cryogenic vacuum extraction. Previous studies have further shown that the direct water vapour equilibration method can show a wider spread in isotope data across the same soil type (Orlowski et al., 2019), especially in low water content soils (<5 % gravimetric water content of less than 3 g of water in the sample) and consolidated shales (Hendry et al., 2015; Wassenaar et al., 2008). However, in our study
- 080 with only few data points for the destructive methods, we did not find a general trend of <u>differences between both used</u> <u>destructive methods</u>.

Considering differences in δ¹⁸O measurements of the destructive sampling, especially during the first measurement campaign, we argue that the samples contained more tightly bound water that was similar to pre experiment precipitation (Table 2, Fig. 6). This was most apparent for δ¹⁸O but not for δ²H since the applied ²H label in our study likely has masked the effect of sampling from different water pools for the δ²H measurements. These findings are supported by Kübert et al. (2020) who found small differences between in situ measurements and cryogenically extracted isotope values under natural abundance conditions. However, after a strongly enriched ²H label application, the authors observed considerable differences between cryogenically extracted and in situ soil water vapour measurements (following the method of (Rothfuss et al., 2013)). In our study, the observed differences in the δ¹⁸O values became smaller over time (Fig. 6). This is most likely due to the fact that *j* the water used for irrigation/labelling (e. g. δ¹⁸O = -9.2 ‰) became more abundant in smaller pores over the course of the

experiment and the amount of pre experiment precipitation ($\delta^{18}O = -4.2$ ‰) became smaller over time. This assumption is supported by the more negative $\delta^{18}O$ values of the destructive samples towards the end of the experiment (Fig. 6).

<u>Regarding isotope measurements in xylem</u> Millar et al., (2018) argue that cryogenic vacuum extraction accesses the total plant water, resulting in more depleted ²H and ¹⁸O. <u>However</u>, in our experiment we found that most δ-values of cryogenic vacuum

- 095 extraction were more negative than from the water vapour equilibration method (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, we are aware of our low number of destructive samplings and therefore focus less on differences between both destructive methods. <u>VWC</u> / conditions during the first and last measurement campaign were similar. Thus, a potential effect of VWC would be similar for all methods. <u>Orlowski et al.</u> (2016b, 2019) <u>showed that VWC-differences can strongly influence on results in their lab-based</u> / study.
- 100 4.5 Experimental setup

Our semi-controlled outdoor pot experiment aimed <u>at</u> minimizing potential influences of boundary conditions that typically occur in natural forest environments e.g., soil heterogeneity, subsurface flow and redistribution of soil water, rainfall input, stemflow and associated variability in isotope signatures in the soil-tree compartment (see von Freyberg et al., 2020). Typically, variability in outdoor conditions are overcome by working in a greenhouse under controlled conditions with e.g.,

	Deleted: measurement
	Deleted: ind
	Deleted: On
\sim	Deleted: in generalaverage,
	Deleted: in our study
7	Deleted: measurement
//	Deleted: higher $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$ values for the direct water vapour equilibration method
/	Deleted: In our study δ^{18} O measurements of the destructive sampling during the first measurement campaign suggest
/	Deleted:
1	Deleted: T
7	Deleted: measured with the in situ isotope probes and destructive sampling methods
1	Deleted: For the last measurement campaign, all isotope methods
П	showed the least differences in a [0.]
	Moved down [7]: VWC conditions during the first and last
	Deleted:
	Deleted: measurements
$\parallel \mid$	Deleted: further
$\parallel \mid$	Deleted: ly
[]]	Deleted:
$\ $	Meyed (incertion) [7]
$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Moved (Insertion) [7]
$\ $	Pield Code Changed
(Deleted: (
1//	Deleted: but has been
17	Deleted: n
/	Deleted: 10
******	Deleted:
	Deleted: methods results (Orlowski et al., 20106, 2019).
	Deleted: For the first measurement campaign in our study,
	Meyod down [9]: Since own in site merowants showed
\sim	Example of the state of the sta
Ν	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman
1	
1	Deleted: 10
	Deleted: e
	Deleted: (

tree seedlings instead of adult trees and a homogenous substrate. However, tree seedlings considerably differ in their physiognomic properties compared to mature trees. This was the reason why we used 20 year old 4 to 6 m high trees that are more similar to mature trees.

- 1215 In general, the variability in our isotope data has shown that outdoor isotope measurements are challenging and that the quality is not comparable to the accuracy gained with lab-based isotope measurements of liquid water. The use of on-site standard boxes (water and soils) that were exposed to the same environmental conditions improved the accuracy of our isotope measurements. The high temporal frequency with which one can measure the isotopic compositions with in situ isotope probes allows for quantification of this environmental variability and for efficient averaging to gain reliable results. We argue that the
- 1220 accuracy is well suited to perform tracer labelling experiments and monitor the tracer arrival that is typically orders of magnitude larger than natural isotopic variability. However, detectability of tracer arrival with increasing stem hight could be improved by even more elevated tracer signals as suggest by Marshall et al. (2020) for a similar tree experiment.

Our in situ measurement setup was able to measure the stable water isotope composition in xylem and soil water in highresolution (> 60 per day) over months and relate this to further monitored environmental parameters (e.g. matric potential,

225 VWC, sap flow). High temporal resolution constitutes an important step towards better understanding of <u>fractionation</u> processes or mixing of different water pools in soils and trees (McDonnell, 2014).

4.6 Conclusions and future implications,

Our semi-controlled outdoor isotope labelling experiment with three different tree species (*Pinus pinea, Alnus incana*, and *Quercus suber*) proved the applicability of a new in situ stable water isotope measurement approach in soils and tree xylem.

- 230 However, in situ measurements partly showed different isotopic composition compared to two destructive sampling techniques (cryogenic vacuum extraction and direct water vapour equilibration). This was especially visible for the non-manipulated δ_1^{18} O values. These differences in isotope measurements can likely be attributed to different water pools that are sampled by the in situ measurements and the destructive sampling.
- The in situ isotope data were further used to derive ecohydrological water travel times in the soil-tree continuum and to compare those to travel times derived from sap flow measurements. Here, our high temporal resolution measurements of ²H compositions in soils and trees allowed to identify tree species specific differences in ecohydrological travel times. For the lower measurement point at 15 cm stem height, ecohydrological travel times in tree xylem measured by stable water isotopes were equal to travel times obtained by cumulated sap flow velocity (0.7 days from roots to measurement height). However,
- the difference between the two travel time approaches became larger with increasing travel distance (i.e., 1.3 days difference
 for *Alnus incana* at 150 cm stem height). We therefore expect travel times based on sap flow measurements to be potentially different by several days when estimating water travel times from the roots to the canopy of a natural forest which might reach up to 40 m in height or more. Thus, we consider the isotope tracer based travel time to be a more direct measure of the actual water flow towards the canopy.

Deleted: visibility Deleted: in Deleted: also

Deleted: racer

Deleted: Studies that used destructive sampling also reported variability of isotope measurements taken from one single tree (von Freyberg et al., 2020). This is due to the fact that destructive sampling relies on multiple sampling locations that can differ (naturally) in their isotopic signature. Using stable water isotope tracers is motivated by the possibility to be ther understand plant water uptake and usage. Most often, ecohydrological studies rely on water extraction methods for isotope analysis with low temporal and spatial resolution, e. g. cryogenic vacuum extraction, which can also be limited in precision (see Orlowski et al., 2016b).

Deleted: fractioning

Deleted: von Freyberg et al., 2020;

Formatted: Heading 2

Deleted:

Deleted: Future

Deleted: implications

Formatted: Superscript
Deleted: control oxygen isotope

Deleted:

Deleted:

(Deleted:

The high accuracy and high temporal resolution of our in situ stable water isotope measurement method can be of great advantage when studying tree's temporal water storage dynamics, dispersion processes (in soils and potentially xylem) and differences in water flow path ways through individual plants and related water age differences terms.

270 Dubbert et al., 2019; Sprenger et al., 2016a, 2018, 2019). Furthermore, future studies using in situ measurements could contribute to the discussion of potential changes in the isotope signature during plant water uptake and water flow within the plant xylem related to fractionation processes (e. g., Poca et al., 2019; von Freyberg et al., 2020).

In summary, the presented in situ probes resulted in more consistent measurements of the isotope composition in soils and tree xylem in comparison to traditional destructive measurement. This is particularly important when trying to identify tree species

275 specific differences in water uptake strategies and travel times. Moreover, the capability of tracking the isotopic signature from the soil through the tree xylem water in high temporal resolution in different tree species and soil depths at the same location over several months simultaneously is a prerequisite for advancing our understanding in terms of plant source water depth and source water age and mixing of different water pools along the flow path from the roots to the canopy.

Data availability statement

1280 The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Funding acquisition: NO, MR, SS; experimental design: NO, MR, SS; field measurements: DM, SS, NO, MR; Data analyses:DM, MR; manuscript preparation: DM, NO, MR, SS. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by "Freiburg's Academic Society". The article processing charge was funded by the Baden-Wuerttemberg Ministry of Science, Research and Art and the University of Freiburg in the funding programme Open Access Publishing.

Deleted: Taking advantage of

Deleted: the

Deleted: used in our study it is likely to learn more about

Deleted: changes

Deleted:

Deleted: (Sprenger et al., 2019).

Field Code Changed

Deleted: than

Deleted: For instance, the standard deviation of isotope measurements between the three tree pots was by a factor of 1.7 for δ^2 H and by 4.5 for δ^{18} O smaller for the in situ measurements than for the destructive sampling techniques and smaller by a factors of 1.6 for δ^2 H and by 4.9 for δ^{19} O within the tree pots.

Deleted: Long-term high resolution isotope data are essential for advancement in future ecohydrological investigations. They will provide a broader understanding of water fluxes in the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum especially when temporal resolution of isotope measurements will be fine enough to resolve potential intraday variability. This could further allow the observation of temporal storage changes, dispersion processes (in soils and potentially trees) and differences in water flow path ways through individual plants and related water age differences (Sprenger et al., 2019; although this is still highly discussed in literature (e. g. Berry et al., 2017; Dubbert et al., 2019; Sprenger et al., 2018).

The in situ stable water isotope measurement method presented here guarantees stable measurements of isotope values in high temporal resolution over months. As the same method can be applied in soils and tree xylem at the same time, consistency and methodological comparability is given in terms of sampling of the same water pools (Beyer et al., 2020; Volkmann et al., 2016a). However, in -situ outdoor isotope measurements in general are still challenging and often do not reach the accuracy of lab-based measurements of liquid water samples. Further development of the experimental equipment is needed to better compensate for these environmental effects (mainly temperature variation) and to increase the measurement accuracy. Our method is suitable for tracer labelling experiments but also works well under natural abundance conditions since those were the times where the smallest methodological differences compared to destructive methods were observed. In future research, the applied in situ method will be tested on a wider range of tree species and be tested for its applicability in other vegetation types (e.g. shrubs, vegetables, fruits). For now, more replicates would have been desirable and required to better estimate the measurement accuracy and reliability under different climatic conditions and soil water availabilities. However, studies like ours and work by von Freyberg et al. (2020) contribute to the discussion of potential changes in the isotope signature during plant water uptake and water flow within the plant xylem related to fractionation processes. 5 Conclusions

We present a semi-controlled outdoor isotope labelling experiment with three different tree species (*Pinus pinea, Alnus incana*, (..., [18])

Moved (insertion) [8]

Deleted:

Deleted:

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by "Freiburg's Academic Society". We thank Barbara Herbstritt for lab support and student intern Bernhard Gigler for his help during field campaigns. Hugo de Boer is thanked for plant physiological advice and his help

1420 during the experiment setup and Britta Kattenstroth for technical support. We further thank the Chair of Ecosystem Physiology for being able to use their greenhouse and cryogenic vacuum extraction facility, the Chair of Hydrology for technical field equipment and Jun. Prof. Dr. Matthias Beyer for the provision of the *Alnus* and *Quercus* trees.

1425 References

Allen, S. T., Kirchner, J. W., Braun, S., Siegwolf, R. T. W. and Goldsmith, G. R.: Seasonal origins of soil water used by trees, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 23, 1199-1210, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-1199-2019, 2019.

Almeida, J. A. S., Barbosa, L. M. S., Pais, A. A. C. C. and Formosinho, S. J.: Improving hierarchical cluster analysis: A new method with outlier detection and automatic clustering, Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst., 87, 208-217, 1430 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2007.01.005, 2007.

Araguás-Araguás, L., Rozanski, K., Gonfiantini, R. and Louvat, D.: Isotope effects accompanying vacuum extraction of soil water for stable isotope analyses, J. Hydrol., 168, 159-171, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(94)02636-p, 1995.

Ballesteros, J. A., Stoffel, M., Bodoque, J. M., Bollschweiler, M., Hitz, O. and Diez-Herrero, A.: Changes in Wood Anatomy in Tree Rings of Pinus pinaster Ait. Following Wounding by Flash Floods, Tree-Ring Res., 66, 93-103, 1435 https://doi.org/10.3959/2009-4.1, 2010.

Barbeta, A., Gimeno, T. E., Clavé, L., Fréjaville, B., Jones, S. P., Delvigne, C., Wingate, L. and Ogée, J.: An explanation for the isotopic offset between soil and stem water in a temperate tree species, New Phytol., 227, 766-779, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16564, 2020.

Barij, N., Čermák, J. and Stokes, A.: Azimuthal variations in xylem structure and water relations in cork oak (Quercus suber), 1440 IAWA J., 32, 25-40, https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90000040, 2011.

Barrett, D. J., Hatton, T. J., Ash, J. E. and Ball, M. C.: Evaluation of the heat pulse velocity technique for measurement of sap flow in rainforest and eucalypt forest species of south-eastern Australia, Plant. Cell Environ., 18, 463-469, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00381.x, 1995.

Berry, Z. C., Evaristo, J., Moore, G., Poca, M., Steppe, K., Verrot, L., Asbjornsen, H., Borma, L. S., Bretfeld, M., Hervé-Fernández, P., Seyfried, M., Schwendenmann, L., Sinacore, K., De Wispelaere, L. and McDonnell, J.: The two water worlds 1445 hypothesis: Addressing multiple working hypotheses and proposing a way forward, Ecohydrology, 11, e1843, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1843, 2018.

Beyer, M., Kühnhammer, K. and Dubbert, M.: In situ measurements of soil and plant water isotopes: a review of approaches, practical considerations and a vision for the future, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 24, 4413-4440, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-1450 4413-2020, 2020.

Bowers, W. H., Mercer, J. J., Pleasants, M. S. and Williams, D. G.: A combination of soil water extraction methods quantifies the isotopic mixing of waters held at separate tensions in soil, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 24, 4045-4060, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-4045-2020, 2020.

Brand, W. A., Geilmann, H., Crosson, E. R. and Rella, C. W.: Cavity ring-down spectroscopy versus high-temperature
 conversion isotope ratio mass spectrometry; a case study on δ²H and δ¹⁸O of pure water samples and alcohol/water mixtures,
 Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 23, 1879–1884, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4083, 2009.

Brinkmann, N., Seeger, S., Weiler, M., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W. and Kahmen, A.: Employing stable isotopes to determine the residence times of soil water and the temporal origin of water taken up by Fagus sylvatica and Picea abies in a temperate forest, New Phytol., 219, 1300–1313, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15255, 2018.

1460 Brooks, J. R., Barnard, H. R., Coulombe, R. and McDonnell, J. J.: Ecohydrologic separation of water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate, Nat. Geosci., 3, 100–104, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo722, 2010.

Burgess, S. S. O., Adams, M. A., Turner, N. C., Beverly, C. R., Ong, C. K., Khan, A. A. H. and Bleby, T. M.: An improved heat pulse method to measure low and reverse rates of sap flow in woody plants, Tree Physiol., 21, 589–598, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/21.9.589, 2001.

1465 Bush, S. E., Hultine, K. R., Sperry, J. S., Ehleringer, J. R. and Phillips, N.: Calibration of thermal dissipation sap flow probes for ring- and diffuse-porous trees, Tree Physiol., 30, 1545–1554, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq096, 2010.

Campbell, G. S., Calissendorff, C. and Williams, J. H.: Probe for Measuring Soil Specific Heat Using A Heat-Pulse Method, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 55, 291–293, https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1991.03615995005500010052x, 1991.

Caylor, K. K. and Dragoni, D.: Decoupling structural and environmental determinants of sap velocity: Part I. Methodologicaldevelopment, Agric. For. Meteorol., 149, 559–569, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.10.006, 2009.

Clearwater, M. J., Meinzer, F. C., Andrade, J. L., Goldstein, G. and Holbrook, N. M.: Potential errors in measurement of nonuniform sap flow using heat dissipation probes, Tree Physiol., 19, 681–687, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/19.10.681, 1999.

Cohen, Y., Cohen, S., Cantuarias-Aviles, T. and Schiller, G.: Variations in the radial gradient of sap velocity in trunks of forest 1475 and fruit trees, Plant Soil, 305, 49–59, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9351-0, 2008.

Craig, H.: Isotopic Variations in Meteoric Waters, Science, 133, 1702–1703, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.133.3465.1702, 1961.

Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, Tellus, 16, 436-468, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v16i4.8993, 1964.

Dubbert, M., Cuntz, M., Piayda, A. and Werner, C.: Oxygen isotope signatures of transpired water vapor: the role of isotopic

1480 non-steady-state transpiration under natural conditions, New Phytol., 203, 1242–1252, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12878, 2014.

Dubbert, M., Kübert, A. and Werner, C.: Impact of Leaf Traits on Temporal Dynamics of Transpired Oxygen Isotope Signatures and Its Impact on Atmospheric Vapor, Front. Plant Sci., 8, Article 5, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00005, 2017.

Dubbert, M., Caldeira, M. C., Dubbert, D. and Werner, C.: A pool-weighted perspective on the two-water-worlds hypothesis, New Phytol., 222, 1271–1283, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15670, 2019.

Ehleringer, J. R. and Dawson, T. E.: Water uptake by plants: perspectives from stable isotope composition, Plant. Cell Environ., 15, 1073–1082, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1992.tb01657.x, 1992.

Ellsworth, P. Z. and Williams, D. G.: Hydrogen isotope fractionation during water uptake by woody xerophytes, Plant Soil, 291, 93–107, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9177-1, 2007.

1490 Evaristo, J., Jasechko, S. and McDonnell, J. J.: Global separation of plant transpiration from groundwater and streamflow, Nature, 525, 91–94, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14983, 2015.

Fonti, P. and García-González, I.: Earlywood vessel size of oak as a potential proxy for spring precipitation in mesic sites, J. Biogeogr., 35, 2249–2257, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.01961.x, 2008.

von Freyberg, J., Allen, S. T., Grossiord, C. and Dawson, T. E.: Plant and root-zone water isotopes are difficult to measure,
 explain, and predict: Some practical recommendations for determining plant water sources, Methods Ecol. Evol., 11, 1352–1367, https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13461, 2020.

Gaj, M., Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., Wanke, H., Hamutoko, J. and Himmelsbach, T.: In situ unsaturated zone water stable isotope (²H and ¹⁸O) measurements in semi-arid environments: a soil water balance, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 715–731, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-715-2016, 2016.

1500 Gaj, M., Kaufhold, S., Koeniger, P., Beyer, M., Weiler, M. and Himmelsbach, T.: Mineral mediated isotope fractionation of soil water, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 31, 269–280, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7787, 2017a.

Gaj, M., Kaufhold, S. and McDonnell, J. J.: Potential limitation of cryogenic vacuum extractions and spiked experiments, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 31, 821–823, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7850, 2017b.

Goldsmith, G. R., Muñoz-Villers, L. E., Holwerda, F., McDonnell, J. J., Asbjornsen, H. and Dawson, T. E.: Stable isotopes
reveal linkages among ecohydrological processes in a seasonally dry tropical montane cloud forest, Ecohydrology, 5, 779– 790, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.268, 2012.

Gutachterausschuss Forstliche Analytik: Handbuch Forstliche Analytik, [online] Available from: https://www.bmel.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/Landwirtschaft/Wald-

 $Jagd/Bodenzustandserhebung/Handbuch/HandbuchForstanalytikKomplett.pdf?_blob=publicationFile, 2015.$

1510 Hacke, U., Ed.: Functional and Ecological Xylem Anatomy, Springer International Publishing., 2015.

Hassler, S. K., Weiler, M. and Blume, T.: Tree-, stand- and site-specific controls on landscape-scale patterns of transpiration, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 13–30, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-13-2018, 2018.

Hawkins, D. M.: Identification of Outliers, Springer Netherlands., 1980.

Hendry, M. J., Schmeling, E., Wassenaar, L. I., Barbour, S. L. and Pratt, D.: Determining the stable isotope composition of pore water from saturated and unsaturated zone core: improvements to the direct vapour equilibration laser spectrometry method, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19, 4427–4440, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4427-2015, 2015.

Kadereit, J. W., Körner, C., Kost, B. and Sonnewald, U.: Strasburger - Lehrbuch der Pflanzenwissenschaften, Springer Berlin Heidelberg., 2014.

 Knighton, J., Kuppel, S., Smith, A., Soulsby, C., Sprenger, M. and Tetzlaff, D.: Using isotopes to incorporate tree water storage
 and mixing dynamics into a distributed ecohydrologic modelling framework, Ecohydrology, 13, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2201, 2020.

Koeniger, P., Marshall, J. D., Link, T. and Mulch, A.: An inexpensive, fast, and reliable method for vacuum extraction of soil and plant water for stable isotope analyses by mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 25, 3041–3048, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5198, 2011.

1525 Kübert, A., Paulus, S., Dahlmann, A., Werner, C., Rothfuss, Y., Orlowski, N. and Dubbert, M.: Water Stable Isotopes in Ecohydrological Field Research: Comparison Between In Situ and Destructive Monitoring Methods to Determine Soil Water Isotopic Signatures, Front. Plant Sci., 11, Article 387, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00387, 2020.

Lawrence, D. M., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thornton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Sakaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B. and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 3, M03001, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011ms00045, 2011.

Leal, S., Sousa, V. B. and Pereira, H.: Radial variation of vessel size and distribution in cork oak wood (Quercus suber L.), Wood Sci. Technol., 41, 339–350, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-006-0112-7, 2007.

Mahindawansha, A., Orlowski, N., Kraft, P., Rothfuss, Y., Racela, H. and Breuer, L.: Quantification of plant water uptake by 1535 water stable isotopes in rice paddy systems, Plant Soil, 429, 281–302, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3693-7, 2018.

Majoube, M.: Fractionnement en oxygène 18 et en deutérium entre l'eau et sa vapeur, J. Chim. Phys., 68, 1423-1436, https://doi.org/10.1051/jcp/1971681423, 1971.

Marshall, J. D., Cuntz, M., Beyer, M., Dubbert, M. and Kuehnhammer, K.: Borehole Equilibration: Testing a New Method to Monitor the Isotopic Composition of Tree Xylem Water in situ, Front. Plant Sci., 11, 358, 1540 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00358, 2020. Martín-Gómez, P., Serrano, L. and Ferrio, J. P.: Short-term dynamics of evaporative enrichment of xylem water in woody stems. implications for ecohydrology, edited by L. Cernusak, Tree Physiol., 37. 511-522. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw115, 2016.

McDonnell, J. J.: The two water worlds hypothesis: ecohydrological separation of water between streams and trees?, Wiley 1545 Interdiscip. Rev. Water, 1, 323–329, https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1027, 2014.

Meinzer, F. C., Brooks, J. R., Domec, J.-C., Gartner, B. L., Warren, J. M., Woodruff, D. R., Bible, K. and Shaw, D. C.: Dynamics of water transport and storage in conifers studied with deuterium and heat tracing techniques, Plant, Cell Environ., 29, 105-114, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01404.x, 2006.

Millar, C., Pratt, D., Schneider, D. J. and McDonnell, J. J.: A comparison of extraction systems for plant water stable isotope 1550 analysis, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 32, 1031-1044, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8136, 2018.

Newman, B., Tanweer, A. and Kurttas, T.: IAEA Standard Operating Procedure for the Liquid-Water Stable Isotope Analyser. [online] Available from: http://www-naweb.iaea.org/napc/ih/documents/other/laser procedure rev12.PDF, 2009.

Oerter, E. J., Perelet, A., Pardyjak, E. and Bowen, G.: Membrane inlet laser spectroscopy to measure H and O stable isotope compositions of soil and sediment pore water with high sample throughput, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 31, 75-84,

1555 https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7768, 2016.

Orlowski, N., Frede, H.-G., Brüggemann, N. and Breuer, L.: Validation and application of a cryogenic vacuum extraction system for soil and plant water extraction for isotope analysis, J. Sensors Sens. Syst., 2, 179-193, https://doi.org/10.5194/jsss-2-179-2013, 2013.

Orlowski, N., Breuer, L. and McDonnell, J. J.: Critical issues with cryogenic extraction of soil water for stable isotope analysis, 1560 Ecohydrology, 9, 1-5, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1722, 2016a.

Orlowski, N., Pratt, D. L. and McDonnell, J. J.: Intercomparison of soil pore water extraction methods for stable isotope analysis, Hydrol. Process., 30, 3434-3449, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10870, 2016b.

Orlowski, N., Winkler, A., McDonnell, J. J. and Breuer, L.: A simple greenhouse experiment to explore the effect of cryogenic water extraction for tracing plant source water, Ecohydrology, 11, e1967, https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1967, 2018a.

- Orlowski, N., Breuer, L., Angeli, N., Boeckx, P., Brumbt, C., Cook, C. S., Dubbert, M., Dyckmans, J., Gallagher, B., Gralher, 1565 B., Herbstritt, B., Hervé-Fernández, P., Hissler, C., Koeniger, P., Legout, A., Macdonald, C. J., Oyarzún, C., Redelstein, R., Seidler, C., Siegwolf, R., Stumpp, C., Thomsen, S., Weiler, M., Werner, C. and McDonnell, J. J.: Inter-laboratory comparison of cryogenic water extraction systems for stable isotope analysis of soil water, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 22, 3619-3637, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-3619-2018, 2018b.
- 1570 Orlowski, N., Pratt, D. L. and McDonnell, J. J.: Intercomparison of soil pore water extraction methods for stable isotope

analysis and interpretation of hillslope runoff sources, Hydrol. Process., 33, 2939–2954, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13539, 2019.

Penna, D., Hopp, L., Scandellari, F., Allen, S. T., Benettin, P., Beyer, M., Geris, J., Klaus, J., Marshall, J. D., Schwendenmann, L., Volkmann, T. H. M., Von Freyberg, J., Amin, A., Ceperley, N., Engel, M., Frentress, J., Giambastiani, Y., McDonnell, J.

1575 J., Zuecco, G., Llorens, P., Siegwolf, R. T. W., Dawson, T. E. and Kirchner, J. W.: Ideas and perspectives: Tracing terrestrial ecosystem water fluxes using hydrogen and oxygen stable isotopes - Challenges and opportunities from an interdisciplinary perspective, Biogeosciences, 15, 6399–6415, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-6399-2018, 2018.

Pfautsch, S., Bleby, T. M., Rennenberg, H. and Adams, M. A.: Sap flow measurements reveal influence of temperature and stand structure on water use of Eucalyptus regnans forests, For. Ecol. Manage., 259, 1190–1199, 1580 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.01.006, 2010.

Pfautsch, S., Renard, J., Tjoelker, M. G. and Salih, A.: Phloem as Capacitor: Radial Transfer of Water into Xylem of Tree Stems Occurs via Symplastic Transport in Ray Parenchyma, Plant Physiol., 167, 963–971, https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.254581, 2015.

Poca, M., Coomans, O., Urcelay, C., Zeballos, S. R., Bodé, S. and Boeckx, P.: Isotope fractionation during root water uptake
by Acacia caven is enhanced by arbuscular mycorrhizas, Plant Soil, 441, 485–497, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-041391. 2019.

Rothfuss, Y. and Javaux, M.: Reviews and syntheses: Isotopic approaches to quantify root water uptake: a review and comparison of methods, Biogeosciences, 14, 2199–2224, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-2199-2017, 2017.

Rothfuss, Y., Vereecken, H. and Brüggemann, N.: Monitoring water stable isotopic composition in soils using gas-permeable
tubing and infrared laser absorption spectroscopy, Water Resour. Res., 49, 3747–3755, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20311,
2013.

Saurer, M., Kirdyanov, A. V., Prokushkin, A. S., Rinne, K. T. and Siegwolf, R. T. W.: The impact of an inverse climateisotope relationship in soil water on the oxygen-isotope composition of Larix gmelinii in Siberia, New Phytol., 209, 955–964, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13759, 2016.

1595 Schepper, V. De, van Dusschoten, D., Copini, P., Jahnke, S. and Steppe, K.: MRI links stem water content to stem diameter variations in transpiring trees, J. Exp. Bot., 63, 2645–2653, https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err445, 2012.

Schmitt, U. and Liese, W.: Response of xylem parenchyma by suberization in some hardwoods after mechanical injury, Trees, 8, 23–30, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00240978, 1993.

Schwendenmann, L., Dierick, D., Kohler, M. and Holscher, D.: Can deuterium tracing be used for reliably estimating water use of tropical trees and bamboo?, Tree Physiol., 30, 886–900, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq045, 2010. Seeger, S. and Weiler, M.: Temporal dynamics of tree xylem water isotopes: In-situ monitoring and modelling, Biogeosciences. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2021-35, in review, 2021. Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Justified, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Seeger, S., Rinderer, M. and Weiler, M.: Inferring plant physiologic parameters for root water uptake modelling from high
 frequency in-situ isotope measurements, EGU Gen. Assem. 2020, Online, 4–8 May 2020, EGU2020-22007, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu2020-22007, 2020.

Sprenger, M., Herbstritt, B. and Weiler, M.: Established methods and new opportunities for pore water stable isotope analysis, Hydrol. Process., 29, 5174–5192, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10643, 2015a.

Sprenger, M., Volkmann, T. H. M., Blume, T. and Weiler, M.: Estimating flow and transport parameters in the unsaturated

1610 zone with pore water stable isotopes, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19, 2617–2635, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-2617-2015, 2015b.

Sprenger, M., Leistert, H., Gimbel, K. and Weiler, M.: Illuminating hydrological processes at the soil-vegetation-atmosphere interface with water stable isotopes, Rev. Geophys., 54, 674–704, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015rg000515, 2016a.

Sprenger, M., Seeger, S., Blume, T. and Weiler, M.: Travel times in the vadose zone: Variability in space and time, Water 1615 Resour. Res., 52, 5727–5754, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015wr018077, 2016b.

Sprenger, M., Tetzlaff, D., Buttle, J., Laudon, H., Leistert, H., Mitchell, C. P. J., Snelgrove, J., Weiler, M. and Soulsby, C.: Measuring and Modeling Stable Isotopes of Mobile and Bulk Soil Water, Vadose Zo. J., 17, 170149, https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2017.08.0149, 2018.

Sprenger, M., Llorens, P., Cayuela, C., Gallart, F. and Latron, J.: Mechanisms of consistently disjunct soil water pools over (pore) space and time, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 23, 2751–2762, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-23-2751-2019, 2019.

Stumpp, C. and Hendry, M. J.: Spatial and temporal dynamics of water flow and solute transport in a heterogeneous glacial till: The application of high-resolution profiles of δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H in pore waters, J. Hydrol., 438–439, 203–214, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.03.024, 2012.

Vargas, A. I., Schaffer, B., Yuhong, L. and da Silveira Lobo Sternberg, L.: Testing plant use of mobile vs immobile soil water lo25 sources using stable isotope experiments, New Phytol., 215, 582–594, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14616, 2017.

Volkmann, T. H. M. and Weiler, M.: Continual in situ monitoring of pore water stable isotopes in the subsurface, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 1819–1833, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1819-2014, 2014.

Volkmann, T. H. M., Kühnhammer, K., Herbstritt, B., Gessler, A. and Weiler, M.: A method for in situ monitoring of the isotope composition of tree xylem water using laser spectroscopy, Plant. Cell Environ., 39, 2055–2063, https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12725, 2016a.

1630

Volkmann, T. H. M., Haberer, K., Gessler, A. and Weiler, M.: High-resolution isotope measurements resolve rapid ecohydrological dynamics at the soil-plant interface, New Phytol., 210, 839–849, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13868, 2016b.

Walker, G. R., Woods, P. H. and Allison, G. B.: Interlaboratory comparison of methods to determine the stable isotope composition of soil water, Chem. Geol., 111, 297–306, https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(94)90096-5, 1994.

1635 Wassenaar, L. I., Hendry, M. J., Chostner, V. L. and Lis, G. P.: High Resolution Pore Water δ²H and δ¹⁸O Measurements by H₂O _(liquid)-H₂O_(vapor) Equilibration Laser Spectroscopy, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 9262–9267, https://doi.org/10.1021/es802065s, 2008.

 Zhao, L., Wang, L., Cernusak, L. A., Liu, X., Xiao, H., Zhou, M. and Zhang, S.: Significant Difference in Hydrogen Isotope Composition Between Xylem and Tissue Water in Populus Euphratica, Plant. Cell Environ., 39, 1848–1857, https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12753, 2016. Deleted: Wigmosta, M. S., Vail, L. W. and Lettenmaier, D. P.: A distributed hydrology-vegetation model for complex terrain, Water Resour. Res., 30, 1665–1679, https://doi.org/10.1029/94wr00436, 1994.¶

Page 2: [1] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/16/21 9:10:00 AM
		4
Page 2: [2] Change	Unknown	
Field Code Changed		•
Page 2: [3] Change	Unknown	
Field Code Changed		4
A Page 13: [4] Deleted	rinderer	3/27/21 11:27:00 PM
		•
Page 18: [5] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 9:51:00 AM
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
۲		
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
V		
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
×		
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
V		
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
v		
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
V		
Page 18: [6] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:39:00 PM
X		
Page 18: [7] Deleted	rinderer	3/28/21 10:37:00 PM
X		
Page 18: [7] Deleted	rinderer	3/28/21 10:37:00 PM
Z		
Page 18: [8] Formatted	Monnokos David	3/31/21 2.50.00 PM

Т

Page 18: [8] Formatted	Mennekes David	3/31/21 2:50:00 PM
German		<
Page 18: [8] Formatted	Mennekes David	3/31/21 2:50:00 PM
German		<
Page 18: [8] Formatted	Mennekes David	3/31/21 2:50:00 PM
German		<
A Page 18: [9] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/31/21 10:58:00 AM
×		
Page 18: [9] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/31/21 10:58:00 AM
Page 18: [9] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/31/21 10:58:00 AM
×		
Page 18: [10] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 1:14:00 PM
¥		
Page 18: [11] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:41:00 PM
×		
Page 18: [11] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:41:00 PM
▼		
Page 18: [11] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:41:00 PM
▼		
Page 18: [12] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:43:00 PM
×		
Page 18: [12] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:43:00 PM
۷		<
Page 18: [13] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:43:00 PM
*		<
Page 18: [13] Deleted	Natalie Orlowski	3/22/21 3:43:00 PM
▼		4

Page 18: [14] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 4:33:00 PM
age 18: [14] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 4:33:00 PM
Page 18: [15] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 1:25:00 PM
Page 23: [16] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 3:40:00 PM
Page 23: [17] Deleted	Mennekes David	3/15/21 3:54:00 PM
1		
Page 25: [18] Deleted	rinderer	3/31/21 12:49:00 AM

▼.....

•