

Interactive comment on “Cooperation of hydrologists from the Danube River Basin” by Stevan Prohaska et al.

Stevan Prohaska et al.

mbrilly@fgg.uni-lj.si

Received and published: 23 April 2020

Thank you very much on comprehensive comments that we include in the our paper. We add additional text of few pages and conclusion in manuscript. In addition, we involve new coauthor in revised manuscript. Our respond is in the revised manuscript.

3) I am surprised that you did not mentioned the International commission for the protection of the Danube river (ICPDR) and its working group on hydrology and flood (but also the work of the ICPDR linked to climate change). It seems to me that the ICPDR is nowadays a major cooperation actor for the Danube river basin. If any it would be interesting to state in your article what are the links between the two cooperation platforms, if there are exchanging or using each others products/data, how are they exchanging

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



(common person, meetings, ...), ... I think one paragraph on these aspects could be beneficial to the article.

There is no working group on hydrology in ICPDR. ICPDR's main task is protection of environment, water quality, ecology, biodiversity. We presented at the official meeting of ICPDR as an observer and invited the secretariat of ICPDR to our meetings also. They have not so much interest in hydrology; there is a connection on the ICPDR web from an item "Water regime" on UNESCO IHP pages, but there is no information about it. The ICPDR has not so much interest and funding for hydrology. By the way, I was an ICPDR task force member before ICPDR was established.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-66>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

