

General comment: This manuscript presented an interesting topic of comparing two different approaches to predict landslide on a regional scale. Generally, the paper is well presented and comprehensible. There are some minor typing corrections and some further explanations required, as indicated in the manuscript.

Technical comments:

1. Page 12, line 277: how did you obtain this equation and the value of TSS.
2. Page 13, line 280: this conclusion is not always true for six days antecedent saturation before landslides in all plots; i.e., less antecedent saturation governed the miss (T below). Please explain this discrepancy.
3. Page 14, Figure 6: the legend used in all plots should be changed to True positive, miss, false alarm, and true negative.