

## ***Interactive comment on* “Technical Note: Analytical Inversion of the Parametric Budyko Equations” by Nathan G. F. Reaver et al.**

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 21 December 2020

This manuscript is an attempt to investigate the mechanistic understanding of the catchment-specific parameter in parametric Budyko equations. It is an interesting topic in the hydrological studies. However, I have some major concerns on this manuscript. I did not see how this manuscript has made a further step towards explaining the long-term water balance at the catchment scale. By obtaining the mathematical inversion of the catchment-specific parameter from Budyko equations is not helpful in understanding the possible hydrological processes that remain hidden when the non-parametric or parametric Budyko representation is chosen. As mentioned in this paper, what climatic and physiographic features and how they control the long-term water balance are important for explaining the Budyko curve. To achieve this goal, one approach is to express the parameter of parsimonious Budyko equation (e.g.,  $n$  or  $\omega$ ) in terms of

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



biophysical features in a way that could be applied to different catchments; the other approach is to explicitly represent the features in the model.

In addition, this paper treats  $n$  or  $\omega$  as a function of long-term  $P$ ,  $E_0$ , and  $E$ , and  $E$  actually is treated as a function of  $P$ ,  $E_0$ . Does it mean that the value of  $n$  or  $\omega$  is only dependent on the long-term climate? It seems conflict with the existing studies that found the short-term climate variations and catchment features (those could not be explained by the long-term climate) also have impacts on the long-term water balance.

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-585>, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

