

Response to Reviewers

We would like to thank all reviewers and the editor for taking the time to assess the manuscript. We have addressed each comment in the revised manuscript, as according to our responses below.

Referee comments are highlighted in bold, and our exact changes in normal font.

Reviewer 2

Although, I do not completely agree with the response provided by the authors to my comments, I understand that not everything could be considered in a single study and perhaps some scope needs to be left for the future research -- which authors should mention in their manuscript.

We would like to thank again the reviewer for taking the time to assess the manuscript. We agree that it would be beneficial to look at the physical mechanisms in future work. We have now created a small section to discuss investigating the physical mechanisms behind climatic drivers of flood events in more detail (see Sect. 4).

Reviewer 3

The authors followed my recommendations, so the text can be published.

I have just 2 small comments (see attached pdf) related to

- the explanation of an Early Warning System (page 3)**
- the potential addition of a very recent reference on vegetation-hydrology links (page 13)**

We would again like to extend our gratitude to the reviewer for taking the time to assess the manuscript. We have now changed the example given when describing an Early Warning System to earlier evacuation. See page 3, line 9.

We thank the reviewer for this paper suggestion. We have now added this paper to page 13, line 5.