

Authors have added new figure, one table and the related description in the text. This concise version of the paper definitely clarifies my questions from the first round of revision. This version is written in a well-thought manner and is scientifically sound. I've only few comments and suggestions:

1. I understand why the authors have used  $108^{\circ}\text{E}$  as a line of reference to divide WP and EP of the domain. I would like to ask authors to add any relevant reference/s in the paragraph starting at line 197 to justify/support the use of this longitude. The discussion of longitude is also mentioned in the paragraph (starting line 225) on page number 8. As a result, it seems a bit arbitrary to use this longitude unless you clarify this clearly.
2. Line 258 : page 9: the phrase "great change" doesn't sound scientific. Please rewrite this sentence.
3. Line 295: This sentence has grammatical error. Please correct.