1	Socio-hydrologic Modeling of the Dynamics of Cooperation in the Transboundary
2	Lancang-Mekong River
3	
4	You Lu ¹ , Fuqiang Tian ¹ , Liying Guo ¹ , Iolanda Borzì ² , Rupesh Patil ³ , Jing Wei ¹ , Dengfeng
5	Liu ⁴ , Yongping Wei ³ , David J. Yu ^{5,6} , and Murugesu Sivapalan ^{7,8}
6	
7	¹ Department of Hydraulic Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Hydro-science and
8	Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
9	² Department of Engineering, University of Messina, Villaggio S. Agata, Messina 98166, Italy
10	³ School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD
11	4072, Australia
12	⁴ State Key Laboratory of Eco-hydraulics in Northwest Arid Region, Xi'an University of
13	Technology, Xi'an 710048, China
14	⁵ Lyles School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
15	⁶ Department of Political Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
16	⁷ Department of Geography and Geographic Information Science, University of Illinois at
17	Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
18	⁸ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-
19	Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
20	
21	Correspondence to:
22	Fuqiang Tian, tianfq@tsinghua.edu.cn
23	Submitted to Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
24 25	Special issue: Socio-hydrology and Transboundary Rivers

1

26 Abstract

27 The transboundary Lancang-Mekong River Basin has experienced dynamics of cooperation 28 over the past several decades, which is a common emergent response in transboundary coupled 29 human-water systems. Downstream countries rely on Mekong River for fisheries, agriculture, 30 navigation and ecological services, while upstream countries have been constructing dams to 31 generate hydropower. The dam construction and operation in upstream countries have changed 32 the seasonality of streamflow in downstream countries, affecting their economic benefits. More 33 recently, cooperation between upstream and downstream countries has been enhanced 34 throughout the river basin. In this study, we introduce a quantitative socio-hydrological model 35 to simulate hydrological processes, reservoir operations, economic benefits, policy feedbacks 36 and therefore dynamics of cooperation within the Lancang-Mekong River basin. The model 37 reproduces the observed dynamics of cooperation in the basin revealed by sentiment analysis of news articles. Hydrological variability such as droughts and human activities associated with 38 39 reservoir operations affect dynamics of cooperation between the riparian countries, with 40 importance attached to indirect political benefits of upstream playing an important role in the 41 enhancement of cooperation. In this way, our study generated understanding of emergent 42 cooperation dynamics in this transboundary river basin, and the socio-hydrological model used here provides a useful new framework to investigate and improve transboundary water 43 44 management elsewhere with similar hydro-political settings.

45

46 Keywords: transboundary river basins, socio-hydrology, cooperation, emergent dynamics,
47 mechanistic modeling

48

49 **1. Introduction**

50 Transboundary water management is an important and complex issue that has attracted much 51 attention and efforts globally. Transboundary rivers refer to rivers shared by two or more 52 countries (Wolf et al., 1999), or two or more states within individual countries. There are over 53 310 transboundary rivers spanning over 150 countries, covering more than 40% of the world's 54 human population and land areas (UNEP, 2016; McCracken and Wolf, 2019). Rivers serve 55 multiple functions that benefit human societies such as water supply, irrigation, fishery, 56 navigation, hydropower generation, and provision of numerous other ecosystem services. These 57 functions can vary spatially within a river basin, and consequently, societal preferences for 58 water use may also differ in different locations, leading to possible disputes and conflicts 59 between upstream and downstream uses. Under these circumstances, cooperation among the 60 various stakeholders is critical for water security, food security, energy security and ecosystem security in riparian countries or regions, which requires equitable and reciprocal benefit sharing 61 62 for humans to realize the full potential of the services that rivers provide. Transboundary river 63 cooperation could take different forms (Sadoff and Grey, 2005), and operating at different levels 64 (Sadoff and Grey, 2002), such as information sharing for flood and drought mitigation, reservoir 65 operations adapted to the needs of both upstream and downstream users, and joint ownership 66 of water-related infrastructure.

67 Compared to water resources management in domestic river basins, management of 68 transboundary rivers that cross national boundaries must deal with an additional complexity. 69 The complexity arises from the structural challenge to cooperation that in such international 70 river basins, two or more countries must organize cooperation despite potential differences in 71 preferences for water uses and locational asymmetries in terms of access to water. Under these 72 circumstances, cooperation among stakeholders could be inter-twined with other issues, or are 73 limited by riparian relations, compounded by institutional limitations (Wolf et al., 1999) and differing national economic and strategic interests. Even if a formal social contract (e.g., an 74 75 international treaty) can be devised among stakeholders to institutionalize cooperation, 76 enforcement of the contract remains another challenge (Petersen-Perlman et al., 2017). Because 77 of the international nature of these contracts, there is usually no external body that can enforce 78 the formal arrangements for cooperation in a binding way (Müller et al., 2017; Espey and 79 Towfique, 2004). Despite the challenges in transboundary river cooperation, there are examples 80 of successful cooperation in international rivers, including the Rhine River (Schultz, 2009), the 81 Columbia River (Hamlet, 2003), and the Colorado River (Bernal and Solís, 2000). At the same 82 time, there are also cases of cooperation failures, such as the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Rivers (Micklin, 2004; Tian et al., 2019). Much scholarly attention has been directed towards 83 understanding what leads to success or failure in cooperation in transboundary river 84 85 management.

Researchers have spent considerable efforts to analyze and understand the aforementioned question through empirical research and modeling efforts (De Stefano et al., 2017; De Bruyne and Fischhendler, 2013; Bernauer et al., 2012; Beck et al., 2014). The International Water Events Database has collected cooperative and conflictive water interactions in transboundary river basins globally, and provides useful data and frameworks for further statistical studies (De Stefano et al., 2010; Munia et al., 2016) and detailed investigations in specific basins (Feng et al., 2019). Statistical methods or case studies help to identify the broad factors affecting

93	transboundary river cooperation, including natural conditions (e.g., hydrological scarcity and
94	variability) (Dinar et al., 2010; Dinar, 2009), political relations (Zeitoun and Mirumachi, 2008),
95	power dynamics (Zeitoun et al., 2011; Petersen-Perlman et al., 2017), institutional
96	arrangements (Dinar, 2009), and the relative levels of social and economic development (Song
97	and Whittington, 2004). Hydro-economic models that involve hydrological simulation and
98	benefit calculation and allocation through benefit maximization or game theory (Li et al., 2019)
99	are also common methods used to analyze the human-water interactions in transboundary rivers.
100	In particular, multi-agent simulation models consider each riparian country as an independent
101	decision-maker and focusing on water allocation and benefit calculation (Teasley and
102	McKinney, 2011; Giuliani and Castelletti, 2013). These modeling approaches have been
103	applied to the Lancang-Mekong and the Nile river basins (Cai et al., 2003; Ringler and Cai,
104	2006; Arjoon et al., 2016; Basheer et al., 2018).

105 However, most of the model studies above have viewed cooperation in transboundary rivers in a static way and as an external variable, and whether to cooperate or not and the/or the extent 106 of cooperation are set as boundary conditions. In other words, they only capture the one-way 107 effect, i.e., how cooperation takes effect on water resources and the economy, instead of 108 considering the two-way feedbacks including how cooperation evolves driven by different 109 110 factors. In reality, transboundary river cooperation is evolutionary in nature. For example, in the Colorado River Basin shared by USA and Mexico, industrialization and population growth 111 have increased the stress on surface and groundwater resources and on water quality. 112 Groundwater depletion and water pollution contributed to tension between the two countries 113 from the 1940s. Following protracted negotiations, several treaties were signed and institutions 114

built, with the result that the interactions between USA and Mexico have now become more cooperative in recent years (Frisvold and Caswell, 2000). The approaches used in studies to date do not accommodate the dynamic co-evolutionary nature of transboundary cooperation and conflicts, as seen for example in the Colorado River Basin, and are therefore not up to the task of seeking mechanistic explanations for the observed dynamics of cooperation in transboundary river basins.

In this study, we aim to address this knowledge gap by adopting a process-based, socio-121 122 hydrologic framework to represent transboundary cooperation in the Lancang-Mekong river 123 basin, which involves China, Myanmar, Laos, Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam as riparian states. Using dynamic modeling to understand the mechanisms behind cooperative or 124 125 conflictive actions of riparian countries, not only in a specific river basin, but also similarities 126 and differences between basins, would help in elucidating key drivers that account for differences in the cooperation level and its dynamics over time. This is a first step in this 127 128 direction. Increased mechanistic understanding will help increase the scope of cooperation and 129 avoidance of conflict in the future, and generate diverse benefits (Sadoff and Grey, 2002; Yu et 130 al., 2019a). Enhanced cooperation could lead to harmony in human-water relations generally 131 and regionally, including equitable and sustainable use of water. Conversely, the continuation 132 of conflicts could result in disordered water use, over-exploitation (Tian et al., 2019) and overall 133 loss of amenities.

In approaching this aim, it is critical to capture the two-way feedbacks between the social system and the transboundary river system. Human society and hydrological systems have become ever more tightly coupled, and in the long-term, co-evolution of the resulting coupled,

socio-hydrological system has been shown to result in emergent dynamics and unintended 137 138 consequences (Sivapalan and Bloschl, 2015). Examples include decadal asymmetric dynamics 139 of human water consumption in several large semi-arid river basins in Asia (Tian et al., 2019), 140 and the "pendulum swing" in agriculture water use and human development in both Eastern 141 and Western Australia (Kandasamy et al., 2014). Socio-hydrology as a science explores the 142 two-way feedbacks between human and water systems, necessary to understand and mimic observed emergent dynamics (Sivapalan and Bloschl, 2015). Driven by both natural and social 143 144 forces, a transboundary river basin can also be viewed as a coupled socio-hydrological system, 145 now with a distinct spatial (upstream-downstream) dynamics mediated by multiple riparian states. Observed patterns of cooperation and conflict in a transboundary basin can then be seen 146 147 as a special case of emergent dynamics that results from interactions and feedbacks between 148 the actions of water users or stakeholders in upstream and downstream riparian states and the interplay of associated hydrological, economic, and social, and geo-political processes (Di 149 150 Baldassarre et al., 2019). Historical patterns of the intensity or levels of cooperation between 151 riparian states are key indicators that can be used as targets of socio-hydrologic models 152 developed with the aim of generating mechanistic understanding of the co-evolutionary paths 153 followed by transboundary river basin management.

In this study, we will present a socio-hydrological model developed to simulate the dynamics of conflict and cooperation in transboundary river systems, and its application to the Lancang-Mekong river basin, which to the best of our knowledge is the first model to include the evolutionary transboundary river cooperation as an internal variable, and couple the driven processes including hydrological variability, dam construction, political benefits, etc. It differs from extant models by considering transboundary river cooperation internally, dynamically and quantitatively. To attain the goal, we propose a novel quantification of cooperation level and political benefits, and conduct sentiment analysis of newspaper articles to validate the simulation of cooperation in Lancang-Mekong River Basin. The socio-hydrological model developed is used to mimic the mechanisms of cooperation in this basin in a way to gain basic understanding that may be transferred to transboundary river basins elsewhere with similar hydro-political settings.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will introduce the study area and the history of observed dynamics of cooperation and conflict. Section 3 will present the rationale and details of the socio-hydrological model, including the various modules and governing equations describing the various subsystems, and how they are coupled in a way to capture the dynamics of cooperation and conflict. Section 4 presents the simulation results and a discussion and interpretation of the results, followed by, in Section 5, a summary of the main conclusions and the understanding and insights gained from the study.

173 **2.** Study Area and Historical Timeline of Cooperation and Conflict Dynamics

Lancang-Mekong River is an important transboundary river located in Southeast Asia. As shown in Figure 1, it originates from the Tibetan Plateau in China, and over its entire length of 4900 km it passes through Myanmar, Laos, Thailand, Cambodia, and Vietnam (Wang et al.,

- 177 2017). The Lancang-Mekong river basin drains an area of 812,400 km² and supports the water
- 178 needs and livelihoods of over 65 million people (Ringler and Cai, 2006; MRC, 2018; You et al.,
- 179 2014). The annual average discharge of Lancang-Mekong River flowing into the South China
- 180 Sea is close to 475 billion m³/year (Campbell, 2016). The drainage area of the upstream part,

i.e., the Lancang River Basin in China, is 195,000 km², which accounts for 24% of the whole
basin area.

183 Starting from a relatively undeveloped basin in the 1950s, Lancang-Mekong River Basin has experienced rapid economic growth in recent decades (MRC, 2010). Although they all have 184 185 many shared interests, different riparian countries within the Lancang-Mekong river basin benefit from different river functions. For example, while all riparian countries have the need 186 to protect themselves from the negative impacts of floods and droughts and ensure the 187 188 sustainability of riverine ecosystem, the upper riparian states of China and Laos have 189 constructed and plan to construct many dams, mainly for hydropower generation (Keskinen et al., 2012). For the downstream states of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam, agriculture and 190 191 fishery are the main uses of the Mekong River. Irrigated agriculture is a major water consumer 192 in the basin (MRC, 2018), and rice is the main staple crop (Campbell, 2016). In the lower Mekong region, especially in Cambodia and Vietnam, fishery not only employs a large number 193 194 of people, but also sustains their protein demands (Campbell, 2016).

195 As an important and geopolitically sensitive region (Campbell, 2016), Lancang-Mekong River 196 Basin has experienced both conflict and cooperation since the end of World War II under the 197 impacts of changing geopolitical relationships, hydrological dynamics and socio-economic conditions. With the sponsorship of the United Nations Agency ECAFE, the Committee for 198 Coordination of Investigations of the Lower Mekong Basin was initiated in 1957, and early 199 efforts included the setting up of comprehensive hydrological observations and the setting up 200 201 of regional plans for hydropower, flood control and irrigation (Campbell, 2016). However, because of the withdrawal of Cambodia in 1977 due to political reasons, Thailand, Laos and 202

203 Vietnam initiated the Interim Committee for Coordination of Investigations of the Lower Mekong, which took limited efforts towards regional cooperation. Until 1995, the four countries 204 205 of the lower Mekong were part of the Agreement on the Cooperation for the Sustainable 206 Development of the Mekong River Basin, through which they established the Mekong River 207 Commission (MRC). MRC was designed to enhance cooperation on water utilization and 208 management, socio-economic development and ecosystem conservation (MRC, 1995). Although China signed an agreement on the provision of hydrological information on the 209 210 Lancang-Mekong River in 2002, the efforts of MRC were limited due to the absence of the 211 upstream states, namely China and Myanmar. Finally, the Lancang-Mekong Cooperation Mechanism (LMC) was initiated in 2016 to include all of the six riparian countries and thus 212 213 enhance more comprehensive cooperation (Feng et al., 2019).

214 Specifically, cooperation in Lancang-Mekong River in the 21st century has been in the spotlight because of rapid changes in climatic and hydrological conditions, intensified human activity 215 and geopolitical sensitivity of the region. Dam construction principally in the two upstream 216 217 countries, China and Laos, has continued over three decades. Since 2010, large hydropower 218 plants have been commissioned on the mainstream of Lancang-Mekong River (Han et al., 2019). 219 Reservoir operations in the upstream increase dry season runoff and reduce runoff peaks during the flood season (Hoanh et al., 2010). The resulting changes in river flow were strongest in the 220 221 upper Chiang Saen station in Thailand and less marked in the lower station Kratie in Cambodia (MRC, 2018). The resulting change of seasonality of river flows has a significant impact on the 222 223 benefits of different water uses (Pokhrel et al., 2018), for example, wetland ecosystem services (Dudgeon, 2000) in Vietnam, and fish capture in the largest freshwater lake in Southeast Asian, 224

225 Tonle Sap (Kite, 2001) located in Cambodia. Correspondingly, due to the effects of upstream 226 dam operations for hydropower generation, the downstream countries have faced concerns 227 about benefit losses. Here the loss indicates deviation from their maximum expected benefit 228 (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). To obtain indirect political benefits, which is described as 229 "diplomatic returns" in Yu et al. (2019b), the upstream country China has worked to change 230 flow regulations of their reservoirs to satisfy the demands of the downstream countries and 231 achieve regional cooperation. One example of this was the emergency water release from China 232 in 2016 to alleviate the effects of a severe drought in the lower Mekong basin (Yu et al., 2019b). 233 This change of hydropower dam regulations in upstream countries can be regarded as an 234 example of a cooperative response.

235 Figure 2 summarizes the hydrological and anthropogenic events in Lancang-Mekong River 236 Basin. The upstream countries China and Laos have constructed and are planning to construct more dams on the mainstream of Lancang-Mekong River. Two major reservoirs on the 237 238 mainstream, Xiaowan and Nuozhadu, went into production in 2010 and 2012 respectively. The 239 filling and operation of these reservoirs caused the alteration of hydrological regimes in the 240 downstream, i.e., increase of runoff in the dry season and reduction in the flood season. 241 Economic losses compared to expected benefits caused by the change of hydrological seasonality and natural droughts, led to concerns raised by downstream countries, and tension 242 243 and conflict. However, cooperation has been enhanced in recent years, exemplified by some cooperative actions of the upstream country China, such as emergency water release during a 244 245 period of drought. We will use the socio-hydrological model to simulate these water-related events and the cooperation dynamics, and provide mechanistic explanations based on socio-246

247 hydrologic interpretation of the emergent dynamics.

248 3. Socio-hydrological Model

249 We developed a Transboundary River Cooperation Socio-Hydrological (TRCSH) model to simulate the dynamics of cooperation and conflict observed in Lancang-Mekong River Basin. 250 251 The causal loop presented in Figure 3 introduces the main components of the model. It 252 simulates the change of river flow seasonality caused by reservoir operations, which causes 253 benefit loss compared to expected benefits to downstream countries in different sectors. The 254 loss compared to expected benefits leads to demands by the downstream countries for more 255 cooperation from upstream countries, to which the upstream countries respond with changes to their reservoir operations. The modeled levels of cooperation, and the resulting changes to 256 257 reservoir operations, are determined by a balance between hydropower losses and indirect gain 258 of geopolitical benefits by the upstream countries.

As seen in Figure 3, the socio-hydrological model couples four main parts, i.e., hydrological 259 simulation, reservoir operation, economic benefit calculation, and policy feedback. A 260 261 distributed catchment hydrological model is used to model natural streamflow inputs to the 262 dams and is calibrated using observations at several stations along the Lancang-Mekong River 263 and its tributaries. With available reservoir information, the reservoir operation module simulates two basic scenarios, i.e., maximizing upstream benefits versus maximizing 264 265 downstream benefits. The results of these two operational scenarios are weight averaged to 266 calculate actual water releases and reservoir storages. The economic benefit calculation module 267 estimates the economic benefits for both upstream and downstream countries covering hydropower, irrigation and fishery sectors based on outcomes of the hydrological simulation 268

and reservoir operation modules. The fourth module simulates the policy feedbacks through the estimation of economic benefits and operation weights through two key variables, i.e., cooperation demand of downstream countries and cooperation level of upstream countries. Outcomes of sentiment analysis of newspaper articles are used to evaluate the modeled cooperation demand. The calculation step length of the model is one month. Each of these components of the model is discussed in detail in the following sections.

275 **3.1 Hydrological simulation**

276 We use the distributed hydrological model THREW to simulate natural runoff of mainstream 277 and tributaries without impacts of reservoir operations, i.e., Q_n in Figure 3. The THREW model has been applied to many river basins successfully, including rivers derived from 278 279 mountainous areas and consisting of snow and glacier melt, and large-scale basins (Tian et al., 280 2006; Tian et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012; Mou et al., 2008; He et al., 2015). Based on the Representative Elementary Watershed (REW) approach (Reggiani et al., 1998), the THREW 281 282 model uses the REW as the sub-catchment unit for hydrological simulations. The main runoff 283 generation processes include surface runoff, groundwater flow, and snow and glacier melt. 284 In this study, we divide the Lancang-Mekong basin into 651 REWs on the basis of DEM data, 285 as shown in Figure 1. The precipitation data is retrieved from TRMM data of 1998-2018. The 286 accuracy of TRMM data for hydrological simulation in this region has been proven successfully 287 (MRC, 2018). Thirty-two meteorological stations distributed around the whole basin provide 288 meteorological inputs, including temperature, wind speed, humidity and radiation to calculate 289 potential evapotranspiration based on the Penman-Monteith equation. Soils data is extracted 290 from the FAO world soil database, and LAI, NDVI and snow are obtained from MODIS data.

291 Daily runoff observations of 6 stations on the mainstream of the Lancang-Mekong river include 292 data of Jinghong (1998-2013), Chiang Saen (1998-2015), Luang Prabang (1998-2015), Nong 293 Khai (1998-2007), Nakhon Phanom (1998-2015) and Pakse (1998-2006). As the hydrological model is used to provide simulations of natural runoff without the impacts 294 295 of water withdrawal and reservoir operations, we use the runoff data in the period before large reservoir construction for parameter calibration, i.e., runoff data of the period of 1998-2009. 296 297 The parameters are calibrated separately and in a spatially distributed manner. Specifically, the year of 1998 is used as a warm-up period, 1999-2004 as calibration period, and 2005-2009 is 298 299 set as validation period. The simulated runoff of 2000-2018 is used as natural flow of 300 mainstream tributaries Q_n before the impacts of human activities.

301 **3.2 Reservoir operation**

302 The largest two reservoirs in China with seasonal runoff regulation capacity (Yu et al., 2019b), 303 namely Xiaowan and Nuozhadu, went into operation in 2010 and 2012 respectively. The basic 304 information of Xiaowan and Nuozhadu including the total reservoir storage, S_{total} , dead 305 reservoir storage, and flood limited storage S_{flood} are listed in Table 1. Laos PDR has aimed 306 to be the "battery of Southeast Asia" (Stone, 2016) and started hydroelectric dam construction 307 on the mainstream of the Mekong river in line with this ambition. Before that, Laos constructed 308 many dams on its tributaries, which also impact the streamflow regimes of the Mekong River. 309 According to MRC (2018), the expected live storage of reservoirs in Laos will ultimately reach 24,257 million m³ (MCM), accounting for 73% of the flows left for the four downstream 310 311 countries. In order to couple the reservoir operation module with the other modules, we need 312 to simplify the cascade of reservoirs in both China and Laos so that the optimization processes

313 in reservoir operation module and benefit calculation module could be computed. With the total 314 storage of the Xiaowan and Nuozhadu reservoirs accounting for 90% of the total storage of the 315 largest six reservoirs (Han et al., 2019), the cascade of reservoirs within China is simplified and approximated in this study by the two reservoirs. For the reservoirs in Laos, since reservoirs on 316 317 the mainstream have not been commissioned before 2019, only the completed tributary reservoirs are considered and aggregated by one virtual reservoir in the upper reaches, including 318 319 some reservoir storages located in the relatively lower reaches in Laos (Li et al., 2019; WLE, 320 2018). The storage of the virtual Laos reservoir equals the sum of all Laos reservoir storages, 321 and its hydropower generation is calibrated against the statistical data of the sum of hydropower 322 generations in Laos. In the model, the virtual Laos reservoir is assumed to have live storage 323 from 5,074 MCM in 2000 to 21,066 MCM in 2018, which was linearly interpolated over this 324 time period and represents continuous dam construction in Laos.

Overall, these simplifications through lumping the effects of many reservoirs is deemed 325 326 reasonable for the purpose of this study, because three reservoirs (Xiaowan and Nuozhadu in 327 China and the aggregated Laos Reservoir) shown in Figure 4 capture most of the effects of 328 reservoirs within the entire river basin and closely resemble the actual hydropower generation. 329 As shown in Figure 4, the river system and its water diversion configuration are also simplified, 330 where T_1 , T_2 to T_6 indicate natural runoff of upstream and tributaries, W_1 , W_2 , W_3 are the 331 water withdrawals for irrigation in Laos, Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam. For each node, runoff flowing to the next node is calculated by the water balance equation, e.g., for Thailand, 332

333 $Q_7 = Q_6 + T_5 - W_1 \tag{1}$

334 where, Q_7 is runoff flowing to Thailand from the upstream node, Laos, T_5 is inflow from

tributaries in Thailand, W_1 is irrigation withdrawal in Thailand, and Q_7 is runoff flowing to the downstream node, Cambodia.

337 For the operation of constructed dams, we consider two basic scenarios. The first scenario is 338 the self-interested scenario (non-cooperation scenario, abbreviated by NC), in which the upstream countries, China and Laos, operate the dams considering only their own hydropower 339 benefits. Under this scenario, dams keep at their total storage S_{total} during the dry season 340 341 (November to May) and their flood limited storage S_{flood} in the flood season (June to October). 342 If the actual storage of the t-1 period $S_{r,t-1}$ is less than these two values the reservoir will store 343 water to reach the amount; otherwise, the reservoir will release water. There are also constraints on the minimum ecological release flow Q_{eco} to satisfy the requirements of ecosystem and 344 345 navigation. Actual water release under the self-interested scenario $Q_{r,NC}$ is calculated using 346 Equations (2) and (3). The actual storage of the next month $S_{r,t}$ is calculated based on the water balance equation. With the calculated water release under the self-interested scenario 347 $Q_{r,NC}$, the total benefits of the three downstream countries will be optimized through water 348 349 allocation among them.

350
$$Q_{r,t} = max\{S_{r,t-1} + Q_{in,t} - S_{total}, 0, Q_{eco}\}, t = 1,2,3,4,5,11,12$$
(2)

351
$$Q_{r,t} = max\{S_{r,t-1} + Q_{in,t} - S_{flood}, 0, Q_{eco}\}, t = 6,7,8,9,10$$
(3)

The second scenario is the altruistic scenario (full-cooperation, abbreviated by FC), where the upstream countries operate the dams to accommodate downstream water demands and maximize the benefits of downstream countries. The calculation of the benefits to downstream countries will be introduced in Section 3.3. Under this scenario, the constraints contain maximum storage during dry season, maximum storage during flood season, minimum storage of dead storage and minimum ecological release flow. Then the processed results of actual water release $Q_{r,FC}$ will be used to calculate actual reservoir storage S_r based on the water balance equation. In this study, neither the self-interested scenario nor the altruistic scenario considers hedging rules in reservoir operation, although this is an extension that could be considered in further extensions of this study.

As shown in Figure 3, with the calculated water release under the self-interested scenario $Q_{r,NC}$ and that under the altruistic scenario $Q_{r,FC}$, we obtain the weighted average scenario (WA scenario) and final actual water release Q_r by calculating their weighted average.

$$Q_r = Q_{r,NC} \times \delta_1 + Q_{r,FC} \times \delta_2 \tag{4}$$

where $\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 1$, and δ_2 is calculated using the cooperation equations while δ_1 is 366 calculated as the residual $1 - \delta_2$, which will be introduced in section 3.4. Here δ_2 reflects the 367 368 extent to which the operating rules are adjusted to accommodate downstream water demands. It should be noted that the calculated Q_r by equation (4) is revised if it violates the constraints 369 of maximum storage during dry and flood seasons, minimum storage of dead storage and 370 minimum ecological release flow. The final actual reservoir storage S_r is calculated for 371 372 hydropower benefit calculation and the calculated Q_r is used to optimize the total benefits of the three downstream countries. 373

374 **3.3 Economic benefit calculation**

In this study, we consider the hydropower benefits B_h of China and Laos, and agriculture benefits B_a and fishery benefits B_f of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam. The hydropower benefits calculation of China and Laos are based on the water release Q_r and reservoir storage S_r , as shown in equation (5).

$$B_h = p_h \times 9.81 \times Q_r \times \Delta h \times \eta \tag{5}$$

where, p_h is the electricity price extracted from MRC (2018), Q_r is the monthly water release from the reservoir, Δh is the water head difference between the upstream and downstream which is related to the actual storage S_r , and η is hydropower generation efficiency which is calibrated against the annual power generation data.

Here agriculture benefits B_a only include irrigated rice without consideration of rain-fed crop production. Agricultural water withdrawals dominate water consumption in the downstream countries, and rice is the staple crop in this area. In this study, we use the FAO 33 crop water production function to calculate crop yields and irrigation benefits (Doorenbos and Kassam, 1979).

$$B_a = p_a \times Y_a \times A \tag{6}$$

390
$$(1 - \frac{Y_a}{Y_m}) = K_y \times (1 - \frac{E_A}{E_P})$$
 (7)

where p_a is price of rice and retrieved from statistical data (MRC, 2018), A is the rice 391 irrigation area, Y_a and Y_m are actual and maximum crop yields, respectively. K_y is crop 392 yield response factor, and E_A and E_P are actual and potential evapotranspiration respectively. 393 394 The information on the price of rice, irrigation area, rice yield and irrigation withdrawal of 395 Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam are listed in Table 2. Y_m is set as 8.5 ton/ha for all three 396 countries (FAO, 2004). E_A and E_P are calculated based on potential evapotranspiration and 397 irrigation amount, and the detailed methods could be found in Allen et al. (1998) and Kaboosi 398 and Kaveh (2012).

399 Fishery is one of the dominant environmental water uses in the lower Lancang-Mekong River

400 Basin, but it is difficult to quantify fishery benefits. In general, comprehensive fisheries models

have many required inputs to calculate fishery benefits, such as mortality, recruitment, and 401 402 fishing efforts (Baran and Cain, 2001). There are many studies focusing on the simulations of 403 fishery benefits through their relationships with water level (Hortle et al., 2005) and flooded areas (Burbano et al., 2020). It is difficult to couple complex fishery models to our model, and 404 405 there is not any standard function for fishery benefits up till now. Here, for simplicity, we only capture fishery benefits and do not include aquaculture benefits, since the latter is not 406 significantly impacted by hydropower operation. Based on literature review, an increasing 407 408 function of runoff with decreasing marginal increase was adopted to calculate capture fishery 409 benefits, which is simple but effective in Mekong Basin (Ringler, 2001; Ringler and Cai, 2006).

410
$$d = \arctan\left(\frac{Q-Q_{min}}{Q_{max}}\right) \times \left(1 - b \times \left(\frac{Q-Q_{min}}{Q_{max}} - c\right)^2\right)$$
(8)

$$B_f = p_f \times d - F_{cost} \tag{9}$$

where *d* is the fishery production related to actual discharge *Q*, minimum discharge Q_{min} , maximum discharge Q_{max} , and two parameters *b* and *c*. In equation (9) to calculate fishery benefit B_f , p_f is the fishery price extracted from statistical data (MRC, 2018) and F_{cost} is fixed fishery cost. Overall, fishery benefits for downstream countries are related to actual runoff, maximum runoff, and minimum runoff. As shown in Figure 4, Q_7 , Q_8 , Q_9 are used as actual runoff to calculate fishery benefits for Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam respectively.

418 **3.4 Policy feedback**

419 Cooperation demands U of downstream countries arise from economic losses compared to 420 expected benefits, and the upstream countries take cooperative action to obtain indirect political 421 benefits, although this might reduce their hydropower generation benefits. It is always difficult 422 to quantify cooperation demand and cooperation level. As a first attempt, in this study we only

consider change of operation rules of reservoirs as cooperative action and define the cooperation level *C* of upstream countries as the weight assigned to the operation rules to maximize downstream benefits when upstream countries operate their reservoirs, i.e., δ_2 in section 3.2. When the cooperation level C = 1, upstream countries operate dams to maximize the downstream benefits, i.e., the altruistic scenario. If C = 0, upstream countries will follow operation rules given by Equations (3) and (4), which are consistent with the self-interested scenario.

430 Following the assumption that cooperation demand is increased due to economic losses 431 compared to the reference level, larger economic losses will cause greater community concerns 432 and thus increased cooperation demands. According to the theory of reference dependence, 433 humans evaluate gains and losses relative to a reference point (Schmidt, 2003), and the 434 reference point could be the status quo (Tversky and Kahneman, 1991) or the level of aspiration (Siegel, 1957). Here we value the losses relative to the expected maximum benefits of sectors 435 B_{amax} and B_{fmax} , i.e., as the differences between expected maximum benefits and actual 436 437 benefits. As shown in equation (10), we assume that the cooperation demand is proportional to 438 economic losses, but the sensitivity of each economic sector is distinct.

439
$$U = \varepsilon_a \times \frac{B_{amax} - B_a}{B_{amax}} + \varepsilon_f \times \frac{B_{fmax} - B_f}{B_{fmax}}$$
(10)

440 where ε_a and ε_f are the sensitivity of agriculture loss and fishery loss. The sensitivities 441 indicate the importance of each sector to the overall lower basin economy, and larger sensitivity 442 means that downstream countries are more sensitive to the benefit change of the sector, and the 443 unit sector loss could lead to more severe negative impacts. In this model we assigned both ε_a 444 and ε_f as 0.5 so that the agriculture and fishery losses are treated equally. The expected 445 maximum benefits B_{amax} and B_{fmax} are also used for normalization.

446 For the cooperation level of upstream countries, we use a logit dynamics model (McFadden, 447 1981; Hofbauer and Sigmund, 2003) taken from environmental economics practice. This model is used to relate economic losses and benefits with the probability of cooperation. It has been 448 449 widely used and proven effective to relate natural system dynamics with cooperation dynamics, e.g., the simulations of cooperation on pollution control among stakeholders, who behave 450 responding to the behaviors of other stakeholders and their own benefits (Iwasa et al., 2007; 451 452 Suzuki and Iwasa, 2009a, b). In the logit dynamics model, the probability of cooperation P_r 453 could be calculated as below:

$$P_r = \frac{e^{\beta \times B_C}}{e^{\beta \times B_C} + e^{\beta \times B_N}} \tag{12}$$

455 where β is a shape parameter ranging from 0 to 1, B_C is the benefit of cooperation, and B_N 456 is the benefit without cooperation.

457 Similarly, for upstream countries, if they choose not to cooperate, their benefit B_N will be hydropower generation benefits under self-interested scenario $B_{h,NC}$ and low indirect political 458 benefit $B_{p,NC}$. If they choose to cooperate, besides the hydropower benefits under the altruistic 459 460 scenario $B_{h,FC}$, the upstream country will gain higher indirect political benefits $B_{p,FC}$. Here 461 we define the political benefit B_p as the benefit from avoidance of conflicts (Sadoff and Grey, 2002) and proportional to cooperation demand U and a political factor P as shown in 462 equation (13). When the cooperation demand U is high, and the cost due to unsatisfactory of 463 464 downstream and potential conflicts is high, the political benefit B_p will be low. If the upstream 465 country values the political relations with downstream countries and regards diplomatic benefits as important, as China has demonstrated in recent years, the value of political factor P 466

467 will be higher, and the cooperation demand U will play a more important role in decision 468 making. Therefore, the equation to calculate the actual cooperation level C for China is as 469 described in equation (14).

$$B_p = -U \times P \tag{13}$$

471
$$\frac{dC}{dt} = s \times \left[\frac{e^{\beta \times (\frac{B_{h,FC}}{B_{hmax}} - U_{FC} \times P)}}{e^{\beta \times (\frac{B_{h,FC}}{B_{hmax}} - U_{FC} \times P)} + e^{\beta \times (\frac{B_{h,NC}}{B_{hmax}} - U_{NC} \times P)}} - C \right]$$
(14)

472 where s is the responsive change rate reflecting the response speed of upstream countries, and $\frac{dC}{dt}$ indicates the change of cooperation level compared to the last period. $B_{h,FC}$ and $B_{h,NC}$ are 473 calculated on the basis of water release and reservoir storage under altruistic scenario and self-474 475 interested scenario respectively by equation (5). Overall, cooperation levels C are related to downstream cooperation demand U, political factor P reflecting how much upstream countries 476 477 value the indirect political benefits that can be gained from downstream countries, upstream 478 benefits when cooperating or not $B_{h,FC}$ and $B_{h,NC}$, and the responsive change rate s. Compared to Laos, China regards the geopolitical values and diplomatic relations as more 479 480 important (Urban et al., 2018). Therefore, the political factor P of China and Laos are set as 2 481 and 1, respectively, while the change rate s is assigned as 0.5. As mentioned before, the cooperation level C equals the weight δ_2 , so the cooperation demand and cooperation level 482 will affect reservoir regulations, and in this way will drive the co-evolution of the coupled 483 484 transboundary socio-hydrological system. The parameters in the policy feedback are defined a 485 priori because there is limited research and knowledge at present on the quantification of 486 cooperation and political benefits, which need further investigation.

487 The parameterization of the model could lead to uncertainty of simulations. In order to analyze

488 the uncertainty of simulated cooperation demand caused by parameters, we choose six critical

489 parameters shown in Table 3. Besides the values used in simulations, we choose two alternative 490 values for each parameter, and simulate cooperation demand of downstream under each 491 parameter combination. For each value of one parameter, there are 243 simulations with the 492 other five parameters unfixed, which are used for uncertainty analysis.

493 **3.5 Sentiment Analysis and Validation**

Empirical observational data is needed to evaluate the simulation of policy feedbacks. It is 494 difficult to measure cooperation demand, particularly the cooperation among countries on a 495 496 specific item, i.e., reservoir operation and water resources management. Sentiment analysis is 497 an emerging tool to quantify social data, which exploits the denotation of words and assigns sentimental value to text strings by an algorithm (Bravo-Marquez et al., 2014; Abdul et al., 498 499 2018). It has already been used to provide information of the attitudes of Chinese citizens 500 towards dam construction (Jiang et al., 2016). Newspaper articles could reflect public opinion on issues of interest to the community, which have been used in previous socio-hydrologic 501 502 studies to monitor the evolution of environmental awareness vis a vis economic livelihood (Wei 503 et al., 2017). In this study, we use the sentiment analysis of newspaper articles in downstream 504 countries in Lancang-Mekong River Basin to reflect the changes in cooperation demands of 505 downstream countries. The sentiment analysis is used to demonstrate the validity of the socio-506 hydrological model.

The detailed steps of sentiment analysis of newspaper articles and its application in Lancang-Mekong River have been introduced in Wei et al. (2020, this speical issue), and we will introduce the general steps briefly as follows. We used the Lexis-Nexis database to extract relevant information in English newspapers (Weaver and Bimber, 2008), sorted the data

manually and conducted sentiment analysis. Although the English newspapers have the 511 512 potential to miss some information when compared to local language newspapers, they are 513 considered a reference to the government's foreign policy, and they can reflect national interests and political responses that riparian countries want to deliver to the international public (Wei et 514 515 al., 2020). Firstly, key words for search (e.g., Mekong, water, dam, etc.) and search limitations 516 (e.g., location of publisher) are set for this study, and data retrieval is conducted automatically. Secondly, manual data sorting was used to remove duplicates and irrelevant news. Thirdly, the 517 518 sorted data was analyzed through coding to get the sentiment of each piece of news and then 519 corrected manually. Finally, sentiment category (positive or negative) and sentiment values of 520 each piece of valid data -1 to 1 were obtained, with positive values indicating positive sentiment 521 of the news towards the topic. We will then use the annual average sentiment values to evaluate 522 simulated cooperation demand of downstream countries.

Because the analyzed newspaper needs to be in English due to the difficulty to deal with local 523 524 languages, we could obtain continuous and relevant English newspapers only in Thailand 525 among the downstream countries, and the other riparian countries did not have English 526 language newspapers with broad coverage. The data processing is similar with that used in Wei 527 et al. (2020), but we adjusted the key words and filtering rules to fit our goals. From the database of Lexis-Nexis, we extracted in total 4,622 pieces of data with keywords related to the dam 528 529 constructions and regulations in China and Laos, published in Thai newspapers. Then we selected 592 pieces of relevant articles by removing duplicates and irrelevant news manually. 530 531 The 592 valid pieces of news cover the period of 2000-2018. Through automatic analysis and 532 manual correcting, the sentiment values of each piece of news are chosen for statistical analysis,

533 averaged for each year.

534 **4. Results**

535 **4.1 Hydrological simulation and reservoir operation**

As shown in Figure 5, the simulations at Jinghong, Chiang Saen, Luang Prabang and Pakse 536 537 perform well with NSEs above 0.8 for the calibration period. The NSEs of validation period at 538 the four stations are 0.83, 0.80, 0.79 and 0.87 respectively. For most years, the simulations of troughs during dry seasons and peaks during flood seasons are reproduced rather well, except 539 540 for some extreme flood events when simulations under-estimated the flow. The NSEs at these 541 Nong Khai and Nakhonphanom reach to 0.81 and 0.75 respectively, which indicates the applicability of the THREW model at different locations across the Lancang-Mekong river 542 543 basin.

544 According to the observations and simulations, the annual discharge from China to downstream countries at Jinghong station (Q_3 in Figure 4) accounts for 66% of the discharge at Chiang 545 546 Saen (Q_4 in Figure 4) and 20% of the discharge at Pakse (Q_7 in Figure 4). As simplified in 547 Figure 4, runoff observed in Laos and Thailand account for 23% and 57% of the discharge at 548 Pakse. The proportions of China and Laos in Pakse runoff are higher during non-flood seasons 549 (November to May), and the change of seasonality of discharge in China and Laos caused by 550 reservoir operations could affect the discharge and thus economic benefits in downstream 551 countries.

552 Water releases from Xiaowan, Nuozhadu and the virtual Laos reservoir vary under the three

scenarios, i.e., NC, FC and WA scenarios, and we compare them with natural water release

554 without reservoir operation (NR scenario) during non-flood seasons. We set the initial reservoir

storage to maximum storage at the beginning of the year and simulate the water release under two natural hydrological conditions, i.e., dry year of 2015 and normal year of 2017. Initial value of cooperation level of China and Laos are both set to 0.5.

As shown in Figure 6, for both dry and normal years, the NC scenario keeps the largest storages 558 559 and the FC scenario keeps the lowest storages. In a dry year like 2015, with the same 560 cooperation level as in the normal year of 2017, reservoir storages under FC and WA scenarios are lower to satisfy the demands of downstream countries. Water releases from the three 561 562 reservoirs under different scenarios in non-flood seasons in 2015 and 2017 are shown in Figure 563 7. The final weighted average water releases (WA scenario) from Nuozhadu and Laos Reservoirs to downstream countries are higher than natural water releases (NR scenario) during 564 non-flood season (December to May), especially in the dry year of 2015. It is consistent with 565 566 the phenomenon that reservoir operations increase discharge during non-flood seasons in 567 downstream countries in recent years.

As shown in Figure 8, the simulated reservoir storages under the continuous WA scenario are lower than the simulated storages under the continuous NC scenario in all three reservoirs. As a cooperative action, reservoir regulations under the continuous WA scenario keep releasing more water, particularly during dry years when the demands of downstream countries are high. The simulated storage of Xiaowan and Nuozhadu under continuous WA scenario keep a relatively low level.

574 **4.2 Economic benefit**

575 Overall, the economic benefit simulations under WA scenario in each country and sector are 576 reasonable compared to statistical data, as listed in Table 4. Under the continuous WA scenario,

China and Laos have obtained increasing benefits mainly due to ongoing dam construction. As 577 Figure 9 shows, the simulated hydropower benefits of China approached 2,000 million USD in 578 579 2018, while the annual generation of the two reservoirs is close to 40 billion kWh (Yu et al., 2019b). The Laos reservoir generated hydropower around 976 million USD while the statistical 580 581 estimation of hydropower benefit to Laos in 2015 is 1,076 million USD (MRC, 2018), proving 582 the validity of economic benefit simulations in Laos. In Figure 9(a), the hydropower benefit of 583 China under WA scenario is lower than NC scenario and higher than the FC scenario after 2012, indicating that cooperation actions (WA and FC) could harm the hydropower benefit of China. 584 585 It is similar in Laos, as shown in Figure 9(b), but the benefits under WA resemble NC scenario are more due to the low cooperation level of Laos. The differences between the blue and red 586 lines indicate the losses China and Laos need to bear if they cooperate altruistically to satisfy 587 588 downstream demands and maximize downstream benefits. 589 When the two major reservoirs in China went into operation and cooperation levels increased 590 after 2012, the total benefits of downstream three countries under WA scenario are higher than 591 the NC scenario, although they cannot reach the high level of the FC scenario when China and 592 Laos operate reservoirs merely for downstream benefits, as shown in Figure 10(a). The increase

593 of downstream benefits under WA scenario is remarkable compared to NC scenario (e.g., 685 594 million USD in 2018). The losses China and Laos need to bear is less than the gain of 595 downstream countries in most years, which help to rationalize the cooperation actions and is 596 consistent with the outcomes of simulations in other studies (Yu et al., 2019b; Li et al., 2019; 597 Do et al., 2020). Notably, in the dry years of 2015-2016, cooperative action of upstream 598 countries could mitigate the losses of downstream countries, but downstream benefits would

27

599 still be lower compared to those in normal years.

The downstream benefits of agriculture and fishery under the WA scenario are shown in Figure 10(b). Simulated agriculture benefit in 2018 is around 3,600 million USD with irrigation withdrawals of 39 billion m³, while the statistical irrigation withdrawal of the three countries is 47 billion m³ (FAO, 2019). The simulated agriculture benefits of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam are 1,263, 593 and 1,728 million USD respectively, which are consistent with the statistical values for irrigated rice in Table 4, i.e., 1,314, 592 and 2,727 million USD (Cramb, 2020; MRC, 2018).

607 As for the capture fishery benefits, the losses during the years of reservoir filling and droughts are remarkable, approaching 215 and 162 million USD in 2010 and 2015, respectively. The 608 609 reduction of fishery capture is consistent with the outcomes of study by Orr et al. (2012), which 610 estimated that losses of fishery capture could reach 20% with the impacts of the upstream dams. In 2018, the simulated fishery benefits of Thailand, Cambodia, Vietnam and the total fishery 611 612 benefit are 118, 1,160, 179, and 1,457 million USD, while the corresponding statistical values 613 are 120, 1,188, 195 and 1,503 million USD. The statistical fishery values are estimated on the 614 basis of fishery production (Burbano et al., 2020) and fishery prices (MRC, 2018). Overall, the 615 simulated benefits of downstream countries in the three economic sectors are basically consistent with statistical values. 616

617

4.3 Cooperation demand and level

In Figure 11(a), the simulated cooperation demands reached to high levels in 2004-2005, 2008,

619 2010, 2012-2013, 2015-2016. These peaks are caused by benefit losses compared to other years.

620 The losses in 2004-2005 and 2015-2016 arose from recorded droughts (MRC, 2018), while the

losses in 2010 and 2012-2013 are related to the constructions and operations of Xiaowan andNuozhadu dam.

623 As shown in Figure 11(a), the cooperation level of Laos increased from the start at a slow speed and exceeded 0.33 in 2018. The recent fluctuation of cooperation level of Laos could be 624 625 reflected by the on-going disputes and negotiations between Laos and other MRC members in 626 respect of reservoir construction by Laos on the mainstream of Mekong River since 2009 (Hensengerth, 2015). The cooperation levels of China increased since the completion of the 627 628 first major dam construction in 2010. The cooperation level of China exceeded that of Laos in 629 2016, and the rapid increase of cooperation level of China could be evidenced by China's cooperative actions in recent years, including initiation of Lancang-Mekong Cooperation (LMC) 630 631 framework in 2015, which is a much broader framework that goes beyond water cooperation, 632 and implementation of emergency water release to mitigate the negative impacts of droughts in downstream when the historically severe drought hit Mekong Basin in 2015 and 2016 633 634 (Middleton and Allouche, 2016).

635 As shown in Figure 11(b), the number of news articles concerning the impacts of upstream 636 reservoirs increased significantly after 2010, from less than 20 pieces each year to over 70 637 pieces in recent years. The means of sentiment values fluctuate greatly in early years. In 2004, 638 2010-2012 and 2015, sentiment results reached low values through the years, reflecting that the 639 concerns and criticisms from Thailand towards China and Laos on dam operation were high compared to normal years. The dynamics of sentiment values are basically consistent with the 640 641 simulations of cooperation demand shown in Figure 11(c). Simulated cooperation demand are 642 high during 2005, 2008, 2010, 2012-2013, 2015-2016. Similar to the cooperation demand of

the three downstream countries introduced before, the peaks of cooperation demand and 643 concerns from downstream in 2005 and 2015 are ascribed to droughts and losses, while the 644 645 concerns in 2010 and 2012 are due to the effects of dam constructions at Xiaowan and Nuozhadu during these two years. Besides the factors mentioned above, based on the text 646 647 information of news, another reason why concerns increased in 2010-2012 is that Laos started to construct Xayaburi dam, which is the first dam Laos constructed on the mainstream of 648 Mekong River and is regarded as a violation of the 1995 Mekong Agreement (Herbertson, 649 650 2013). Overall, our simulations of cooperation demands reflect the empirical dynamics of 651 downstream countries obtained through sentiment analyses. Uncertainty analysis in Figure 12 shows that although the selection of these six critical parameters could lead to uncertainty of 652 653 simulated cooperation demand of downstream, the trend and fluctuation pattern of the 654 simulations are consistent, which proves the reliability of the simulations.

655

5. Discussion and Conclusions

656 This paper presented the development and application of a socio-hydrological model to 657 simulate the dynamics of cooperation and conflict in the transboundary Lancang-Mekong river 658 basin in Southeast Asia. Lancang-Mekong is a typical transboundary river where the upstream mountainous area is rich in hydropower and lower plain areas are suitable for irrigation and are 659 660 rich in fisheries. Dam construction and operations in upstream countries (China, Laos) have 661 changed the seasonality of downstream river flows, which have impacted the benefits gained by downstream countries, notably in terms of agriculture and fishery, both of which rely on the 662 663 discharge of rivers. When downstream countries faced benefit losses compared to maximum benefits as a result, they led to community concerns, which they tend to blame on upstream 664

665 countries. Once the dams were constructed and were in place, the most available and effective 666 cooperative action to avoid regional conflicts was to operate the reservoirs in a way to achieve 667 basin-wide synergy between upstream and downstream countries (Do et al., 2020). While 668 upstream countries may have lost some economic benefits by sacrificing some of their 669 hydropower generation to benefit downstream countries, by doing so they also stood to gain 670 more indirect political and economic benefits, e.g., better diplomatic relations and more 671 investment opportunities in downstream countries (Sadoff and Grey, 2002).

The socio-hydrological model presented in this paper was able to capture the dynamics of such cooperation and conflict through the coupling of modules representing hydrology, reservoir operation, economic benefits and policy, which is simple but comprehensive. The interplay among hydrological, economic and political factors is important, because hydrological variability and human activities could impact the dynamics of cooperation jointly. The model simulations perform well against empirical observations of runoff, published statistics of economic benefits in the different sectors, and sentiment analysis results.

679 A novel feature of the model is the quantification of cooperation dynamics in the form of a logit 680 dynamics model. The logit dynamics model operates in a way that willingness to cooperate 681 increases when there are greater benefits to be gained if the parties cooperated and fewer benefits if they do not. A particular strength of the logit model is that it could explicitly include 682 683 geopolitical factors that add to the indirect benefits that upstream countries may gain through increased cooperation. When upstream countries value the indirect political benefits more and 684 685 are thus more responsive to the downstream concerns, the cooperation level would increase, 686 which is quantified in the model to represent to what extent the upstream country would like to

accommodate downstream water demands in reservoir operation. The increase of simulated 687 688 cooperation level is consistent with the cooperative actions taken by China in recent years. Over 689 the last two decades, cooperation demands of the downstream countries increased over drought 690 years and over the years of reservoir filling. The surge of downstream concerns towards 691 upstream countries needs to be treated appropriately, otherwise the concerns could turn into 692 more severe conflicts. The losses of the downstream relative to maximum expected benefits could be mitigated by cooperative actions of upstream countries, i.e., change of reservoir 693 regulation, which will lead to less concern and less criticism from downstream countries. 694 695 Compared with the extant models, this socio-hydrological model is the first one, to the best of our knowledge, to include the coevolutionary transboundary river cooperation as an internal 696 697 variable instead of as a static and external variable in coupled hydrology-economic models. 698 This particular feature enables the model to analyze the mid- and long-term cooperation dynamics in transboundary rivers. 699

700 The cooperation dynamics in the Lancang-Mekong river basin described in the socio-701 hydrologic model are common in many other transboundary river basins. In particular, benefit 702 losses to downstream countries by the actions of upstream countries such as dam construction, 703 water extraction and pollution, can be counterbalanced by the willingness to cooperate by upstream countries, by sharing some economic benefits with downstream countries as 704 705 compensation for their loss of economic benefits, in return from indirect geopolitical benefits 706 and investment opportunities. By capturing these mechanisms and by accounting for the effects 707 of hydrologic variability and reservoir releases on the economic benefits of the various water uses in the quantification of willingness to cooperate, the socio-hydrological model presented 708

in this paper provides an objective scientific framework to underpin transboundary water
 management and negotiations elsewhere.

711 As an early version transboundary river socio-hydrological model, there is significant room for 712 further improvement in the model formulation. With limited research and knowledge on the 713 quantification of cooperation and political benefits, the parameterization of policy feedback 714 module such as the political factor is relatively primitive. As the model is applied to more cases, these policy feedback parameters could be investigated to find some general patterns, 715 which could be then used to determine the corresponding parameters *a priori* when 716 717 applying to new cases. The current model simulated the effect of hydroelectric power generation in multiple dams in China and Laos in a lumped manner, which has a negative 718 719 impact on the accuracy of reservoir releases, and hence on benefit calculation for downstream 720 countries. The situation can be improved in the future through more distributed simulation of the cascade of reservoirs. Additionally, in order to integrate the complex hydro-economic 721 722 relationships into the model, agriculture and fishery benefits are calculated in the present model 723 with rather simplified equations. There is room for significant improvement in these benefit 724 calculations. Flood control is one of the most important functions of existing and planned future 725 dams, but has been ignored in this study, which may have led to under-estimation of the benefits to both upstream and downstream countries. Simulations under different scenarios of climate 726 change and human activities could provide projections of the dynamics of transboundary river 727 cooperation and conflict, and thus provide useful insights for transboundary river management 728 729 in the future.

730

33

731

732 Code/Data availability

733 The data is available on request from the corresponding author (tianfq@tsinghua.edu.cn).

734

735 Author contribution

- You Lu, Fuqiang Tian, Liying Guo, Iolanda Borzì and Rupesh Patil discussed the framework
- 737 of the socio-hydrologic model. You Lu developed the model code and performed the
- simulations. Jing Wei, Dengfeng Liu, Yongping Wei and David J. Yu discussed and revised the
- model. You Lu, Fuqiang Tian prepared the manuscript, with significant inputs and edits by
- Yongping Wei and David J. Yu and Murugesu Sivapalan, with contributions from all co-authors.

741

742 **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

744

745 Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the projects sponsored by the Ministry of Science and Technology, China (2016YFA0601603) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (51961125204, 51779203) for their financial support. We also acknowledge the support from the 2019 Summer Institute on Socio-hydrology and Transboundary Rivers held in Yunnan University, China.

751

752 **Reference**

753

Abdul, G. N., Suraya, H., Abaker, T. H. I., and Ejaz, A.: Social Media Big Data Analytics: A Survey,
Computers in Human Behavior, 2018.

Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., and Smith, M.: Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56, Fao, Rome, 300, 1998.

758 Arjoon, D., Tilmant, A., and Herrmann, M.: Sharing water and benefits in transboundary river basins,

759 Hydrology & Earth System Sciences, 20, 2016.

- Baran, E., and Cain, J.: Ecological approaches of flood-fish relationships modelling in the Mekong
 River, Proceedings of the National workshop on Ecological and Environmental Modelling, 2001,
 3-4,
- Basheer, M., Wheeler, K. G., Ribbe, L., Majdalawi, M., Abdo, G., and Zagona, E. A.: Quantifying and
 evaluating the impacts of cooperation in transboundary river basins on the Water-Energy-Food
 nexus: The Blue Nile Basin, Science of the Total Environment, 630, 1309-1323, 2018.

766 Beck, L., Bernauer, T., Siegfried, T., and Böhmelt, T.: Implications of hydro-political dependency for

- 767 international water cooperation and conflict: Insights from new data, Political Geography, 42, 23 -
- 768 33, 10.1016/j.polgeo.2014.05.004, 2014.

769 Bernal, J. M., and Solís, A. H.: Conflict and Cooperation on International Rivers: The Case of the

- Colorado River on the US-Mexico Border, International Journal of Water Resources Development,16, 651-660, 2000.
- Bernauer, T., Böhmelt, T., Buhaug, H., Gleditsch, N. P., Tribaldos, T., Weibust, E. B., and Wischnath,
- G.: Water-related intrastate conflict and cooperation (WARICC): a new event dataset, InternationalInteractions, 2012.
- Bravo-Marquez, F., Mendoza, M., and Poblete, B.: Meta-level sentiment models for big social data
 analysis, Knowledge Based Systems, 69, 86-99, 2014.
- Burbano, M., Shin, S., Nguyen, K., and Pokhrel, Y.: Hydrologic changes, dam construction, and the
 shift in dietary protein in the Lower Mekong River Basin, Journal of Hydrology, 581, 2020.
- 779 Cai, X., McKinney, D. C., and Lasdon, L. S.: Integrated hydrologic-agronomic-economic model for
- river basin management, Journal of water resources planning and management, 129, 4-17, 2003.
- Campbell, I. C.: Integrated management in the Mekong River Basin, Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology,
 16, 255-262, 2016.
- 783 Cramb, R.: The Evolution of Rice Farming in the Lower Mekong Basin, 2020.
- 784 De Bruyne, C., and Fischhendler, I.: Negotiating conflict resolution mechanisms for transboundary
- 785 water treaties: A transaction cost approach, Global Environmental Change, 23, 1841-1851,
 786 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.009, 2013.
- De Stefano, L., Edwards, P., de Silva, L., and Wolf, A. T.: Tracking cooperation and conflict in
 international basins: historic and recent trends, Water Policy, 12, 871-884, 10.2166/wp.2010.137,
 2010.
- 790 De Stefano, L., Petersen-Perlman, J. D., Sproles, E. A., Eynard, J., and Wolf, A. T.: Assessment of
- transboundary river basins for potential hydro-political tensions, Global Environmental Change,
- 792 45, 35-46, 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.04.008, 2017.
- Di Baldassarre, G., Sivapalan, M., Rusca, M., Cudennec, C., Garcia, M., Kreibich, H., Konar, M.,
- Mondino, E., Mård, J., Pande, S., Sanderson, M. R., Tian, F., Viglione, A., Wei, J., Wei, Y., Yu, D. J.,
- 795 Srinivasan, V., and Blöschl, G.: Sociohydrology: Scientific Challenges in Addressing the Sustainable

- 796 Development Goals, Water Resources Research, 55, 6327-6355, 10.1029/2018wr023901, 2019.
- 797 Dinar, A., Blankespoor, B., Dinar, S., and Kurukulasuriya, P.: Does precipitation and runoff variability
- affect treaty cooperation between states sharing international bilateral rivers?, Ecological
 Economics, 69, 2568-2581, 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.07.036, 2010.
- Dinar, S.: Scarcity and cooperation along international rivers, Global Environmental Politics, 9, 109 135, 2009.
- B02 Do, P., Tian, F., Zhu, T., Zohidov, B., Ni, G., Lu, H., and Liu, H.: Exploring Synergies in the WaterB03 Food-Energy Nexus by Using an Integrated Hydro-Economic Optimization Model for the
 B04 Lancang-Mekong River Basin, Science of the Total Environment, 2020.
- 805 Doorenbos, J., and Kassam, A. H.: FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 33, 1979.
- 806 Dudgeon, D.: Large-scale hydrological changes in tropical Asia: prospects for riverine biodiversity:
- the construction of large dams will have an impact on the biodiversity of tropical Asian rivers and
 their associated wetlands, BioScience, 50, 793-806, 2000.
- 809 Espey, M., and Towfique, B.: International bilateral water treaty formation, Water Resources810 Research, 40, 2004.
- FAO: Rice and narrowing the yield gap, Rome Italy: Plant Production and Protection Division, Cropand Grassland Service, 2004.
- FAO: Crop Water Information. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics
 Division. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.fao.org/land-water/databases-and-software/crop-</u>
 information/en/., 2019.
- Feng, Y., Wang, W., Suman, D., Yu, S., and He, D.: Water Cooperation Priorities in the LancangMekong River Basin Based on Cooperative Events Since the Mekong River Commission
- 818 Establishment, Chinese Geographical Science, 29, 58-69, 10.1007/s11769-019-1016-4, 2019.
- Frisvold, G. B., and Caswell, M. F.: Transboundary water management Game-theoretic lessons for
 projects on the US–Mexico border*, Agricultural Economics, 24, 101-111, 2000.
- Giuliani, M., and Castelletti, A.: Assessing the value of cooperation and information exchange in
 large water resources systems by agent-based optimization, Water Resources Research, 49, 39123926, 10.1002/wrcr.20287, 2013.
- 824 Hamlet, A. F.: The Role of Transboundary Agreements in the Columbia River Basin, 2003.
- Han, Z., Long, D., Fang, Y., Hou, A., and Hong, Y.: Impacts of climate change and human activities
 on the flow regime of the dammed Lancang River in Southwest China, Journal of hydrology, 570,
 96-105, 2019.
- He, Z., Tian, F., Gupta, H. V., Hu, H., and Hu, H.: Diagnostic calibration of a hydrological model in a mountain area by hydrograph partitioning, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 19, 1807, 2015.
- 830 Hensengerth, O.: Where is the power? Transnational networks, authority and the dispute over the
- Xayaburi Dam on the Lower Mekong Mainstream, Water International, 40, 911-928, 2015.
- Herbertson, K.: Xayaburi Dam: How Laos Violated the 1995 Mekong Agreement, International
 Rivers, Berkeley, Calif., www. internationalrivers. org/blogs/267/xayaburi-dam-how-laosviolated-the-1995-mekong-agreement, 2013.
- 835 Hoanh, C. T., Jirayoot, K., Lacombe, G., and Srinetr, V.: Impacts of climate change and development
- on Mekong flow regimes. First assessment-2009, International Water Management Institute, 2010.
- Hofbauer, J., and Sigmund, K.: Evolutionary game dynamics, Bulletin of the American mathematical
 society, 40, 479-519, 2003.
- 839 Hortle, K., Pengbun, N., Rady, H., and Sopha, L.: Tonle Sap yields record haul, Catch and Culture,
- 840 10, 2-5, 2005.
- Iwasa, Y., Uchida, T., and Yokomizo, H.: Nonlinear behavior of the socio-economic dynamics for
 lake eutrophication control, Ecological Economics, 63, 219-229, 2007.
- Jiang, H., Lin, P., and Qiang, M.: Public-Opinion Sentiment Analysis for Large Hydro Projects,
 Journal of Construction Engineering & Management, 2016.
- Kaboosi, K., and Kaveh, F.: Sensitivity analysis of FAO 33 crop water production function, Irrigation
 Science, 30, p.89-100, 2012.
- Kahneman, D., and Tversky, A.: Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk, Econometrica,
 47, 263-291, 1979.
- Kandasamy, J., D., S., P., S., A., C., S., V., and M., S.: Socio-hydrologic drivers of the pendulum swing
 between agricultural development and environmental health: a case study from Murrumbidgee
- 851 River basin, Australia, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 1027-1041, 2014.
- Keskinen, M., Kummu, M., Käkönen, M., and Varis, O.: Mekong at the crossroads: Next steps for
 impact assessment of large dams, Ambio, 41, 319-324, 2012.
- Kite, G.: Modelling the Mekong: hydrological simulation for environmental impact studies, Journalof hydrology, 253, 1-13, 2001.
- Li, D., Long, D., Zhao, J., Lu, H., and Hong, Y.: Observed changes in flow regimes in the Mekong
 River basin, Journal of Hydrology, 551, 217-232, 2017.
- Li, D., Zhao, J., and Govindaraju, R. S.: Water benefits sharing under transboundary cooperation in
 the Lancang-Mekong River Basin, Journal of Hydrology, 577, 123989,
 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.123989, 2019.
- Li, H., Sivapalan, M., and Tian, F.: Comparative diagnostic analysis of runoff generation processes
 in Oklahoma DMIP2 basins: The Blue River and the Illinois River, Journal of Hydrology, 418, 90109, 2012.
- Müller, M. F., Müller-Itten, M. C., and Gorelick, S. M.: How J ordan and S audi A rabia are avoiding
 a tragedy of the commons over shared groundwater, Water Resources Research, 53, 5451-5468,
 2017.
- McCracken, M., and Wolf, A. T.: Updating the Register of International River Basins of the world,
 International Journal of Water Resources Development, 35, 732-782,
 10.1080/07900627.2019.1572497, 2019.
- McFadden, D.: Econometric models of probabilistic choice, Structural analysis of discrete data with
 econometric applications, 198272, 1981.
- 872 Micklin, P.: The Aral Sea Crisis, Springer Netherlands, 2004.
- Middleton, C., and Allouche, J.: Watershed or powershed? Critical hydropolitics, China and the
 'Lancang-Mekong cooperation framework', The International Spectator, 51, 100-117, 2016.
- 875 Mou, L., Tian, F., Hu, H., and Sivapalan, M.: Extension of the Representative Elementary Watershed
- approach for cold regions: constitutive relationships and an application, Hydrology and Earth
 System Sciences, 12, 565-585, 2008.
- 878 MRC: Agreement on the cooperation for the sustainable development of the Mekong River Basin,
- 879 Mekong River Commission Secretariat, 1995.
- MRC: Assessment of Basin-Wide Development Scenarios—Main Report, Mekong River
 Commission, 2010.
- 882 MRC: Summary State of the Basin Report 2018, Mekong River Commission1728-3248, 2018.
- 883 Munia, H., Guillaume, J. H. A., Mirumachi, N., Porkka, M., Wada, Y., and Kummu, M.: Water stress

- in global transboundary river basins: significance of upstream water use on downstream stress,
 Environmental Research Letters, 11, 014002, 10.1088/1748-9326/11/1/014002, 2016.
- Orr, S., Pittock, J., Chapagain, A., and Dumaresq, D.: Dams on the Mekong River: Lost fish protein
 and the implications for land and water resources, Global Environmental Change, 22, 925-932,
 2012.
- 889 Petersen-Perlman, J. D., Veilleux, J. C., and Wolf, A. T.: International water conflict and cooperation:
- challenges and opportunities, Water International, 42, 105-120, 10.1080/02508060.2017.1276041,
 2017.
- Pokhrel, Y., Burbano, M., Roush, J., Kang, H., Sridhar, V., and Hyndman, D. W.: A review of the
 integrated effects of changing climate, land use, and dams on Mekong river hydrology, Water, 10,
 266, 2018.
- Reggiani, P., Sivapalan, M., and Hassanizadeh, S. M.: A unifying framework for watershed
 thermodynamics: balance equations for mass, momentum, energy and entropy, and the second
 law of thermodynamics, Advances in Water Resources, 22, 367-398, 1998.
- Ringler, C.: Optimal allocation and use of water resources in the Mekong River Basin: Multi-countryand intersectoral analyses, 2001.
- Ringler, C., and Cai, X.: Valuing fisheries and wetlands using integrated economic-hydrologic
 modeling—Mekong River Basin, Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 132, 480 487, 2006.
- Sadoff, C., and Grey, D.: Beyond the river: the benefits of cooperation on international rivers, Waterpolicy, 4, 389-403, 2002.
- Sadoff, C., and Grey, D.: Cooperation on International Rivers A Continuum for Securing and Sharing
 Benefits, Water International, 30, 1-8, 2005.
- Schmidt, U.: Reference dependence in cumulative prospect theory, Journal of Mathematical
 Psychology, 47, 122-131, 2003.
- Schultz, B.: Development of trans-boundary cooperation in the Rhine River Basin, Mekong RiverCommission, 314, 2009.
- 911 Siegel, S.: Level of aspiration and decision making, Psychological review, 64, 253, 1957.
- 912 Sivapalan, M., and Bloschl, G.: Time scale interactions and the coevolution of humans and water,
- 913 Water Resources Research, 51, 6988-7022, 2015.
- Song, J., and Whittington, D.: Why have some countries on international rivers been successful
 negotiating treaties? A global perspective, Water Resources Research, 40, 10.1029/2003wr002536,
 2004.
- 917 Stone, R.: Dam-building threatens Mekong fisheries, Science, 354, 1084-1085, 2016.
- 918 Suzuki, Y., and Iwasa, Y.: The coupled dynamics of human socio-economic choice and lake water
- 919 system: the interaction of two sources of nonlinearity, Ecological research, 24, 479-489, 2009a.
- Suzuki, Y., and Iwasa, Y.: Conflict between groups of players in coupled socio-economic and
 ecological dynamics, Ecological Economics, 68, 1106-1115, 2009b.
- Teasley, R. L., and McKinney, D. C.: Calculating the Benefits of Transboundary River Basin
 Cooperation: Syr Darya Basin, Journal of water resources planning and management, 137, 481490, 10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000141., 2011.
- 925 Tian, F., Hu, H., Lei, Z., and Sivapalan, M.: Extension of the Representative Elementary Watershed
- approach for cold regions via explicit treatment of energy related processes, Hydrology and Earth
- 927 System Sciences, 10, 619-644, 2006.

- Tian, F., Hu, H., and Lei, Z.: Thermodynamic watershed hydrological model: Constitutive
 relationship, Science in China Series E: Technological Sciences, 51, 1353-1369, 2008.
- Tian, F., Lu, Y., Hu, H., Kinzelbach, W., and Sivapalan, M.: Dynamics and driving mechanisms of
 asymmetric human water consumption during alternating wet and dry periods, Hydrological
 Sciences Journal, 64, 507-524, 2019.
- Tversky, A., and Kahneman, D.: Loss aversion in riskless choice: A reference dependent model, The
 quarterly journal of economics, 106, 1039-1061, 1991.
- UNEP: Transboundary river basins: Status and trends, United Nations Environment Programme(UNEP), Nairobi, Kenya, 3, 1-12, 2016.
- Urban, F., Siciliano, G., and Nordensvard, J.: China's dam-builders: Their role in transboundary river
 management in South-East Asia, International journal of water resources development, 34, 747 770, 2018.
- Wang, W., Lu, H., Ruby Leung, L., Li, H. Y., Zhao, J., Tian, F., Yang, K., and Sothea, K.: Dam
 Construction in Lancang-Mekong River Basin Could Mitigate Future Flood Risk From WarmingInduced Intensified Rainfall, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 10,378-310,386, 2017.
- Weaver, D. A., and Bimber, B.: Finding news stories: a comparison of searches using LexisNexis and
 Google News, Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 85, 515-530, 2008.
- Google News, Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 85, 515-530, 2008.
 Wei, J., Wei, Y., and Western, A.: Evolution of the societal value of water resources for economic
 development versus environmental sustainability in Australia from 1843 to 2011, Global
 Environmental Change, 42, 82-92, 2017.
- Wei, J., Wei, Y., Tian, F., Nott, N., Witt, C. d., Guo, L., and Lu, Y.: An Analysis of Conflict and
 Cooperation Dynamics over Water Events within the Lancang-Mekong River Basin, Hydrology &
 Earth System Sciences Discussions, under review, 2020.
- WLE: CGIAR Research Program on Water, Land and Ecosystems Greater Mekong: Dataset on the
 Dams of the Irrawaddy, Mekong, Red and Salween River Basins. https://wlemekong.cgiar.org/maps/, in, Vientiane, Lao PDR, 2018.
- Wolf, A. T., Natharius, J. A., Danielson, J. J., Ward, B. S., and Pender, J. K.: International River Basins
 of the World, International Journal of Water Resources Development, 15, 387-427,
 10.1080/07900629948682, 1999.
- You, Z., Feng, Z., Jiang, L., and Yang, Y.: Population Distribution and Its Spatial Relationship with
 Terrain Elements in Lancang-Mekong River Basin, Mountain Research, 032, 21-29, 2014.
- Yu, Y., Tang, P., Zhao, J., Liu, B., and Mclaughlin, D.: Evolutionary cooperation in transboundaryriver basins, Water Resources Research, 2019a.
- 961 Yu, Y., Zhao, J., Li, D., and Wang, Z.: Effects of Hydrologic Conditions and Reservoir Operation on
- 962 Transboundary Cooperation in the Lancang–Mekong River Basin, Journal of Water Resources963 Planning and Management, 145, 2019b.
- Zeitoun, M., and Mirumachi, N.: Transboundary water interaction I: reconsidering conflict and
 cooperation, International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 8, 297-316,
 10.1007/s10784-008-9083-5, 2008.
- Zeitoun, M., Mirumachi, N., and Warner, J.: Transboundary water interaction II: the influence of
 'soft' power, International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 11, 159-178,
 10.1007/s10784-010-9134-6, 2011.
- 970

973 List of Figure Captions



- 995 Figure 10. (a) Benefits of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam under weighted average scenario,
- 996 non-cooperation scenario and full-cooperation scenario. (b) Agriculture and fishery benefits
- 997 of downstream under weighted average scenario.
- 998 Figure 11. (a) Simulation of cooperation demand of downstream and cooperation level of
- 999 China and Laos (b) Newspaper sentiment analysis of Thailand. (c) Simulation of cooperation
- 1000 demand of Thailand.
- 1001 Figure 12. Uncertainty analysis of critical parameters in the Socio-Hydrological model. (a)
- 1002 Sensitivity of agriculture loss. (b) Sensitivity of fishery loss. (c) China political factor. (d) Laos
- 1003 political factor. (e) Responsive change rate. (f) Shape parameter.

Reservoir	Commissioned	Total Reservoir Storage	Flood Limited	Dead Reservoir	
	Year	(MCM)	Storage (MCM)	Storage (MCM)	
Xiaowan	2010	15,300	13,104	5,946	
Nuozhadu	2012	21,749	19,344	10,414	

Table 1. Reservoir information of Xiaowan and Nuozhadu

Table 2.Irrigated agriculture information of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam

	Thailand	Cambodia	Vietnam	Data Source
Rice price (USD/ton)	243.8	267.6	248.0	MRC (2018)
Irrigated Area (million ha)	1.425	0.505	1.921	Cramb (2020)
Rice yield (ton/ha)	3.78	4.38	5.72	MRC (2018)
Irrigation withdrawal (MCM)	16240	1680	29120	AQUASTAT

Table 3. Critical parameters and values for uncertainty analysis

Denotation	Parameter	Value	Alternative Value
ε _a	sensitivity of agriculture loss	0.5	0.4, 0.6
\mathcal{E}_{f}	sensitivity of fishery loss	0.5	0.4, 0.6
P _c	China political factor	2	1.5, 2.5
Pl	Laos political factor	1	0.8, 1.2
S	responsive change rate	0.5	0.4, 0.6
β	shape parameter	1.5	1, 2

Unit: Million USD	Simulated benefit	Benefit from statistical data
		Denemi Hom statistical data
China hydropower	1,954	2,000
Laos hydropower	976	1,076
Thailand agriculture	1,263	1,314
Thailand fishery	118	120
Cambodia agriculture	593	592
Cambodia fishery	1,160	1,188
Vietnam agriculture	1,728	2,727
Vietnam fishery	179	195

Table 4. Simulated economic benefits in 2018 and statistical benefits





1016 Figure 1. Map of Lancang-Mekong River Basin, Subbasin Division and Hydrological Stations



1019 Figure 2. Timeline of hydrological and anthropogenic events in Lancang-Mekong River Basin



1022 Figure 3. Framework of Transboundary River Cooperation Socio-Hydrological Model. (a)

1023 Reservoir operations with regulation rules, constraints and operation weights. (b) Economic

1024 benefit calculations in hydropower, agriculture and fishery. (c) Cooperation calculations based

1025 on economic benefits. (d) Cooperation feedbacks to change operation weights, $\delta_2 = C$.



1028 Figure 4. Framework of simplified water system in Lancang-Mekong River Basin





1031 Figure 5. Daily Runoff simulations at Jinghong (a), Chiang Saen (b), Luang Prabang (c) and

1032 Pakse (d)



Figure 6. Reservoir storage and water release simulations of Xiaowan, Nuozhadu and Laos Reservoirs in 2015 and 2017. Reservoir storages in 2015 for Xiaowan (a), Nuozhadu (b) and Virtual Laos reservoir (c). Water Release in 2015 for Xiaowan (d), Nuozhadu (e) and Virtual Laos reservoir (f). Reservoir storages in 2017 for Xiaowan (g), Nuozhadu (h) and Virtual Laos reservoir (i). Water Release in 2017 for Xiaowan (j), Nuozhadu (k) and Virtual Laos reservoir (l).Figure 7. Water release of Xiaowan, Nuozhadu and virtual Laos reservoir in non-flood seasons in 2015 (dry year) and 2017 (norm year) under different scenarios.



1042 Figure 7. Water release of Xiaowan, Nuozhadu and virtual Laos reservoir in non-flood

1043 seasons in 2015 (dry year) and 2017 (norm year) under different scenarios

1044









1050 Figure 9. Benefit of upstream China (a) and Laos (b) under weighted average scenario, non-







1054 Figure 10. (a) Benefits of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam under weighted average scenario,

1055 non-cooperation scenario and full-cooperation scenario. (b) Agriculture and fishery benefits

1056 of downstream under weighted average scenario.





- 1060 and Laos (b) Newspaper sentiment analysis of Thailand. (c) Simulation of cooperation demand
- 1061 of Thailand.



Figure 12. Uncertainty analysis of critical parameters in the Socio-Hydrological model. (a)
Sensitivity of agriculture loss. (b) Sensitivity of fishery loss. (c) China political factor. (d) Laos
political factor. (e) Responsive change rate. (f) Shape parameter.