Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-30-SC2, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "A Field Evidence Model: How to Predict Transport in a Heterogeneous Aquifers at Low Investigation Level?" by Alraune Zech et al.

## joost herweijer

joost.herweijer@gmail.com

Received and published: 9 March 2020

2D vs 3D has been looked extensively, see e.g.

Static characterizations of reservoirs: refining the concepts of connectivity and continuity Joseph M. Hovadik and David K. Larue Petroleum Geoscience, Vol. 13 2007, pp. 195–211

 $\label{lem:http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.818.7201\&rep=rep1\&type=pdf and$ 

King, P. R., 1990, The connectivity and conductivity of overlapping sand bodies. In,

Buller Anthony T. et al.,eds, North Sea oil and gas reservoirs; II, Proceedings of the North Sea oil and gas reservoirs conference. [Book, Conference Document] Pages 353-362.

https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-009-0791-1 30

Models in study @ MADE site mentioned in my previous comments were all run in 3D, as is was concluded that 2D models tend to 'suppress' connectivity.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-30, 2020.