

Editor Decision: Publish subject to technical corrections (04 May 2021) by Wouter Buytaert

Comments to the Author:

Dear Dr Ibarra,

thank you for submitting this new revision of your manuscript. I am happy to accept it now, subject to a few technical corrections. When reading the manuscript, I identified a few language issues, which I include below. However, most likely I missed some, so I suggest that you read through the manuscript thoroughly once more, to minimize the risk that any errors remain.

Kind regards

Wouter Buytaert
Handling editor

Thank you for accepting our article and these technical corrections. We have made all of the below changes and carefully gone over the manuscript's text and captions to ensure accuracy and check for errors.

(the line numbers refer to the manuscript with tracked changes)

L403: "The boxplots for GRUN also only includes" -> "The boxplots for GRUN also only include"

Changed.

L444: "This is also in line with the" -> "This is also more in line with the"? Revise sentence.

Revised to "This is also more in line with the Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) compared to the log(Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency) (NSE-log10) scores..."

L455: I agree with the reviewer here and also struggle to see how averaging to monthly scale would increase the bias, even in the case of a skewed dataset. I suggest that you leave out this sentence

Removed.

L486: " buffered in larger catchments leading the increase" -> " buffered in larger catchments leading to the increase"

Changed.