

Interactive comment on “Comparative analysis of Kernel-based versus BFGS-ANN and deep learning methods in monthly reference evaporation estimation” by Mohammad Taghi Sattari et al.

Hatice ÇÄstakoÄşlu

hcitakoglu@erciyes.edu.tr

Received and published: 11 August 2020

1- Share more numerical results in the Abstract. Mention the numerical results of the Model Evaluation in the Abstract. 2- Table 1 description is not enough. Add the skewness coefficient, kurtosis coefficient and the coefficient of variation in Table 1. 3- Show the study area on the Map of Turkey. 4- The figures on figure 1 are not read. Please put in legible shape. 5- Add the coefficients of determination to the graphs in Figure 2. 6- Substitute the results of the determination coefficient for the correlation coefficient, in table 4, 5, 6, and 7. 7- Add the coefficients of determination

C1

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



to the graphs in Figure 4-6-8-10. 8- The resolution of all the figures in the study is low, the figures are not readable. 9- Add the skewness coefficient, kurtosis coefficient and the coefficient of variation in Table8. 10- Important and relevant references have been ignored: Cobaner, M., CitakoÄŖlu, H., Haktanir, T., & Kisi, O. (2017). Modifying Hargreaves–Samani equation with meteorological variables for estimation of reference evapotranspiration in Turkey. *Hydrology Research*, 48(2), 480-497.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2020-224>, 2020.

HESSD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

