

The authors have undertaken a comprehensive revision of the paper in response to comments from the Editor and two reviewers addressing all items on a point-by-point basis. Importantly, the revisions have effectively addressed the core concerns related to the relatively arbitrary nature and limited evaluation of the original experimental design. The reframing of the paper in terms of stress testing, the addition of new analyses related to recovery threshold, and additional text discussing model performance and evaluation and the implications of the results in the context of the stakeholder community have improved the paper significantly.