

# Climate change overtakes coastal engineering as the dominant driver of hydrological change in a large shallow lagoon

Peisheng Huang<sup>1,2</sup>, Karl Hennig<sup>3</sup>, Jatin Kala<sup>4</sup>, Julia Andrys<sup>4</sup>, Matthew R. Hipsey<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aquatic Ecodynamics, UWA School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia, Crawley WA 6009, Australia.

<sup>2</sup>UWA Oceans Institute, The University of Western Australia, Crawley WA 6009, Australia.

<sup>3</sup>Water Science Branch, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Perth WA 6842, Australia.

<sup>4</sup>Environmental and Conservation Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch WA 6015, Australia.

*Correspondence to:* Peisheng Huang (peisheng.huang@uwa.edu.au)

**Abstract.** Ecosystems in shallow, micro-tidal lagoons are particularly sensitive to hydrologic changes. Lagoons are complex transitional ecosystems between land and sea, and the signals of direct human disturbance to the lagoon can be confounded by variability of the climate system, but from an effective estuary management perspective the effects of climate versus direct human engineering interventions need to be identified separately. This study developed a 3D finite-volume hydrodynamic model to assess changes in hydrodynamics of the Peel-Harvey Estuary, a large shallow lagoon with restricted connection with ocean, considering how attributes such as water retention time, salinity and stratification have responded to a range of factors, focusing on the drying climate trend and the opening of a large artificial channel over the period from 1970 to 2016, and how they will evolve under current climate projections. The results show that the introduction of the artificial channel has fundamentally modified the flushing and mixing within the lagoon, and the drying climate has changed the hydrology by comparable magnitudes to that of the opening of the artificial channel. The results also highlight the complexity of their interacting impacts. Firstly, the artificial channel successfully improved the estuary flushing by reducing average water ages by 20-110 days; while in contrast the reduced precipitation and catchment inflow had a gradual opposite effect on the water ages, and during the wet season this has almost counteracted the reduction brought about by the channel. Secondly, the drying climate caused an increase in the salinity of the lagoon by 10-30 PSU; whilst the artificial channel increased the salinity during the wet season, it has reduced the likelihood of hypersalinity (>40 PSU) during the dry season in some areas. The opening of the artificial channel was also shown to increase the seawater fluxes and salinity stratification, while the drying climate acted to reduce the salinity stratification in the main body of the estuary. The impacts also varied spatially in this large lagoon. The southern estuary, which has the least connection with ocean through the natural channel, is the most sensitive to climate change and the opening of the artificial channel. The projected future drying climate is shown to slightly increase the retention time and salinity in the lagoon, and increase the hypersalinity risk in the rivers. The significance of these changes for nutrient retention and estuary ecology are discussed, highlighting the importance of these factors when setting up monitoring programs, environmental flow strategies and nutrient load reduction targets.

## 1 Introduction

Hydrologic features such as water circulation and retention, and the pattern of saline water intrusion, are critical in shaping estuarine ecosystems. The interactions between freshwater runoff pulses with ocean water can create complex hydrodynamics that subsequently structures coastal biogeochemical processes, including the distribution of sediment and nutrients, and areas favourable for primary productivity (e.g. Legović et al., 1994; Kasai et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2014; Cloern et al., 2017). Whilst nutrient loads are the primary determinant affecting the long-term trophic state of coastal waters (Howarth & Marino, 2006; Williamson et al., 2017), the time-scales associated with water retention and mixing are critical in mediating the relationship between nutrient inputs and the ensuing water quality response, including the likelihood of nuisance algal blooms

40 or hypoxia (e.g. Knoppers et al., 1991; Ferreira et al., 2005; Paerl et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2017). The retention of water and  
41 hydrodynamic patterns that emerge in any given site are largely dependent upon local geomorphological features, though  
42 increasingly coastal engineering and changes in river hydrology disturb natural patterns of water exchange (Knoppers et al.,  
43 1991; Kjerfve et al., 1996; Dufour et al., 2001; Gong et al., 2008; Odebrecht et al., 2015; Almroth-Rosell et al., 2016).  
44 Understanding and predicting these hydrologic changes is critical to underpin adaptive approaches to estuary water quality  
45 management and ecological restoration.

46  
47 Coastal lagoons and embayments with low rates of ocean exchange are particularly sensitive relative to other estuary forms.  
48 The typical low flushing rates leads to high rates of deposition of sediment and particulate matter, and accumulation of nutrients  
49 (e.g. Newton et al., 2014, 2018; Paerl et al., 2014). They are also productive ecosystems and often experience conflicting  
50 interests between the ecosystem services they provide and the pressures from urban development and agricultural expansion  
51 (Petersen et al., 2008; Zaldívar et al., 2008; Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2011; Basset et al., 2013; Newton et al., 2014). In most cases,  
52 salt intrusion mediates lagoon salinity and drives a difference between the surface and bottom salinity (salinity stratification).  
53 In highly seasonal systems this effect leads to notable oxygen depletion and establishes hypoxia in the bottom boundary layer  
54 (Bruce et al., 2014; Cottingham et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2018). In Mediterranean climate regions, further concerns of  
55 hypersalinity through evaporation during the long dry summer and autumn months also exist (Potter et al., 2010). As a result,  
56 the hydrodynamics of coastal lagoons are frequently modified through the creation of artificial channels built to enhance  
57 hydrologic connectivity to the ocean, and increase nutrient export (e.g. Breardley 2005; Manda et al., 2014; Prestrelo and  
58 Monteiro-Neto, 2016), or indirectly by engineering projects associated with dredging and coastal management (e.g. Ghezze et  
59 al., 2010; Sahu et al., 2014).

60  
61 Changes in lagoon hydrology result from variability in river flows, and meteorological and ocean conditions, alongside  
62 (sporadic) human interventions associated with coastal engineering developments. Globally, many studies have shown that  
63 coastal lagoon systems are vulnerable to climate change, including the factors from reduced flow and/or sea level rise (Nicholls  
64 & Hoozemans, 1996; Nicholls et al., 1999; Scavia et al., 2002; Chapman, 2012; Newton et al., 2014; Umgiesser et al., 2014).  
65 In particular, shallow coastal lagoons respond quickly to the ocean and catchment inputs whilst their geomorphological  
66 characteristics (bathymetry and especially the configuration of their inlets with the open sea) affect their hydrodynamics  
67 including circulation patterns, flushing time and water mixing (e.g. Smith, 1994; Spaulding, 1994; Koutitonsky, 2005;  
68 Umgiesser et al., 2014). This attribute has meant that these systems therefore amplify the salinity and temperature changes  
69 expected from climate change relative to the open sea, and that they can serve as sentinel systems for global change studies  
70 (Ferrarin et al., 2014). On the other hand, anthropogenic activities introduce hydrological modifications associated with water  
71 resource management (e.g. Hollis, 1990; Kingsford et al., 2006; Gong et al., 2008) and engineering modifications (Ghezze et  
72 al., 2010; Garcia-Oliva et al., 2018). Among which, the opening of artificial channels in the lagoon systems has been a popular  
73 measure to enhance the ocean connectivity, but this has the effect to fundamentally alter the hydrology and aquatic  
74 communities (e.g. Lord, 1998; Manda et al., 2014; Prestrelo and Monteiro-Neto, 2016; Garcia-Oliva et al., 2018). Changes in  
75 the connection of restricted lagoons with the ocean can exhibit a marked change in the salinity pattern or the extent of  
76 hypersaline conditions (Kjerfve, 1994; Gamito et al., 2005), and subsequently influence the ecosystem within these lagoons  
77 (Gamito, 2006; Garcia-Oliva et al., 2018). These enhance the need to assess lagoon hydrological function if these impacts are  
78 to be predicted and, where necessary, mitigation measures developed, in conjunction with climate influences. However,  
79 tracking the long-term changes in hydrology remains an ongoing challenge since the signals of human disturbance are often  
80 confounded by variability of the climate system and lost in the dynamic estuarine conditions (Feyrer et al., 2015; Cloern et al.,  
81 2016), and the interacting effects of introducing human interventions in conjunction with climate change trends are not

necessarily easy to predict. For example, the opening of an artificial channel and a drying climate can both introduce more ocean water into an estuary. On the other hand, the drying climate enhances water residence time, which may cancel out flushing benefits from the artificial channel. The combined effects are further complicated in large lagoon-type estuaries with complex morphology, where complicated patterns of water retention and stratification can develop (e.g. Ferrarin et al., 2013). From a lagoon management perspective, it is necessary to attribute the impacts from climate and human activity factors to better plan the necessary estuary and catchment management activities, including adaptation strategies associated with nutrient load targets and, in some cases, environmental water provision.

Here we explore these ideas through reconstruction of the long-term hydrologic evolution of a large estuarine lagoon in Western Australia: the Peel-Harvey Estuary (PHE). The PHE system has been subject to both a notable drying climate trend and substantial coastal modification in the form of an opening of a large artificial channel, coastal development and dredging. The artificial channel, termed the “Dawesville Cut” (hereafter referred as “the Cut”), was built in 1994 with the purpose of increasing the flushing and reducing nutrient concentrations. In parallel, the impact on inland water resources of recent climate trends has been particularly acute in the PHE catchment, which was acknowledged by the IPCC AR4 identifying this region as one that has experienced amongst the greatest impact on divertible water resources in the world (Izrael et al., 2007; Bates et al., 2008). From the 1970s, rainfall has decreased by 16% and stream flows have declined by more than 50%, a trend which has appeared to accelerate since the 2000s (Silberstein et al., 2012). Whilst the nutrient and phytoplankton concentrations have been successfully reduced by the construction of the channel (Brearley, 2005), the long-term river flows have shown a clear trend of decreasing inputs to the estuary with concerns for the conditions of the tidal riverine portions of the system (Gillanders et al., 2011; Hallett et al., 2018). A series of water quality improvement plans (e.g. Environmental Protection Authority, 2008; Rogers et al., 2010) continue to be developed to promote estuary health, however, ongoing concerns about the current and future water quality and ecologic condition of the system (Valesini et al., 2019) requires knowledge of spatiotemporal changes in water retention, stratification and salinisation to support adaptation efforts.

It is therefore the aim of this study to develop a methodology to disentangle drivers of change of the PHE system, over the period from 1970 to 2016, and outline the expected future trajectory of lagoon conditions. To this end we employ a 3-dimensional finite-volume hydrodynamic model for analysis of environmental drivers on estuarine hydrology by comparing current and counter-factual modelling scenarios to enable attribution of the drivers of change. To enable the long-term reconstruction of the model simulations for periods before the instrument record, and for future conditions, we drive the model with a hybrid set of weather and hydrological boundary condition data from observations and supporting models. The results of simulations are presented to analyse the sensitivity of water retention time, salinity and stratification within the lagoon to selected factors. By untangling the effect of the drying climate versus the Cut opening, through time and space, we explore the results through the lens of nutrient load reduction targets and biodiversity management implications. We anticipate the approach adopted here can be useful to assist in the climate change adaptation efforts for other estuarine lagoons in mid-latitude regions.

## **2 Methods**


### **2.1 Site description**

PHE is a large shallow coastal estuary-lagoon system located approximately 75 km south of Perth in Western Australia (Figure 1), which is listed under the Ramsar convention for wetlands of international significance. The estuary has a complex

morphometry and comprises two shallow lagoons, one is the Peel Inlet, a circular inlet to the north, and the other the Harvey estuary, an oblong lagoon attached to the Peel Inlet at its north-eastern edge, with a combined area of approximately 133 km<sup>2</sup>. The estuary connects to the ocean via two channels: 1) the Mandurah Channel, a natural but narrow 5 km long channel with water depths varying between 2 m and 5 m; and 2) the Cut, an artificial channel of about 2.5 km long, 200 m wide and between 6 and 6.5 m deep, built in 1994 (Bicknell, 2006; Environmental Protection Authority, 2008). The system experiences a Mediterranean-type climate characterised by a strong seasonal pattern of cool wet winters and hot dry summers, with almost all of the annual rainfall occurring during the cooler months of May to October (Gentili, 1971; Finlayson & McMahon, 1988). The estuary experiences a micro-tidal regime, with a range < 1m. The tide is dominated by the lunar diurnal constituents (K1, O1) contributing 87% of the tide potential energy, followed by the solar diurnal constituent (P1), principal lunar semidiurnal constituent (M2), and principal solar semi-diurnal constituent (S2) (Table 1). The coastal catchment of the estuary is drained by three major river systems: the Serpentine, Murray and Harvey Rivers (on average contributing 16.4%, 46.5%, and 30.8% to the total flow, respectively), and numerous minor drains (contributing 6.3% to the total flow) (Kelsey et al., 2011).

**Table 1.** Principal tidal constituents for Fremantle tide record from 1970 to 2017

Constituents	Potential Energy (%)	Amplitude (m)	Greenwich phase lag (degrees)	Frequency (cycles per hour)
<b>K1</b>	61.08	0.156	324	0.0418
<b>O1</b>	25.95	0.101	308	0.0387
<b>P1</b>	6.06	0.049	314	0.0416
<b>M2</b>	3.53	0.0374	323	0.0805
<b>S2</b>	3.37	0.0365	334	0.0833



**Figure 1.** (a) Model domain of the Peel-Harvey Estuary and three main rivers: Serpentine River, Murray River, and Harvey River, the tidal portion of each is depicted up to the gauge location. The colours indicate the water depths of the study domain in unit of Australian Height Datum (AHD); the black crosses within the estuary indicate the locations of 6 monitoring sites, the red crosses indicate the locations of ungauged flows entering the main rivers and estuary; the blue crosses indicate the location of weather stations, and the red polygons indicate the areas for result analysis. b) Zonal categorization of the model domain according to the area and aquatic vegetation biomass (see Table 2), and c) a zoom-in view of the artificial channel Dawesville Cut, constructed in 1994 to improve ocean flushing.

## 2.2 Modelling Approach

### 2.2.1 Hydrodynamic modelling platform and numerical methods

The TUFLOW-FV (BMT WBM, 2013) hydrodynamic model was adopted, using a flexible-mesh (finite volume) approach to resolve the variations in water level, horizontal salinity distribution and vertical density stratification in response to tides, inflows and surface thermodynamics. The mesh consists of triangular and quadrilateral elements of different size that are suited to simulating areas of complex estuarine morphometry. To meet accuracy requirements, fine-grid resolution (mean mesh area ~12000 m<sup>2</sup>) was used within the lagoons and coarse resolution was implemented towards the ocean boundary. The vertical mesh discretization adopted a hybrid sigma-z coordinate allowing multiple surface Lagrangian layers to respond to tidal elevation changes. The layer thickness was 0.2 m at depths of 1.0 – 5.0 m that gradually increased to 0.5 m in deeper water and then five uniformly-distributed sigma layers were added above the fixed-thickness layers. The finite volume numerical scheme solves the conservative integral form of the nonlinear shallow water equations in addition to the advection and transport of scalar constituents such as salinity and temperature. The equations are solved in 3D with baroclinic coupling from both salinity and temperature using the UNESCO equation of state (Fofonoff & Millard, 1983). The water level at the ocean boundary was specified with the record (every 15 minutes) from the Fremantle gauge station located about 52 km to the north of the study site, while the velocity was calculated internally based on a radiation condition assumption.

Surface momentum exchange and heat dynamics are solved internally within TUFLOW-FV. In the current application, turbulent mixing of momentum and scalars has been calculated using the Smagorinsky scheme in the horizontal plane and through coupling with the General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM) for vertical mixing. The bottom shear stress was calculated using a roughness-length relationship assuming a rough-turbulent logarithmic velocity profile in the lowest model layer. The roughness length,  $z_0$ , settings were based on the area type (e.g. coast, rivers, and estuary) and the estimated biomass of aquatic vegetation within the cell. For this purpose, the modelling bottom was categorized into eight zones (Figure 1b) where the benthic characteristics and associated  $z_0$  in each zone were specified (Table 2). While the setting of  $z_0$  affected the water advection and uncertainty remains in the spatial (and temporal) variability in the  $z_0$ , it is important to note that the modelled water age and salinity do not change fundamentally over a reasonable range of  $z_0$ , as shown in the results of the model sensitivity tests described later.

Multiple concepts of hydrodynamic time parameters (flushing time, residence time, water age, export time, etc.) have been used in coastal hydrology research, and each of these parameters are different in their definition and application (e.g. Monsen et al., 2002; Jouon et al., 2006; Sheldon & Alber, 2006). This study employed a few hydrodynamic time parameters to serve for different study purposes. The first time parameter was the ‘water age’, which was defined as the time the water had spent since entering the estuary through the boundaries (either the ocean or rivers), and was computed in each computational cell as a conservative tracer subject to a constant increase with time (‘aging’) and mixing (Li et al., 2019) as :

$$d\tau/dt = 1,$$

where  $\tau$  is the water age, and  $t$  is the time, with settings of initial water age set to 0 throughout the estuary and water age of 0 set on the seaward and freshwater boundaries. This method provided the temporal and spatial variation in water retention,  $\tau = \tau(x, y, z, t)$ . This ‘water age’ method is considered to have an advantage of presenting the spatial heterogeneity of water retention (Monsen et al., 2002) and suitable for long term hydrology simulations, and employed in this study to investigate the long-term evolution of water retention characteristics.

189 Secondly, the hydrodynamic time parameters of water flushing time (WFT) and water renewal time (WRT) were used to  
190 investigate the changes in the mixing efficiency (ME) due to the changes in the ocean connectivity by the opening of the  
191 artificial channel and the changes in catchment inflows. WFT is a bulk basin-wide water flushing time scale, defined as

192 
$$WFT = V/Q$$

193 where V is the total estuary volume and Q is the water flux flowing out of the system. The WRT is defined as the time required  
194 for each cell of the lagoon domain to replace the originally released conservative tracer, with settings of initial tracer  
195 concentration set to 1 uniformly throughout the estuary, and a concentration of zero imposed on the inlets and freshwater  
196 boundaries.

197 After WFT and WRT are calculated, the ME can be obtained as the ratio between WFT and WRT. ME ranges between 0 and  
198 1. In the theoretical case of ME=1, the estuary is considered as a fully mixed system; while ME=0 indicates there is no mixing  
199 of water masses entering the estuary with the inner waters. The ME is a useful index for investigating the mixing behaviour of  
200 the lagoons, and also for lagoons intercomparison and classification of their ocean connection types (Umgiesser et al., 2014),  
201 and was employed to investigate the impact of the Cut opening and catchment inflows on the estuary mixing.

202

### 203 **2.2.3 Model calibration, performance evaluation and sensitivity tests**

204 The model was calibrated with a structured hierarchical approach, similar to those described in Muleta and Nicklow (2004)  
205 and Hipsey et al. (2020). This approach first identified the key parameters of importance to the hydrology in the current study  
206 based on literature review and prior expert knowledge. In this stage, the key parameters were identified to be the bottom drag  
207 coefficient, the bulk aerodynamic coefficients, and the mixing scheme options associated with the vertical turbulence model  
208 (in this case this is parameterized through the GOTM plugin), and the bulk transfer coefficient for latent heat flux. In the  
209 second stage, a matrix of simulations, each with pre-determined parameter vectors and model options, was assessed against  
210 the observed salinity and temperature data at six stations within the estuary (Figure 1, at both surface and bottom levels), and  
211 the water elevation at the centre of the Peel Inlet in year 1998, which presented a year with median rainfall and catchment  
212 inflows. The capability of the model to reproduce the salinity stratification (magnitude of difference between the surface and  
213 bottom salinity) created by the interaction of ocean intrusion and freshwater runoff during the wet season was also considered  
214 in the model calibration. Based on the calibration results, a k-ε mixing scheme, a linear model for the aerodynamic coefficients  
215 (Wu, 1982), a bulk transfer coefficient for latent heat flux of 0.0013, and the roughness scales as in Table 2 were selected.  
216 After calibration, the model was then validated with salinity and temperature data in all simulated years (except 1970 when  
217 the monitored data at 6 stations were not available). For each variable, we evaluated the model quantitatively against the  
218 monitored data using three skill metrics: correlation coefficient (r), mean absolute error (MAE), and model skill score (SS).  
219 The validation results suggest the model captured the major features of the hydrodynamic response to the external drivers of  
220 the tide and freshwater inputs, and the model satisfactorily reproduced the salinity and temperature in both the surface and  
221 bottom. A full description of the calibration and validation results is given in the supplementary material.

222

223 The current study focused on the impact of reduced inflow, due to drying climate and the Cut, on the estuary hydrology.  
224 However, the perturbations of environmental factors such as air temperature, tidal elevation, and benthic vegetation could also  
225 affect the local hydrology, and so their influence on the modelling results was explored. To evaluate the effects of these factors,  
226 the sensitivity of the  $\tau$  and salinity was assessed relative to changes in: (1) air temperature ( $\pm 1$  degree, representing 100 year  
227 change of local air temperature); (2) tidal elevation ( $\pm 0.15$ m, representing 100 year change of local tide record); and (3) bed  
228 roughness length ( $\pm 50\%$ , representing 50% change of bed roughness). The ranges of these environmental factors were carefully  
229 selected based on the historical records. Two years, 1990 and 1998, representing a year before the Cut-opening and another

year with the Cut, respectively, were selected for these model sensitivity tests. Detailed results from the sensitivity assessment are also included in the supplementary material. In summary, the modelled salinity and  $\tau$  were shown to be affected by changes in the mean sea level and bottom roughness variations, but the effects of these factors on the results were small when compared to that caused by the reduced flow over the past decade and the Cut-opening (shown in the next section). For example, the maximum change in  $\tau$  observed in the sensitivity test runs was 8.6 days, caused by the enhanced bottom roughness in the 1990 scenario, compared to the magnitude of 20-100 days caused by the reduced flow from 1970 to 2016 (see more details in below). The maximum changes in the salinity observed in the sensitivity test runs was 2.8 PSU, caused by the reduction of tide level in the Harvey Estuary, compared to the magnitude of 10-30 PSU changes in the salinity caused by the reduced flows from 1970 to 2016 (see more details in below). These results suggested the changes in the climate and the ocean connectivity are the major drivers of the hydrology of the Peel-Harvey Estuary.

**Table 2.** Zonal characters and roughness length  $z_0$  setting in the model domain.

Zonal ID	Areas	Aquatic Vegetation Biomass (g Dry Weight/m <sup>2</sup> )	$z_0$
1	South/North Harvey Estuary, East Peel Inlet	100-230	0.03
2	South/North Harvey Estuary, West Peel Inlet	50-100	0.02
3	Harvey Estuary and Peel Inlet	0-50	0.01
4	Dawesville Cut	N/A	0.003
5	Harvey River	N/A	0.003
6	Murray River	N/A	0.003
7	Serpentine River	N/A	0.003
8	Coastal ocean	N/A	0.002

#### 2.2.4 Climate change context and simulation rationale

Historical observations of nearby precipitation and the gauged data of the major Murray River inflow have shown a decreasing trend from 1970 to the present (Figure 2), though variability from year to year is noticeable. The average annual precipitation has declined by 15% when comparing the period 1994-2016 relative to 1970-1993, and this led to a dramatic decrease of annual inflow volumes, most notable in the past decade.


Years with inflow rates close to the 10-year moving average were selected for hydrologic modelling simulations to explore in more detail the hydrologic changes occurring within these years (depicted relative to the trend in Figure 2b). Due to the concern that the drying climate will continue into the 21st century (Silberstein et al., 2012; Smith and Power, 2014), we also undertook model simulations to investigate potential hydrologic changes under future conditions representative of 2040 and 2060, by considering reduced streamflow and rising sea levels. The runoff declines were based on the mean projection by Smith and Power (2014) that suggested the total runoff to the rivers and estuaries within the south-west Western Australia region will drop by about 0.96% per year, corresponding to the projected reduction in precipitation of 0.27% per year, on average. Sea level rise was also included in the future scenarios, estimated from the long-term (1897 – 2000) tide gauge observations at the Fremantle tide gauge station that shows a sea level trend of 1.50 mm/year (Kuhn et al., 2011). These estimates may be biased due to a possible accelerated sea level rise towards the end of the 21st century (IPCC, 2007; Kuhn et al., 2011), but we highlight these future scenarios were set up with a focus to investigate the changing hydrology into a future from the projected drying climate trend.



262 For each selected year, the modelling simulation started from 1<sup>st</sup> September of the previous year, giving a 4-month spin-up  
263 period, and the results from 1<sup>st</sup> January to the end of the selected year were used for analysis. The initial condition of water  
264 temperature and salinity was interpolated from the field data when they were available (years 1985-2016), except the years  
265 1970 when no field data was available and 1978 when field data at site PH31 and PH58 were missing, so the same initial  
266 condition as for 1985 was adopted. For the future scenarios the same initial condition as in 2016 was used.

267

268 For the modelling years after 1994, when the artificial channel was constructed, we also ran “no-Cut” counter-factual scenarios,  
269 which assumed the Dawesville Cut engineering intervention was not constructed, in order to separate the impact of the artificial  
270 channel on hydrology relative to the “with-Cut” scenarios (Table 3).




**Figure 2.** Historical record of (a) annual precipitation rate; (b) Murray River annual inflow rate; (c) monthly-average sea level at Fremantle gauge station; (d) salinity at Harvey Estuary and Peel Inlet; and (e) total chlorophyll-a (TCHLA) in Harvey Estuary and Peel Inlet since 1970 to 2016.

Gauged flow rate data for the Murray River, Serpentine River and Harvey River were applied to the hydrodynamic model whenever they are available. Gauged flow rate data for Murray River were available from 1970 to present, while for Serpentine

River and Harvey River were available from 1982 to present. For the missing periods in the gauged flows and the ungauged drains, the output from the Source (eWater®) catchment modelling platform (Kelsey et al., 2011; Welsh et al., 2013), operated by the Western Australia Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, was used to estimate flows by carefully comparing the measured and modelled flow data. Groundwater inputs were previously estimated to represent only ~1% of total water inputs (Black & Rosher, 1980), and were therefore not included in the modelling simulations.

Various data sources were used to set up meteorological inputs due to the study period spanning back to 1970, when meteorological observations were not routinely available across the modelling domain at hourly frequencies. The first data source was the local Mandurah weather station located beside the natural channel of the estuary (Figure 1). This dataset provided hourly records since 2001. The hourly fields over the period 1981-2000 were obtained from regional climate model simulations for Southwest Australia at a 5 km resolution (Andrys et al., 2015; Kala et al., 2015), which were carried out using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF), one of the most widely used regional climate models. Andrys et al. (2015) showed that the WRF model was able to adequately simulate the climate of Southwestern Australia, and these simulations have also been used to assess the impacts of current and future climate on temperature and precipitation (Andrys et al., 2016, 2017) as well as climate indices relevant to viticulture for Southwestern Australia (Firth et al., 2017). The WRF simulations of Andrys et al. (2015) have also been benchmarked against other regional climate model simulations across the Australian continent and shown to perform well in simulating both temperature and precipitation (Di Virgilio et al., 2019) as well as heat-wave events (Hirsch et al., 2019). For the years before 1981 the weather conditions measured at the nearby Halls Head weather station (4.2 km away from the Mandurah station) were used. Though various sources of climate data were used, the wind regimes of these data sources showed similar distribution in wind magnitudes and directions (Figure 3). The winds in the Mandurah station record are relatively smaller when compared to other two sources, however, this difference may be due to the natural variation in the climate and are not expected to change the main hydrological features in the lagoon.



**Figure 3.** Rose plot of wind condition in years of (a) 1970-1980, obtained from the Halls Head weather station; (b) 1981-2000, obtained from the WRF weather model; and (c) 2001-2016, obtained from the Mandurah weather station.

A summary of all historical simulations and future scenarios is provided in Table 3. The total inflow into the estuary of the chosen simulation years shows a general decrease from past to future, except for the year 1978 when the total inflow rate was less than that in 1985 and 1990. This was due to an exceptionally low inflow rate within the Harvey River, produced from the catchment model output, which had an effect mostly on the Harvey Estuary. We still include this year to show the historical evolution during the past decades.

**Table 3.** Summary of simulated scenarios and their annual precipitation, catchment inflow volumes, mean sea level, and Cut-opening information.

Simulation Category	Simulated Year	Annual precipitation (mm/yr)	Annual Catchment Inflow ( $\times 10^6$ m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Annual Mean Sea Level (mAHD)	Cut Opening	Sensitivity tests
<i>Pre-Cut years</i>	1970	846.4	705.9	-0.043	No	
	1978	827.5	591.6	-0.024	No	
	1985	911.7	564.0	-0.033	No	
	1990	849.2	515.8	-0.071	No	Yes
<i>Post-Cut years</i>	1998	876.2	490.4	-0.027	Yes	Yes
	2004	813.7	478.0	-0.027	Yes	
	2011	766.0	378.9	0.156	Yes	
	2016	514.4	244.2	0.017	Yes	
<i>No-Cut scenarios</i>	1998	876.2	490.4	-0.027	No	
	2004	813.7	478.0	-0.027	No	
	2011	766.0	378.9	0.156	No	
	2016	514.4	244.2	0.017	No	
<i>Future Scenarios</i>	2040	481.1	187.9	0.053	Yes	
	2060	453.3	138.9	0.083	Yes	
	2040	481.1	187.9	0.053	No	
	2060	453.3	138.9	0.083	No	

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Estuary response to the change in ocean connectivity

The impact of the changes in ocean connectivity due to the construction of the artificial channel on the estuary hydrology was first investigated by analysing the observed and modelled salinity and temperature at the centre of the two lagoons, and the surface elevation within the Peel Inlet, in the years of 1990 (representing a 'pre-Cut' year) and 1998 (representing a 'post-Cut' year). These two years were compared because they have similar annual precipitation and catchment inflow rates (Table 3), and tidal forcing characteristics in terms of the annual mean sea level and tidal range (Table 4, Figure 4). Therefore, the comparison provided a valuable insight into the impacts of the artificial channel on the estuary environment.


334 **Table 4.** Comparison of principal tidal constituents in year 1990 and 1998.

335

Constituents	Potential Energy (%)		Amplitude (m)	
	1990	1998	1990	1998
K1	57.19	56.79	0.159	0.156
O1	30.28	30.05	0.115	0.114
P1	5.45	5.66	0.0490	0.0494
M2	3.92	4.31	0.0415	0.0431
S2	3.16	3.20	0.0373	0.0371

336

337




338

339 **Figure 4.** (a) Sea level changes and (b) their exceedance plot in the year 1990 and 1998.

340


341 The monitored and modelled salinity and temperature at the centres of the two lagoons demonstrate the changes in the seasonal  
342 cycle in response to the catchment inflows and Cut opening, and the model capability in capturing these changes (Figure 5).  
343 In summer and early autumn the flow rates were low, followed by high salinity and weak salinity stratification in the two  
344 lagoons. In contrast, there were large inflows to the estuary in winter and early spring. The peaks of the inflows occurred in  
345 winter (July – September), followed by a significant drop in the salinity in the estuary due to the freshwater flushing. However,  
346 differences in the salinity response to freshwater flushing can be observed between the pre-Cut year (1990, left column of  
347 Figure 5) and the post-Cut year (1998, right column of Figure 5). In 1990 when the estuary had limited connection without the  
348 opening of the Cut, the salinity stratification was small in the Harvey Estuary. The salinity dropped to below 5 PSU, indicating  
349 the hydrology of Harvey Estuary was mainly dominated by the Harvey River flushing. Whilst during 1998, with greater ocean  
350 connection due to the opening of the Cut, stronger salinity stratification was observed in the Harvey estuary, and the minimum  
351 salinity was lifted to over 10 PSU due to more seawater intrusion from the Cut. The water temperature also showed a clear

seasonal signal, ranging from about 10 °C in winter to 30 °C in summer. The differences in the water temperature observed in the centres of two lagoons, and between the surface and bottom waters, were small.



**Figure 5.** Annual variation in 1990 (left column, a) and 1998 (right column, b) of (1) inflow rate of the three main rivers; (2) monitored and modelled surface and bottom salinity at the centre of Peel Inlet (site PH7 at Figure 1); (3) monitored and modelled surface and bottom water temperature at the centre of Peel Inlet; (4) monitored and modelled surface and bottom salinity at the centre of Harvey Estuary (site PH1 at Figure 1); (5) monitored and modelled surface and bottom water temperature at the centre of Harvey Estuary;

The opening of the Cut also affected the surface elevations of the estuary (Figure 6). The estuary surface elevation in 1998 had a much wider range of -0.6 m to 0.8 m compared to that in 1990 of -0.4 m to 0.4 m, indicating an enlarged tidal-prism and higher magnitude of water exchange with the ocean due to the opening of the Cut.



**Figure 6.** Modelled vs. measured surface elevation in the centre of Peel Inlet in (a) 1990 ( $r=0.9795$ ), and (b) 1998 ( $r=0.9841$ ). The grey line indicates the 1:1 ratio; the colour from blue to red indicates the data density from minimum to maximum.

The impacts of the changes in ocean connectivity and catchment flows on the estuary mixing is then explored with results of WRT, WFT, and ME in four short theoretical scenarios (constructed to represent a matrix of open/close of the artificial channel and wet/dry catchment inflows):

- Scenario 1: Cut open, with inflow condition in February 1998 (dry month with mean inflow rate of  $5.60 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ );
- Scenario 2: Cut open, with inflow condition in August 1998 (wet month with mean inflow rate of  $40.23 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ );
- Scenario 3: Cut closed, with inflow condition in February 1998 (dry month with mean inflow rate of  $5.60 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ );
- Scenario 4: Cut closed, with inflow condition in August 1998 (wet month with mean inflow rate of  $40.23 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ );

The results of fluxes at the inlets, fraction of lagoon water volume exchanged daily with the sea (FVE), average WRT, WFT, and ME are summarised in Table 5. The presented results highlight the hydrodynamic variability with the changes in catchment runoff and ocean connectivity. The bulk WRT ranges from 154.80 days with small catchment flows in the dry seasons and with only the natural channel (scenario 3), to 13.92 days with higher catchment flows in wet seasons and with both the natural and artificial channels (scenario 2). The exchange flux through the inlets between the lagoon and ocean increased by  $\sim 3$  times due to the opening of the artificial channel. The wet months had higher ME compared to the dry months. However, it is interesting that the opening of the artificial channel, though largely reduced the water retention time scales, resulted in similar ME in the dry month and less ME in the wet month.

395 **Table 5.** Model simulation results for the average water flux through the channels (Flux), fraction of lagoon volume exchanged  
 396 daily with the ocean (FVE), WRT, WFT, and ME in selected scenarios.


397

Scenario	Opening of Dawesville Cut	River Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Flux (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	FVE	WRT (days)	WFT (days)	ME
1	Yes	5.597	437.640	0.202	31.611	4.959	0.157
2	Yes	40.225	601.853	0.277	13.917	3.606	0.259
3	No	5.597	98.611	0.045	154.799	22.009	0.142
4	No	40.225	170.037	0.078	34.262	12.764	0.373

398

399 The spatial distribution of WRT corresponding to the changes in the inflow condition and the opening of the artificial channel  
 400 is shown in Figure 7. These maps clearly identify areas where waters are either well flushed or poorly flushed, and show the  
 401 Peel-Harvey system exhibiting a highly heterogeneous spatial distribution of the WRT. In all scenarios, WRT is mainly  
 402 dependent on the relative distance from the inlets and on the presence of channel. The areas connected to these channels are  
 403 directly influenced by the sea and consequently their water renewal times are lower. In the wet season, the river runoff also  
 404 plays a role in determining the water renewal heterogeneity. The south Harvey Estuary is shown to have the highest WRT than  
 405 other parts of the lagoon, indicating the poorly flushing in this area.

406



407

408 **Figure 7.** Spatial distribution of modelled WRT in 4 selected scenarios.


409

410 **3.2 Long term response of water retention to climate change and Cut-opening**


411 Water retention is highly dynamic depending on seasonal flows, tidal conditions, and in different regions of the estuary. The  
 412 evolution of water age,  $\tau$ , over time has shown a general increase from 1970 to the present, superimposed on the effect of the  
 413 Cut, however, with some considerable variation across the two lagoons (Figure 8). Firstly, the wet season (winter and spring)  
 414 was more sensitive to the changes in the drying climate. In the “no-Cut” scenarios (assuming the artificial channel was not  
 415 constructed), it was predicted that  $\tau$  would have increased in the Peel Inlet from about 50 days in 1970 to nearly double in  
 416 2016, and increased from approximately 50 days in 1970 to nearly 150 days in 2016 in the Harvey Estuary, solely due to the  
 417 drying climate trend. In contrast, the dry season (summer and autumn) conditions did not show significant changes over time  
 418 in most parts of the estuary, except in the south Harvey Estuary, which is furthest from the channels. The opening of the Cut  
 419 had a prominent effect by reducing  $\tau$  by about 20-45 days in the Peel Inlet, and more profoundly by 50-100 days in the Harvey



420 Estuary. Yet the drying climate effect on the water age has largely cancelled out the flushing effect by the Cut in some regions.  
 421 The increases in  $\tau$  from 1998 to 2016, due to reduced inflows, are of the same magnitude as the level of reduction caused by  
 422 the Cut opening. For example, the Cut opening reduced the  $\tau$  by 28 days in the west Peel Inlet in 1998, yet the  $\tau$  increased by  
 423 27 days from 1998 to 2016 due to the reduced flows. Lastly, the Harvey Estuary was most influenced by the climate changes  
 424 and the Cut opening. North Harvey Estuary, directly adjacent to the Cut, was most impacted by the Cut opening, and the  $\tau$  was  
 425 reduced by more than 110 days. The south Harvey Estuary, which is furthest from both the channels, was more sensitive to  
 426 climate change, showing the greatest variation over the most recent decade. The projected climate is expected to increase the  
 427  $\tau$  further in the Harvey Estuary in spring, but a relatively smaller impact at other sites and seasons.  
 428




430 **Figure 8.** Mean water retention time,  $\tau$ , in east Peel Inlet, west Peel Inlet, north Harvey Estuary, and south Harvey Estuary  
 431 (see Figure 1 extents) in simulated years and future scenarios. The data were categorized into four seasons: spring (September,  
 432 October, November), summer (December, January, February), autumn (March, April, May), and winter (June, July, August).  
 433  
 434 The spatial difference in water age is further illustrated in Figure 9, which shows a plan-view of the seasonally-averaged water  
 435 ages in year 1990 and 1998. The spatial distribution pattern of water age is similar to the one of WRT (Figure 7), showing that,  
 436 the water age in the areas adjacent to the Cut entry point has been largely reduced by the Cut-opening, yet the south Harvey  
 437 Lagoon and some parts of the east Peel Inlet still showed high water retention.



**Figure 9.** Spatial distribution of season-averaged water age in 1990 (top panels) and 1998 (bottom panels).


### 3.3 Long Term Responses of salinity and stratification to climate change and the Cut-opening

Similar to  $\tau$ , the changes in salinity in response to the drying climate showed large variability in space and time, and the impact of the Cut-opening acted to increase salinity in the wet season, but reduced hypersalinity risks ( $>40$  PSU) in the dry season (Figure 10). In the “no-Cut” scenarios, the mean salinity during the wet season increased from  $<20$  PSU in 1970 to over 30 PSU in 2016. During the dry season, the changes in salinity were relatively smaller over time. The Cut-opening could increase or decrease the salinity in the estuary, depending on the salinity within the estuary at the time, compared to the ocean salinity of approximately 36 PSU. If the estuary salinity was lower than the ocean salinity, the Cut-opening tended to increase the salinity level, and vice versa. For example, the salinity in the north Harvey Estuary increased from 17.5 PSU to 28.3 PSU in the spring of 1998 by the Cut-opening, yet reduced from 51.8 to 39.8 PSU by the opening of the Cut in the autumn. The Cut-opening has a relatively smaller influence on the salinity of Peel Inlet, which is connected with the ocean via not only the Cut but also the Mandurah Channel. The projected climate is expected to slightly increase the salinity in the Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary, mostly in the winter and spring periods.




**Figure 10.** Changes of mean salinity in PHE in simulated years and future scenarios. As for Figure 8 the changes are categorized into four zones and four seasons.

Hypersalinity was often observed in the summer and autumn seasons in the Peel Inlet from both the ‘with-Cut’ and ‘no-Cut’ scenarios. The Harvey Estuary shows an increasing salinity with the drying climate in summer and becomes hypersaline after 2011. High salinity with values over 50 PSU was observed in autumn in South Harvey Estuary in the ‘no-Cut’ scenarios, whilst the Cut-opening reduced the hypersalinity risks in autumn in the Harvey Estuary. The relationships of the hypersalinity and the catchment inflows are further investigated with monitoring data at six regular monitoring sites (Figure 11), which highlights the maximum salinity recorded in autumn has increased with reduced inflows, especially in the period before the Cut-opening. Opening of the Cut reduced the maximum salinity at the sites near the Cut (site PH2 and PH58) under an annual flow threshold of about  $1000 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{year}$ . The hypersalinity risk increases with distance from the channels, especially in site PH31 in the south Harvey Estuary where salinity  $>45 \text{ PSU}$  was often observed after the Cut-opening. The maximum salinity can also be affected by other factors, such as unseasonal rainfall events in summer, which brought down the maximum salinity measured in March (Figure 11). However, it can be concluded that the hypersalinity risks have increased in response to the catchment drying trend, and the Cut-opening has reduced the sensitivity of maximum salinity to the changes in inflow rates.



**Figure 11.** Maximum salinity recorded in March/April and the annual inflow in the hydrologic year (March to March) at 6 monitoring sites (see the site locations in Figure 1). The darker symbols indicate the years with accidental summer rainfall events, during which the catchment inflows higher than  $15 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ .

The magnitude of salinity stratification (salinity difference between the bottom and surface water) in winter and spring has shown a declining trend with the drying climate, while the variations were small in summer and autumn (Figure 12). The opening of the Cut has enhanced the rate of ocean water intrusion, which creates stronger salt-stratification during the wet season when it interacts with the freshwater inflows. The salt stratification was reduced to mostly  $< 2 \text{ PSU}$  in the 2060 projection scenario, indicating a weaker salt-stratification due to the reduced freshwater inflows and sea level rise.



**Figure 12.** Changes of mean salinity difference between surface and bottom waters in PHE in the simulated years and future scenarios. As for Figure 8 the changes are categorized into four zones and four seasons.


## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Changes in flushing and mixing with increasing ocean connectivity

According to Kierve and Magill (1989), coastal lagoons can be conveniently subdivided into choked, restricted, and leaky systems based on the water exchange between the lagoon and the ocean. Umgiesser et al. (2014) compared 10 Mediterranean lagoons and classified the lagoon types based on the WRT and the fraction of lagoon water volume exchanged daily with the open sea. Based on the numbers in their comparison, the Peel-Harvey Estuary can be classified as a restricted type before the opening of the artificial channel, and as a moderately leaky type after the opening of the artificial channel.

The reduction in the catchment runoff led to a smaller fraction of lagoon water volume exchanged daily with the open sea, but the magnitude is much smaller than that introduced by the opening of the artificial channel. However, the catchment runoff is shown to increase the mixing efficiency. During the dry season, the Harvey Estuary lagoon, especially the southern area, received relatively lower rates of ocean flushing that effectively lowered the ME. Higher values of ME can be found in wet seasons that enhanced the mixing of the lagoon. The increased ocean connectivity by the opening of the artificial channel,

502 though enhances exchange fluxes, is shown to lower the ME. This is similar to the findings in Umgiesser et al. (2014) where  
 503 they found the exchanges with the open seas were low in more restricted type lagoons such that the wind has more time to mix  
 504 the basins well.  
 505 The impact of the artificial channel on the water transportation was further explored by the residual currents (Figure 13),  
 506 calculated as the mean currents over the period of selected scenarios described above. The results suggested that the opening  
 507 of the Dawesville Cut had a strong impact on the residual currents. In the scenarios with the Cut open, strong residual currents  
 508 around the Dawesville Cut are observed, and during the wet months the catchment runoff from the Serpentine River and  
 509 Murray River flow to either the Mandurah channel or the Dawesville Cut. Whilst in the scenarios with Cut closed, the surface  
 510 residual currents in the Harvey Estuary were mostly moving northward, and during the wet months the catchment runoff from  
 511 the Serpentine River and Murray River formed a ‘short cut’ to the Mandurah channel via the Peel Inlet, with the west Peel  
 512 Inlet received relatively less flushing. The results also indicated the surface residual current speeds in the shallow water of the  
 513 basins, such as the south-east area of the Peel Inlet, were relatively lower than that in the deeper water, indicating less flushing  
 514 in these areas that is coincident with the spatial distribution of WRT (Figure 7) and water age (Figure 9).  
 515



516  
 517 **Figure 13.** Plain views of residual currents in the selected scenarios. The black-white colour gradient indicates the total current  
 518 speed; the blue arrows indicate the current vectors.



## 520 **4.2 Individual and combined impacts of the drying climate and artificial channel on the lagoon hydrology evolution**


521 The results of the long-term changes in the water age and salinity clearly showed the hydrology in PHE was profoundly  
 522 changed corresponding to the reduced precipitation and catchment inflow as well as to the opening of the artificial channel,  
 523 although other factors such as changes in air temperature, sea level rise, and benthic roughness also affected the hydrology  
 524 over much smaller scales. The results have highlighted magnitudes of hydrologic changes introduced by the drying climate,  
 525 and the complexity of the interacting impacts from climate and the artificial channel in time and space. Firstly, the artificial  
 526 channel successfully improved the estuary flushing by reducing average water age by 20-110 days; while in contrast the  
 527 reduced precipitation and inflow had a gradual opposite effect on the water age, and during the wet season this has almost  
 528 counteracted the reduction brought about by the channel. Secondly, the drying climate caused an increase in the salinity by  
 529 10-30 PSU; whilst the artificial channel increased the salinity during the wet season, it has reduced the likelihood of  
 530 hypersalinity (>40 PSU) during the dry season in some areas.

531  
 532 The climate factor had not been considered in previous reports evaluating or predicting the consequence of the Cut-opening  
 533 when it was originally designed (Lord, 1998; Manda et al., 2014; Prestrelo & Monteiro-Neto, 2016), as the focus was on the  
 534 flushing benefit to reduce the accumulation of nutrients and algal biomass. The findings from this study suggest that climate  
 535 change has been taking effect over the period when the Cut was implemented, and from the view point of particular metrics,  
 536 it is now over-taking the effect of the Cut in its significance. The lessons from this case-study highlight the need to look more  
 537 broadly at environmental impacts when designing or operating large-scale engineering projects on coastal lagoons, due to the  
 538 potential for long-term non-stationarity in contributing river flows.

539  
 540 Of relevance to management, the impacts also varied spatially in this large lagoon. The water age and salinity have showed  
 541 distinct responses to the climate change and Cut-opening with various connection with the rivers and ocean (Figure 8 and 10).  
 542 The southern Harvey Estuary, which has the least connection with the ocean through the natural channel, is the most sensitive  
 543 to climate change and the opening of the artificial channel. The bulk flushing time also showed significant reduction  
 544 corresponding to the Cut-opening, however, it was less sensitive to the drying climate. The results of water age distribution  
 545 indicated that incomplete mixing had led to area-specific retention of water. In this case the concept of bulk flushing time  
 546 therefore needs to be used with caution in such a large choked-type lagoon, because it only gives an average estimation of  
 547 water retention for the whole estuary and fails to consider the strong gradients in lagoon hydrodynamics. Understanding these  
 548 patterns can be important to help understand local effects on lagoon ecology (e.g. crab larval recruitment) and processes related  
 549 to nutrient deposition and retention within the sediment.

550  
 551 Aside from changes in flushing and the mean salinity fields within the lagoon, the changes in the climate and ocean flushing  
 552 also altered the hydrology in the tidal reaches of the rivers connecting to the PHE. The annual variability of salinity along the  
 553 rivers (Figure 14) indicated there is an increasing risk of hypersalinity in the Serpentine River (connecting to the PHE from  
 554 the north) and an upward movement of the salt-wedge in the Murray River (the major inflow connecting PHE from the east).  
 555 For example, the mean salinity at the Serpentine River mouth was about 20 PSU in 1970, then increased to 24 PSU in 1998  
 556 and projected to increase to over 30 PSU in 2060. In the upstream areas of the Serpentine River, the mean salinity increased  
 557 from about 15 PSU in 1970 to near 35 PSU in 2060. While there is less hypersalinity risk in the Murray River due to larger  
 558 volumes of freshwater flushing, there is also a trend of increasing salinity along the river with the drying climate. The

559 differences between the Cut-closed and Cut-open scenarios in year 1998 are much smaller than those caused by the drying  
 560 climate, which indicates that the drying climate is the major cause of the salinity changes in the rivers.  
 561



562  
 563 **Figure 14.** Longitudinal gradient in annual salinity variability in four selected scenarios (1970, 1998 without the Cut opening,  
 564 1998 with the Cut opening, and a future scenario 2060 with assumptions of reduced flow and sea level rise) moving upstream  
 565 along the (a) Serpentine River and (b) Murray River.

566  
 567 **4.3 Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development on the Peel-Harvey hydrology**  
 568

569 In the current study, we assumed that the morphological change over the study period (except through the construction of the  
 570 Dawesville Cut) were not significant to the overall hydrology. The morphology data we used for the model was the latest  
 571 morphology dataset from the Western Australia Department of Water, obtained in 2016 (integrated DEM at 2m resolution).  
 572 There was no historical topography data available for each of the selected simulated years, therefore the 2016 morphology was  
 573 applied to the study period. The changes in the morphology during this long term period could potentially affect the hydrology  
 574 and the interpretation of the results.

575 The estuary morphology over the study period may have been modified by: (1) changes to the net sedimentation of particles;  
 576 and (2) dredging activities related to estuary management such as marina and navigation channel developments. The net  
 577 sedimentation rates in the Peel-Harvey Estuary had been investigated by a few early studies. Gabrielson and Lukatelich (1985)  
 578 estimated a net sedimentation rate of about 0.4-1.5 mm/year in the Peel Inlet and 2.9-6.7 mm/year in the Harvey Estuary;  
 579 Hodgkin et al. (1980) estimated an overall rate of sediment deposition of 0.3 mm/year. Assuming the rate is constant, the  
 580 maximum total sediment deposition is about 75 mm in the Peel Inlet and 335 mm in the Harvey Estuary, over a period of 50  
 581 years. Note these rates were estimated in the time before the Dawesville Cut was constructed. After the year of 1994 when the



582 Dawesville Cut was constructed, the sediment deposition in the system, especially in the Harvey lagoon, were expected to  
583 decrease due to higher tidal flushing. The reduced flow rates over the course of the study period would also lead to a reduction  
584 in sediment loading. As we illustrated in our sensitivity tests, a change in the tidal elevation of  $\pm 0.15\text{m}$ , which could be  
585 theoretically equivalent to the change in the depth of the estuary, was predicted to have caused a relatively small change to the  
586 hydrology compared to that introduced by the opening of the artificial channel and the reduced flow. Therefore we assumed  
587 the impact of sediment deposition on the morphology was small and unlikely change the main conclusions from the current  
588 study.

589 The development of canal estates and navigation channels would have further changed the local morphology, but is expected  
590 to only slightly modify the estuary hydrology at the regional scale. For example, The Yunderup navigation channel, located at  
591 the east side of the Peel Inlet, is one of the more significant dredging projects in the Peel-Harvey Estuary in the past decades.  
592 The Yunderup channel has a length of  $\sim 3\text{km}$  (mostly in the canal estate and shallow water areas) and a width of  $\sim 50\text{m}$ . The  
593 total area of this channel is  $\sim 0.015\text{ km}^2$ , which is negligible when compared to the area of the east Peel Inlet of  $33.5\text{ km}^2$ . We  
594 therefore assume the changes brought about by the local dredging activities are negligible in analysing the estuary hydrology  
595 when looking at the average properties over the regions.

596 Another concern is that the catchment runoff will not only be affected by the effects of reduced rainfall, but also due to the  
597 land-use change, urban development, and water diversion. The impacts from the catchment development on the flow conditions  
598 has been extensively discussed in the Peel-Harvey catchment modelling report (Kelsey, 2011), which showed different  
599 catchment developments had a combined effect on the flows. For example, the land clearing is expected to increase the  
600 streamflow, while local drainage changes have led to water diversions and reductions of the inflows. The catchment  
601 development was estimated to lead to a net increase of annual flow of about  $45 \times 10^6\text{ m}^3$  due to the land clearing, water supply  
602 and irrigation dams, and the drain activity (Kinhill Engineers, 1988; Kelsey, 2011). The net change in the streamflow is  
603 relatively small when compared to the reduced annual flows entering the Peel-Harvey Estuary from 1970 ( $846.4 \times 10^6\text{ m}^3$ ) to  
604 2016 ( $514.4 \times 10^6\text{ m}^3$ ). Regarding the potential for changes in water diversion, there are 15 dams in the Peel-Harvey catchment  
605 and the total catchment area to be dammed is  $1,283\text{ km}^2$ , which is about 12% of the total Peel-Harvey catchment ( $10,671\text{ km}^2$ )  
606 (Kelsey, 2011; Hennig et al., in prep). Most of the dams were completed in the time before 1970s when our study period  
607 started. The latest catchment modelling results (Hennig et al., in prep) showed that the average annual flow in the years of  
608 2006-2015 from unrestricted catchments was  $369 \times 10^6\text{ m}^3/\text{year}$  while the flow from dammed catchments was  $36 \times 10^6\text{ m}^3/\text{year}$ ,  
609 which would amount to an additional 10% increase in annual flow if these dams didn't exist. It is understood that no dams in  
610 the catchment have planned environmental water releases and it is expected that any water releases would either be a small  
611 proportion of flow. In the hydrologic models we have used the gauged flow data combined with the catchment model outputs,  
612 therefore the changes of the flow rates due to the catchment development have been accounted for in the model settings and  
613 our analysis. Therefore, we expect the reduced inflow was predominantly a result of the drying climate, and a key factor of  
614 reducing the nutrient loads from catchment that subsequently reduced the nutrient concentrations in the estuary.

615

#### 616 **4.4 Uncertainty of future hydrology**

617 This study has investigated the hydrologic changes under projected future drying climate, however, the drying climate was  
618 idealised based on trends from a combination of climate models (Smith and Power, 2014) and the applied annual perturbations  
619 used to generate the future climate remains the subject of uncertainty. Our future climate projections for weather and flow  
620 change were based on the average trend reported from more detailed studies using an ensemble of climate models (Silberstein  
621 et al., 2012; Smith and Power, 2014). The Peel-Harvey region has experienced a widely reported decline in rainfall over the  
622 last several decades (CSIRO & BoM 2007; IPCC 2007; CSIRO 2009; Hope & Ganter 2010). The trend in rainfall decline is


623 expected to continue, based on the climate projections from general circulation models (GCMs) results (CSIRO 2009; Smith  
624 and Power, 2014). Given the nature of our research questions was to extrapolate the mean trend that we reported from the  
625 hind-cast simulations, we focus the future scenarios on the changes of hydrology under the projected average reduction in the  
626 flow from the ensemble models (Smith and Power, 2014), with an assumed mean rate of sea level rise (Kuhn et al., 2011), to  
627 highlight the general trend and allow for prioritization of adaptation strategies such as environmental water allocation policies.  
628 This approach is somewhat simplistic in that it assumes no seasonal change in hydrologic trends, and there has been recent  
629 evidence that increasing summer floods are occurring and the winter peak flows are decreasing as a fraction of the annual total  
630 (McFarlane et al., 2020). As shown in Cloern et al. (2016), the hydrology of lagoons has been changing at a faster pace in the  
631 past decade from a combination of human activity and climate variability. The sea level of the ocean adjacent to the PHE has  
632 been rising at faster speeds in the past decades (Kuhn et al., 2011). The PHE catchment is also undergoing fast development  
633 due to the increasing population and agricultural expansion (Kelsey et al., 2011). Intensification of human activities, such as  
634 water consumption and diversion, will further affect the lagoon's hydrology and associated ecosystem, but how these factors  
635 will change in future remains unclear. Therefore, our results related to the future prediction are simply to indicate the possible  
636 changes of hydrology under the projected drying climate in order to highlight the general trend and allow for prioritisation of  
637 adaptation strategies such as environmental water allocation policies. Continuous monitoring on the hydrology and water  
638 quality of the lagoon and its catchment must therefore be prioritised to closely observe further hydrologic change in order to  
639 provide prompt actions for management.

640

#### 641 **4.5 Applications for estuary ecosystem management**

642 The Cut had an obvious and dramatic effect on increasing the export of nutrients that would have otherwise been retained  
643 (Figure 15). Since the Cut opening in 1994 the main monitoring stations have shown the total nitrogen (TN) concentration as  
644 being stable around 0.5mg/L and the total phosphorus (TP) concentration has declined from 0.05 to 0.02 mg/L over time.  
645 Importantly, the increasing rate of exchange has made the estuary concentration of nutrients less sensitive to the inflow load  
646 (as demonstrated by the reduction in slope of Figure 15c and 15d). The results have also revealed an increase in  $\tau$  associated  
647 with the drying climate that has eroded some of the benefits associated with increased flushing following the construction of  
648 the Cut, and further reductions in flows will cause less flushing and will likely lead to a tendency for increasing nutrient  
649 accumulation over time.

650



**Figure 15.** Changes in the mean nutrient concentration of (a) TN and (b) TP in the Peel-Harvey Estuary (based on the average of the 6 main monitoring stations), and their relationship with the total annual nutrient loading (c and d).

The hydrologic changes led not only to changes in the nutrient concentrations but also the mean salinity, with potential ramifications for the ecological community. In particular, the phytoplankton biomass dropped dramatically since the Cut opening (Figure 2) due to the improvement of ocean connectivity and flushing, but also due to a less desirable salinity regime in summertime for the toxic cyanobacteria *Nodularia spumigena* that plagued the Harvey Estuary before the Cut opening. Field observations also showed that the biomass of macroalgae has decreased in the Peel Inlet, while it has increased in the Harvey Estuary since the Cut opening, which potentially reflects the reduced nutrient concentrations, increased salinity and greater light availability (Pedretti et al., 2011). The biomass of some benthic macroinvertebrates, such as the blue swimmer crabs (*Portunus armatus*) and the Western king prawn (*Penaeus latisulcatus*) also showed an increase with the Cut opening and the reduced flow in recent years (Bradby, 1997; Johnston et al., 2014). Nonetheless, several water quality concerns remain present, and problematic areas of poor quality hyper-sulfidic sediments have been identified (Kraal et al. 2013; Hallett et al., 2019), in addition to recurrent reports of harmful algal blooms and fish-kill events within the inland reaches (Valesini et al., 2019). The changes in water quality and the biological communities are anticipated to continue to evolve as the projected drying climate will further lead to areas of poor flushing and high salinity.

**5 Conclusions and outlook**

This study has sought to analyse the hydrologic changes in the Peel-Harvey Estuary to a range of drivers, and focused on the effects of the recent climate change trend on the hydrologic evolution in the Peel-Harvey Estuary, relative to the changes brought about by construction of the Dawesville Cut. Our results suggested the climate change in the past decades has a remarkable effect on the hydrology with the same magnitude as that caused by the opening of an artificial channel, and also highlighted the complexity of their interactions. The artificial channel was effective in reducing the water retention time especially in areas close to the channel, while the drying climate trend has acted to increase the water retention time. The artificial channel enhanced the ocean intrusion, which had a mutual effect with the drying climate to increase the estuary salinity during the wet season, but it had opposite effect of reducing the hypersalinity during the dry season. The artificial channel increased the seawater fluxes and the salinity stratification, mostly in the Harvey Estuary, while the drying climate reduced the salinity stratification in the main body of the estuary. The changes in nutrient levels and habitat of pelagic and benthic communities related to hydrology are also discussed, which showed the communities are sensitive to the hydrologic changes. Consideration of the projected drying trend is essential in designing management plans associated with planning for environmental water provision and setting water quality loading targets.

686 **Data availability**

687 The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

688 **Author contribution**

689 All the authors contributed to the design of the study. Peisheng Huang carried the hydrology modelling work and prepared the  
690 first draft of the manuscript. Karl Henig provided the catchment outputs of the inflow rates and nutrient concentrations. Jatin  
691 Kala and Julia Andrys provided the WRF weather data. Matthew R. Hipsey was the project leader and provided technical and  
692 financial supports. Jatin Kala and Matthew R. Hipsey helped to interpret the model data and write the article.

694 **Competing interests**

695 The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interest.

697 **Acknowledgement**

698 The authors would like to thank Dr. Fiona Valesini, Dr. Christopher Hallett, and three anonymous reviewers for their valuable  
699 and constructive comments. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council Linkage Program  
700 (LP150100451).

701  
702  
703

## References

- Almroth-Rosell, E., Edman, M., Eilola, K., Markus Meier, H. E., and Sahlberg, J.: Modelling nutrient retention in the coastal zone of an eutrophic sea, *Biogeosciences*, 13(20), 5753–5769, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-5753-2016>, 2016.
- Andrys, J., Kala, J., and Lyons, T. J.: Regional climate projections of mean and extreme climate for the southwest of Western Australia (1970–1999 compared to 2030–2059), *Clim. Dyn.*, 48(5–6), 1723–1747, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3169-5>, 2017.
- Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., and Kala, J.: Multidecadal evaluation of WRF downscaling capabilities over Western Australia in simulating rainfall and temperature extremes, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, 54(2), 370–394, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-14-0212.1>, 2015.
- Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., and Kala, J.: Evaluation of a WRF ensemble using GCM boundary conditions to quantify mean and extreme climate for the southwest of Western Australia (1970–1999), *Int. J. Climatol.*, 36(13), 4406–4424, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4641>, 2016.
- Basset, A., Elliott, M., West, R. J., and Wilson, J. G.: Estuarine and lagoon biodiversity and their natural goods and services, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 132, 1–4, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.018>, 2013.
- Bates, B. C., Hope, P., Ryan, B., Smith, I., and Charles, S.: Key findings from the Indian Ocean Climate Initiative and their impact on policy development in Australia, *Clim. Change*, 89(3–4), 339–354, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9390-9>, 2008.
- Bicknell, C.: Review of Sand Bypassing Dawesville and Mandurah: Coastal Engineering Investigation, Department for Planning and Infrastructure, 2006.
- Black, R. E., and Rosher, J.: The Peel-Harvey Estuary System Study (1976-1980): Technical Report, Department of Conservation and Environment, Perth, Western Australia, 1980.
- BMT WBM: TUFLOW FV Science Manual, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, pp. 29, 2013.
- Bradby, K.: Peel-Harvey: The Decline and Rescue of an Ecosystem, Greening the Catchment Taskforce (Inc.), Western Australia, 1997.
- Brearley, A. (Ed.): Ernest Hodgkin's Swanland : the estuaries and coastal lagoons of south-western Australia, University of Western Australia Press, Perth, 2005.
- Bruce, L. C., Cook, P. L. M., Teakle, I., and Hipsey, M. R.: Hydrodynamic controls on oxygen dynamics in a riverine salt wedge estuary, the Yarra River estuary, Australia, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18(4), 1397–1411, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1397-2014>, 2014.
- Chapman, P. M.: Management of coastal lagoons under climate change, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 110, 32–35, [doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2012.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.01.010), 2012.
- CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology: Climate change in Australia: technical report, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra, Australia, 2007.
- CSIRO: Surface water yields in south-west Western Australia: a report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO South-West Western Australia Sustainable Yields Project, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra, Australia, 2009.
- Cloern, J. E., Abreu, P. C., Carstensen, J., Chauvaud, L., Elmgren, R., Grall, J., Greening, H., Johansson, J. O. R., Kahru, M., Sherwood, E. T., Xu, J., and Yin, K.: Human activities and climate variability drive fast-paced change across the world's estuarine-coastal ecosystems, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 22(2), 513–529, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13059>, 2016.
- Cloern, J. E., Jassby, A. D., Schraga, T. S., Nejad, E., and Martin, C.: Ecosystem variability along the estuarine salinity gradient: Examples from long-term study of San Francisco Bay, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 62, S272–S291, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10537>, 2017.
- Cottingham, A., Hesp, S. A., Hall, N. G., Hipsey, M. R., and Potter, I. C.: Marked deleterious changes in the condition, growth and maturity schedules of *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Sparidae) in an estuary reflect environmental degradation, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 149, 109–119, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.07.021>, 2014.
- Di Virgilio, G., Evans, J. P., Di Luca, A., Olson, R., Argüeso, D., Kala, J., Andrys, J., Hoffmann, P., Katzfey, J. J., and Rockel, B.: Evaluating reanalysis-driven CORDEX regional climate models over Australia: model performance and errors, *Clim. Dyn.*, 53(5–6), 2985–3005, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04672-w>, 2019.
- Dufour, P., Andréfouët, S., Charpy, L., and Garcia, N.: Atoll morphometry controls lagoon nutrient regime, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*,

46(2), 456–461, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.2.0456>, 2001.

- Environmental Protection Authority: Water Quality Improvement Plan for the Rivers and Estuary of the Peel-Harvey System - Phosphorus Management, Environmental Protection Authority, Perth, Western Australia, 2008.
- Ferrarin, C., Ghezzi, M., Umgiesser, G., Tagliapietra, D., Camatti, E., Zaggia, L., and Sarretta, A.: Assessing hydrological effects of human interventions on coastal systems: Numerical applications to the Venice Lagoon, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17(5), 1733–1748, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-1733-2013>, 2013.
- Ferreira, J. G., Wolff, W. J., Simas, T. C., and Bricker, S. B.: Does biodiversity of estuarine phytoplankton depend on hydrology? *Ecol. Modell.*, 187(4), 513–523, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.013>, 2005.
- Ferrarin, C., Bajo, M., Bellafigliore, D., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Ghezzi, M., Umgiesser G.: Toward homogenization of Mediterranean lagoons and their loss of hydrodiversity, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 5935–5941, doi:10.1002/2014GL060843, 2014.
- Feyrer, F., Cloern, J. E., Brown, L. R., Fish, M. A., Hieb, K. A., and Baxter, R. D.: Estuarine fish communities respond to climate variability over both river and ocean basins, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 21(10), 3608–3619, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12969>, 2015.
- Finlayson, B. L., and McMahon, T. A.: Australia v the world: a comparative analysis of streamflow characteristics, in: *Fluvial Geomorphology of Australia*, edited by: Warner, R. F., Academic Press, Sydney, 17–39, 1988.
- Firth, R., Kala, J., Lyons, T. J., and Andrys, J.: An analysis of regional climate simulations for Western Australia’s wine regions-model evaluation and future climate projections, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.*, 56(7), 2113–2138, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0333.1>, 2017.
- Fofonoff, N. P., and Millard, R. C.: Algorithms for computation of fundamental properties of seawater, UNESCO Technical Papers in Marine Science, 44, 53, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001000.x>, 1983.
- Gabrielson, J.O., and Lukatelich, R.J.: Wind related resuspension of sediments in the Peel-Harvey estuarine system, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 20, 135–145, 1985.
- Gamito, S., Gilabert, J., Diego, C. M., and Perez-Ruzafa, A.: Effects of changing environmental conditions on lagoon ecology, In: *Coastal Lagoons: Ecosystem Processes and Modeling for Sustainable Use and Development*, edited by: Gonenc, E. and Wolfin, J. P., CRC Press, Boca Raton: 193–229, 2005.
- Gamito, S.: Benthic ecology of semi-natural coastal lagoons, in the Ria Formosa (Southern Portugal), exposed to different water renewal regimes, *Hydrobiologia*, 555: 75–87, 2006.
- García-Oliva, M., Pérez-Ruzafa, Á., Umgiesser, G., McKiver, W., Ghezzi, M., De Pascalis, F., and Marcos, C.: Assessing the Hydrodynamic Response of the Mar Menor Lagoon to Dredging Inlets Interventions through Numerical Modelling, *Water*, 10, 959, 2018.
- Ghezzi, M., Guerzoni, S., Cucco, A., and Umgiesser, G.: Changes in Venice Lagoon dynamics due to construction of mobile barriers, *Coast. Eng.*, 57, 694–708, 2010.
- Gentilli, J. (Ed.): *Climates of Australia and New Zealand*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1971.
- Ghezzi, M., Guerzoni, S., Cucco, A., and Umgiesser, G.: Changes in Venice Lagoon dynamics due to construction of mobile barriers, *Coast. Eng.*, 57(7), 694–708, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2010.02.009>, 2010.
- Gillanders, B. M., Elsdon, T. S., Halliday, I. A., Jenkins, G. P., Robins, J. B., and Valesini, F. J.: Potential effects of climate change on Australian estuaries and fish utilising estuaries: A review, *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, 62(9), 1115–1131, <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF11047>, 2011.
- Gong, W., Shen, J., and Jia, J.: The impact of human activities on the flushing properties of a semi-enclosed lagoon: Xiaohai, Hainan, China, *Mar. Environ. Res.*, 65(1), 62–76, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.08.001>, 2008.
- Hallett, C. S., Hobday, A. J., Tweedley, J. R., Thompson, P. A., McMahon, K., and Valesini, F. J.: Observed and predicted impacts of climate change on the estuaries of south-western Australia, a Mediterranean climate region, *Reg. Environ. Change*, 18(5), 1357–1373, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1264-8>, 2018.
- Hallett, C.S., Valesini, F. J., Kilminster, K., Wells, N. S., and Eyre, B. D.: A rapid protocol for assessing sediment condition in eutrophic estuaries, *Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts*, 21, 1021–1037, 2019.
- Hennig, K., Kelsey, P., Hall, J., Gunaratne, G. G. and Robb, M.: Hydrological and nutrient modelling of the Peel-Harvey estuary catchment (2006–15), Water Science Technical Series, Report, Aquatic Science Branch, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Perth, Western Australia, in preparation.

802 Hirsch, A. L., Evans, J. P., Di Virgilio, G., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., Argüeso, D., Pitman, A. J., Carouge, C. C., Kala, J.,  
803 Andrys, J., Petrelli, P., and Rockel, B.: Amplification of Australian Heatwaves via Local Land-Atmosphere Coupling,  
804 *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 124(24), 13625–13647, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030665>, 2019.

805 Hipsey, M. R., Gal, G., Arhonditsis, G. B., Carey, C. C., Elliott, J. A., Frassl, M. A., Janse, J. H., de Mora, L., and Robson, B.  
806 J.: A system of metrics for the assessment and improvement of aquatic ecosystem models, *Environ. Model. Soft.*, 128,  
807 104697, 2020.

808 Hodgkin, E. P., Birch, P. B., Black, R. E., and Humphries R. B.: The Peel-Harvey estuarine system study, Report no. 9,  
809 Department of Conservation and Environment, Western Australia, 1980.

810 Hollis, G. E.: Environmental impacts of development on wetlands in arid and semi-arid lands, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 35, 411–428,  
811 1990.

812 Howarth, R. W., and Marino, R.: Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal marine ecosystems: Evolving  
813 views over three decades, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 51, 364–376, [https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1\\_part\\_2.0364](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0364), 2006.

814 Hope, P., and Ganter, C.: Recent and projected rainfall trends in south-west Australia and the associated shifts in weather  
815 systems, in: *Managing climate change, Papers from the Greenhouse 2009 Conference*, edited by Jubb I., Holper P. and  
816 Cai W., CSIRO Publishing, 2010.

817 Huang, P., Kilminster, K., Larsen, S., and Hipsey, M. R.: Assessing artificial oxygenation in a riverine salt-wedge estuary with  
818 a three-dimensional finite-volume model, *Ecol. Eng.*, 118, 111–125, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.04.020>,  
819 2018.

820 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.  
821 B., Tignor M., and Miller, H. L. (Eds.), *Climate change 2007: The physical science basis*, in: *Contribution of Working*  
822 *Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Cambridge University  
823 Press, 2007.

824 Li, Y., Feng, H., Zhang, H., Sun, J., Yuan, D., Guo, L., Nie, J., and Du, J.: Hydrodynamics and water circulation in the New  
825 York/New Jersey Harbor: A study from the perspective of water age, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 199,  
826 [doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103219](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103219), 2019.

827 Izrael, Y. A., Semenov, S. M., Anisimov, O. A., Anokhin, Y. A., Velichko, A. A., Revich, B. A., and Shiklomanov, I. A.: The  
828 Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Working Group II contribution, *Russian*  
829 *Meteorology and Hydrology*, 32(9), 551–556, 2007.

830 Johnston, D., Chandrapavan, A., Wise, B., and Caputi, N.: Assessment of blue swimmer crab recruitment and breeding stock  
831 levels in the Peel-Harvey Estuary and status of the Mandurah to Bunbury developing crab fishery, *Fisheries Research*  
832 *Report No. 258*, Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, 2014.

833 Jouon, A., Douillet, P., Ouillon, S., and Fraunie, P.: Calculations of hydrodynamic time parameters in a semi-opened coastal  
834 zone using a 3D hydrodynamic model, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 26, 1395–1415, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2005.11.014>, 2006.

835 Kala, J., Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., Foster, I. J., and Evans, B. J.: Sensitivity of WRF to driving data and physics options on a  
836 seasonal time-scale for the southwest of Western Australia, *Clim. Dyn.*, 44(3–4), 633–659,  
837 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2160-2>, 2015.

838 Kasai, A., Kurikawa, Y., Ueno, M., Robert, D., and Yamashita, Y.: Salt-wedge intrusion of seawater and its implication for  
839 phytoplankton dynamics in the Yura Estuary, Japan, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 86(3), 408–414,  
840 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.06.001>, 2010.

841 Kelsey, P., Hall, J., Kretschmer, P., Quiton, B., and Shakya, D.: Hydrological and nutrient modelling of the Peel-Harvey  
842 catchment, *Water Science Technical Series*, Report no. 33, Department of Water, Western Australia, 2011.

843 Kingsford, R. T., Lemly, A. D., and Thompson, J. R.: Impacts of dams, river management and diversions on desert rivers, In:  
844 *Ecology of Desert Rivers*, edited by: Kingsford, R. T., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 203–247, 2006.

845 Kinhill Engineers Pty Ltd: Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary management strategy environmental review and management  
846 programme – stage 2, Department of Agriculture and Department of Marine and Harbours, Western Australia, 1998.

847 Kjerfve, B.: Coastal lagoons, In: *Coastal Lagoon Processes*, edited by: Kjerfve, B., Elsevier Oceanographic Series, Elsevier  
848 Science, Amsterdam, 1–8, 1994.

849 Kjerfve, B., and Magill, K. E.: Geographic and hydrodynamic characteristics of shallow coastal lagoons, *Mar. Geol.*, 88, 187–  
850 199, 1989.

851 Kjerfve, B., Schettini, C. A. F., Knoppers, B., Lessa, G., and Ferreira, H. O.: Hydrology and salt balance in a large, hypersaline



852 coastal lagoon: Lagoa de Araruama, Brazil, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 42(6), 701–725,  
853 <https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1996.0045>, 1996.

854 Knoppers, B., Kjerfve, B., and Carmouze, J. P.: Trophic state and water turn-over time in six choked coastal lagoons in Brazil,  
855 *Biogeochemistry*, 14(2), 149–166, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002903>, 1991.

856 Koutitonsky, V. G.: Three-dimensional structure of wind-driven currents in coastal lagoons, In: *Coastal Lagoons: Ecosystem*  
857 *Processes and Modeling for Sustainable Use and Development*, edited by: Gonenc, E. and Wolfin, J. P., CRC Press,  
858 Boca Raton, 376–391, 2005.

859 Kraal, P., Burton, E. D., and Bush, R. T.: Iron monosulfide accumulation and pyrite formation in eutrophic estuarine sediments,  
860 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 122, 75–88, 2013.

861 Kuhn, M., Tuladhar, D., and Corner, R.: Visualising the spatial extent of predicted coastal zone inundation due to sea level  
862 rise in south-west Western Australia, *Ocean Coast. Manag.*, 54(11), 796–806,  
863 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2011.08.005>, 2011.

864 Legović, T., Žutić, V., Gržetić, Z., Cauwet, G., Precali, R., and Viličić, D.: Eutrophication in the Krka estuary, *Mar. Chem.*,  
865 46(1–2), 203–215, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203\(94\)90056-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(94)90056-6), 1994.

866 Lord, D. A.: Dawesville Channel Monitoring Programme technical review, Water and Rivers Commission Report WRT 28,  
867 Western Australia, 1998.

868 Manda, A. K., Giuliano, A. S., and Allen, T. R.: Influence of artificial channels on the source and extent of saline water  
869 intrusion in the wind tide dominated wetlands of the southern Albemarle estuarine system (USA), *Environ. Earth Sci.*,  
870 71(10), 4409–4419, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2834-9>, 2014.

871 McFarlane, D., George, R., Ruprecht, J., Charles, S., and Hodgson, G.: Runoff and groundwater responses to climate change  
872 in South West Australia, *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, 103: 9–27, 2020.

873 Monsen, N. E., Cloern, J. E., Lucas, L. V., and Monismith, S. G.: A comment on the use of flushing time, residence time, and  
874 age as transport time scales, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 47(5), 1545–1553, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2002.47.5.1545>, 2002.

875 Muleta, M.K., and Nicklow, J. W.: Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis coupled with automatic calibration for a distributed  
876 watershed model, *J. Hydrol.*, 306, 127–145, 2004.

877 Newton, A., Brito, A. C., Icely, J. D., Derolez, V., Clara, I., Angus, S., Schernewski, G., Inácio, M., Lillebø, A. I., Sousa, A.  
878 I., Béjaoui, B., Solidoro, C., Tosic, M., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Yamamuro, M., Reizopoulou, S., Tseng, H. C., Canu, D.,  
879 Roselli, L. Maanan, M., Cristina, S., Ruiz-Fernández, A. C., Lima, R. F., Kjerfve, B., Rubio-Cisneros, N., Pérez-Ruzafa,  
880 A., Marcos, C., Pastres, R., Pranovi, F., Snoussi, M., Turpie, J., Tuchkovenko, Y., Dyack, B., Brookes, J., Povilanskas,  
881 R., Khokhlov, V.: Assessing, quantifying and valuing the ecosystem services of coastal lagoons, *J. Nat. Conserv.*, 44,  
882 50–65, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2018.02.009>, 2018.

883 Newton, A., Icely, J., Cristina, S., Brito, A., Cardoso, A. C., Colijn, F., Riva, S. D., Gertz, F., Hansen, J. W., Holmer, M.,  
884 Ivanova, K., Leppäkoski, E., Canu, D. M., Mocenni, C., Mudge, S., Murray, N., Pejrup, M., Razinkovas, A.,  
885 Reizopoulou, S., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Schernewski, G., Schuber, H., Carr, L.C., Solidoro, C., Viaroli, P., Zaldívar, J. M.:  
886 An overview of ecological status, vulnerability and future perspectives of European large shallow, semi-enclosed coastal  
887 systems, lagoons and transitional waters, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 140, 95–122,  
888 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.023>, 2014.

889 Nicholls, R. J., and Hoozemans, F. M. J.: The Mediterranean: vulnerability to coastal implications of climate change, *Ocean*  
890 *Coast. Manag.*, 31: 105–132, 1996.

891 Nicholls, R.J., Hoozemans, F.M.J., Marchand, M.: Increasing flood risk and wetland losses due to global sealevel rise: regional  
892 and global analyses, *Global Environ. Chang.*, 9: S69–S87, 1999.

893 Odebrecht, C., Abreu, P. C., and Carstensen, J.: Retention time generates short-term phytoplankton blooms in a shallow  
894 microtidal subtropical estuary, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 162, 35–44, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.03.004>, 2015.

895 Rogers, P., Hall, N., and Valesini, F.: Science strategy for the Peel- Harvey Estuary, prepared for the Peel-Harvey Catchment  
896 Council, Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research, Murdoch University, 2010.

897 Paerl, H. W., Hall, N. S., Peierls, B. L., Rossignol, K. L., and Joyner, A. R.: Hydrologic Variability and Its Control of  
898 Phytoplankton Community Structure and Function in Two Shallow, Coastal, Lagoonal Ecosystems: The Neuse and New  
899 River Estuaries, North Carolina, USA, *Estuaries Coast.*, 37(S1), 31–45, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-013-9686-0>,  
900 2014.

901 Paerl, H. W., Valdes, L. M., Peierls, B. L., Adolf, J. E., and Harding, L. W.: Anthropogenic and climatic influences on the

eutrophication of large estuarine ecosystems, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 51, 448–462, [https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1\\_part\\_2.0448](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0448), 2006.

Pedretti, Y. M., Kobryn, H. T., Sommerville, E. F., and Wienczugow, K.: Snapshot Survey of the Distribution and Abundance of Seagrass and Macroalgae in the Peel-Harvey Estuary from November / December 2009, Unpublished Technical Report, Marine and Freshwater Laboratories, Murdoch University, 2011.

Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., and Pérez-Ruzafa, I. M.: Mediterranean coastal lagoons in an ecosystem and aquatic resources management context, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 36(5–6), 160–166, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2010.04.013>, 2011.

Petersen, J. K., Hansen Würdler, J., Laursen Brogaard, M., Clausen, P., Carstensen, J., and Conley, D. J.: Regime shift in a coastal marine ecosystem, *Ecol. Appl.*, 18(2), 497–510, <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0752.1>, 2008.

Potter, I. C., Chuwen, B. M., Hoeksema, S. D., and Elliott, M.: The concept of an estuary: A definition that incorporates systems which can become closed to the ocean and hypersaline, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 87(3), 497–500, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.01.021>, 2010.

Prestrelo, L., and Monteiro-Neto, C.: Before-after environmental impact assessment of an artificial channel opening on a south-western Atlantic choked lagoon system, *J. Fish Biol.*, 89(1), 735–752, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13012>, 2016.

Sahu, B. K., Pati, P., and Panigrahy, R. C.: Environmental conditions of Chilika Lake during pre and post hydrological intervention: An overview, *J. Coast. Conservation*, 18(3), 285–297, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-014-0318-z>, 2014.

Scavia, D.t, Field, J.C., Boesch, D.F., Buddemeier, R.W., Burkett, V., Cayan, D.R., Fogarty, M., Harwell, M.A., Howarth, R.W., Mason, C., Reed, D.J., Royer, T.C., Sallenger, A.H., Titus, J.G.: Climate change impacts on U.S. coastal and marine ecosystems, *Estuaries*, 25, 149–164, 2002.

Sheldon, J. E., and Alber, M.: The calculation of estuarine turnover times using freshwater fraction and tidal prism models: a critical evaluation, *Estuaries Coast.*, 29(1), 133–146, 2006.

Silberstein, R. P., Aryal, S. K., Durrant, J., Pearcey, M., Braccia, M., Charles, S. P., Boniecka, L., Hodgson, G. A., Bari, M. A., Viney, N. R., and McFarlane, D. J.: Climate change and runoff in south-western Australia, *J. Hydrol.*, 475, 441–455, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.009>, 2012.

Smith, I., and Power, S.: Past and future changes to inflows into Perth (Western Australia) dams, *J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud.*, 2, 84–96, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2014.08.005>, 2014.

Smith, N.: Water, salt and heat balances of coastal lagoons, in: *Coastal Lagoon Processes*, edited by: Kjerfve, B., Elsevier Oceanographic Series 60, Elsevier, New York, 69–102, 1994.

Spaulding, M.: Modeling of circulation and dispersion in coastal lagoons, in: *Coastal Lagoon Processes*, edited by: Kjerfve, B., Elsevier Oceanographic Series 60, Elsevier, New York, 103–132, 1994.

Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzi, M., and Bajo, M.: Comparative hydrodynamics of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of numerical modeling, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 119, 2212–2226, doi:10.1002/2013JC009512, 2014.

Valesini, F. J., Hallett, C. S., Hipsey, M. R., Kilminster, K. L., Huang, P., and Hennig, K.: Peel-Harvey Estuary, Western Australia, in: *Coasts and Estuaries: the future*, edited by: Wolanski, E., Day, J. W., Elliott, M., and Ramachandran, R., Elsevier, 103–120, 2019.

Watanabe, K., Kasai, A., Antonio, E. S., Suzuki, K., Ueno, M., and Yamashita, Y.: Influence of salt-wedge intrusion on ecological processes at lower trophic levels in the Yura Estuary, Japan, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 139, 67–77, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.12.018>, 2014.

Welsh, W.D., Vaze, J., Dutta, D., Rassam, D., Rahman, J.M., Jolly, I.D., Wallbrink, P., Podger, G.M., Bethune, M., Hardy, M.J., Teng, J. and Lerat, J.: An integrated modelling framework for regulated river systems, *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 39, 81–102, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.02.022, 2013.

Williamson, S. C., Rheuban, J. E., Costa, J. E., Glover, D. M., and Doney, S. C.: Assessing the Impact of Local and Regional Influences on Nitrogen Loads to Buzzards Bay, MA, *Front. Mar. Science*, 3, 279, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00279>, 2017.

Wu, J.: Wind-stress coefficients over sea surface from breeze to hurricane, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87 (C12), 9704–9706, 1982.

Zaldívar, J., Cardoso, A. C., Viaroli, P., Wit, R. De, Ibañez, C., Reizopoulou, S., Razinkovas, A., Basset, A., Holmer, M., and Murray, N.: Eutrophication in transitional waters: an overview, *Transit. Waters Monogr.*, 2(2008), 1–78, <https://doi.org/10.1285/i18252273v2n1p1>, 2008.

951 Zhu, Y., McCowan, A., and Cook, P. L. M.: Effects of changes in nutrient loading and composition on hypoxia dynamics and  
952 internal nutrient cycling of a stratified coastal lagoon, *Biogeosciences*, 14(19), 4423–4433, [https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4423-2017)  
953 14-4423-2017, 2017.  
954