Dear Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Editor,

Re. Revision of hess-2020-164: Climate change overtakes coastal engineering as the dominant driver of hydrological change in a large shallow lagoon

We thank you for the acceptance of our paper to be published in HESS. Here we upload a fully revised paper that includes all the corrections that you suggested in your revision comments, on the top of other changes to address all the comments from the reviewers. We have also went through the manuscript again to clean up the format of the reference list according to the HESS standard. The previous response to the reviewers' comments during the revision phase and a tracked-changes version are also enclosed in this document.

We thank you again for the significant time and effort that have gone into the discussion and helped to improve the paper.

Kind Regards

Peisheng Huang, on behalf of all co-authors.

Dear Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Editor & Reviewers,

Re. Revision of hess-2020-164: Climate change overtakes coastal engineering as the dominant driver of hydrological change in a large shallow lagoon

We thank you for the opportunity for us to revise the paper, and are very grateful for the comments received during the discussion phase of the paper. We have found the comments very detailed and insightful and they have guided us to significantly improve this version of the manuscript.

We have already provided specific replies back to each of the reviewer comments in the discussion forum explaining our approach to the revision. A summary of the main issues identified were:

- 1. Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development to the results;
- 2. The future climate projection is simplistic;
- 3. Lack of details of the model calibration and validation;
- 4. Water transportation and mixing within the lagoon can be improved with the mixing efficiency method;
- 5. Issues with figure readability and captions;
- 6. Numerous typographical and minor editorial issues in the notation and units, plus some errors with reference citations and the formatting consistency in the reference list;

Here we upload a fully revised paper that includes significant changes to address all the comments, where possible. The major changes relate to: (1) a re-worked introduction to better introduce the related research advances; (2) a new discussion section to address the potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development to our results; (3) a new discussion section to further discuss the future climate projection approach; (4) applications and discussion of water flushing time, water renewal time, and mixing efficiency to investigate the water transportation and mixing within the lagoon in a few theoretical scenarios; and (5) a supplementary document to provide the details of model calibration, validation, and also the model sensitivity to selected environmental drivers. Many of the issues relevant to issues 5-6 were resolved and the text has been cleaned up in this upload. Please refer to the individual responses for specific details. A tracked-changes version is at the back of this document.

We thank you again for the significant time and effort that have gone into the discussion and look forward to your decision.

Kind Regards

Peisheng Huang, on behalf of all co-authors.

Response to the comments of reviewer #1:

We thank the reviewer for the time examining the manuscript and the valuable comments that have provided insights on how to improve it. We have carefully addressed the comments in the following response and provided some further analysis to clarify the reviewer's major concerns, and indicate the relative revision in the revised manuscript. The comments of the reviewer are in black and our response are in blue.

General comments:

In this study, the authors systematically investigated the responses of tidal hydrodynamics in terms of water retention time (including the water age and bulk flushing time), salinity and stratification to the drying climate trend (decrease in river flow inputs) and the opening of a large artificial channel. The obtained results are of particular importance for developing the corresponding sustainable water resources management strategies in such a large shallow lagoon system. However, there are still some major concerns that should be carefully addressed in order to improve the quality of this manuscript.

Major concerns:

1. It should be noted that the Peel-Harvey lagoon (or estuary) is a typical tide- dominated system although it experiences a micro-tidal regime with tidal range generally less than 1 m. This is mainly due to the large estuarine surface area (133 km²) and hence the large estuarine volume (187.5 GL). This indicates that the tidal hydrodynamics is generally featured by both the seasonal change and the spring- neap change. For the time being, the main results only focus on the seasonal change in tidal hydrodynamics, while the spring-neap change did not investigate at all.

Response: We agree that the spring-neap tide change is an important driver of the changes in hydrology over the short-term scale. This study focused on examining the long-term changes in hydrology, considering the seasonal and inter-annual features of the estuary in response to climate change and catchment inputs, and therefore the changes in the system between the spring and neap tidal cycles were not reported. However, our model does resolve these variability at this scale and have therefore explored the tidal cycle impacts on the hydrology in more detail in the response to the following comment related to the spring-neap changes in water retention time.

2. For the spring-neap change in the water retention time, it would be worth exploring the impacts of residual water currents on spatial-temporal variation in the water age and bulk flushing time. For instance, the difference of the residual water currents during the spring tide period before and after the opening of the artificial channel can be used to show the underlying mechanism of the change in water retention time. Similar results can be obtained for the neap tide period.

Response: We had explored the changes in the water age during the spring tide and neap tide in our previous response to this comments in the discussion forum during review phase (the details are also included below). In summary, the residual current analysis has been shown to be a useful method to investigate the water transportation and circulation within the lagoons, and to explain the difference of water retention between the shallow and deep water. However, the results also suggested that the difference of modelled water age between the spring tide and neap tide periods were relatively small when compared to the impacts by the opening of the Dawesville Cut and reduced flow in the past decades. We therefore did not include this discussion of the spring-neap tides into the revision. Instead, we used the residual current concept to compare the current characteristics between the Cut open/close and catchment inflow scenarios (in section 4.1 of the revised manuscript).

Previous analysis results and response to the comment in the discussion forum during review phase:

Noting that the system experiences a micro-tidal regime, we agree the spring-neap tide is a key driver of the short-term hydrological changes in the estuary, and therefore present a further investigation of this. We first

analyzed the tide constituents of the Fremantle tide elevation record with the U-Tide utilities (Codiga, 2011). The results, as shown below in Table 1, identified the lunar diurnal constituents (K1, O1) contribute most of the tide potential energy, followed by the solar diurnal constituent (P1), principal lunar semidiurnal constituent (M2), and principal solar semi-diurnal constituent (S2).

Constituents	Potential Energy (%)	Amplitude (m)	Greenwich phase lag (degrees)	Frequency (cycles per hour)
K1	61.08	0.156	324	0.0418
01	25.95	0.101	308	0.0387
P1	6.06	0.049	314	0.0416
M2	3.53	0.0374	323	0.0805
S 2	3.37	0.0365	334	0.0833

Table 1.	Principal	tidal	constituents	for	Fremantle	tide	record
----------	-----------	-------	--------------	-----	-----------	------	--------

We then adopted the Eulerian time-mean method to calculate the residual current by averaging the currents during one or more tidal periods:

$$[\overline{u}, \ \overline{v}] = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [u, v] dt$$

where u and v represent the eastward and northward components of current; \overline{u} and \overline{v} are the Eulerian residual current; and T is the averaging period, here set to 24 hours given the dominant tidal period is close to 24 hours and the model output is in 2-hour interval. Eight time slots have been selected to investigate the residual currents and water retention time in the period of spring and neap tides (Figure S1). The selected time slots covered the time in a dry month (February) and a wet month (August) in years before and after the construction of the Dawesville Cut (1990 & 1998). Note that the Eulerian time-mean method we used here is relatively simple with primary aim to investigate the current circulation in selected times. Many analytical methods had been proposed to investigate the tide-induced Lagrangian and Eulerian mean circulations in coastal environments (e.g. Cheng, 1996; Pattiaratchi et al., 1996; Wei et al., 2004). But that requires different model settings to our modelling studies and is beyond the current research scope.



Figure S1. Selected time slots for investigating the spring tide and neap tide on the water retention time. Each time slot spans 24 hours.

The calculated daily residual currents over each time slot at the surface and bottom layers (Figure S2) suggested that the opening of the Dawesville Cut has a strong impact on the residual currents. In the year of 1990, the surface residual currents in the Harvey Estuary were mostly moving northward, and regional-scale residual circulation around the Peel Inlet can be observed. Whilst in the year of 1998, strong residual currents via the Dawesville Cut and occasional circulation around the northern Harvey Estuary are observed. The results also indicated the residual current speeds in the shallow water of the basins were relatively smaller than that in the deeper water.



Figure S2. Plain views of daily residual currents in the selected time slots (as indicated in Figure S1). The total current speed is indicated with colour scales from 0-0.1 m/s.

We further analysed the impacts of the spring tide and neap tide on the modelled water retention time by averaging the modelled water age in each of the selected time slots (Figure S3). The average water age presented a clear temporal difference between seasons, and a spatial difference between the lagoons. The spatial distribution pattern of the water age is coincident with the residual current, e.g., areas around the channel mouth experienced more flushing, and the shallow water in the basins generally has higher water age than the deeper water.



Figure S3. Plain views of average modelled water age in the selected time slots (as indicated in Figure S1).

However, the difference of the water age between the spring and neap tide periods is relatively small when compared to the spatial and seasonal variations. A quantitative comparison of the water age between the spring tide and neap tide periods is shown in Figure S4, which shows the average water age in four areas of the estuary: east Peel Inlet, west Peel Inlet, north Harvey Estuary, and south Harvey Estuary. Note the water retention time is modelled using the 'water age' method, which is the time of a water parcel staying in the model domain since entering the domain from ocean and catchment input, so the impacts of tide excursion on the water age is compounded with the freshwater flushing. In this consideration, the summer time (February) with less freshwater input is more suitable to explore the tide impacts on the water age. In the year of 1990, the maximum difference in the water age in four areas is less than 5 days as observed in the Harvey Estuary. Whilst in the year of 1998, the maximum difference of the water age between the spring tide and neap tide is about 10 days, as observed in north Harvey Estuary. These results indicate the spring-neap change can affect the hydrology over the short-term scale. However, the difference of water age between the spring tide and neap tide is still small when compared to the impacts of the opening of the Dawesville Cut (~20-110 days) and the reduced flow from 1970 to present (~50-100 days).



Figure S4. Average water age during the spring tide and neap tide periods for selected time slots in four major regions of Peel-Harvey Estuary: east Peel Inlet; west Peel Inlet; north Harvey Estuary; south Harvey Estuary.

3. It is noted that the morphological change during the study period 1970-2016 is neglected in the hydrodynamical model. It is better to clarify that such an assumption is reasonable.

Response: Thanks for pointing this out. We agree it is important to discuss the morphological changes in the study site as it is a large but shallow lagoon. We had provided a literature review and discussion on this issue in our early response in the discussion forum. We have now included a new section in the discussion (section 4.3 - "Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development on the Peel-Harvey hydrology") in the revised manuscript to address the potential impacts from the morphological changes on the results.

4. Meanwhile, it is worth noting that the water quality (such as salinity and stratification) in the Peel-Harvey estuary was dramatically impacted by the urban development and the agricultural development in the upstream catchments. It appears that the authors also neglect these two factors in the hydrodynamical model. Some explanations can be provided in order to support the current results.

Response: We agree that the catchment development, especially the urban development and the agriculture development will impact the water quality as well as the flows, though we note the urban expansion is mainly on the coastal edge which are minor sub-catchments to the system. We had briefly discussed this point in our previous response on the discussion forum, and now included a more detailed discussion in the section 4.3 ("Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development on the Peel-Harvey hydrology") of the revised manuscript to address this issue.

5. With regard to the riverine flow rate reduction, to what extend the river damming affects the river flow? As we know, both the Serpentine and Harvey Rivers are dammed in the upstream catchment.

Response: This is another critical point that need to be clarified of the catchment inflows and we sincerely thank the reviewer for pointing this out. Please see the detailed response below. We have included the major points of this response in the section 4.3 ("Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development on the Peel-Harvey hydrology") of the revised manuscript.

There are 15 dams in the Peel-Harvey catchment (Table S2). The total catchment area to be dammed is 1,283 km², which is about 12% of the total Peel-Harvey catchment (10,671 km²) (Kelsey, 2011; Hennig et al., in prep). Most of the dams were completed in the time before 1970s when our study period started. The latest catchment modelling results (Hennig et al., in prep) showed that the average annual flow in the years of 2006-2015 from unrestricted catchments was 369 GL/yr while the flow from dammed catchments was 36 GL/yr, which would amount to an additional 10% increase in annual flow if these dams didn't exist. It is understood that no dams in the catchment have planned environmental water releases and it is expected that any water releases would either be a small proportion of flow. All dams except the North and South Dandalup dams have downstream flow measurement that was included in the estuary model. Thus, the effect of dam water releases would be included in the estuary model for the period where there is flow measurement. It is also understood that there are no plans to construct new dams in the catchment due to the considerable reduction in rainfall and streamflow in recent years. New water sources will likely come from unallocated groundwater (<10GL), desalination or wastewater reuse.

Table S2. Dams in the Peel-Harvey catchment. Re-printed from Table 2.4 of the catchment report of Kelsey (2011).

Dam	Completion year	Maximum capacity
Serpentine	1961	137667
Serpentine Pipehead	1957	2625
North Dandalup	1994	74849
North Dandalup Pipehead	1970	
Conjurunup Pipehead	1992	180
South Dandalup	1974	130000
South Dandalup Pipehead	1971	
Waroona	1966	15173
Drakes Brook	1931	2290
Samson Brook	1941	7993
Samson Brook Pipehead		
Logue Brook	1963	24321
Stirling	1948	53769
Stirling Pipehead	1920	
Harvey	1916	56441

Some Minor concerns:

1. In the title, 'hydrologic' \rightarrow 'hydrological'?

Response: Comment accepted.

2. It is better to use the SI units for the whole paper. For instance, replacing GL with m3 for the volume. **Response:** Comment accepted. We have checked through the manuscript and use the SI units consistently in the revision.

3. Figure 1: Add the north arrow and define the 'mAHD' in the main text. **Response:** Comment accepted.

4. Line 150: It is better to define the water age τ before mentioning it.

Response: Comment accepted.

5. Lines 252-253: Why using 1990 and 1998 for a comparison? It is better to clarify the choice.

Response: Comment accepted. These two years were compared because they have similar annual precipitation and catchment inflow rates, and tidal forcing characteristics in terms of the annual mean sea level and tidal range. Therefore, the comparison provided a valuable insight into the impacts of the artificial channel on the estuary environment. We have clarify the reasons of using these two years for comparison and the details of tidal characteristics in the section 3.1 ("Estuary response to the change in ocean connectivity") in the revised manuscript.

6. Figure 8: It is better to show some contour lines indicating the exact numbers.

Response: Comment accepted. We have now used contour colors and lines to indicate the numbers (Figure 9 in the revised manuscript).

7. Figure 9 and Figure 11: the color is not easy to distinguish.

Response: Comment accepted. We have improved the color scheme for these figures (Figure 10 and 12 in the revised manuscript).

8. Lines 471-472: It is better to define the TN and TP before using the abbreviations. **Response:** Comment accepted.

Reference:

- Codiga, D.L., 2011, Unified Tidal Analysis and Prediction Using the UTide Matlab Functions. Technical Report 2011-01. Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI. 59pp. ftp://www.po.gso.uri.edu/pub/downloads/codiga/pubs/2011Codiga-UTide-Report.pdf
- Kelsey, P., Hall, J., Kretschmer, P., Quinton, B. & Shakya D., 2011, Hydrological and nutrient modelling of the Peel-Harvey catchment, Water Science Technical Series, Report no.33, Department of Water, Western Australia.
- Pattiaratchi, C., Bowman, M. J. (Ed.), & Mooers, C. N. K. (Ed.) (1996). *Mixing in Estuaries and Coastal Seas*. Washington, D.C.: American Geophysical Union.
- Wei, H., Hainbucher, D., Pohlmann, T., Feng, S.Z., Suendermann J., 2004, Tidal-induced Lagrangian and Eulerian mean circulation in the Bohai Sea, Journal of Marine Systems, 44 (2004), pp. 141-151.

Cheng, R., 1990, Residual currents and long-term transport. Springer, Berlin, 544 p.

Hennig, K., Kelsey, P., Hall, J., Gunaratne, G.G., Robb, M., in preparation, Hydrological and nutrient modelling of the Peel-Harvey estuary catchment (2006–15), Water Science Technical Series, Aquatic Science Branch, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Perth, Western Australia.

Response to the comments of reviewer #2:

We thank the reviewer for the time examining the manuscript and the valuable comments that have helped to improve the manuscript. We have carefully addressed the comments in the following response and provided some further analysis to clarify the reviewer's major concerns, and indicate the relative revision in the revised manuscript. The comments of the reviewer are in black and our response are in blue.

General comments:

In this manuscript, titled "Climate change overtakes coastal engineering as the dominant driver of hydrologic change in a large shallow lagoon", the authors describe the application of an unstructured modelling system to investigate hydrodynamics in the Peel-Harvey Estuary-Lagoon. Even if some may modelling studies dealing with lagoon's hydrodynamics have been already published, I particularly enjoyed reading this paper, which is clear, to the point and most interesting. The applied numerical model was properly applied and model results correctly presented and discussed. I particularly appreciate the multi-year investigation to separate the effects of climate change and engineering interventions. I recommend publication, subject to the authors addressing the major comments made below.

Specific concerns:

1. Even the model has been validated, the authors did not carry out any calibration of the model parameters. The authors adopt bottom drag coefficient values based on the area type and the estimated biomass of aquatic vegetation within the cell. The selected values are probably retrieved from previous studies and not calibrated for the specific site. To my opinion every model application need a calibration phase were the most important model parameters are properly tuned (as also highlighted by the sensitivity tests). Therefore, I suggest to perform a model calibration.

Response: Thank you for highlighting this aspect of the model setup. We have now added a brief summary of the calibration approach in the revised manuscript (in section 2.2.3 - Model calibration, performance evaluation and sensitivity tests), and a supplemental document to this manuscript for the detailed results from the model calibration and validation, and the model sensitivity to selected environmental drivers.

2. I suggest including some general information about tide characteristics, average freshwater discharge and main wind regimes in PHE in the site description section (2.1).

Response: Comment accepted. We have now reworded the paragraph in section 2.1 - "Site Description" to include more details of the tide characteristics and freshwater discharge, and added a rose plot and description of the wind regimes in section 2.2.4 – "Climate change context and simulation rationale".

3. A detailed description of the open sea boundary conditions used in the simulations is needed.

Response: Comment accepted. The open sea boundary condition description is added to the section 2.2.1 - "Hydrodynamic modelling platform and numerical methods" (line 161 - 163) of the revised manuscript.

4. Please provide a more detailed description of the retention time computation (number of replicas per year, boundary conditions, initial conditions, treatment of the tail of the concentration decay when the simulation is shorted than the retention time, . . .). The work of Li et al. (2019) is not present in the reference list.

Response: Comment accepted. A more detailed description of computation methods of the retention time parameter (including those for water flushing time, water renewal time, and mixing efficiency) are added in the section 2.2.1 – "Hydrodynamic modelling platform and numerical methods". The work of Li et al. (2019)

was cited in the methodology section as a reference for the water age method, and the full description of this work is now included in the reference as: "Li, Y., Feng, H., Zhang, H., Sun, J., Yuan, D., Guo, L., Nie, J., Du, J. (2019), Hydrodynamics and water circulation in the New York/New Jersey Harbor: A study from the perspective of water age, Journal of Marine Systems, 199, doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103219".

5. Since the author is already computing the water retention time and the bulk flushing time, I strongly suggest to investigate the variation of the mixing efficiency of the lagoon. This will allow the author to investigate the effect of climate change and cut-opening not only on the sea-lagoon exchange (flushing), but also on the internal mixing processes. As far as I understood, the retention time computed by the author is similar to the water renewal time estimated by Umgiesser et al. (2014). According to Umgiesser et al (2014), the ratio between the bulk flushing time and the mean renewal time can be interpreted as an index of the mixing behaviour of the basin (i.e. mixing efficiency, ME). ME ranges between 0 and 1 and is equal to 1 in case of a fully mixed system (renewal time becomes equal to flushing time). In the theoretical case of ME = 0, the water masses entering the lagoon do not mix at all with the inner waters, and the renewal time goes to infinity.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the recommendation of the reference of Umgiesser et al. (2014). We have used the same methods of water retention time, water renewal time, and mixing efficiency in the revision to investigate the changes in water transportation and mixing due to the construction of the artificial channel and the changes in the catchment inflow. We found the mixing efficiency method is attractive and useful. For example, the construction of the artificial channel changed the lagoon type from a restricted-type lagoon to a moderately leaky-type lagoon; the artificial channel did not affect the mixing efficiency in the dry season, but make a difference during the wet season when the lagoon received significant amount of freshwater runoff. The details of the results are included in section 3.1 - "Estuary response to the change in ocean connectivity", and the related discussion are added in section 4.1 - "Changes in flushing and mixing with increasing ocean connectivity".

6. In commenting the possible future changes in PHE hydrodynamics, please consider also that these coastal environments can act as sentinel systems for observation of global change (see ad example Ferrarin et al., 2014).

Response: We thank the reviewer for the recommendation of the reference of Ferrarin et al., (2014). This paper is a valuable reference to the current manuscript and is now included in the introduction section to further explain the importance of the current research (line 65-70).

Minor comments:

1. Change hydrologic to hydrological

Response: Comment accepted.

2. Line 13-15: I suggest to remove this statement since is not valid in general.

Response: Thank you for pointing out this. We did not mean that artificially channel is a common engineering intervention of many estuarine lagoons. The abstract has been reworded to avoid confusion.

3. For the water inflow rate and fluxes I would suggest to use m³ instead of GL.

Response: Comment accepted. We have checked through the manuscript to use the SI units consistently.

4. I suggest to remove Figure 11, because the results are clearly explained in the text.

Response: Thank you for the suggestion. While we agree that the results of salinity stratification are explained in the text, we still think this figure can work to provide a quantitative evaluation of the salinity stratification in space and time, therefore help the readers to better understand the heterogeneity and evolution of stratification. As stratification is also a key character of the estuary hydrology, we would suggest to keep this figure in the manuscript (now Figure 12), but subject to finalization of the discussion.

Reference:

- Ferrarin, C., Bajo, M., Bellafiore, D., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Ghezzo, M., Umgiesser G., 2014, Toward homogenization of Mediterranean lagoons and their loss of hydrodiversity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 5935–5941, doi:10.1002/2014GL060843.
- Li, Y., Feng, H., Zhang, H., Sun, J., Yuan, D., Guo, L., Nie, J., Du, J., 2019, Hydrodynamics and water circulation in the New York/New Jersey Harbor: A study from the perspective of water age, Journal of Marine Systems, 199, doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103219.
- Monsen, N. E., Cloern, J. E., Lucas, L.V., Monismith, S.G., 2002. A comment on the use of flushing time, residence time, and age as transport time scales. Limnology & Oceanography, 47:1545–1553.
- Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzo, M., Bajo, M., 2014, Comparative hydrodynamics of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of numerical modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 119, 2212–2226, doi:10.1002/2013JC009512.

Response to the comments of reviewer #3:

We thank the reviewer for the valuable comments and the time examining the manuscript. We have carefully addressed the comments in the following response and provided some further analysis and statements to clarify the reviewer's major concerns, and indicate the relative revision in the revised manuscript. The comments of the reviewer are in black and our response are in blue.

General comments:

In this manuscript, authors assessed the impacts of climate change and artificial channel on the water retention time, salinity and stratification of the Peel-Harvey Estuary (a large chocked-type lagoon) in 1970-2016, and their evolvement under current climate projections, based on a 3D finite-volume hydrodynamic model. There are some issues which are as follows.

Major Points:

1. In introduction section, authors mainly introduced the importance of the topic and what they did. However, the related work of other researchers was not introduced.

Response: Thank you for the suggestion. It was our aim in the introduction to highlight the motivation and importance of the topic, identifying more specific research questions in the context of prior work and introducing the approach and aims. We acknowledge that we could further strengthen the link to prior studies of the generic impacts from climate change and anthropogenic intervention on lagoon hydrology. We have now undertaken a further review of prior related work and further refined the introduction in line 62 - 78.

2. In the Methods section, it is better that all data used and their resources were introduced together. It seems that some data was introduced, but some not. In page 7, lines 202-203, "Gauged flow rate data for the Murray River, Serpentine River and Harvey River were applied to the hydrodynamic model whenever they are available. For the missing periods in the gauged flows and the ungauged drains, the output from the Source ...". Which data is available, and which is not available? Which periods are the missing periods? Where are the ungauged drains?

Response: Thank you for pointing out this issue. We have now added a more detailed description of the catchment runoff data (in line 277 - 283, section 2.2.4 - "Climate change context and simulation rationale"), and added the locations of where the ungauged flow entering the estuary and main rivers in Figure 1.

3. About the meteorological inputs of the model, there are various data sources: station Halls Head before 1981, climate model simulations 1981-2000, and station Mandurah since 2001. The different sources of data could influence the results.

Response: We agree that meteorological inputs from different sources would influence the results due to site specific biases they may have. We have now added a rose plot (same as Figure S5 below) and description of the wind regimes from various data source in the revised manuscript (section 2.2.4 – "Climate change context and simulation rationale"), and added the locations of weather stations in Figure 1. Though various sources of climate data were used, the wind regimes of these data sources showed similar distribution in wind magnitudes and directions. The winds in the Mandurah station record are relatively smaller when compared to other two sources, however, this difference may be due to the natural variation in the climate and are not expected to change the main hydrological features in the lagoon.



Figure S5. Rose plot of wind condition in years of (a) 1970-1980, obtained from the Halls Head weather station; (b) 1981-2000, obtained from the WRF weather model; and (c) 2001-2016, obtained from the Mandurah weather station.

4. There is no calibration of the model parameters.

Response: We have now added a brief summary of the calibration approach in the revised manuscript (in section 2.2.3 - Model calibration, performance evaluation and sensitivity tests), and a supplemental document to this manuscript for the detailed results from the model calibration and validation, and the model sensitivity to selected environmental drivers.

5. Topography is also an important factor affecting the hydrology of an estuary. Between 1970 and 2016, how did the topography of the lagoon and the natural channel change and affect the hydrology? There is no anything about this.

Response: We agree that changes to the lagoon bathymetry could be a factor that could lead to changes in the hydrology of the estuary, and further explanation is required to clarify the potential significance of this. We had provided a literature review and discussion on this issue in our early response in the discussion forum. We have now included a new section in the discussion (section 4.3 - "Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development on the Peel-Harvey hydrology") in the revised manuscript to address the potential impacts from the morphological changes on the results.

6. The results for 2040 and 2060 based on projected climate seem to be too simple. And there is no any explanation.

Response: We acknowledge that our future climate projection is relatively simple to investigate the future hydrology in the Peel-Harvey Estuary, although our projections for weather and flow change were based on the average trend reported from more detailed studies using an ensemble of climate models (Silberstein et al., 2012; Smith and Power, 2014). The Peel-Harvey region has experienced a widely reported decline in rainfall over the last several decades (CSIRO & BoM 2007; IPCC 2007; CSIRO 2009; Hope & Ganter 2010). The trend in rainfall decline is expected to continue, based on the climate projections from general circulation models (GCMs) results (CSIRO 2009; Smith and Power, 2014). Given the nature of our research questions was to extrapolate the mean trend that we reported from the hind-cast simulations, we focus the future scenarios on the changes of hydrology under the projected average reduction in the flow from the ensemble models (Smith and Power, 2014), with an assumed mean rate of sea level rise (Kuhn et al., 2011), to highlight the general trend and allow for prioritization of adaptation strategies such as environmental water allocation policies. This approach is over-simplistic also in that it assumes no seasonal change in hydrologic trends,

and there has been recent evidence that increasing summer floods are occurring and the winter peak flows are decreasing as a fraction of the annual total (McFarlane et al., 2020). We have now integrated this response into the manuscript to further explain the approach of future projection, plus added to the discussion on the significance of this uncertainty and the requirement of future research on this topic in section 4.4 - "Uncertainty of future hydrology".

7. In 4.1 section, the contents in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 mostly repeated the results. Here, the compare between your results and other related research should be shown.

Response: Thank you for the suggestion. We focused our discussion on how the interaction of the climate change affects with the artificial channel on the hydrology in these paragraphs. We first compared results from the current study because study cases of the interaction of the climate and artificial channel are relatively rare. We have carried a further modelling work to study the mixing efficiency in the Peel-Harvey Estuary using the same numerical methods of water renewal time and flushing time as the studies of Umgiesser et al. (2014), who compared the impact of climate change on the hydrology of 10 Mediterranean lagoons, and improved the discussion of the water transportation and mixing in response to the changes in ocean connectivity and catchment flows by comparing our results to the findings from Umgiesser et al., (2014). We also improved the discussions of the individual and combined impacts of the drying climate and artificial channel on the lagoon hydrology evolution, and added discussions on the impacts from topographic changes and catchment development, and further explain the future climate projections.

Minor points:

1. All important stations or locations mentioned in the manuscript should be occurred in Figure 1.

Response: Comment accepted. We added the ungauged inflow locations, the weather station locations, and the site of Mandurah channel to the site map.

2. GL and mAHD should be changed to international units.

Response: Comment accepted. We have checked through the manuscript to use the SI units consistently. The unit of mAHD stands for elevation in meters with respect to the Australian Height Datum and is now explained in the Figure 1 caption.

3. Impacts on the stratification were shown in the results and conclusions. Why were they not mentioned in abstract?

Response: Thank you for pointing out this issue. We added the impacts on the stratification to the abstract as "The opening of the artificial channel is shown to increase the seawater fluxes and the salinity stratification, while the drying climate had reduced the salinity stratification in the main body of the estuary."

4. The citation of reference is disorder. If several references are cited together, they should be put in order according to publishing year.

Response: Thank you for pointing out this and apologize for the disorder of the reference citation. The citation was created automatically by a citation software in order of names. We have went through the manuscript and cleaned up the citation format.

5. In page 6, line 186, WA region means Western Australia? The indication of this abbreviation is not seen

Response: Yes the WA is an abbreviation for Western Australia. Sorry for missing the explanation of the abbreviation which is now added into the manuscript in the revision.

6. In Figure 1 "Peel Estuary" is indicated. However, in the text and other figures only "Peel Inlet" can be

seen. Are they the same location? If yes, in Figure 1, text, and other figures they should be the same. **Response:** Thank you for pointing out this. The Peel Inlet is also referred as Peel Estuary by local management agencies, but the formal name for this lagoon should be Peel Inlet. We apologize for the misuse of this name in the site map. We now use the name "Peel Inlet" consistently in the revision.

7. Page 10, lines 277-279, authors said "the tide elevations in the ocean showed similar characteristics in 1990 and 1998 in terms of the annual mean sea level (-0.071 mAHD and -0.027 mAHD in 1990 and 1998, respectively) and tidal range (both < 1 m)". The plot (a) of Figure 4 shows the detailed sea levels in 1990 and 1998. Why did you only compare the annual mean sea level? It can be seen from plot (a) that the sea levels in 1998 also had a wider range variation, similar to the estuary surface elevation.

Response: Thank you for pointing out this. We agree that the tide condition in the year of 1990 and 1998 need to be further declared. We have further analysed the exceeding percentage distribution of the tide elevations and compared the tide constituents in these two years, and added the results in section 3.1 -"Estuary response to the change in ocean connectivity".

8. Caption of Figure 4 is not proper. It looks like three figures.

Response: Comment accepted. We now combined the tidal elevation plot with the elevation exceedance plot in Figure 4, and showed the changes in surface elevation within the lagoon in Figure 6.

9. Table 3: (1) In caption of the table, "Summary" should be deleted. (2) About the performance of salinity, it can be seen that errors after 1998 are clearly larger. Why?

Response: (1) Comment accepted. We have now moved the model validation table to the supplementary document, and deleted the "Summary" from the caption. (2) We wonder the introduction of the artificial channel after 1994 may have increased the complexity of salinity in the 6 monitoring sites within the estuary, therefore introduce more bias in the model output when compared to observation. For example, mechanical sand bypassing has been undertaken in the Dawesville Cut each year to maintain the channel since construction. This operation may have affected the water exchange, however, cannot be resolved by the hydrological model.

10. Caption of Figure 10, "The darker symbols indicate the years with accidental summer rainfall events and caused the catchment inflows higher than 15 GL". In this sentence "and caused" seems syntax error.

Response: Yes it is a type error and thank you for pointing out this. We now reword the caption to be: "The darker symbols indicate the years with accidental summer rainfall events, during which the total catchment inflows in summer season higher than 15×10^6 m³."

Reference:

- CSIRO & Bureau of Meteorology, 2007, Climate change in Australia technical report 2007, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra.
- CSIRO, 2009, Surface water yields in south-west Western Australia: a report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO South-West Western Australia Sustainable Yields Project, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra.
- Hope, P., Ganter, C., 2010, Recent and projected rainfall trends in south-west Australia and the associated shifts in weather systems, in I Jubb, P Holper & W Cai (eds), Managing climate change, Papers from the Greenhouse 2009 Conference, CSIRO Publishing.

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007, Climate change 2007: Synthesis report, contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, in R Pachauri & A Reisinger (eds), IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, ISBN 92-9169-122-4.
- Kuhn, M., Tuladhar, D. and Corner, R.: Visualising the spatial extent of predicted coastal zone inundation due to sea level rise 614 in south-west Western Australia, Ocean Coast. Manag., 54(11), 796–806, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2011.08.005, 2011.
- McFarlane, D., George, R., Ruprecht, J., Charles, S., Hodgson, G., 2020, Runoff and groundwater responses to climate change in South West Australia, Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia, 103: 9-27.
- Silberstein, R. P., Aryal, S. K., Durrant, J., Pearcey, M., Braccia, M., Charles, S. P., Boniecka, L., Hodgson, G. A., Bari, M. A., Viney, N. R. and McFarlane, D. J., 2012, Climate change and runoff in south-western Australia, J. Hydrol., 475, 441–455, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.009.
- Smith, I., Power, S., 2014, Past and future changes to inflows into Perth (Western Australia) dams, J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud., 2, 84–96, doi:10.1016/j.ejrh.2014.08.005.
- Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzo, M., Bajo, M., 2014, Comparative hydrodynamics of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of numerical modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 119, 2212–2226, doi:10.1002/2013JC009512.

Marked-up manuscript version with change tracked

Climate change overtakes coastal engineering as the dominant driver of hydrological change in a large shallow lagoon

³ Peisheng Huang^{1,2}, Karl Hennig³, Jatin Kala⁴, Julia Andrys⁴, Matthew R. Hipsey^{1,2}

¹Aquatic Ecodynamics, UWA School of Agriculture and Environment, The University of Western Australia, Crawley WA
 6009, Australia.

⁶ ²UWA Oceans Institute, The University of Western Australia, Crawley WA 6009, Australia.

³Water Science Branch, Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, Perth WA 6842, Australia.

⁴Environmental and Conservation Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch WA 6015, Australia.

9 *Correspondence to*: Peisheng Huang (peisheng.huang@uwa.edu.au)

10 Abstract. Ecosystems in shallow, micro-tidal lagoons are particularly sensitive to hydrologic changes. Lagoons are also highly 11 complex transitional ecosystems between land and sea, and the signals of direct human disturbance to the lagoon can be 12 confounded by variability of the climate system, but from an effective estuary management perspective the effects of climate 13 versus direct human engineering interventions need to be identified separately. Although many estuarine lagoons have undergone substantial human interventions, such as artificial channels, the effects from the interaction of climate change with 14 15 engineering interventions have not been well evaluated. This study developed a 3D finite-volume hydrodynamic model to assess changes in hydrodynamics of the Peel-Harvey Estuary, a large chocked typeshallow lagoon with restricted connection 16 17 with ocean, considering how attributes such as water retention time, salinity and stratification have responded to a range of 18 factors, focusing on the drying climate trend and the opening of a large artificial channel over the period from 1970 to 2016, 19 and how they will evolve under current climate projections. The results show that the introduction of the artificial channel has 20 fundamentally modified the flushing and mixing within the lagoon, and the drying climate has fundamentally changed the hydrology by comparable magnitudes to that of the opening of the artificial channel₁₇ and The results also highlight the 21 22 complexity of their interacting impacts. Firstly, the artificial channel successfully improved the estuary flushing by reducing 23 average water ages by 20-110 days; while in contrast the reduced precipitation and catchment inflow had a gradual opposite 24 effect on the water ages, and during the wet season this has almost counteracted the reduction brought about by the channel. Secondly, the drying climate caused an increase in the salinity of the lagoon by 10-30 PSU; whilst the artificial channel 25 26 increased the salinity during the wet season, it has reduced the likelihood of hypersalinity (>40 PSU) during the dry season in 27 some areas. The opening of the artificial channel was also shown to increase the seawater fluxes and salinity stratification, 28 while the drying climate acted to reduce the salinity stratification in the main body of the estuary. The impacts also varied 29 spatially in this large lagoon. The southern estuary, which has the least connection with ocean through the natural channel, is 30 the most sensitive to climate change and the opening of the artificial channel. The projected future drying climate is shown to 31 slightly increase the retention time and salinity in the lagoon, and increase the hypersalinity risk in the rivers. The significance 32 of these changes for nutrient retention and estuary ecology are discussed, highlighting the importance of these factors when 33 setting up monitoring programs, environmental flow strategies and nutrient load reduction targets.

34 1 Introduction

Hydrologic features such as water circulation and retention, and the pattern of saline water intrusion, are critical in shaping estuarine ecosystems. The interactions between freshwater runoff pulses with ocean water can create complex hydrodynamics that subsequently structures coastal biogeochemical processes, including the distribution of sediment and nutrients, and areas favourable for primary productivity (e.g. Legović et al., 1994; Kasai et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2014; Cloern et al., 2017). Whilst nutrient loads are the primary determinant affecting the long-term trophic state of coastal waters (Howarth & Marino, 2006; Williamson et al., 2017), the time-scales associated with water retention and mixing are critical in mediating the relationship between nutrient inputs and the ensuing water quality response, including the likelihood of nuisance algal blooms or hypoxia (e.g. Knoppers et al., 1991; Ferreira et al., 2005; Paerl et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2017). The retention of water and hydrodynamic patterns that emerge in any given site are largely dependent upon local geomorphological features, though increasingly coastal engineering and changes in river hydrology disturb natural patterns of water exchange (Knoppers et al., 1991; Kjerfve et al., 1996; Dufour et al., 2001; Gong et al., 2008; Odebrecht et al., 2015; Almroth-Rosell et al., 2016). Understanding and predicting these hydrologic changes is critical to underpin adaptive approaches to estuary water quality management and ecological restoration.

- 49 Coastal lagoons and embayments with low rates of ocean exchange are particularly sensitive relative to other estuary forms. 50 The typical low flushing rates leads to high rates of deposition of sediment and particulate matter, and accumulation of nutrients 51 (e.g. Newton et al., 2014, 2018; Paerl et al., 2014). They are also productive ecosystems and often experience conflicting 52 interests between the ecosystem services they provide and the pressures from urban development and agricultural expansion 53 (Petersen et al., 2008; Zaldívar et al., 2008; Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2011; Basset et al., 2013; Newton et al., 2014). In most cases, 54 salt intrusion mediates lagoon salinity and drives a difference between the surface and bottom salinity (salinity stratification). 55 In highly seasonal systems this effect leads to notable oxygen depletion and establishes hypoxia in the bottom boundary layer 56 (Bruce et al., 2014; Cottingham et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2018). In Mediterranean climate regions, further concerns of 57 hypersalinity through evaporation during the long dry summer and autumn months also exist (Potter et al., 2010). As a result, 58 the hydrodynamics of coastal lagoons are frequently modified through the creation of artificial channels built to enhance 59 hydrologic connectivity to the ocean, and increase nutrient export (e.g. Breardley 2005; Manda et al., 2014; Prestrelo and 60 Monteiro-Neto, 2016), or indirectly by engineering projects associated with dredging and coastal management (e.g. Ghezzo et 61 al., 2010; Sahu et al., 2014).
- 62

48

63 Changes in lagoon hydrology result from variability in river flows, and meteorological and ocean conditions, alongside 64 (sporadic) human interventions associated with coastal engineering developments. Globally, many studies have shown that 65 coastal lagoon systems are vulnerable to climate change, including the factors from reduced flow and/or sea level rise (Nicholls 66 & Hoozemans, 1996; Nicholls et al., 1999; Scavia et al., 2002; Chapman, 2012; Newton et al., 2014; Umgiesser et al., 2014). 67 In particular, shallow coastal lagoons respond quickly to the ocean and catchment inputs whilst their geomorphological 68 characteristics (bathymetry and especially the configuration of their inlets with the open sea) affect their hydrodynamics 69 including circulation patterns, flushing time and water mixing (e.g. Smith, 1994; Spaulding, 1994; Koutitonsky, 2005; 70 Umgiesser et al., 2014). This attribute has meant that these systems therefore amplify the salinity and temperature changes 71 expected from climate change relative to the open sea, and that they can serve as sentinel systems for global change studies 72 (Ferrarin et al., 2014). On the other hand, anthropogenic activities introduce hydrological modifications associated with water 73 resource management (e.g. Hollis, 1990; Kingsford et al., 2006; Gong et al., 2008) and engineering modifications (Ghezzo et 74 al., 2010; Garcia-Oliva et al., 2018). Among which, the opening of artificial channels in the lagoon systems has been a popular 75 measure to enhance the ocean connectivity, but this has the effect to fundamentally alter the hydrology and aquatic 76 communities (e.g. Lord, 1998; Manda et al., 2014; Prestrelo and Monteiro-Neto, 2016; Garcia-Oliva et al., 2018). Changes in 77 the connection of restricted lagoons with the ocean can exhibit a marked change in the salinity pattern or the extent of 78 hypersaline conditions (Kjerfve, 1994; Gamito et al., 2005), and subsequently influence the ecosystem within these lagoons 79 (Gamito, 2006; Garcia-Oliva et al., 2018). These enhance the need to assess lagoon hydrological function if these impacts are 80 to be predicted and, where necessary, mitigation measures developed, in conjunction with climate influences. However, 81 Ftracking these long-term changes in hydrology remains an ongoing challenge since the signals of human disturbance are 82 often confounded by variability of the climate system and lost in the dynamic estuarine conditions (Cloern et al., 2016; Feyrer

- 83 et al., 2015). (Feyrer et al., 2015; Cloern et al., 2016), Although the impacts of climate change on estuarine hydrology have 84 been shown to significantly affect the water quantity and quality of many estuaries (e.g. Ducharne et al., 2007; Liu and Chan, 85 2016; Lloret et al., 2008; Whitehead et al., 2009), and the interacting effects of introducing human interventions in conjunction 86 with climate change trends are not necessarily easy to predict. For example, the opening of an artificial channel and a drying 87 climate can both introduce more ocean water into an estuary. On the other hand, the drying climate enhances water residence 88 time, which may cancel out flushing benefits from the artificial channel. The combined effects are further complicated in large 89 lagoon-type estuaries with complex morphology, where complicated patterns of water retention and stratification can develop 90 (e.g. Ferrarin et al., 2013). From a lagoon management perspective, it is necessary to attribute the impacts from climate and 91 human activity factors to better plan the necessary estuary and catchment management activities, including adaptation 92 strategies for associated with nutrient load targets and, in some cases, environmental water provision.
- 94 Here we explore these ideas through reconstruction of the long-term hydrologic evolution of a large estuarine lagoon in 95 Western Australia: the Peel-Harvey Estuary (PHE). The PHE system has been subject to both a notable drying climate trend 96 and substantial coastal modification in the form of an opening of a large artificial channel, coastal development and dredging. 97 The artificial channel, termed the "Dawesville Cut" (hereafter referred as "the Cut"), was built in 1994 with the purpose of 98 increasing the flushing of the lagoons and reducing nutrient concentrations. In parallel, the impact on inland water resources 99 of recent climate trends has been particularly acute in the PHE catchment, which was acknowledged by the IPCC AR4 100 identifying this region as one that has experienced amongst the greatest impact on divertible water resources in the world(Izrael 101 et al., 2007; Bates et al., 2008). From the 1970s, rainfall has decreased by 16% and stream flows have declined by more than 102 50%, a trend which has appeared to accelerate since the 2000s (Silberstein et al., 2012). Whilst the nutrient and phytoplankton 103 concentrations have been successfully reduced by the construction of the channel (Brearley, 2005), the long-term river flows 104 have shown a clear trend of decreasing inputs to the estuary with concerns for the conditions of the tidal riverine portions of 105 the system (Gillanders et al., 2011; Hallett et al., 2018). A series of water quality improvement plans (e.g. Environmental 106 Protection Authority, 2008; Rogers et al., 2010) continue to be developed to promote estuary health, however, ongoing 107 concerns about the current and future water quality and ecologic condition of the system (Valesini et al., 2019) requires 108 knowledge of spatiotemporal changes in water retention, stratification and salinisation to support adaptation efforts.
- 109

93

110 It is therefore the aim of this study to develop a methodology to disentangle drivers of change of the PHE system, over the 111 period from 1970 to 2016, and outline the expected future trajectory of lagoon conditions. To this end we employ a 3-112 dimentional finite-volume hydrodynamic model for analysis of environmental drivers on estuarine hydrology by comparing 113 current and counter-factual modelling scenarios to enable attribution of the drivers of change. To enable the long-term 114 reconstruction of the model simulations for periods before the instrument record, and for future conditions, we drive the model 115 with a hybrid set of weather and hydrological boundary condition data from observations and supporting models. The results 116 of simulations are presented to analyse the sensitivity of water retention time, salinity and stratification within the lagoon to 117 selected factors. By untangling the effect of the drying climate versus the Cut opening, through time and space, we explore the 118 results through the lens of nutrient load reduction targets and biodiversity management implications. We anticipate the 119 approach adopted here can be useful to assist in the climate change adaptation efforts for other estuarine lagoons in mid-120 latitude regions.

122 **2 Methods**

123 **2.1 Site description**

124 PHE is a large shallow coastal estuary-lagoon system located approximately 75 km south of Perth in Western Australia (Figure 125 1), which is listed under the Ramsar convention for wetlands of international significance. The estuary has a complex 126 morphometry and comprises two shallow lagoons, one is the Peel Inlet, a circular inlet to the north, and the other the Harvey 127 estuary, an oblong lagoon attached to the Peel Inlet at its north-eastern edge, with a combined area of approximately 133 km². 128 The estuary experiences a micro-tidal regime (tidal range < 1 m) and connects to the ocean via two channels: 1) the Mandurah 129 Channel, a natural but narrow 5 km long channel with water depths varying between 2 m and 5 m; and 2) the Cut, an artificial 130 channel of about 2.5 km long, 200 m wide and between 6 and 6.5 m deep, built in 1994 (Bicknell, 2006; Environmental 131 Protection Authority, 2008). The coastal catchment of the estuary is drained by three major river systems: the Serpentine, 132 Murray and Harvey Rivers (Figure 1), and numerous minor drains. The riverine portions depicted are tidal and experience 133 marine water intrusion for extended periods throughout the year. The system experiences a Mediterranean-type climate 134 characterised by a strong seasonal pattern of cool wet winters and hot dry summers, with almost all of the annual rainfall 135 occurring during the cooler months of May to October (Finlayson & McMahon, 1988; Gentilli, 1971).

<u>The estuary experiences a micro-tidal regime, with a range < 1m. The tide is dominated by the lunar diurnal constituents (K1,</u>
 O1) contributing 87% of the tide potential energy, followed by the solar diurnal constituent (P1), principal lunar semidiurnal

138 <u>constituent (M2), and principal solar semi-diurnal constituent (S2) (Table 1). The coastal catchment of the estuary is drained</u>

by three major river systems: the Serpentine, Murray and Harvey Rivers (on average contributing 16.4%, 46.5%, and 30.8%

140 to the total flow, respectively), and numerous minor drains (contributing 6.3% to the total flow) (Kelsey et al., 2011).

141

Table 1. Principal tidal constituents for Fremantle tide record from 1970 to 2017

<u>Constituents</u>	<u>Potential Energy</u> (%)	<u>Amplitude (m)</u>	<u>Greenwich phase</u> <u>lag (degrees)</u>	<u>Frequency (cycles per</u> <u>hour)</u>
<u>K1</u>	<u>61.08</u>	<u>0.156</u>	<u>324</u>	<u>0.0418</u>
<u>01</u>	<u>25.95</u>	<u>0.101</u>	<u>308</u>	<u>0.0387</u>
<u>P1</u>	<u>6.06</u>	<u>0.049</u>	<u>314</u>	<u>0.0416</u>
<u>M2</u>	<u>3.53</u>	<u>0.0374</u>	<u>323</u>	<u>0.0805</u>
<u>82</u>	<u>3.37</u>	<u>0.0365</u>	<u>334</u>	<u>0.0833</u>

143

144

145



147

Figure 1. (a) Model domain of the Peel-Harvey Estuary and three main rivers: Serpentine River, Murray River, and Harvey River, the tidal portion of each is depicted up to the gauge location. The colours indicate the water depths of the study domain in unit of Australian Height Datum (AHD); the black crosses within the estuary indicate the 6 monitoring sites, the red crosses indicate the locations of ungauged flows entering the main rivers and estuary; the blue crosses indicate the location of weather stations, and the red polygons indicate the areas for result analysis. b) Zonal categorization of the model domain according to the area and aquatic vegetation biomass (see Table <u>+2</u>), and c) a zoom-in view of the artificial channel Dawesville Cut, constructed in 1994 to improve ocean flushing.

157 2.2 Modelling Approach

158 2.2.1 Hydrodynamic modelling platform and numerical methods

159 The TUFLOW-FV (BMT WBM, 2013) hydrodynamic model was adopted, using a flexible-mesh (finite volume) approach to 160 resolve the variations in water level, horizontal salinity distribution and vertical density stratification in response to tides, 161 inflows and surface thermodynamics. The mesh consists of triangular and quadrilateral elements of different size that are suited 162 to simulating areas of complex estuarine morphometry. To meet accuracy requirements, fine-grid resolution (mean mesh area 163 ~12000 m²) was used within the lagoons and coarse resolution was implemented towards the ocean boundary. The vertical 164 mesh discretization adopted a hybrid sigma-z coordinate allowing multiple surface Lagrangian layers to respond to tidal 165 elevation changes. The layer thickness was 0.2 m at depths of 1.0 - 5.0 m that gradually increased to 0.5 m in deeper water 166 and then five uniformly-distributed sigma layers were then-added above the fixed-thickness layers. The finite volume 167 numerical scheme solves the conservative integral form of the nonlinear shallow water equations in addition to the advection 168 and transport of scalar constituents such as salinity and temperature. The equations are solved in 3D with baroclinic coupling 169 from both salinity and temperature using the UNESCO equation of state (Fofonoff & Millard, 1983). The water level at the 170 ocean boundary was specified with the record (every 15 minutes) from the Fremantle gauge station located about 52 km to the 171 north of the study site, while the velocity was calculated internally based on a radiation condition assumption.

172

173 Surface momentum exchange and heat dynamics are solved internally within TUFLOW-FV. In the current application, 174 turbulent mixing of momentum and scalars has been calculated using the Smagorinsky scheme in the horizontal plane and 175 through coupling with the General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM) for vertical mixing with option of second order k e 176 turbulence closure. The bottom shear stress was calculated using a roughness-length relationship assuming a rough-turbulent 177 logarithmic velocity profile in the lowest model layer. The roughness length, z₀, settings were based on the area type (e.g. 178 coast, rivers, and estuary) and the estimated biomass of aquatic vegetation within the cell. For this purpose, the modelling 179 bottom was categorized into eight zones (Figure 1b) where the benthic characteristics and associated z_0 in each zone were 180 specified (Table 42). While the setting of z_0 affected the water advection and uncertainty remains in the spatial (and temporal) 181 variability in the z_0 , it is important to note that the modelled water age and salinity do not change fundamentally over a 182 reasonable range of z_0 , as shown in the results of the model sensitivity tests described later.

183

184 Multiple concepts of hydrodynamic time parameters (flushing time, residence time, water age, export time, etc.) have been 185 used in coastal hydrology research, and each of these parameters are different in their definition and application (e.g. Jouon et 186 al., 2006; Monsen et al., 2002; Sheldon & Alber, 2006). This study employed a few hydrodynamic time parameters to serve 187 for different study purposes. The first time parameter was the 'water age', which was defined as the time the water had spent 188 since entering the estuary through the boundaries (either the ocean or rivers), and was computed in each computational cell as 189 a conservative tracer subject to a constant increase with time ('aging') and mixing (Li et al. 2019) as :

- 190
- 191 $d\tau/dt = 1$,

192 where τ is the water age, and t is the time, with settings of initial water age set to 0 throughout the estuary and water age of 0

193 set on the seaward and freshwater boundaries. This method provided the temporal and spatial variation in water retention, $\tau =$

194 $\tau(x, y, z, t)$. This 'water age' method is considered to have an advantage of presenting the spatial heterogeneity of water

- 195 retention (Monsen et al., 2002) and suitable for long term hydrology simulations, and employed in this study to investigate the
- 196 long-term evolution of water retention characteristics.
- 197

198	Secondly, the hydrodynamic time parameters of water flushing time (WFT) and water renewal time (WRT) were used to
199	investigate the changes in the mixing efficiency (ME) due to the changes in the ocean connectivity by the opening of the
200	artificial channel and the changes in catchment inflows. WFT is a bulk basin-wide water flushing time scale, defined as
201	WFT = V/Q
202	where V is the total estuary volume and Q is the water flux flowing out of the system. The WRT is defined as the time required
203	for each cell of the lagoon domain to replace the originally released conservative tracer, with settings of initial tracer
204	concentration set to 1 uniformly throughout the estuary, and a concentration of zero imposed on the inlets and freshwater
205	boundaries.
206	After WFT and WRT are calculated, the ME can be obtained as the ratio between WFT and WRT. ME ranges between 0 and
207	1. In the theoretical case of ME=1, the estuary is considered as a fully mixed system; while ME=0 indicates there is no mixing
208	of water masses entering the estuary with the inner waters. The ME is a useful index for investigating the mixing behaviour of
209	the lagoons, and also for lagoons intercomparison and classification of their ocean connection types (Umsiesser et al., 2013),
210	and was employed to investigate the impact of the Cut opening and catchment inflows on the estuary mixing.
211	
212	
213	2.2.3 Model <u>calibration</u> , performance evaluation and sensitivity tests

214 The model was calibrated with a structured hierarchical approach, similar to those described in Muleta and Nicklow (2004) 215 and Hipsey et al. (2020). This approach first identified the key parameters of importance to the hydrology in the current study 216 based on literature review and prior expert knowledge. In this stage, the key parameters were identified to be the bottom drag 217 coefficient, the bulk aerodynamic coefficients, and the mixing scheme options associated with the vertical turbulence model 218 (in this case this is parameterized through the GOTM plugin), and the bulk transfer coefficient for latent heat flux. In the 219 second stage, a matrix of simulations, each with pre-determined parameter vectors and model options, was assessed against 220 the observed salinity and temperature data at six stations within the estuary (Figure 1, at both surface and bottom levels), and 221 the water elevation at the centre of the Peel Inlet in year 1998, which presented a year with median rainfall and catchment 222 inflows. The capability of the model to reproduce the salinity stratification (magnitude of difference between the surface and 223 bottom salinity) created by the interaction of ocean intrusion and freshwater runoff during the wet season was also considered 224 in the model calibration. Based on the calibration results, a k-E mixing scheme, a linear model for the aerodynamic coefficients 225 (Wu, 1982), a bulk transfer coefficient for latent heat flux of 0.0013, and the roughness scales as in Table 2 were selected. 226 After calibration, the model was then validated with The model accuracy in reproducing the key hydrologic features was 227 assessed by using the salinity and temperature data measured at six monitoring stations along the estuary (Figure 1) in all 228 simulated years (except 1970 when the monitored data at 6 stations were not available). Monthly salinity and temperature 229 datasets were obtained from the Marine and Freshwater Research Laboratory of the Murdoch University (1977-2001) and the 230 Western Australia Water Information Reporting website (<u>http://wir.water.wa.gov.au/</u>) (2002-2017). For each variable, we 231 evaluated the model quantitatively against the monitored data using three skill metrics: correlation coefficient (r), mean 232 absolute error (MAE), and model skill score (SS). The validation results suggest the model captured the major features of the 233 hydrodynamic response to the external drivers of the tide and freshwater inputs, and the model satisfactorily reproduced the 234 salinity and temperature in both the surface and bottom. A full description of the calibration and validation results is given in 235 the supplementary material.

236

The current study focused on the impact of reduced inflow, due to drying climate and the Cut, on the estuary hydrology.
 However, the perturbations of environmental factors such as air temperature, tide-tidal elevation, and benthic vegetation could

239 also affect the local hydrology, and so their influence on the modelling results was explored. To evaluate the effects of these 240 factors, the sensitivity of the τ and salinity was assessed relative to changes in: (1) air temperature (±1 degree, representing 100 year change of local air temperature); (2) tidal elevation (±0.15m, representing 100 year change of local tide record); and 241 242 (3) bed roughness length (±50%, representing 50% change of bed roughness). The ranges of these environmental factors were 243 carefully selected based on the historical records. Two years, 1990 and 1998, representing a year before the Cut-opening and 244 another year with the Cut, respectively, were selected for these model sensitivity tests. Detailed results from the sensitivity 245 assessment are also included in the supplementary material. In summary, the modelled salinity and τ were shown to be affected 246 by changes in the mean sea level and bottom roughness variations, but the effects of these factors on the results were small 247 when compared to that caused by the reduced flow over the past decade and the Cut-opening (shown in the next section). For 248 example, the maximum change in τ observed in the sensitivity test runs was 8.6 days, caused by the enhanced bottom roughness 249 in the 1990 scenario, compared to the magnitude of 20-100 days caused by the reduced flow from 1970 to 2016 (see more 250 details in below). The maximum changes in the salinity observed in the sensitivity test runs was 2.8 PSU, caused by the 251 reduction of tide level in the Harvey Estuary, compared to the magnitude of 10-30 PSU changes in the salinity caused by the 252 reduced flows from 1970 to 2016 (see more details in below). These results suggested the changes in the climate and the ocean 253 connectivity are the major drivers of the hydrology of the Peel-Harvey Estuary.

254 255

Table 12. Zonal characters and roughness length z_0 setting in the model domain.

Zonal ID	Areas	Aquatic Vegetation	Z_0
		Biomass	
		(g Dry Weight/m ²)	
1	South/North Harvey Estuary, East Peel Inlet	100-230	0.03
2	South/North Harvey Estuary, West Peel Inlet	50-100	0.02
3	Harvey Estuary and Peel Inlet	0-50	0.01
4	Dawesville Cut	N/A	0.003
5	Harvey River	N/A	0.003
6	Murray River	N/A	0.003
7	Serpentine River	N/A	0.003
8	Coastal ocean	N/A	0.002

257

258 Multiple concepts of hydrodynamic time parameters (flushing time, residence time, water age, export time, etc.) have been 259 used in coastal hydrology research and their comparison has been intensively discussed (e.g. Jouon et al., 2006; Monsen et al., 260 2002; Sheldon and Alber, 2006), noting that each of the parameters are different in their definition and application. Here, we 261 used the time parameters to investigate how the bulk flushing time and the heterogeneity of the local water age changed in 262 response to the climate induced changes and the opening of the Dawesville Cut. 263 The water retention time was assessed with two hydrodynamic time parameters. The first was water age, which was defined 264 as the time the water had spent since entering the estuary through boundaries (either the ocean or rivers). The water age, τ , 265 was computed in each computational cell using the AED2 plugin to the hydrodynamic model, which simulated a conservative 266 tracer subject to a constant increase with time ('aging') and mixing, using a method as described in (Li et al. 2019). This method therefore provided the temporal and spatial variation in water retention, $\tau = \tau(x, y, z, t)$. 267 268

The second time parameter was the bulk flushing time, τ_{f} , which represents a bulk retention parameter that assumes a fullymixed 'lumped' approach and describes the general exchange character of the estuary (Monsen et al., 2002). Following Sheldon and Alber (2006), the bulk flushing time was calculated as:

$$272 \quad \tau_{f} = \frac{1}{Q_{FW}}$$

where *V* is the estuary volume (=187.5 GL), Q_{FW} is the freshwater inflow rate, Q_{SW} is the average seawater flow rate over a tidal period, and R_{σ} is the exchange fraction of the seawater fluxes that contributes to flushing. The values of Q_{FW} and Q_{SW} are derived from the hydrodynamic model outputs. The value of R_{σ} is dependent upon the local coastal mixing features (Fischer et al., 1979; Rynne et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2019), and was set to 0.15 for Mandurah Channel and 0.12 for the Cut.

278 Multiple concepts of hydrodynamic time parameters (flushing time, residence time, water age, export time, etc.) have been 279 used in coastal hydrology research and their comparison has been intensively discussed (e.g. Jouon et al., 2006; Monsen et al., 280 2002; Sheldon and Alber, 2006), noting that each of the parameters are different in their definition and application. Here, we 281 used the time parameters to investigate how the bulk flushing time and the heterogeneity of the local water age changed in 282 response to the climate induced changes and the opening of the Dawesville Cut.

283

277

284 **2.2.<u>2-4</u>** Climate change context and simulation rationale

Historical observations of nearby precipitation and the gauged data of the major Murray River inflow have shown a decreasing trend from 1970 to the present (Figure 2), though variability from year to year is noticeable. The average annual precipitation has declined by 15% when comparing the period 1994-2016 relative to 1970-1993, and this led to a dramatic decrease of annual inflow volumes, most notable in the past decade.

289

290 Years with inflow rates close to the 10-year moving average were selected for hydrologic modelling simulations to explore in 291 more detail the hydrologic changes occurring within these years (depicted relative to the trend in Figure 2b). Due to the concern 292 that the drying climate will continue into the 21st century (Silberstein et al., 2012; Smith and Power, 2014), we also undertook 293 model simulations to investigate potential hydrologic changes under future conditions representative of 2040 and 2060, by 294 considering reduced streamflow and rising sea levels. The runoff declines were based on the mean projection by Smith and 295 Power (2014) that suggested the total runoff to the rivers and estuaries within the WA-south-west Western Australia region 296 will drop by about 0.96% per year, corresponding to the projected reduction in precipitation of 0.27% per year, on average. 297 Sea level rise was also included in the future scenarios, estimated from the long-term (1897 – 2000) tide gauge observations 298 at the Fremantle tide gauge station that shows a sea level trend of 1.50 mm/year (Kuhn et al., 2011). These estimates may be 299 biased due to a possible accelerated sea level rise towards the end of the 21st century (IPCC, 2007; Kuhn et al., 2011), but we 300 highlight these future scenarios were set up with a focus to investigate the changing hydrology into a future from the projected 301 drying climate trend.

For each selected year, the modelling simulation started from 1st September of the previous year, giving a 4-month spin-up period, and the results from 1st January to the end of the selected year were used for analysis. The initial condition of water temperature and salinity was interpolated from the field data when they were available (years 1985-2016), except the years 1970 when no field data was available and 1978 when field data at site PH31 and PH58 were missing, so the same initial condition as for 1985 was adopted. For the future scenarios the same initial condition as in 2016 was used.

307

For the modelling years after 1994, when the artificial channel was constructed, we also ran "no-Cut" counter-factual scenarios, which assumed the Dawesville Cut engineering intervention was not constructed, in order to separate the impact of the artificial channel on hydrology relative to the "with-Cut" scenarios (Table 23).



Figure 2. Historical record of (a) annual precipitation rate; (b) Murray River annual inflow rate; (c) monthly-average sea level
 at Fremantle gauge station; (d) salinity at Harvey Estuary and Peel Inlet; and (e) total chlorophyll-a (TCHLA) in Harvey
 Estuary and Peel Inlet since 1970 to 2016.

Gauged flow rate data for the Murray River, Serpentine River and Harvey River were applied to the hydrodynamic model
 whenever they are available. <u>Gauged flow rate data for Murray River were available from 1970 to present, while for Serpentine</u>

River and Harvey River were available from 1982 to present. For the missing periods in the gauged flows and the ungauged drains, the output from the Source (eWater®) catchment modelling platform (Kelsey et al., 2011; Welsh et al., 2013), operated by the Western Australia Department of Water and Environmental Regulation, was used to estimate flows by carefully comparing the measured and modelled flow data. Groundwater inputs was-were previously estimated to represent only ~1% of total water inputs (Black & Rosher, 1980), and were therefore was ignored from not included in the modelling simulations.

325 Various data sources were used to set up meteorological inputs due to the study period spanning a long time back to 1970, 326 when meteorological observations were not routinely available across the modelling domain at hourly frequencies. The first 327 data source was the local Mandurah weather station located beside the natural channel of the estuary (Figure 1). This dataset 328 provided hourly records since 2001. The hourly fields over the period 1981-2000 were obtained from regional climate model 329 simulations for Southwest Australia at a 5 km resolution (Andrys et al., 2015; Kala et al., 2015), which - These simulations 330 were carried out using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF), one of the most widely used regional climate models. 331 Andrys et al. (2015) showed that the WRF model was able to adequately simulate the climate of SWASouthwestern Australia, 332 and these simulations have also been used to assess the impacts of current and future climate on temperature and precipitation 333 (Andrys et al., 2016, 2017) as well as climate indices relevant to viticulture for Southwestern AustraliaSWA (Firth et al., 334 2017). The WRF simulations of Andrys et al. (2015) have also been benchmarked against other regional climate model 335 simulations across the Australian continent and shown to perform well in simulating both temperature and precipitation (Di 336 Virgilio et al., 2019) as well as heat-wave events (Hirsch et al., 2019). For the years before 1981 the weather conditions 337 measured at the nearby Halls Head weather station (4.2 km away from the Mandurah station) were used. Though various 338 sources of climate data were used, the wind regimes of these data sources showed similar distribution in wind magnitudes and 339 directions (Figure 3). The winds in the Mandurah station record are relatively smaller when compared to other two sources, 340 however, this difference may be due to the natural variation in the climate and are not expected to change the main hydrological 341 features in the lagoon.

342

324





344

Figure 3. Rose plot of wind condition in years of (a) 1970-1980, obtained from the Halls Head weather station; (b) 1981-2000,
 obtained from the WRF weather model; and (c) 2001-2016, obtained from the Mandurah weather station.

- A summary of all historical simulations and future scenarios is provided in Table $\frac{23}{2}$. The total inflow into the estuary of the
- 350 chosen simulation years shows a general decrease from past to future, except for the year 1978 when the total inflow rate was
- 351 less than that in 1985 and 1990. This was due to an exceptionally low inflow rate within the Harvey River, produced from the
- 352 catchment model output, which had an effect mostly on the Harvey Estuary. We still include this year to show the historical
- 353 evolution during the past decades.
- 354 355
- β56 Table 23. Summary of simulated scenarios and their annual precipitation, catchment inflow volumes, mean sea level, and Cut opening information.

Simulation Category	Simulated Year	Annual precipitation (mm <u>/yr</u>)	Annual Catchment Inflow (GL×10 ⁶ m ³ /yr)	Annual Mean Sea Level (mAHD)	Cut Opening	Sensitivity tests
Pre-Cut years	1970	846.4	705.9	-0.043	No	
	1978	827.5	591.6	-0.024	No	
	1985	911.7	564.0	-0.033	No	
	1990	849.2	515.8	-0.071	No	Yes
Post-Cut years	1998	876.2	490.4	-0.027	Yes	Yes
	2004	813.7	478.0	-0.027	Yes	
	2011	766.0	378.9	0.156	Yes	
	2016	514.4	244.2	0.017	Yes	
No-Cut scenarios	1998	876.2	490.4	-0.027	No	
	2004	813.7	478.0	-0.027	No	
	2011	766.0	378.9	0.156	No	
	2016	514.4	244.2	0.017	No	
Future Scenarios	2040	481.1	187.9	0.053	Yes	
	2060	453.3	138.9	0.083	Yes	
	2040	481.1	187.9	0.053	No	
	2060	453.3	138.9	0.083	No	

358

359

360 3 Results

361 **3.1** Model reconstruction of historical conditions Estuary response to the change in ocean connectivity

The impact of the changes in ocean connectivity due to the construction of the artificial channel on the estuary hydrology was first investigated by analysing the observed and modelled salinity and temperature at the centre of the two lagoons, and the surface elevation within the Peel Inlet, in the years of 1990 (representing a 'pre-Cut' year) and 1998 (representing a 'post-Cut' year). These two years were compared because they have similar annual precipitation and catchment inflow rates (Table 3), and tidal forcing characteristics in terms of the annual mean sea level and tidal range (Table 4, Figure 4). Therefore, the comparison provided a valuable insight into the impacts of the artificial channel on the estuary environment.

368

369 **Table 4.** Comparison of principal tidal constituents in year 1990 and 1998.

<u>Constituents</u>	Potential Energy (%)		<u>Amplitude (m)</u>		
	<u>1990</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>1998</u>	

<u>K1</u>	<u>57.19</u>	<u>56.79</u>	<u>0.159</u>	<u>0.156</u>
<u>01</u>	<u>30.28</u>	<u>30.05</u>	<u>0.115</u>	<u>0.114</u>
<u>P1</u>	<u>5.45</u>	<u>5.66</u>	<u>0.0490</u>	<u>0.0494</u>
<u>M2</u>	<u>3.92</u>	<u>4.31</u>	<u>0.0415</u>	<u>0.0431</u>
<u>82</u>	<u>3.16</u>	<u>3.20</u>	<u>0.0373</u>	<u>0.0371</u>

370



372

374





375 The monitored and modelled salinity and temperature at the centres of the two lagoons in the pre- and post Cut years 376 demonstrate the ability of the model to accurately capture changes in the seasonal cycle in response to the catchment inflows 377 and Cut opening, and the model capability in capturing these changes (Figure 35). In summer and early autumn the flow rates 378 were low, followed by high salinity and weak salinity stratification in the two lagoons. In contrast, there were large inflows to 379 the estuary in winter and early spring. The peaks of the inflows occurred in winter (July - September), followed by a significant 380 drop in the salinity in the estuary due to the freshwater flushing. However, differences in the salinity response to freshwater 381 flushing can be observed between the pre-Cut year (1990, left column of Figure 35) and the post-Cut year (1998, right column 382 of Figure 35). In 1990 when the estuary had limited connection without the opening of the Cut, the salinity stratification was 383 small in the Harvey Estuary. The salinity dropped to below 5 PSU, indicating the hydrology of Harvey Estuary was mainly 384 dominated by the Harvey River flushing. Whilst during 1998, with greater ocean connection due to the opening of the Cut, 385 stronger salinity stratification was observed in the Harvey estuary, and the minimum salinity was lifted to over 10 PSU due to 386 more seawater intrusion from the Cut. The water temperature also showed a clear seasonal signal, ranging from about 10 °C 387 in winters to 30 °C in summers. The differences in the water temperature observed in the centres of two lagoons, and between 388 the surface and bottom waters, were small.

Left Column: year 1990 (pre-Cut)

Right Column: year 1998 (post-Cut)



390

Figure 35. Annual variation in 1990 (left column, a) and 1998 (right column, b) of (1) inflow rate of the three main rivers; (2) monitored and modelled surface and bottom salinity at the centre of Peel Inlet (site PH7 at Figure 1); (3) monitored and modelled surface and bottom water temperature at the centre of Peel Inlet; (4) monitored and modelled surface and bottom salinity at the centre of Harvey Estuary (site PH1 at Figure 1); (3) monitored and modelled surface and bottom water temperature at the centre of Harvey Estuary;

396

The opening of the Cut also affected the surface elevations of the estuary (Figure 46). The tide elevations in the ocean showed similar characteristics in 1990 and 1998 in terms of the annual mean sea level (0.071 mAHD and 0.027 mAHD in 1990 and 1998, respectively) and tidal range (both < 1 m). However, the surface elevation measured at the centre of the estuary had significantly different characteristics in these two years. The estuary surface elevation in 1998 had a much wider range of -0.6 m to 0.8 m compared to that in 1990 of -0.4 m to 0.4 m, indicating an enlarged tidal-prism and higher magnitude of water exchange with the ocean due to the opening of the Cut.



404

Figure 46. (a) Sea level variation in 1990 and 1998; (b) mModelled vs. measured surface elevation in the centre of Peel Inlet
 in (a) 1990 (r=0.9795), and (b) 1998 (r=0.9841). €The grey line indicates the 1:1 ratio; and (c) same as figure b except for year
 1998 (r=0.9841). The colour from blue to red in figure b and c indicates the data density from minimum to maximum.

- 408
- <u>The impacts of the changes in ocean connectivity and catchment flows on the estuary mixing is then explored with results of</u>
 <u>WRT, WFT, and ME in four short theoretical scenarios (constructed to represent a matrix of open/close of the artificial channel</u>
 <u>and wet/dry catchment inflows):</u>
- Scenario 1: Cut open, with inflow condition in February 1998 (dry month with mean inflow rate of 5.60 m³/s);
 - Scenario 2: Cut open, with inflow condition in August 1998 (wet month with mean inflow rate of 40.23 m³/s);
- Scenario 3: Cut closed, with inflow condition in February 1998 (dry month with mean inflow rate of 5.60 m³/s);
- Scenario 4: Cut closed, with inflow condition in August 1998 (wet month with mean inflow rate of 40.23 m³/s);
- 416

413

417 The results of fluxes at the inlets, fraction of lagoon water volume exchanged daily with the sea (FVE), average WRT, WFT, 418 and ME are summarised in Table 5. The presented results highlight the hydrodynamic variability with the changes in catchment 419 runoff and ocean connectivity. The bulk WRT ranges from 154.80 days with small catchment flows in the dry seasons and 420 with only the natural channel (scenario 3), to 13.92 days with higher catchment flows in wet seasons and with both the natural 421 and artificial channels (scenario 2). The exchange flux through the inlets between the lagoon and ocean increased by ~3 times 422 due to the opening of the artificial channel. The wet months had higher ME compared to the dry months. However, it is 423 interesting that the opening of the artificial channel, though largely reduced the water retention time scales, resulted in similar 424 ME in the dry month and less ME in the wet month.

425

Table 5. Model simulation results for the average water flux through the channels (Flux), fraction of lagoon volume exchanged
 daily with the ocean (FVE), WRT, WFT, and ME in selected scenarios.

4	2	8

<u>Scenario</u>	Opening of	River	<u>Flux (m3 s-</u>	<u>FVE</u>	WRT	WFT	ME
	Dawesville	Runoff (m3	<u>1)</u>		(days)	<u>(days)</u>	
	Cut	<u>s-1)</u>					
<u>1</u>	Yes	<u>5.597</u>	437.640	0.202	<u>31.611</u>	<u>4.959</u>	<u>0.157</u>
2	Yes	<u>40.225</u>	<u>601.853</u>	<u>0.277</u>	<u>13.917</u>	<u>3.606</u>	<u>0.259</u>

<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>5.597</u>	<u>98.611</u>	<u>0.045</u>	<u>154.799</u>	22.009	<u>0.142</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>40.225</u>	<u>170.037</u>	<u>0.078</u>	<u>34.262</u>	<u>12.764</u>	<u>0.373</u>

The spatial distribution of WRT corresponding to the changes in the inflow condition and the opening of the artificial channel is shown in Figure 7. These maps clearly identify areas where waters are either well flushed or poorly flushed, and show the Peel-Harvey system exhibiting a highly heterogeneous spatial distribution of the WRT. In all scenarios, WRT is mainly dependent on the relative distance from the inlets and on the presence of channel. The areas connected to these channels are directly influenced by the sea and consequently their water renewal times are lower. In the wet season, the river runoff also plays a role in determining the water renewal heterogeneity. The south Harvey Estuary is shown to have the highest WRT than other parts of the lagoon, indicating the poorly flushing in this area.

437

438 439

440

441

442

444

429



Figure 7. Spatial distribution of modelled WRT in 4 selected scenarios.

(The sensitivity report is moved to the supplementary material.)

445 **3.2** Sensitivity to air temperature, benthic properties, and sea level variation

446 The sensitivities of modelled salinity and τ to air temperature, tide elevation, and bed roughness are shown in Figure 5. The 447 ehanges in the air temperature of ± 1 °C have minor effects on both the salinity and τ in both years of 1990 and 1998. The 448 influence of air temperature on the hydrology was mostly through evaporation, and resulted in changes in salinity of less than 449 0.9 PSU, and 0.5 days changes in τ . Secondly, the changes in the mean tide elevation of ± 0.15 m led to changes in salinity of 450 up to 2.2 PSU and 8.4 days in τ . Thirdly, the bed friction also had a noteworthy impact on the salinity and τ by modifying the 451 water movement and therefore benthic layer mixing at near bed level. The presence of benthic vegetation was shown to affect 452 salinity by up to 2.8 PSU in the Harvey Estuary, while a maximum change in the τ of 8.6 days was observed in the same 453 location. 454 In summary, the modelled salinity and τ were affected by the changes in the sea level variation and bottom vegetation presence, 455 but the effects of these environmental factors were still small when compared to that caused by the reduced flow over the past

456 decade and the Cut opening, which is shown in the next sections. For example, the maximum change in τ observed in the
457 sensitivity test runs was 8.6 days, caused by the enhanced bottom roughness in the 1990 scenario, compared to the magnitude 458 of 20 100 days caused by the reduced flow from 1970 to 2016 (see more details in below). The maximum changes in the 459 salinity observed in the sensitivity test runs was 2.8 PSU, caused by the reduction of tide level in the Harvey Estuary, compared 460 to the magnitude of 10 30 PSU changes in the salinity caused by the reduced flows from 1970 to 2016 (see more details in 461 below).

462



4

468

464 Figure 5. Sensitivity of the modelled annual-mean salinity and retention time in the Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary. SV:
 465 standard scenario; S1: +1 degree in air temperature scenario; S2: -1 degree in air temperature scenario; S3: +0.15 m in tide
 466 elevations scenario; S4: -0.15 m in tide elevations scenario; S5: +50% in bed roughness scenario; S6: -50% in bed roughness
 467 scenario.

469 3.3-2 Long term <u>Response response</u> of water retention to climate change and Cut-opening

470 Water retention is highly dynamic depending on seasonal flows, tidal conditions, and in different regions of the estuary. The 471 evolution of water age, τ , over time has shown a general increase from 1970 to the present, superimposed on the effect of the 472 Cut, however, with some considerable variation across the two lagoons (Figure 68). Firstly, the wet season (winter and spring) 473 was more sensitive to the changes in the drying climate. In the "no-Cut" scenarios (assuming the artificial channel was not 474 constructed), it was predicted that τ would have increased in the Peel Inlet from about 50 days in 1970 to nearly double in 2016, and increased from approximately 50 days in 1970 to nearly 150 days in 2016 in the Harvey Estuary, solely due to the 475 476 drying climate trend. In contrast, the dry season (summer and autumn) conditions did not show significant changes over time 477 in most parts of the estuary, except in the south Harvey Estuary, which is furthest from the channels. The opening of the Cut 478 had a prominent effect by reducing τ by about 20-45 days in the Peel Inlet, and more profoundly by 50-100 days in the Harvey 479 Estuary. Yet the drying climate effect on the water age has largely cancelled out the flushing effect by the Cut in some regions. 480 The increases in τ from 1998 to 2016, due to reduced inflows, are of the same magnitude as the level of reduction caused by the Cut opening. For example, the Cut opening reduced the τ by 28 days in the west Peel Inlet in 1998, yet the τ increased by 27 days from 1998 to 2016 due to the reduced flows. Lastly, the Harvey Estuary was most influenced by the climate changes and the Cut opening. North Harvey Estuary, directly adjacent to the Cut, was most impacted by the Cut opening, and the τ was reduced by more than 110 days. The south Harvey Estuary, which is furthest from both the channels, was more sensitive to climate change, showing the greatest variation over the most recent decade. The projected climate is expected to increase the τ further in the Harvey Estuary in spring, but a relatively smaller impact at other sites and seasons.

487



Figure 68. Mean water retention time, τ , in east Peel Inlet, west Peel Inlet, north Harvey Estuary, and south Harvey Estuary (see Figure 1 for their domain definitionextents) in simulated years and future scenarios. The data were categorized into four seasons: spring (September, October, November), summer (December, January, February), autumn (March, April, May), and winter (June, July, August).

496 scenarios and 34% 58% lower in the Cut open scenarios. This was not surprising as the bulk flushing time method ass 497 the water body is fully mixed and corresponds to the time for the seawater and freshwater inflows to replace the lagoon v	494	The bulk flushing time τ_{f} also showed significant reduction in summer and winter due to the Cut opening (Figure 7). The
497 the water body is fully mixed and corresponds to the time for the seawater and freshwater inflows to replace the lagoon v	495	values of τ_{f} were much smaller than the average modelled water age in the wintertime, about 50% lower in the Cut closed
	496	scenarios and 34% 58% lower in the Cut open scenarios. This was not surprising as the bulk flushing time method assumes
498 whereas the water age method considered the heterogeneity in the spatial distribution of water retention and mixing. The s	497	the water body is fully mixed and corresponds to the time for the seawater and freshwater inflows to replace the lagoon water,
	498	whereas the water age method considered the heterogeneity in the spatial distribution of water retention and mixing. The spatial

difference in water retention age is further illustrated in Figure 89, which shows a plan-view of the seasonally-averaged water ages. The spatial distribution pattern of water age is similar to the one of WRT (Figure 7), showing that, \pm the water age in the areas adjacent to the Cut entry point has been largely reduced by the Cut-opening, yet the south Harvey Lagoon and some parts of the east Peel Inlet still showed high water retention. Furthermore, the τ_{\pm} showed minor response to the drying climate after the Cut construction, indicating the exchange fraction of the seawater fluxes have been over-estimated.

504



505

506 **Figure 7.** Comparison of average modelled water age (τ) and calculated bulk flushing time (τ_f) in (a) summertime and (b) 507 wintertime in the PHE. The shaded areas indicate the 10% and 90% percentiles of modelled water ages.



Figure 89. Spatial distribution of season-averaged water age in 1990 (top panels) and 1998 (bottom panels).

2 **3.4-<u>3 Long Term</u>** Responses of salinity and stratification to climate change and the Cut-opening

Similar to τ , the changes in salinity in response to the drying climate showed large variability in space and time, and the impact of the Cut-opening acted to increase salinity in the wet season, but reduced hypersalinity risks (>40 PSU) in the dry season (Figure 109). In the "no-Cut" scenarios, the mean salinity during the wet season increased from <20 PSU in 1970 to over 30 PSU in 2016. During the dry season, the changes in salinity were relatively smaller over time. The Cut-opening could increase 517 or decrease the salinity in the estuary, depending on the salinity within the estuary at the time, compared to the ocean salinity 518 of approximately 36 PSU. If the estuary salinity was lower than the ocean salinity, the Cut-opening tended to increase the 519 salinity level, and vice versa. For example, the salinity in the north Harvey Estuary increased from 17.5 PSU to 28.3 PSU in 520 the spring of 1998 by the Cut-opening, yet reduced from 51.8 to 39.8 PSU by the opening of the Cut in the autumn. The Cut-521 opening has a relatively smaller influence on the salinity of Peel Inlet, which is connected with the ocean via not only the Cut 522 but also the Mandurah Channel. The projected climate is expected to slightly increase the salinity in the Peel Inlet and Harvey 523 Estuary, mostly in the winter and spring periods.



526 **Figure 910.** Changes of mean salinity in PHE in simulated years and future scenarios. <u>Same as. As for</u> Figure 6-8 the changes 527 are categorized into four zones and four seasons.

530 Hypersalinity was often observed in the summer and autumn seasons in the Peel Inlet from both the 'with-Cut' and 'no-Cut' 531 scenarios. The Harvey Estuary shows an increasing salinity with the drying climate in summer and becomes hypersaline after 532 2011. High salinity with values over 50 PSU was observed in autumn in South Harvey Estuary in the 'no-Cut' scenarios, whilst 533 the Cut-opening reduced the hypersalinity risks in autumn in the Harvey Estuary. The relationships of the hypersalinity and 534 the catchment inflows are further investigated with monitoring data at six regular monitoring sites (Figure $\frac{1011}{10}$), which 535 highlights the maximum salinity recorded in autumn has increased with reduced inflows, especially in the period before the 536 Cut-opening. Opening of the Cut reduced the maximum salinity at the sites near the Cut (site PH2 and PH58) under an annual 537 flow threshold of about $1000 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{GL}$ /year. The hypersalinity risk increases with distance from the channels, especially in 538 site PH31 in the south Harvey Estuary where salinity >45 PSU was often observed after the Cut-opening. The maximum 539 salinity can also be affected by other factors, such as unseasonal rainfall events in summer, which brought down the maximum 540 salinity measured in March (Figure 1011). However, it can be concluded that the hypersalinity risks have increased in response 541 to the catchment drying trend, and the Cut-opening has reduced the sensitivity of maximum salinity to the changes in inflow 542 rates.





Figure 1011. Maximum salinity recorded in March/April and the annual inflow in the hydrologic year (March to March) at 6 monitoring sites (see the site locations in Figure 1). The darker symbols indicate the years with accidental summer rainfall events, during which and caused the catchment inflows higher than $15 - \text{GL} \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$.

548

549

The magnitude of salinity stratification (salinity difference between the bottom and surface water) in winter and spring has shown a declining trend with the drying climate, while the variations were small in summer and autumn (Figure <u>112</u>). The opening of the Cut has enhanced the rate of ocean water intrusion, which creates stronger salt-stratification during the wet season when it interacts with the freshwater inflows. The salt stratification was reduced to mostly < 2 PSU in the 2060 projection scenario, indicating a weaker salt-stratification due to the reduced freshwater inflows and sea level rise.



Figure 1112. Changes of mean salinity difference between surface and bottom waters in PHE in the simulated years and future
 scenarios. Same as As for Figure 6-8 the changes are categorized into four zones and four seasons.

560

561 4 Discussion

562 **4.1 <u>Changes in flushing and mixing with increasing ocean connectivity</u>**

According to Kierve and Magill (1989), coastal lagoons can be conveniently subdivided into choked, restricted, and leaky systems based on the water exchange between the lagoon and the ocean. Umgiesser et al. (2013) compared 10 mediterranean lagoons and classified the lagoon types based on the WRT and the fraction of lagoon water volume exchanged daily with the open sea. Based on the numbers in their comparison, the Peel-Harvey Estuary can be classified as a restricted type before the opening of the artificial channel, and as a moderately leaky type after the opening of the artificial channel.

<u>The reduction in the catchment runoff led to a smaller fraction of lagoon water volume exchanged daily with the open sea, but</u>
 <u>the magnitude is much smaller than that introduced by the opening of the artificial channel. However, the catchment runoff is</u>
 shown to increase the mixing efficiency. During the dry season, the Harvey Estuary lagoon, especially the southern area,

571 received relatively lower rates of ocean flushing that effectively lowered the ME. Higher values of ME can be found in wet

- 572 seasons that enhanced the mixing of the lagoon. The increased ocean connectivity by the opening of the artificial channel, 573 though enhances exchange fluxes, is shown to lower the ME. This is similar to the findings in Umgiesser et al. (2013) where 574 they found the exchanges with the open seas were low in more restricted type lagoons such that the wind has more time to mix 575 the basins well. 576 The impact of the artificial channel on the water transportation was further explored by the residual currents (Figure 13), 577 calculated as the mean currents over the period of selected scenarios described above. The results suggested that the opening 578 of the Dawesville Cut had a strong impact on the residual currents. In the scenarios with the Cut open, strong residual currents 579 around the Dawesville Cut are observed, and during the wet months the catchment runoff from the Serpentine and Murray 580 River flow to either the Mandurah channel or the Dawesville Cut. Whilst in the scenarios with Cut closed, the surface residual 581 currents in the Harvey Estuary were mostly moving northward, and during the wet months the catchment runoff from the 582 Serpentine River and Murray River formed a 'short cut' to the Mandurah channel via the Peel Inlet, with the west Peel Inlet 583 received relatively less flushing. The results also indicated the surface residual current speeds in the shallow water of the 584 basins, such as the south-east area of the Peel Inlet, were relatively lower than that in the deeper water, indicating less flushing 585 in these areas that is coincident with the spatial distribution of WRT (Figure 7) and water age (Figure 9).
 - Dry, Cut Open
 Wet, Cut Open
 Dry, Cut Closed
 Wet, Cut Closed

 Image: Constraint of the state of the state





(a) Surface Residual Currents

588 Figure 13. Plain views of residual currents in the selected scenarios. The black-white colour gradient indicates the total current 589 speed; the blue arrows indicate the current vectors.

600

5914.2 Individual and combined impacts of the drying climate and PHE hydrological dynamics in time and spaceartificial592channel on the lagoon hydrology evolution

We have shown the changes in the water retention and salinity within the morphologically complex PHE system, in response to long-term changes in the relative mixture of water resources from the ocean, catchment and rainfall. The signals of climate change and human interventions in these changes, have been analysed by comparing results from the modelling scenarios to attribute each of their relative impacts separately. With the assistance of a 3D hydrodynamic model, we firstly identified the major drivers of PHE hydrology as the gradual but persistent drying trend, and the acute changes caused by the opening of the artificial channel and associated coastal engineering activities. Scenarios of declining precipitation and catchment runoff with and without the artificial channel were also explored to compare their impacts.

601 The results of the long-term changes in the water age and salinity clearly showed the hydrology in PHE was profoundly 602 changed corresponding to the reduced precipitation and catchment inflow as well as to the opening of the artificial channel, 603 although other factors such as changes in air temperature, sea level rise, and benthic vegetation-roughness also affected the 604 hydrology in over much smaller scales. The results have highlighted magnitudes of hydrologic changes introduced by the 605 drying climate, and the complexity of the interacting impacts from climate and the artificial channel in time and space. Firstly, 606 the artificial channel successfully improved the estuary flushing by reducing average water age by 20-110 days; while in 607 contrast the reduced precipitation and inflow had a gradual opposite effect on the water age, and during the wet season this 608 has almost counteracted the reduction brought about by the channel. Secondly, the drying climate caused an increase in the 609 salinity by 10-30 PSU; whilst the artificial channel increased the salinity during the wet season, it has reduced the likelihood 610 of hypersalinity (>40 PSU) during the dry season in some areas.

611

The climate factor had not been considered in previous reports evaluating or predicting the consequence of the Cut-opening when it was originally designed (Lord, 1998; Manda et al., 2014; Prestrelo & Monteiro-Neto, 2016), as the focus was on the flushing benefit to reduce the accumulation of nutrients and algal biomass. The findings from this study suggest that climate change has been taking effect over the period when the Cut was implemented, and from the view point of particular metrics, it is now over-taking the effect of the Cut in its significance. The lessons from this case-study highlight the need to look more broadly at environmental impacts when designing or operating large-scale engineering projects on coastal lagoons, due to the potential for long-term non-stationarity in contributing river flows.

619

620 Of relevance to management, the impacts also varied spatially in this large lagoon. The water age and salinity have showed 621 distinct responses to the climate change and Cut-opening with various connection with the rivers and ocean (Figure 6-8 and 622 910). The southern Harvey eEstuary, which has the least connection with the ocean through the natural channel, is the most 623 sensitive to climate change and the opening of the artificial channel. The bulk flushing time also showed significant reduction 624 corresponding to the Cut-opening, however, it was less sensitive to the drying climate. The results of water age distribution 625 indicated that incomplete mixing had led to area-specific retention of water, which has been labelled previously as the 'sticky 626 water' effect (Andutta et al., 2012); iIn this case the concept of bulk flushing time therefore needs to be used with caution in 627 such a large choked-type lagoon, because it only gives an average estimation of water retention for the whole estuary and fails 628 to consider the strong gradients in lagoon hydrodynamics. Understanding these patterns can be important to help understand 629 local effects on lagoon ecology (e.g. crab larval recruitment) and processes related to nutrient deposition and retention within 630 the sediment.

632 Aside from changes in flushing and the mean salinity fields within the lagoon, the changes in the climate and ocean flushing 633 also altered the hydrology in the tidal reaches of the rivers connecting to the PHE. The annual variability of salinity along the 634 rivers (Figure 1214) indicated there is an increasing risk of hypersalinity in the Serpentine River (connecting to the PHE from 635 the north) and an upward movement of the salt-wedge in the Murray River (the major inflow connecting PHE from the east). 636 For example, the mean salinity at the Serpentine River mouth was about 20 PSU in 1970, then increased to 24 PSU in 1998 637 and projected to increase to over 30 PSU in 2060. In the upstream areas of the Serpentine River, the mean salinity increased 638 from about 15 PSU in 1970 to near 35 PSU in 2060. While there is less hypersalinity risk in the Murray River due to larger 639 volumes of freshwater flushing, there is also a trend of increasing salinity along the river with the drying climate. The 640 differences between the Cut-closed and Cut-open scenarios in year 1998 are much smaller than those caused by the drying 641 climate, which indicates that the drying climate is the major cause of the salinity changes in the rivers.

642





643

Figure 1214. Longitudinal gradient in annual salinity variability in four selected scenarios (1970, 1998 without the Cut opening, 1998 with the Cut opening, and a future scenario 2060 with assumptions of reduced flow and sea level rise) moving upstream along the (a) Serpentine River and (b) Murray River.

647

648	4.3 Potential impacts from the morphological change and catchment development on the Peel-Harvey hydrology
649	
650	In the current study, we assumed that the morphological change over the study period (except through the construction of the
651	Dawesville Cut) were not significant to the overall hydrology. The morphology data we used for the model was the latest
652	morphology dataset from the Western Australia Department of Water, obtained in 2016 (integrated DEM at 2m resolution).

There was no historical topography data available for each of the selected simulated years, therefore the 2016 morphology was

applied to the study period. The changes in the morphology during this long term period could potentially affect the hydrology

and the interpretation of the results.

- The estuary morphology over the study period may have been modified by: (1) changes to the net sedimentation of particles;
- 657 and (2) dredging activities related to estuary management such as marina and navigation channel developments. The net
- 658 sedimentation rates in the Peel-Harvey Estuary had been investigated by a few early studies. Gabrielson and Lukatelich (1985)
- estimated a net sedimentation rate of about 0.4-1.5 mm/year in the Peel Inlet and 2.9-6.7 mm/year in the Harvey Estuary;
- 660 Hodgkin et al. (1980) estimated an overall rate of sediment deposition of 0.3 mm/year. Assuming the rate is constant, the
- 661 <u>maximum total sediment deposition is about 75 mm in the Peel Inlet and 335 mm in the Harvey Estuary, over a period of 50</u>
- 662 years. Note these rates were estimated in the time before the Dawesville Cut was constructed. After the year of 1994 when the
- 663 Dawesville Cut was constructed, the sediment deposition in the system, especially in the Harvey lagoon, were expected to
- $\frac{decrease due to higher tidal flushing. The reduced flow rates over the course of the study period would also lead to a reduction}{in sediment loading. As we illustrated in our sensitivity tests, a change in the tidal elevation of <math>\pm 0.15$ m, which could be
- theoretically equivalent to the change in the depth of the estuary, was predicted to have caused a relatively small change to the
 hydrology compared to that introduced by the opening of the artificial channel and the reduced flow. Therefore we assumed
- 668 <u>the impact of sediment deposition on the morphology was small and unlikely change the main conclusions from the current</u> 669 <u>study.</u>
- The development of canal estates and navigation channels would have further changed the local morphology, but is expected
 to only slightly modify the estuary hydrology at the regional scale. For example, The Yunderup navigation channel, located at
 the east side of the Peel Inlet, is one of the more significant dredging projects in the Peel-Harvey Estuary in the past decades.
- The Yunderup channel has a length of ~3km (mostly in the canal estate and shallow water areas) and a width of ~50m. The
 total area of this channel is ~0.015 km2, which is negligible when compared to the area of the east Peel Inlet of 33.5 km². We
 therefore assume the changes brought about by the local dredging activities are negligible in analysing the estuary hydrology
- 676 when looking at the average properties over the regions.
- 677 Another concern is that the catchment runoff will not only be affected by the effects of reduced rainfall, but also due to the 678 land-use change, urban development, and water diversion. The impacts from the catchment development on the flow conditions 679 has been extensively discussed in the Peel-Harvey catchment modelling report (Kelsey, 2011), which showed different 680 catchment developments had a combined effect on the flows. For example, the land clearing is expected to increase the 681 streamflow, while local drainage changes have led to water diversions and reductions of the inflows. The catchment 682 development was estimated to lead to a net increase of annual flow of about 45×10^6 m³ due to the land clearing, water supply 683 and irrigation dams, and the drain activity (Kinhill, 1988; Kelsey, 2011). The net change in the streamflow is relatively small 684 when compared to the reduced annual flows entering the Peel-Harvey Estuary from 1970 ($846.4 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$) to 2016 (514.4×10^6 685 m^3). Regarding the potential for changes in water diversion, there are 15 dams in the Peel-Harvey catchment and the total 686 catchment area to be dammed is 1,283 km2, which is about 12% of the total Peel-Harvey catchment (10,671 km2) (Kelsey, 687 2011; Hennig et al., in prep). Most of the dams were completed in the time before 1970s when our study period started. The 688 latest catchment modelling results (Hennig et al., in prep) showed that the average annual flow in the years of 2006-2015 from 689 unrestricted catchments was 369×10^6 m³/year while the flow from dammed catchments was 36×10^6 m³/year, which would 690 amount to an additional 10% increase in annual flow if these dams didn't exist. It is understood that no dams in the catchment 691 have planned environmental water releases and it is expected that any water releases would either be a small proportion of 692 flow. In the hydrologic models we have used the gauged flow data combined with the catchment model outputs, therefore the 693 changes of the flow rates due to the catchment development have been accounted for in the model settings and our analysis. 694 Therefore, we expect the reduced inflow was predominantly a result of the drying climate, and a key factor of reducing the 695 nutrient loads from catchment that subsequently reduced the nutrient concentrations in the estuary.

697 <u>4.4 Uncertainty of future hydrology</u>

698 This study has investigated the hydrologic changes under projected future drying climate, however, the drying climate was 699 idealised based on trends from a combination of climate models (Smith and Power, 2014) and the applied annual perturbations 700 used to generate the future climate remains the subject of uncertainty. Our future climate projections for weather and flow 701 change were based on the average trend reported from more detailed studies using an ensemble of climate models (Silberstein 702 et al., 2012; Smith and Power, 2014). The Peel-Harvey region has experienced a widely reported decline in rainfall over the 703 last several decades (CSIRO & BoM 2007; IPCC 2007; CSIRO 2009; Hope & Ganter 2010). The trend in rainfall decline is 704 expected to continue, based on the climate projections from general circulation models (GCMs) results (CSIRO 2009; Smith 705 and Power, 2014). Given the nature of our research questions was to extrapolate the mean trend that we reported from the 706 hind-cast simulations, we focus the future scenarios on the changes of hydrology under the projected average reduction in the 707 flow from the ensemble models (Smith and Power, 2014), with an assumed mean rate of sea level rise (Kuhn et al., 2011), to 708 highlight the general trend and allow for prioritization of adaptation strategies such as environmental water allocation policies. 709 This approach is somewhat simplistic in that it assumes no seasonal change in hydrologic trends, and there has been recent 710 evidence that increasing summer floods are occurring and the winter peak flows are decreasing as a fraction of the annual total 711 (McFarlane et al., 2020). As shown in Cloern et al. (2016), the hydrology of lagoons has been changing at a faster pace in the 712 past decade from a combination of human activity and climate variability. The sea level of the ocean adjacent to the PHE has 713 been rising at faster speeds in the past decades (Kuhn et al., 2011). The PHE catchment is also undergoing fast development 714 due to the increasing population and agricultural expansion ((Kelsey et al., 2011). Intensification of human activities, such as 715 water consumption and diversion, will further affect the lagoon's hydrology and associated ecosystem, but how these factors 716 will change in future remains unclear. Therefore, our results related to the future prediction are simply to indicate the possible 717 changes of hydrology under the projected drying climate in order to highlight the general trend and allow for prioritisation of 718 adaptation strategies such as environmental water allocation policies. Continuous monitoring on the hydrology and water 719 quality of the lagoon and its catchment must therefore be prioritised to closely observe further hydrologic change in order to 720 provide prompt actions for management.

721 722

723 **4.<u>2-5</u>** Applications for estuary ecosystem management

724 The Cut had an obvious and dramatic effect on increasing the export of nutrients that would have otherwise been retained 725 (Figure 1315). Since the Cut opening in 1994 the main monitoring stations have shown the total nitrogen (TN) concentration 726 as being stable around 0.5mg/L and the total phosphorus (TP) concentration has declined from 0.05 to 0.02 mg/L over time. 727 Importantly, the increasing rate of exchange has made the estuary concentration of nutrients less sensitive to the inflow load 728 (as demonstrated by the reduction in slope of $\frac{11e}{15c}$ and $\frac{11d}{15d}$). The results have also revealed an increase in τ associated 729 with the drying climate that has eroded some of the benefits associated with increased flushing following the construction of 730 the Cut, and further reductions in flows will cause less flushing and will likely lead to a tendency for increasing nutrient 731 accumulation over time.



733

Figure 1315. Changes in the mean nutrient concentration of (a) TN and (b) TP in the Peel-Harvey Estuary (based on the average of the 6 main monitoring stations), and their relationship with the total annual nutrient loading (c and d).

738 The hydrologic changes led not only to changes in the nutrient concentrations but also the mean salinity, with potential 739 ramifications for the ecological community. In particular, the phytoplankton biomass dropped dramatically since the Cut 740 opening (Figure 2) due to the improvement of ocean connectivity and flushing, but also due to a less desirable salinity regime 741 in summertime for the toxic cyanobacteria Nodularia spumigena that plagued the Harvey Estuary before the Cut opening. 742 Field observations also showed that the biomass of macroalgae has decreased in the Peel Inlet, while it has increased in the 743 Harvey Estuary since the Cut opening, which potentially reflects the reduced nutrient concentrations, increased salinity and 744 greater light availability (Pedretti et al., 2011). The biomass of some benthic macroinvertebrates, such as the blue swimmer 745 crabs (Portunus armatus) and the Western king prawn (Penaeus latisulcatus) also showed an increase with the Cut opening 746 and the reduced flow in recent years (Bradby, 1997; Johnston et al., 2014). Nonetheless, several water quality concerns remain 747 present, and problematic areas of poor quality hyper-sulfidic sediments have been identified (Kraal et al. 2013; Hallett et al., 748 2019), in addition to recurrent reports of harmful algal blooms and fish-kill events within the inland reaches (Valesini et al., 749 2019). The changes in water quality and the biological communities are anticipated to continue to evolve as the projected 750 drying climate will further lead to areas of poor flushing and high salinity. 751 The changes in the biological communities corresponding to the hydrologic changes seem to remain in the predicted future as 752 the projected drying climate led to constant low flushing and high salinity in this estuary.

754 4.3 Uncertainty of future hydrology

755 This study has investigated the hydrologic changes in selected historical years and under projected future drying climate. However, the drying climate was predicted with a combination of climate models (Smith and Power, 2014) and the annual 756 757 perturbations in future climate and their impacts on the hydrology remain the subject of uncertainty. As shown in Cloern et al. 758 (2016), the hydrology of lagoons has been changing at a faster pace in the past decade from a combination of human activity 759 and climate variability. The sea level of the ocean adjacent to the PHE has been rising at faster speeds in the past decades (Kuhn et al., 2011). The PHE catchment is also undergoing fast development due to the increasing population and agricultural 760 expansion (Kelsev et al., 2011). Intensification of human activities, such as water consumption and diversion, will further 761 762 affect the lagoon's hydrology and associated ecosystem, but how these factors will change in future remains unclear. Therefore, 763 our results related to the future prediction are simply to indicate the possible changes of hydrology under the projected drying 764 climate in order to highlight the general trend and allow for prioritisation of adaptation strategies such as environmental water 765 allocation policies. Continuous monitoring on the hydrology and water quality of the lagoon and its catchment must therefore 766 be prioritised to closely observe further hydrologic change in order to provide prompt actions for management.

767 **5 Conclusions and outlook**

768 This study has sought to analyse the hydrologic changes in the Peel-Harvey Estuary to a range of drivers, and focused on the 769 effects of the recent climate change trend on the hydrologic evolution in the Peel-Harvey Estuary, relative to the changes 770 brought about by construction of the Dawesville Cut. Our results suggested the climate change in the past decades has a 771 remarkable effect on the hydrology with the same magnitude as that caused by the opening of an artificial channel, and also 772 highlighted the complexity of their interactions. The artificial channel was effective in reducing the water retention time 773 especially in areas close to the channel, while the drying climate trend has acted to increase the water retention time. The 774 artificial channel enhanced the ocean intrusion, which had a mutual effect with the drying climate to increase the estuary 775 salinity during the wet season, but it had opposite effect of reducing the hypersalinity during the dry season. The artificial 776 channel increased the seawater fluxes and the salinity stratification, mostly in the Harvey Estuary, while the drying climate 777 reduced the salinity stratification in the main body of the estuary. The changes in nutrient levels and habitat of pelagic and 778 benthic communities related to hydrology are also discussed, which showed the communities are sensitive to the hydrologic 779 changes. Consideration of the projected drying trend is essential in designing management plans associated with planning for 780 environmental water provision and setting water quality loading targets.

781

783 Data availability

784 The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

785 Author contribution

- All the authors contributed to the design of the study. Peisheng Huang carried the hydrology modelling work and prepared the
- first draft of the manuscript. Karl Henig provided the catchment outputs of the inflow rates and nutrient concentrations. Jatin
 Kala and Julia Andrys provided the WRF weather data. Matthew R. Hipsey was the project leader and provided technical and
- financial supports. Jatin Kala and Matthew R. Hipsey helped to interpret the model data and write the article.
- 790

791 **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interest.

793

794 <u>Acknowledgement</u>

- The authors would like to thank Dr. Fiona Valesini, Dr. Christopher Hallett, and three anonymous reviewers for their valuable
 and constructive comments. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council Linkage Program
 (LP150100451).
- 798
- 799
- 800

801 References

- Almroth-Rosell, E., Edman, M., Eilola, K., Markus Meier, H. E., and Sahlberg, J.: Modelling nutrient retention in the coastal
 zone of an eutrophic sea, Biogeosciences, 13(20), 5753–5769, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-5753-2016, 2016.
- Andrys, J., Kala, J., and Lyons, T. J.: Regional climate projections of mean and extreme climate for the southwest of Western
 Australia (1970–1999 compared to 2030–2059), Clim. Dyn., 48(5–6), 1723–1747, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016 3169-5, 2017.
- Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., and Kala, J.: Multidecadal evaluation of WRF downscaling capabilities over Western Australia in
 simulating rainfall and temperature extremes, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 54(2), 370–394,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-14-0212.1, 2015.
- Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., and Kala, J.: Evaluation of a WRF ensemble using GCM boundary conditions to quantify mean and
 extreme climate for the southwest of Western Australia (1970–1999), Int. J. Climatol., 36(13), 4406–4424,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4641, 2016.
- Basset, A., Elliott, M., West, R. J., and Wilson, J. G.: Estuarine and lagoon biodiversity and their natural goods and services,
 Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 132, 1–4, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.018, 2013.
- Bates, B. C., Hope, P., Ryan, B., Smith, I., and Charles, S.: Key findings from the Indian Ocean Climate Initiative and their
 impact on policy development in Australia, Clim. Change, 89(3–4), 339–354, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-93909, 2008.
- Bicknell, C.: Review of Sand Bypassing Dawesville and Mandurah: Coastal Engineering Investigation, Department for
 Planning and Infrastructure, 2006.
- Black, R. E., and Rosher, J.: The Peel-Harvey Estuary System Study (1976-1980): Technical Report, Department of of
 Conservation and Environment, Perth, Western Australia, 1980.
- 822 BMT WBM: TUFLOW FV Science Manual, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, pp. 29, 2013.
- Bradby, K.: Peel-Harvey: The Decline and Rescue of an Ecosystem, Greening the Catchment Taskforce (Inc.), Western
 Australia, 1997.
- Brearley, A. (Ed.): Ernest Hodgkin's Swanland : the estuaries and coastal lagoons of south-western Australia, University of
 Western Australia Press, Perth, 2005.
- Bruce, L. C., Cook, P. L. M., Teakle, I., and Hipsey, M. R.: Hydrodynamic controls on oxygen dynamics in a riverine salt
 wedge estuary, the Yarra River estuary, Australia, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18(4), 1397–1411,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1397-2014, 2014.
- Chapman, P. M.: Management of coastal lagoons under climate change, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 110, 32–35,
 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2012.01.010, 2012.
- CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology: Climate change in Australia: technical report, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial
 Research Organisation, Canberra, Australia, 2007.
- CSIRO: Surface water yields in south-west Western Australia: a report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO South West Western Australia Sustainable Yields Project, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation,
 Canberra, Australia, 2009.
- Cloern, J. E., Abreu, P. C., Carstensen, J., Chauvaud, L., Elmgren, R., Grall, J., Greening, H., Johansson, J. O. R., Kahru, M.,
 Sherwood, E. T., Xu, J., and Yin, K.: Human activities and climate variability drive fast-paced change across the world's
 estuarine-coastal ecosystems, Glob. Chang. Biol., 22(2), 513–529, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13059, 2016.
- Cloern, J. E., Jassby, A. D., Schraga, T. S., Nejad, E., and Martin, C.: Ecosystem variability along the estuarine salinity
 gradient: Examples from long-term study of San Francisco Bay, Limnol. Oceanogr., 62, S272–S291,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10537, 2017.
- Cottingham, A., Hesp, S. A., Hall, N. G., Hipsey, M. R., and Potter, I. C.: Marked deleterious changes in the condition, growth
 and maturity schedules of Acanthopagrus butcheri (Sparidae) in an estuary reflect environmental degradation, Estuar.
 Coast. Shelf Sci., 149, 109–119, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.07.021, 2014.
- Bi Virgilio, G., Evans, J. P., Di Luca, A., Olson, R., Argüeso, D., Kala, J., Andrys, J., Hoffmann, P., Katzfey, J. J., and Rockel,
 B.: Evaluating reanalysis-driven CORDEX regional climate models over Australia: model performance and errors, Clim.
 Dyn., 53(5–6), 2985–3005, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04672-w, 2019.
- 849 Dufour, P., Andréfouët, S., Charpy, L., and Garcia, N.: Atoll morphometry controls lagoon nutrient regime, Limnol. Oceanogr.,

- 850 46(2), 456–461, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.2.0456, 2001.
- Environmental Protection Authority: Water Quality Improvement Plan for the Rivers and Estuary of the Peel-Harvey System
 Phosphorus Management, Environmental Protection Authority, Perth, Western Australia, 2008.
- Ferrarin, C., Ghezzo, M., Umgiesser, G., Tagliapietra, D., Camatti, E., Zaggia, L., and Sarretta, A.: Assessing hydrological
 effects of human interventions on coastal systems: Numerical applications to the Venice Lagoon, Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci., 17(5), 1733–1748, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-1733-2013, 2013.
- Ferreira, J. G., Wolff, W. J., Simas, T. C., and Bricker, S. B.: Does biodiversity of estuarine phytoplankton depend on hydrology? Ecol. Modell., 187(4), 513–523, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.013, 2005.
- Ferrarin, C., Bajo, M., Bellafiore, D., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Ghezzo, M., Umgiesser G.: Toward homogenization of
 Mediterranean lagoons and their loss of hydrodiversity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 5935–5941,
 doi:10.1002/2014GL060843, 2014.
- Feyrer, F., Cloern, J. E., Brown, L. R., Fish, M. A., Hieb, K. A., and Baxter, R. D.: Estuarine fish communities respond to
 climate variability over both river and ocean basins, Glob. Chang. Biol., 21(10), 3608–3619,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12969, 2015.
- Finlayson, B. L., and McMahon, T. A.: Australia v the world: a comparative analysis of streamflow characteristics, in: Fluvial
 Geomorphology of Australia, edited by: Warner, R. F., Academic Press, Sydney, 17-39, 1988.
- Firth, R., Kala, J., Lyons, T. J., and Andrys, J.: An analysis of regional climate simulations for Western Australia's wine
 regions-model evaluation and future climate projections, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 56(7), 2113–2138,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0333.1, 2017.
- Fofonoff, N. P., and Millard, R. C.: Algorithms for computation of fundamental properties of seawater, UNESCO Technical
 Papers in Marine Science, 44, 53, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001000.x, 1983.
- Gabrielson, J.O., and Lukatelich, R.J.: Wind related resuspension of sediments in the Peel-Harvey estuarine system, Estuar.
 Coast. Shelf Sci., 20, 135–145, 1985.
- Gamito, S., Gilabert, J., Diego, C. M., and Perez-Ruzafa, A.: Effects of changing environmental conditions on lagoon ecology,
 In: Coastal Lagoons: Ecosystem Processes and Modeling for Sustainable Use and Development, edited by: Gonenc, E.
 and Wolfin, J. P., CRC Press, Boca Raton: 193–229, 2005.
- Gamito, S.: Benthic ecology of semi-natural coastal lagoons, in the Ria Formosa (Southern Portugal), exposed to different
 water renewal regimes, Hydrobiologia, 555: 75–87, 2006.
- García-Oliva, M., Pérez-Ruzafa, Á., Umgiesser, G., McKiver, W., Ghezzo, M., De Pascalis, F., and Marcos, C.: Assessing the
 Hydrodynamic Response of the Mar Menor Lagoon to Dredging Inlets Interventions through Numerical Modelling,
 Water, 10, 959, 2018.
- Ghezzo, M., Guerzoni, S., Cucco, A., and Umgiesser, G.: Changes in Venice Lagoon dynamics due to construction of mobile
 barriers, Coast. Eng., 57, 694–708, 2010.
- 683 Gentilli, J. (Ed.): Climates of Australia and New Zealand, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1971.
- Ghezzo, M., Guerzoni, S., Cucco, A., and Umgiesser, G.: Changes in Venice Lagoon dynamics due to construction of mobile
 barriers, Coast. Eng., 57(7), 694–708, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2010.02.009, 2010.
- Gillanders, B. M., Elsdon, T. S., Halliday, I. A., Jenkins, G. P., Robins, J. B., and Valesini, F. J.: Potential effects of climate
 change on Australian estuaries and fish utilising estuaries: A review, Mar. Freshw. Res., 62(9), 1115–1131,
 https://doi.org/10.1071/MF11047, 2011.
- Gong, W., Shen, J., and Jia, J.: The impact of human activities on the flushing properties of a semi-enclosed lagoon: Xiaohai,
 Hainan, China, Mar. Environ. Res., 65(1), 62–76, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.08.001, 2008.
- Hallett, C. S., Hobday, A. J., Tweedley, J. R., Thompson, P. A., McMahon, K., and Valesini, F. J.: Observed and predicted impacts of climate change on the estuaries of south-western Australia, a Mediterranean climate region, Reg. Environ. Change, 18(5), 1357–1373, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-017-1264-8, 2018.
- Hallett, C.S., Valesini, F. J., Kilminster, K., Wells, N. S., and Eyre, B. D.: A rapid protocol for assessing sediment condition
 in eutrophic estuaries, Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts, 21, 1021–1037, 2019.
- Hennig, K, Kelsey, P, Hall, J, Gunaratne, G. G. and Robb, M.: Hydrological and nutrient modelling of the Peel-Harvey estuary
 catchment (2006–15), Water Science Technical Series, Report, Aquatic Science Branch, Department of Water and
 Environmental Regulation, Perth, Western Australia, in preparation.

- Hirsch, A. L., Evans, J. P., Di Virgilio, G., Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., Argüeso, D., Pitman, A. J., Carouge, C. C., Kala, J.,
 Andrys, J., Petrelli, P., and Rockel, B.: Amplification of Australian Heatwaves via Local Land-Atmosphere Coupling,
 J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124(24), 13625–13647, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030665, 2019.
- Hipsey, M. R., Gal, G., Arhonditsis, G. B., Carey, C. C., Elliott, J. A., Frassl, M. A., Janse, J. H., de Mora, L., and Robson, B.
 J.: A system of metrics for the assessment and improvement of aquatic ecosystem models, Environ. Model. Soft., 128, 104697, 2020.
- Hodgkin, E. P., Birch, P. B., Black, R. E., and Humphries R. B.: The Peel-Harvey estuarine system study, Report no. 9,
 Department of Conservation and Environment, Western Australia, 1980.
- Hollis, G. E.: Environmental impacts of development on wetlands in arid and semi-arid lands, Hydrol. Sci. J., 35, 411–428,
 1990.
- Howarth, R. W., and Marino, R.: Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal marine ecosystems: Evolving
 views over three decades, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 364–376, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0364, 2006.
- Hope, P., and Ganter, C.: Recent and projected rainfall trends in south-west Australia and the associated shifts in weather
 systems, in: Managing climate change, Papers from the Greenhouse 2009 Conference, edited by Jubb I., Holper P. and
 Cai W., CSIRO Publishing, 2010.
- Huang, P., Kilminster, K., Larsen, S., and Hipsey, M. R.: Assessing artificial oxygenation in a riverine salt-wedge estuary with
 a three-dimensional finite-volume model, Ecol. Eng., 118, 111–125, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.04.020,
 2018.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.
 B., Tignor M., and Miller, H. L. (Eds.), Climate change 2007: The physical science basis, in: Contribution of Working
 Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University
 Press, 2007.
- Li, Y., Feng, H., Zhang, H., Sun, J., Yuan, D., Guo, L., Nie, J., and Du, J.: Hydrodynamics and water circulation in the New
 York/New Jersey Harbor: A study from the perspective of water age, J. Mar. Syst., 199,
 doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103219, 2019.
- Izrael, Y. A., Semenov, S. M., Anisimov, O. A., Anokhin, Y. A., Velichko, A. A., Revich, B. A., and Shiklomanov, I. A.: The
 Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Working Group II contribution, Russian
 Meteorology and Hydrology, 32(9), 551–556, 2007.
- Johnston, D., Chandrapavan, A., Wise, B., and Caputi, N.: Assessment of blue swimmer crab recruitment and breeding stock
 levels in the Peel-Harvey Estuary and status of the Mandurah to Bunbury developing crab fishery, Fisheries Research
 Report No. 258, Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, 2014.
- Jouon, A., Douillet, P., Ouillon, S., and Fraunie, P.: Calculations of hydrodynamic time parameters in a semi-opened coastal
 zone using a 3D hydrodynamic model, Cont. Shelf Res., 26, 1395–1415, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2005.11.014, 2006.
- Kala, J., Andrys, J., Lyons, T. J., Foster, I. J., and Evans, B. J.: Sensitivity of WRF to driving data and physics options on a
 seasonal time-scale for the southwest of Western Australia, Clim. Dyn., 44(3–4), 633–659,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2160-2, 2015.
- Kasai, A., Kurikawa, Y., Ueno, M., Robert, D., and Yamashita, Y.: Salt-wedge intrusion of seawater and its implication for
 phytoplankton dynamics in the Yura Estuary, Japan, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 86(3), 408–414,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.06.001, 2010.
- Kelsey, P., Hall, J., Kretschmer, P., Quiton, B., and Shakya, D.: Hydrological and nutrient modelling of the Peel-Harvey
 catchment, Water Science Technical Series, Report no. 33, Department of Water, Western Australia, 2011.
- Kingsford, R. T., Lemly, A. D., and Thompson, J. R.: Impacts of dams, river management and diversions on desert rivers, In:
 Ecology of Desert Rivers, edited by: Kingsford, R. T., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: 203–247, 2006.
- Kinhill Engineers Pty Ltd: Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary management strategy environmental review and management
 programme stage 2, Department of Agriculture and Department of Marine and Harbours, Western Australia, 1998.
- Kjerfve, B.: Coastal lagoons, In: Coastal Lagoon Processes, edited by: Kjerfve, B., Elsevier Oceanographic Series, Elsevier
 Science, Amsterdam, 1–8, 1994.
- Kjerfve, B., and Magill, K. E.: Geographic and hydrodynamic characteristics of shallow coastal lagoons, Mar. Geol., 88, 187–
 199, 1989.
- 948 Kjerfve, B., Schettini, C. A. F., Knoppers, B., Lessa, G., and Ferreira, H. O.: Hydrology and salt balance in a large, hypersaline

- 949 coastal lagoon: Lagoa de Araruama, Brazil, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 42(6), 701–725, 950 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.1996.0045, 1996.
- Knoppers, B., Kjerfve, B., and Carmouze, J. P.: Trophic state and water turn-over time in six choked coastal lagoons in Brazil,
 Biogeochemistry, 14(2), 149–166, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002903, 1991.
- Koutitonsky, V. G.: Three-dimensional structure of wind-driven currents in coastal lagoons, In: Coastal Lagoons: Ecosystem
 Processes and Modeling for Sustainable Use and Development, edited by: Gonenc, E. and Wolfin, J. P., CRC Press,
 Boca Raton, 376–391, 2005.
- Kraal, P., Burton, E. D., and Bush, R. T.: Iron monosulfide accumulation and pyrite formation in eutrophic estuarine sediments,
 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 122, 75–88, 2013.
- Kuhn, M., Tuladhar, D., and Corner, R.: Visualising the spatial extent of predicted coastal zone inundation due to sea level
 rise in south-west Western Australia, Ocean Coast. Manag., 54(11), 796–806,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2011.08.005, 2011.
- Legović, T., Żutić, V., Grżetić, Z., Cauwet, G., Precali, R., and Viličié, D.: Eutrophication in the Krka estuary, Mar. Chem.,
 46(1–2), 203–215, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(94)90056-6, 1994.
- Lord, D. A.: Dawesville Channel Monitoring Programme technical review, Water and Rivers Commission Report WRT 28,
 Western Australia, 1998.
- Manda, A. K., Giuliano, A. S., and Allen, T. R.: Influence of artificial channels on the source and extent of saline water
 intrusion in the wind tide dominated wetlands of the southern Albemarle estuarine system (USA), Environ. Earth Sci.,
 71(10), 4409–4419, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-013-2834-9, 2014.
- McFarlane, D., George, R., Ruprecht, J., Charles, S., and Hodgson, G.: Runoff and groundwater responses to climate change
 in South West Australia, Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia, 103: 9-27, 2020.
- Monsen, N. E., Cloern, J. E., Lucas, L. V., and Monismith, S. G.: A comment on the use of flushing time, residence time, and
 age as transport time scales, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47(5), 1545–1553, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2002.47.5.1545, 2002.
- Muleta, M.K., and Nicklow, J. W.: Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis coupled with automatic calibration for a distributed
 watershed model, J. Hydrol., 306, 127–145, 2004.
- Newton, A., Brito, A. C., Icely, J. D., Derolez, V., Clara, I., Angus, S., Schernewski, G., Inácio, M., Lillebø, A. I., Sousa, A.
 I., Béjaoui, B., Solidoro, C., Tosic, M., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Yamamuro, M., Reizopoulou, S., Tseng, H. C., Canu, D.,
 Roselli, L. Maanan, M., Cristina, S., Ruiz-Fernández, A. C., Lima, R. F., Kjerfve, B., Rubio-Cisneros, N., Pérez-Ruzafa,
 A., Marcos, C., Pastres, R., Pranovi, F., Snoussi, M., Turpie, J., Tuchkovenko, Y., Dyack, B., Brookes, J., Povilanskas,
 R., Khokhlov, V.: Assessing, quantifying and valuing the ecosystem services of coastal lagoons, J. Nat. Conserv., 44,
 50–65, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2018.02.009, 2018.
- 980 Newton, A., Icely, J., Cristina, S., Brito, A., Cardoso, A. C., Colijn, F., Riva, S. D., Gertz, F., Hansen, J. W., Holmer, M., Ivanova, K., Leppäkoski, E., Canu, D. M., Mocenni, C., Mudge, S., Murray, N., Pejrup, M., Razinkovas, A., 981 982 Reizopoulou, S., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Schernewski, G., Schuber, H., Carr, L.C., Solidoro, C., Viaroli, P., Zaldívar, J. M.: 983 An overview of ecological status, vulnerability and future perspectives of European large shallow, semi-enclosed coastal 984 systems, lagoons and transitional waters, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 140, 95-122, 985 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.023, 2014.
- Nicholls, R. J., and Hoozemans, F. M. J.: The Mediterranean: vulnerability to coastal implications of climate change, Ocean
 Coast. Manag., 31: 105–132, 1996.
- Nicholls, R.J., Hoozemans, F.M.J., Marchand, M.: Increasing flood risk and wetland losses due to global sealevel rise: regional
 and global analyses, Global Environ. Chang., 9: S69–S87, 1999.
- Odebrecht, C., Abreu, P. C., and Carstensen, J.: Retention time generates short-term phytoplankton blooms in a shallow
 microtidal subtropical estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 162, 35–44, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.03.004, 2015.
- Rogers, P., Hall, N., and Valesini, F.: Science strategy for the Peel- Harvey Estuary, prepared for the Peel-Harvey Catchment
 Council, Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research, Murdoch University, 2010.
- Paerl, H. W., Hall, N. S., Peierls, B. L., Rossignol, K. L., and Joyner, A. R.: Hydrologic Variability and Its Control of Phytoplankton Community Structure and Function in Two Shallow, Coastal, Lagoonal Ecosystems: The Neuse and New River Estuaries, North Carolina, USA, Estuaries Coast., 37(S1), 31–45, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-013-9686-0, 2014.
- 998 Paerl, H. W., Valdes, L. M., Peierls, B. L., Adolf, J. E., and Harding, L. W.: Anthropogenic and climatic influences on the

- 999 eutrophication of large estuarine ecosystems, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 448–462, 1000 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0448, 2006.
- Pedretti, Y. M., Kobryn, H. T., Sommerville, E. F., and Wienczugow, K.: Snapshot Survey of the Distribution and Abundance
 of Seagrass and Macroalgae in the Peel-Harvey Estuary from November / December 2009, Unpublished Technical
 Report, Marine and Freshwater Laboratories, Murdoch University, 2011.
- Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., and Pérez-Ruzafa, I. M.: Mediterranean coastal lagoons in an ecosystem and aquatic resources management context, Phys. Chem. Earth, 36(5–6), 160–166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2010.04.013, 2011.
- Petersen, J. K., Hansen Würgler, J., Laursen Brogaard, M., Clausen, P., Carstensen, J., and Conley, D. J.: Regime shift in a coastal marine ecosystem, Ecol. Appl., 18(2), 497–510, https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0752.1, 2008.
- Potter, I. C., Chuwen, B. M., Hoeksema, S. D., and Elliott, M.: The concept of an estuary: A definition that incorporates systems which can become closed to the ocean and hypersaline, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 87(3), 497–500, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.01.021, 2010.
- Prestrelo, L., and Monteiro-Neto, C.: Before-after environmental impact assessment of an artificial channel opening on a south western Atlantic choked lagoon system, J. Fish Biol., 89(1), 735–752, https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13012, 2016.
- Sahu, B. K., Pati, P., and Panigrahy, R. C.: Environmental conditions of Chilika Lake during pre and post hydrological
 intervention: An overview, J. Coast. Conservation, 18(3), 285–297, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-014-0318-z, 2014.
- Scavia, D.t, Field, J.C., Boesch, D.F., Buddemeier, R.W., Burkett, V., Cayan, D.R., Fogarty, M., Harwell, M.A., Howarth,
 R.W., Mason, C., Reed, D.J., Royer, T.C., Sallenger, A.H., Titus, J.G.:: Climate change impacts on U.S. coastal and
 marine ecosystems, Estuaries, 25, 149–164, 2002.
- Sheldon, J. E., and Alber, M.: The calculation of estuarine turnover times using freshwater fraction and tidal prism models: a
 critical evaluation, Estuaries Coast., 29(1), 133–146, 2006.
- Silberstein, R. P., Aryal, S. K., Durrant, J., Pearcey, M., Braccia, M., Charles, S. P., Boniecka, L., Hodgson, G. A., Bari, M.
 A., Viney, N. R., and McFarlane, D. J.: Climate change and runoff in south-western Australia, J. Hydrol., 475, 441–455, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.009, 2012.
- Smith, I., and Power, S.: Past and future changes to inflows into Perth (Western Australia) dams, J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud., 2, 84–
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2014.08.005, 2014.
- Smith, N.: Water, salt and heat balances of coastal lagoons, in: Coastal Lagoon Processes, edited by: Kjerfve, B., Elsevier
 Oceanographic Series 60, Elsevier, New York, 69–102, 1994.
- Spaulding, M.: Modeling of circulation and dispersion in coastal lagoons, in: Coastal Lagoon Processes, edited by: Kjerfve,
 B., Elsevier Oceanographic Series 60, Elsevier, New York, 103–132, 1994.
- 1029 Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzo, M., and Bajo, M.: Comparative hydrodynamics
 1030 of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of numerical modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 119, 2212-2226,
 1031 doi:10.1002/2013JC009512, 2014.
- Valesini, F. J., Hallett, C. S., Hipsey, M. R., Kilminster, K. L., Huang, P., and Hennig, K.: Peel-Harvey Estuary, Western
 Australia, in: Coasts and Estuaries: the future, edited by: Wolanski, E., Day, J. W., Elliott, M., and Ramachandran, R.,
 Elsevier, 103–120, 2019.
- Watanabe, K., Kasai, A., Antonio, E. S., Suzuki, K., Ueno, M., and Yamashita, Y.: Influence of salt-wedge intrusion on
 ecological processes at lower trophic levels in the Yura Estuary, Japan, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 139, 67–77,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.12.018, 2014.
- Welsh, W.D., Vaze, J., Dutta, D., Rassam, D., Rahman, J.M., Jolly, I.D., Wallbrink, P., Podger, G.M., Bethune, M., Hardy,
 M.J., Teng, J. and Lerat, J.: An integrated modelling framework for regulated river systems, Environ. Model. Softw.,
 39, 81–102, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.02.022, 2013.
- 1041 Williamson, S. C., Rheuban, J. E., Costa, J. E., Glover, D. M., and Doney, S. C.: Assessing the Impact of Local and Regional 1042 Nitrogen Loads to Buzzards Bay, Front. Mar. Influences on MA, Science, 3, 279. 1043 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00279, 2017.
- 1044 Wu, J.: Wind-stress coefficients over sea surface from breeze to hurricane, J. Geophys. Res., 87 (C12), 9704–9706, 1982.
- Zaldívar, J., Cardoso, A. C., Viaroli, P., Wit, R. De, Ibañez, C., Reizopoulou, S., Razinkovas, A., Basset, A., Holmer, M., and
 Murray, N.: Eutrophication in transitional waters: an overview, Transit. Waters Monogr., 2(2008), 1–78,
 https://doi.org/10.1285/i18252273v2n1p1, 2008.

 Zhu, Y., McCowan, A., and Cook, P. L. M.: Effects of changes in nutrient loading and composition on hypoxia dynamics and internal nutrient cycling of a stratified coastal lagoon, Biogeosciences, 14(19), 4423–4433, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4423-2017, 2017.