

Interactive comment on “PAttern REtrieval or deNegation Testing Scheme (PARENTS) v.1.0 – Identifying the degree of presence of given patterns in spatial time series” by Benjamin Müller et al.

Benjamin Müller et al.

b.mueller@iggf.geo.uni-muenchen.de

Received and published: 6 March 2020

Answer to Anonymous Referee #1 (Received and published: 29 January 2020):

Original comment in plain text, answers in italic.

General comments:

A relatively straightforward PCA method is used to retrieve biogeophysical variables from a time series of 28 ASTER images acquired from 2001 to 2012 covering a catch-

C1

ment. Title suggests that a software is presented (“Parents”) but this software is not available now.

We will be happy to adjust the title accordingly to avoid confusion:

Identifying the degree of presence of given near-surface characteristics in time series of remote sensing images – Introduction and description of the PAttern REtrieval or deNegation Testing Scheme (PARENTS)

This paper is a third of a series of studies using the same dataset over the same area. Which remotely sensed variable is used is unclear because several terms are used (“surface temperature”, “land surface temperature”, “temperature TOA”).

We will homogenize the wording throughout the document with additional details on the differences and assumptions that were no yet repeated from the predecessor papers.#M3

Introduction does not permit understanding the novelty and the objectives of this work with respect to previous studies by the same authors.

Given that both reviewers indicated some difficulties in understanding the objectives and research questions of work, we decided to apply mayor revisions on the introduction and the abstract, to clearer describe the problem we address and to clarify how the methods evolved from Müller et al. 2014 and 2016 to this paper.

Figure 4 was already published (Figure 1 in Mueller et al. 2014 and Figure 1 in Mueller et al. 2016). Figure 2 was already published (Figure 2 in Mueller et al. 2014 and Figure 4 in Mueller et al. 2016).

We feel that both figures are essential in describing the catchment and the used data. If the suggestion is, to simply cite them without showing, we are happy to do so, but feel it would be much easier for the reader to have that information straight at hand.

C2

Finally, I tend to think that this work is not technically correct. While previous works focused on rather static properties of the catchment such as land cover, topography, geology, soil texture, this study considers thermal inertia and LAI. Thermal inertia depends on soil moisture.

The reviewer is absolutely correct when pointing out that thermal inertia is dynamically dependent on soil moisture. The herein used thermal inertia is solely the bedrock part of the integral soil thermal inertia, and thus rather constant. We will emphasize this explicitly in a revised version of the manuscript.#M1

Both soil moisture and LAI can vary rapidly and both present seasonal and interannual variability.

LAI cannot be directly measured for a catchment of this size and the used data is a theoretical snapshot and mainly used as an example. We will emphasize that intention in a revised version.#M2

I do not see how they can be monitored using data presenting such a poor sampling time.

This is mainly the novelty of the approach. We do not attempt to monitor soil moisture or LAI directly, but we attempt to find related patterns in the transition angles between the PCs, which are based on limited sampling time. We will emphasize this approach in the revised introduction.

The authors would rather use geology and land cover as they did in their previous work (but then: what's new?).

For both characteristics, we need a numeric representation, which is stated in the paper. Thus, the need for a translation to exemplary thermal inertia and LAI patterns.

C3

This paper cannot be published in the present form.

Particular comments:

- L. 37: Only surface soil moisture (SSM) can be observed from space. "WC" is too vague.

"WC" is the used term in the cited reference. Will be changed in the revised introduction.

- L. 51: What does "TS" stand for? Time series?

The abbreviation will be introduced in a clear manner after revision.

- P. 3: Authors should explain the added value of this paper with respect to previous studies published in HESS (2014 and 2016) by the same authors. Is there anything new in this study?

Will be part of the revision of the introduction.

- L. 278-280 (and Tables 1 and 2): This is not correct. Moisture could influence thermal inertia (not only bedrock properties) and LAI has seasonal and interannual variability.

See marks M1 and M2 in the general comment above.

- L. 393 (data assimilation): not clear for understanding. Which kind of DA schemes?

We will make clear how the scheme could be used in DA schemes. (In short, the idea is to use pattern nudging instead of value nudging, with patterns of modelled data being replaced by patterns that can be found in an observation time series with the presented scheme.)

- L. 535: what is the definition of a LAI "composite value"?

Term will be adjusted to "literature values".

- L. 566 (1000000 optimization steps): sounds a lot.

C4

This is information solely for comparative measures (i.e. all optimization runs had the same number of iterations). Thus, 1 Mio steps is not compute time/cost intensive in nowadays machines and not unexpected for a set of 12 free parameters within a range of 0°-360°.

- L. 585 (Figure 5): what does TOA stand for? Top Of the Atmosphere? In this case, you should refrain calling this quantity “surface temperature”.

See mark M3 in the general comment above.

- L. 590 (Figure 6): Thermal inertia and LAI are variables through time. What do these maps mean?

See marks M1 and M2 in the general comment above.

Thank you for your valuable input and constructive comments.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2019-563>, 2019.