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#### Abstract

Cationic aluminium species are toxic to terrestrial and aquatic life. Despite decades of acid emission reductions, accumulating evidence shows that freshwater acidification recovery is delayed in locations such as Nova Scotia, Canada. Further, spatial and temporal patterns of labile cationic forms of aluminium $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ remain poorly understood. Here we increase our understanding of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ spatial and temporal patterns by measuring $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations in ten streams in acid-sensitive areas of Nova Scotia over a four-year time period. We observe widespread and frequent occurrences of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations that exceed toxic thresholds ( $>15 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ). $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ patterns appear to be driven by known $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ drivers - pH , dissolved organic carbon, dissolved aluminium, and calcium - but the dominant driver and temporal patterns vary by catchment. Our results demonstrate that elevated $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ remains a threat to aquatic ecosystems. For example, our observed $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations are potentially harmful to the biologically, economically, and culturally significant Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar).


## 1 Introduction

Freshwater acidification caused elevated concentrations of cationic aluminium $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at the end of the last century that led to increased freshwater and marine mortality and, ultimately, the extirpation of native Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) populations in many rivers (Rosseland et al., 1990), for example in Scandinavia (Henriksen et al., 1984, Hesthagen and Hansen, 1991), the eastern USA (Monette and McCormick, 2008, Parrish et al., 1998), and Nova Scotia, Canada (Watt, 1987). Following reductions in anthropogenic sulfur emissions in North America and Europe since the 1990s, many rivers showed steady improvements in annual average stream chemistry (Evans et al., 2001, Monteith et al., 2014,

Skjelkvåle et al., 2005, Stoddard et al., 1999, Warby et al., 2005), including reduced concentrations of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the USA (Baldigo and Lawrence, 2000, Buchanan et al., 2017, Burns et al., 2006) and Europe (Beneš et al., 2017, Davies et al., 2005, Monteith et al., 2014). However, recent evidence highlights delayed recovery from acidification in some areas (Houle et al., 2006, Warby et al., 2009, Watmough et al., 2016), including SWNS (Clair et al., 2011), raising concerns about elevated $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations.

Aluminium (Al) toxicity can be caused by both precipitated and dissolved forms in circumneutral waters (Gensemer et al., 2018); however, the cationic species of Al , such as $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$, $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{1+}$, and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ are considered to be the most labile and toxic to salmonids as they bind to the negatively charged fish gills causing morbidity and mortality through suffocation (Exley et al., 1991), reducing nutrient intake at gill sites, and altering blood plasma levels (Nilsen et al., 2010). Further, the effects of sub-lethal exposure to freshwater Al elicits osmoregulatory impairment (Monette and McCormick, 2008, Regish et al., 2018) which reduces survival in the hypertonic marine environment (McCormick et al., 2009, Staurnes et al., 1996). Elevated concentrations of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are also toxic to other freshwater and terrestrial organisms (Boudot et al., 1994, Wauer and Teien, 2010), such as frogs and aquatic birds (Lacoul et al., 2011).

Al speciation varies with pH (Helliweli et al., 1983, Lydersen, 1990), where positive Al species dominate over neutral and negative species below pH 6.3 at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and below pH 5.7 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lydersen, 1990), with the most toxic Al species, $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{+1}$ (Helliweli et al., 1983) dominating Al speciation between $\mathrm{pH} 5.0-6.0$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 5.5-6.5 at $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lydersen, 1990). Thus, the toxicity of Al increases with increased pH up to the formation of gibbsite (Schofield and Trojnar, 1980). Additionally, colder waters will have a higher proportion of toxic species at higher pH values than warmer waters (Driscoll and Schecher, 1990). The bioavailability of Al is reduced by the presence of calcium (Ca) (Brown, 1983), which can occupy the negatively charged gill sites, and dissolved organic carbon (DOC), which
occludes $A l_{i}$ through the formation of organo- Al complexes $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ that are nontoxic to fish (Erlandsson et al., 2010).

Despite being the most common metal on Earth's crust, Al is usually immobilized in clays or hydroxide minerals in soils. Rates of Al release into soil water from soil minerals increase with three drivers: 1) low soil $\mathrm{pH}, 2$ ) low soil base saturation, and 3) high soil DOC concentrations. Lowered pH increases Al solubility and observations confirm that $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations are negatively correlated with pH (Campbell et al., 1992, Kopáček et al., 2006). Low levels of base saturation can cause charge imbalances resulting in the release of Al into soil waters from clay particles, and later into drainage waters (Fernandez et al., 2003) and chronic acidification thus shifts available exchangeable cations in the soil from Ca and magnesium (Mg) towards Al (Schlesinger and Bernhardt, 2013, Walker et al., 1990). Higher concentrations of DOC in soil water increase the release of Al through two mechanisms: 1) as an organic acid, DOC decreases soil pH , thus increasing Al release (Lawrence et al., 2013), and 2) by forming organic complexes with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ it maintains a negative Al concentration gradient from the cation exchange sites to the soil water, increasing rates of Al release (Edzwald and Van Benschoten, 1990, Jansen et al., 2003). Field studies confirm Al concentrations to be positively correlated with DOC (Campbell et al., 1992, Kopáček et al., 2006) although at higher concentrations of DOC, Al may be organic-complexed and less toxic to aquatic organisms (Witters et al., 1990).

Once mobilized in soil waters, export of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ to drainage waters requires anions to maintain charge balance. Storm events have been shown to increase $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ export due to added anions (e.g., $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, $\left.\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{F}^{-}\right)$, and from the movement of flow paths to shallower soil horizons where more Al may be available for transport. For example, from 1983 to 1984, Al concentrations for the River Severn in Wales increased ten-fold during the stormflow peak compared to the baseflow (Neal et al., 1986).

However, the association of increased $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations with storm flow is not consistent in the literature (DeWalle et al., 1995, McKnight and Bencala, 1988).

Annual patterns of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ typically show a peak, but the timing of the peak varies. In some areas, $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations peak in the spring and winter, correlated with flow peaks, such as in Quebec (Campbell et al., 1992), Russia (Rodushkin et al., 1995), and along the Czech-German border (Kopacek et al., 2000, Kopáček et al., 2006). In other areas, Al concentrations were found to be higher in the summer such as in Virginia, USA (Cozzarelli et al., 1987). If the timing of peak $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations coincides with sensitive stages of aquatic organisms, the potential for large biological impacts is high.

Our understanding of spatial and temporal trends of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is limited by the relative paucity of samples: $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is not measured as part of standard analyses. Our understanding is also limited by the difficulty in comparing the wide variety of methods for estimating $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$; different definitions, often operational, of toxic Al include inorganic Al , inorganic monomeric Al , labile $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$, and cationic Al (Table A1). Definitions for both inorganic monomeric Al and cationic Al include all positively charged species of Al.

Acid sensitive areas of NS, here abbreviated as $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (see Clair et al., 2007), with once-famous wild Atlantic salmon populations, were heavily impacted by acid deposition at the end of the last century, which originated from coal burning in central Canada and Northeastern USA (Hindar, 2001, Summers and Whelpdale, 1976). $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ catchments are particularly sensitive to acid deposition due to base cation-poor and slowly weathering bedrock that generates thin soils with low acid neutralizing capacity (ANC), extensive wetlands, and episodic sea salt inputs (Clair et al., 2011, Freedman and Clair, 1987, Watt et al., 2000, Whitfield et al., 2006). A 2006 fall survey found that $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations in NS exceeded the $15 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ toxic threshold suggested by the European Inland Fisheries Advisory Council (EIFAC) for aquatic health in seven of 42 rivers surveyed (Dennis and Clair, 2012). However, apart
from this study, little is known about the regional extent and patterns of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Here, we aim to increase our understanding of current $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ spatial and temporal patterns in relation to toxic thresholds, and to identify potential drivers by conducting a four-year survey of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations in ten streams across acid-sensitive areas of NS, Canada.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study area

We surveyed $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations at ten study catchments in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$, ranging from headwater to higher-order systems: Mersey River (MR), Moose Pit Brook (MPB), Pine Marten Brook (PMB), Maria Brook (MB), Brandon Lake Brook (BLB), above the West River lime doser (ALD), Upper Killag River (UKR), Little River (LR), Keef Brook (KB), and Colwell Creek (CC) (Table 1, Fig. 1 and 2). Our study catchments are predominantly forested, draining slow-weathering, base-cation poor bedrock, producing soils with low ANC (Langan and Wilson, 1992, Tipping, 1989). The catchments also have relatively high DOC concentrations (Ginn et al., 2007) associated with the abundant wetlands in the region (Clair et al., 2008, Gorham et al., 1986, Kerekes et al., 1986).

### 2.2 Data collection and analysis

We measured $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations at three of the ten catchments from April 2015 to September 2017 (MR, MPB, PMB), on a weekly to monthly frequency during the snow free season (approximately April to November, Table A2). In 2016-2018, seven sites were added and sampled every two weeks to monthly during the snow-free season.
$\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ sampling events comprise grab samples for lab analysis and in situ measurements of pH and water temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$. We calculate $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ as the difference between dissolved $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}$ following Dennis and Clair (2012) and Poléo (1995) (Eq. 1), separating the species in the field to reduce errors caused by changes in temperature and pH in transport from field to lab.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A l_{i}=A l_{d}-A l_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is measured as the Al concentration of a filtered sample and $\mathrm{Al}_{o}$ is measured as the eluate from passing filtered water through a 3 cm negatively charged cation exchange column (Bond Elut Jr. Strong Cation Exchange Column). Samples were passed through the cation exchange column at a rate of approximately 30 to 60 drops per minute. From this method, $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is operationally defined as the nonlabile, organically-complexed metals and colloids, and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is defined as the positive ionic species of Al (e.g., $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}, \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{+}$).

Stream chemistry samples ( 50 ml ) were collected using sterilized polyethylene syringes into sterilized polyethylene bottles. Samples for sulfate $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right)$ analysis were not filtered. Trace metal samples were filtered $(0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and preserved with nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$. Samples for DOC analysis were filtered $(0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and transported in amber glass bottles containing sulfuric acid preservative $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ to prevent denaturation. All samples were cooled to $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during transport to the laboratories. Samples were delivered to the laboratories within 48 hours of collection, where they were further cooled to $\leq$ $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to analysis (Appendix D).

We examined correlations between $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and water chemistry parameters: $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{DOC}, \mathrm{pH}$, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$, fluoride ( $\mathrm{F}^{-}$), nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$, and runoff (where data are available). Correlations were analysed within and across sites. For the purposes of this study, we use the toxic threshold of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at $15 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, as the majority of our pH observations were greater than or equal to 5.0 (Table A2, Appendix D3).

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Patterns of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathbf{i}}$

$\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations exceed toxic levels $\left(15 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ at all sites during the study period (Table A2). Sites in the eastern part of the study area have the highest proportion of samples exceeding threshold levels, including one site with $100 \%$ of samples in exceedance (Fig. 1). Mean $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations across all sites range from 13-60 ug L- $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (Table 1), with the highest mean concentrations also occurring in the eastern part of the study area (Fig. 2). $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations exceed $100 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (approximately seven times the threshold) at three sites (Table A2). In the sites with the longest and most frequent data collection (MR and MPB), $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations exceed the toxic threshold in consecutive samples for months at a time, particularly in the late summer (Fig. B1). Our $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations are consistent with the 6.9-230 ug $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ range of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations measured across NS by Dennis and Clair (2012) and are higher than concentrations measured in Norway from 1987-2010 (5-30 ug L-1) (Hesthagen et al., 2016).

The percent of Al not complexed by $\mathrm{DOC}\left(\% \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{Al}_{d}\right)$ ranges from a minimum of $0.6 \%$ to a maximum of $50 \%$, with a median value of $10.7 \%$, across all sites. These findings are similar to those found NS by Dennis and Clair (2012) of the proportion of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in total aluminum $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)(\mathrm{min} .=4 \%$, max. $=70.1 \%$, med. $=12.4 \%$ ), and less than those found by Lacroix (1989) (over $\left.90 \% \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}\right) . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and pH have a significant positive correlation with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ (Table A3), consistent with an earlier observation that Al toxicity increases with pH (Schofield and Trojnar, 1980). However, even when the percentage of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is low, $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations remain well above thresholds for toxicity (Fig. B4-B13). Previous studies show $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ is low during baseflow (Bailey et al., 1995, Murdoch and Stoddard, 1992, Schofield et al., 1985), similar to our findings (Figs. B4-B13); more consistent year-round sampling is needed to obtain a better picture of seasonal patterns in Al speciation in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

### 3.2 Potential $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ drivers

$A l_{d}$ is significantly $(\alpha=0.05)$ and positively correlated with $A l_{i}$ in seven of the ten study sites (ALD, KB, LR, MB, MPB, MR, PMB) (Fig. 3, Table A4), despite the high concentrations of DOC. $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is also significantly and positively correlated with DOC in four sites (ALD, KB, MPB, MR) (Fig. 3, Table A4), consistent with other studies (Campbell et al., 1992, Kopáček et al., 2006). The positive correlation between DOC and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations may suggest that the ability of DOC to mobilize $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ in soils is stronger than its ability to occlude $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in streamwaters.

Ca is significantly and positively correlated with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at two sites (MPB, MR) (Fig. 3, Table A4). The positive relationship between Ca and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the opposite of expectations. We hypothesize that this is due to the two study sites having very low Ca concentrations (mean concentrations below $1 \mathrm{mg}^{-1}$ ), below which soil water Ca concentrations are too low to retard Al release. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is also significantly positively correlated with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at two sites (MR, MPB) (Fig. 3, Table A4), likely reflective of the temperature-related drivers of Al concentration and speciation. Runoff is significantly and negatively correlated with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at one site MPB (Fig. 3, Table A4). Runoff data are available for only two of the study sites (MR, MPB) and so more runoff data are needed to improve our understanding of the relation between runoff and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

We did not observe the negative association between pH and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ observed in previous studies (Campbell et al., 1992, Kopáček et al., 2006). pH is negatively correlated with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in four out of ten sites, but none of these relationships are statistically significant (Fig. 3, Table A4). We did observe a statistically significant positive relationship between pH and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$; thus it seems that pH may play a more important role in determining the proportion of different Al species rather than the absolute value of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ present in streamwaters.
$\mathrm{F}^{-}$has also been found to be a complexing agent that affects the speciation of Al at low pH levels and relatively high concentrations of $\mathrm{F}^{-}\left(>1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ (Berger et al., 2015). The concentrations of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$at the study sites are mostly below this threshold (mean across all sites $=0.045 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ); however, there is still a significant positive effect of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$on $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations across at two sites (KB, MPB) (Fig. 3, Table A4). $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ are also potential complexing ligands of Al ; however, we did not observe any correlation between $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and either of these parameters, except for a significant negative correlation between $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at MB .

The highest concentrations of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ observed (> $100 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) often occurred in early summer (late June or early July in 2016-2018) when $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and DOC concentrations had not yet reached their annual peak (Table A2). The spring/summer extreme events occurred among the first exceptionally warm days (>21 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the year, in dry conditions, and when the proportion of $A l_{0} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ was low (lowering to approximately 60-70\% from higher levels of around 80-90\%) (Figs. B4-B13). pH was not abnormally low during these events (ranging from 4.8 to 6.13 ), Ca concentrations were low (less than or equal to $800 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) and DOC concentrations ranged from $15-21 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$. The observed peak in $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations during times of lower discharge contrasts with studies that found higher $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations during higher flow (Campbell et al., 1992, Kopacek et al., 2000, Neal et al., 1986, Rodushkin et al., 1995). Further research is required to test hypotheses on why high $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ coincides with high DOC and low flow periods.

### 3.3 Possible seasonal groupings of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$

In the two sites with the most samples, MPB and MR, groupings of data are visible that are temporally contiguous, potentially indicating seasonally-dependent $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ behavior (Fig. 4). This is supported by stronger linear correlations $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ among variables when grouped by "season" (Table 2); for
example, for the correlation between pH and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at MR, $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ improves from 0.02 for year-round data (Fig. B17) to up to 0.78 in season 1 (Fig. 4). The transition dates between the seasons are similar for the two catchments, but not the same (Table A2), and vary by year. Here we propose initial characterization of the potential "seasons"; more research is needed to test these hypotheses on seasonal divisions and their drivers using larger datasets and Generalized Linear Mixed Model analysis to test for statistical significance among the potential seasonal groupings.

Season 1 (approximately April/May) is coincident with snow-melt runoff and is characterized by relatively low concentrations of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(2-46 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$, low $\mathrm{pH}(4.5-5.3)$, and lower concentrations of most constituents, including DOC, and cold temperatures $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. During this season, $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is strongly coupled with $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{DOC}, \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and Ca in MR , but less so in MPB. A possible explanation is that season 1 is dominated by snowmelt hydrology in which cation exchange between soil and discharge occurs less efficiently, which has been attributed to ice and frozen soil potentially limiting water contact time with soil (Christophersen et al., 1990). The onset of season 2 (approximately late June) is characterized by increasing $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations, temperature, and $\mathrm{DOC} . \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and pH values are higher in this season and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ becomes strongly negatively correlated with pH as pH increases to the lower threshold for gibbsite. In MR in season $2 \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ has a strong positive relationship with DOC . The highest observed $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations of the year occur in season 2 (Fig. 4). $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ relations are weak in MR in season 3 (approximately September through March), likely due to the lower frequency of measurements during the winter. Season 3 in MR has the highest concentrations of dissolved constituents $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{Ca}\right.$, and DOC$)$, whereas in MPB only Ca has the highest concentrations.

### 3.4 Ecological implications

While the summer peak in $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ that we observed in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ does not coincide with the smoltification period, when salmon transition from parr to smolt and are highly sensitive to Al exposure (Kroglund et al., 2007, Monette and McCormick, 2008, Nilsen et al., 2013), continued exposure throughout the year may still negatively affect salmon populations, as accumulation of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on gills reduces salmon marine and freshwater survival (Kroglund et al., 2007). Further, $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations as low as $20 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ may impair marine survival without reducing freshwater survival (Kroglund and Staurnes, 1999, Staurnes et al., 1996), contributing to the observation that marine threats are driving population declines of Atlantic Salmon (e.g. Gibson et al., 2011). In addition, as the higher $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations appear to be driven - at least in part - by lower flow in the summer months, increases in the length and severity of droughts and heat-waves due to climate change may further increase $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations and exacerbate $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ effects on aquatic life. Increases in Al have already been observed across areas previously affected by freshwater acidification (Sterling et al., in prep.).

For example, because many peak $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations occur on the first exceptionally warm day in late spring, the peaks may be exacerbated with springtime warming associated with climate change. As warm days begin to occur earlier in the season, there may be increasing chance of the peak $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations overlapping with smoltification season and emergence of salmon fry; both considered the most vulnerable life stages of Atlantic salmon (e.g., Farmer, 2000), although the phenology of the smolt run is expected to similarly advance earlier in the year.

## 4 Conclusions

Our study reveals that widespread and persistent toxic concentrations of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ freshwaters pose a risk to aquatic, and potentially terrestrial, life. Previously, high DOC concentrations were presumed to protect aquatic life against $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$; our study shows that this presumption does not hold.

Our results suggest that the recent 88 to $99 \%$ population decline of the Southern Uplands Atlantic salmon population in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (Gibson et al., 2011) may be partially attributable to $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$, in contrast to earlier studies which downplayed the role of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in Atlantic salmon mortality (Bowlby et al., 2013, Lacroix and Townsend, 1987). These high $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations in $\mathrm{NS}_{\mathrm{A}}$ highlight the need to increase our understanding of the influence of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, and its implications for biodiversity.

The catchments with the highest $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ levels had particularly low Ca levels, raising concerns as Ca is protective against $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ toxicity, and highlighting coincident threats of Ca depletion and elevated Al. Recent work has identified globally widespread low levels and declines in Ca (Weyhenmeyer et al., 2019), raising the question of what other regions may also have $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ levels exceeding toxic thresholds.

The serious potential consequences $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ highlight the importance for actions to further reduce acid emissions and deposition, as critical loads are still exceeded across the province (Keys, 2015), and to adapt forest management practices to avoid base cation removal and depletion. Addition of base cations through liming and enhanced weathering of soils and freshwaters may accelerate recovery from acidification.

## Data availability

Readers can access our data from HydroShare supported by CUASHI, a FAIR-aligned data repository (https://www.re3data.org/).
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One e standard deviation. ean concentration is $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, date: 30 April 2015). pH is calibrated using the method outlined in Appendix D.4.

| Site | Lat | Long | Area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | n | Land use | Dominant Bedrock Type | Mean <br> Ali (ug $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) | Mean <br> DOC <br> ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) | Mean <br> Ald <br> (ug L- <br> ${ }^{1}$ ) | Mean <br> Ca (ug <br> $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) | Mean pH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mersey River (MR) | 44.437 | -65.223 | 292.8 | 47 | Natural forest | Granite | $\begin{aligned} & 22.5 \\ & (11.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8.6 \\ & (2.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195 \\ & (54.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 699 \\ & (120) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1 |
| Moose Pit Brook <br> (MPB) | 44.462 | -65.048 | 15.8 | 39 | Natural forest | Granite/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8 \\ & (12.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.8 \\ & (6.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 249 \\ & (85.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 826 \\ & (344) \end{aligned}$ | 5.0 |
| Pine Marten Brook (PMB) | 44.436 | -65.209 | 1.5 | 15 | Natural forest | Slate | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5 \\ & (12.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6 \\ & (3.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & (43.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 969 \\ & (536) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1 |
| Maria Brook (MB) | 44.779 | -64.414 | 0.2 | 12 | Natural forest | Granite | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1 \\ & (23.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8 \\ & (4.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319 \\ & (99.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1292 \\ & (286) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1 |
| Brandon Lake Brook (BLB) | 45.021 | -62.690 | 1.3 | 22 | Natural forest | Sandstone/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 48.7 \\ & (27.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \\ & (8.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 350 \\ & (71.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 836 \\ & (272) \end{aligned}$ | 4.9 |
| Upstream of West River Lime Doser (ALD) | 45.054 | -62.800 | 32.3 | 22 | Natural forest | Sandstone/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 45.3 \\ & (26.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.8 \\ & (3.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \\ & (64.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 759 \\ & (126) \end{aligned}$ | 5.2 |
| Upper Killag River (UKR) | 45.064 | -62.705 | 36.8 | 18 | Natural forest | Sandstone/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 43.5 \\ & (23.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & (68.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 739 \\ & (230) \end{aligned}$ | 5.3 |
| Little River (LR) | 44.952 | -62.611 | 47.1 | 13 | Natural forest | Sandstone/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \\ & (11.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \\ & (1.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & (46.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 746 \\ & (166) \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 |
| Keef Brook (KB) | 45.0284 | -62.7153 | 2.3 | 5 | Natural forest | Sandstone/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 28.2 \\ & (11.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \\ & (3.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 281 \\ & (80.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 621 \\ & (275) \end{aligned}$ | 5.1 |
| Colwell Creek (CC) | 45.0279 | -62.7127 | 1.7 | 8 | Natural forest | Sandstone/slate | $\begin{aligned} & 58.9 \\ & (41.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.1 \\ & (5.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411 \\ & (117) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 750 \\ & (568) \end{aligned}$ | 5.0 |


| pH |  | DOC |  | Tw |  | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |  | Ca |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| slope | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | slope | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | slope | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | slope | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | slope | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$

Season 1
MR
MPB

| -7.67 | 0.78 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8.44 | 0.0045 |


0.49
.
0.26


Figures


Figure 1. Study site locations showing proportion of samples when $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations exceeded the $15 \mu \mathrm{~g}$
$L^{-1}$ toxic threshold. For additional site details, refer to Table 1.
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Figure 2. Mean $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentrations between spring 2015 to fall 2018.


Figure 3. Correlation among water chemistry parameters and $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentration, where red polygons and lines indicate a positive correlation with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and blue polygons and lines indicate a negative correlation
with $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, date: 30 April 2015). Correlation data are listed in Table A4.




Figure 4. Scatterplot relationships among water chemistry parameters for seasons 1, 2, and 3 at MR and MPB. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values are listed in Table A5. One runoff outlier removed for MR (value: $17.294 \mathrm{~m} 3 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, date: 22 April 2015). One runoff outlier removed for MPB (value: $34.994 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, date: 22 April 2015).
Appendix A. Tables
Table $\mathrm{A} 1 \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ terminology, speciation methodology, and trends from published studies. Several methods do not measure $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in situ, which can cause error due to
changes in temperature, DOC and pH , which vary during transit to the lab. Increased pH and increased temperature in lab conditions can cause the
underestimation of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} . \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{nl}}=$ non-labile $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{tm}}=$ total monomeric $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{om}}=$ organic monomeric $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{tr}}=$ total reactive $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{nlm}}=\mathrm{non}-\mathrm{labile}$ monomeric Al ,
$\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ monomeric $\mathrm{Al} . \mathrm{CEC}=$ Cation Exchange Column, ICP-AES= Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy. AWMN=Acid Waters
Monitoring Network.

| Al Species | Definition | Analysis Method | Trend | Location | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Inorganic Al | Colourimetry ( $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{nl}}$ ) | Decreasing $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from 1988-2008 | AWMN in UK | Monteith et al. (2014) |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {im }}$ | Inorganic monomeric Al | Colourimetry $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{tm}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{om}}\right)$ | Decreasing $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from 2001-2011 | New York, USA | Josephson et al. (2014) |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Ionic Al | $\operatorname{CEC}\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ | Mean NS Al $=25.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ Mean NB Al $=31.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | Atlantic Canada | Dennis and Clair (2012) |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Ionic Al | Colourimetry | Decreasing $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in lakes | Norway | Hesthagen et al. (2011) |
| LA1 | Inorganic Al (sum of inorganic and monomeric Al species) | ICP-AES, Flow injection, Pyrocatechol violet, and CEC ( $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{tr}^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{nl}}$ ) | $15 \%$ of LA1 samples were $>10$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | Norway | Kristensen et al. (2009) |
| Al-1 | Labile/cationic/inorganic monomeric Al | Colourimetry $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{tm}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{nlm}}\right)$ | Decreasing Al-l across the UK | AWMN in UK | Evans \& Monteith (2001) |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {im }}$ | Labile Al (free and inorganically complexed Al) | Van Benschoten method | Mean $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {im }}$ of $72 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ from 20092010 | China | Wang et al. (2013) |


| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Inorganic monomeric | Colourimetry and CEC <br> $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ fraction decreased in <br> catchments between $1991 \& 2007$ | Czech Republic | Kram et al. (2009) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Inorganic Al | AAS | Decreasing $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from 1990-2010 | Adirondack <br> Mountains, USA | Strock et al. <br> $(2014)$ |

Table A2 Raw sample data. RL: rising limb of hydrograph, FL: falling limb of hydrograph, and BF: base flow. Air temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) data were collected from the
Kejimkujik 1 weather station (Climate ID: $8202592 ; 44.24^{\prime} 11.020^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 65.12^{\prime} 11.070^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) for MR, MPB, PMB, and MB, and the Stanfield Airport weather station
(Climate ID: $8202251 ; 44^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 52.000^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 63^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 31.000^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) for CC, KB, ALD, BLB, UKR, and LR. Missing $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ data were replaced with data from another local
meteorological tower located one kilometer to the northwest of the MPB site (44.469549, -65.061295).

| Site | Date | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{A l}_{\mathbf{o}} / \mathbf{A l _ { \mathbf { d } }} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Season | $\begin{gathered} A \mathbf{l l}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mu \mathrm{~g} \\ \left.\mathbf{L}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~g} \\ \left.\mathbf{L}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DOC } \\ (\mathbf{m g} \\ \left.\mathbf{L}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\left.\mathbf{L}^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mu \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\underset{\text { (unit) }}{\mathbf{p H}}$ | Tw( ${ }^{( } \mathbf{C}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{a}} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Discharge ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | Runoff (mm day $^{-1}$ ) | Hydrograph Stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALD | 2016-04-29 | 19 | 87.7 | 1 | 155 | 591 | 7 | 899 | 4.67 | 6.8 | 4 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-05-19 | 12 | 94.1 |  | 202 | 800 | 10.7 | 1414 | 5.89 |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-06-03 | 25 | 90.7 | 2 | 268 | 722 | 12.5 | 639 | 5.02 | 16.6 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-06-16 | 32 | 88.3 | 2 | 274 | 674 | 12.9 | 578 | 4.99 | 13.2 | 13 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-06-28 | 28 | 89.4 | 2 | 265 | 720 | 12.2 | 959 | 5.26 | 22.1 | 24.2 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-07-15 | 37 | 87 | 2 | 285 | 792 | 15 | 761 | 5.11 | 20.7 | 19.6 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-08-05 | 48 | 79.9 |  | 239 | 700 | 19.4 | 1414 | 5.98 |  | 21.2 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-09-10 | 48 | 78.2 |  | 220 | 1000 | 14.8 | 2000 | 5.03 |  | 20.8 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-10-02 | 13 | 92.3 |  | 169 | 1000 | 14.4 | 3000 | 5.27 |  | 11.4 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2016-11-19 | 44 | 82 |  | 245 | 900 | 14.6 | 1414 | 5.03 |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2017-04-19 | 27 | 81.1 | 1 | 143 | 600 | 10.4 | 1209 | 4.55 | 7.8 | 3.2 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2017-05-14 | 69 | 61 | 2 | 177 | 600 | 12.1 | 923 | 4.92 | 13.4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2017-05-30 | 37 | 85.8 | 2 | 261 | 600 | 11.8 | 2536 | 4.77 | 14.3 | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| ALD | 2017-06-22 | 100 | 59.8 | 2 | 249 | 700 | 15.2 | 1414 | 5.17 | 22.8 | 25 |  |  |  |


| ALD | 2017-07-13 | 62 | 80.3 | 2 | 315 | 800 | 19.3 | 1414 | 5.24 | 20.6 | 18.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALD | 2017-08-01 | 26 | 89 | 2 | 236 | 800 | 15.1 | 1414 | 4.96 | 25.6 | 28.4 |
| ALD | 2017-08-23 | 35 | 84.4 | 2 | 224 | 700 | 13.2 | 1125 | 5.14 | 21.8 | 21 |
| ALD | 2017-09-16 | 77 | 82.5 | 2 | 439 | 1000 | 23.5 |  | 4.73 | 20.7 | 18.7 |
| ALD | 2018-05-10 | 46 | 75.7 |  | 189 | 700 | 8.8 | 1414 | 5.64 |  | 7.5 |
| ALD | 2018-06-07 | 43 | 83.8 |  | 266 | 700 | 16.1 | 1414 | 5.13 |  | 11.0 |
| ALD | 2018-07-05 | 119 | 62.5 |  | 317 | 800 | 13.6 | 1414 | 5.61 |  | 23.8 |
| ALD | 2018-11-23 | 50 | 76 |  | 208 | 800 | 10.1 | 1414 | 5.45 |  | -9.1 |
| BLB | 2016-04-29 | 20 | 89.5 | 2 | 190 | 476 | 7.2 | 936 | 5.03 | 5.7 | 4 |
| BLB | 2016-06-03 | 60 | 82.1 | 4 | 336 | 770 | 11.9 | 669 | 4.78 | 10.1 | 13.5 |
| BLB | 2016-06-16 | 33 | 91.2 | 4 | 373 | 789 | 13.2 | 1158 | 4.77 | 9.8 | 13 |
| BLB | 2016-06-28 | 26 | 93.3 | 4 | 388 | 894 | 13.6 | 1251 | 4.67 | 13.1 | 23.9 |
| BLB | 2016-07-15 | 42 | 90.5 | 4 | 443 | 887 | 16.7 | 723 | 4.77 | 14.3 | 18.7 |
| BLB | 2016-08-05 | 6 | 98.6 |  | 429 | 1000 | 26.2 | 1414 | 5.29 |  | 21.2 |
| BLB | 2016-09-10 | 81 | 77.1 |  | 354 | 900 | 48.3 | 1414 | 4.87 |  | 20.8 |
| BLB | 2016-10-02 | 33 | 90.1 |  | 335 | 1000 | 18.5 | 2000 | 5.1 |  | 11.4 |
| BLB | 2016-11-19 | 28 | 92.6 |  | 379 | 1000 | 17.2 | 1414 | 4.76 |  | 7.6 |
| BLB | 2017-04-19 | 41 | 79.1 | 4 | 196 | 600 | 9.6 | 1927 |  | 4.2 | 4 |
| BLB | 2017-05-14 | 46 | 82.6 | 4 | 264 | 800 | 12.9 | 1550 |  | 7.7 | 6 |
| BLB | 2017-05-30 | 36 | 88.3 | 4 | 308 | 700 | 11.3 | 1795 |  | 8.4 | 14.9 |
| BLB | 2017-06-22 | 110 | 70.1 | 4 | 368 | 800 | 14.9 | 1414 | 4.8 | 17.3 | 24.6 |
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$\stackrel{+}{3}$
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| ¢ |  | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ | \% \% | ホু | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ob }}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { d }}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{N}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\infty}}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\substack{0 \\ 0}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\bar{N}}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{O}{0}_{0}^{0}}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{0 \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\infty}{-}}$ | กิ์ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| તi | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\imath}{\text { no }}$ | $n$ | Ұ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{子}{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ | － | 9 | $\mathfrak{f}$ | $\%$ | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\circ}$ | $\underset{\square}{\ddagger}$ | n | $\aleph$ | $\stackrel{\text { N゙ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{7}{\infty}$ |  |








$\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ ぶ


| MR | $2015-05-06$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| MR | $2015-05-13$ |
| MR | $2015-05-20$ |
| MR | $2015-05-27$ |
| MR | $2015-06-03$ |
| MR | $2015-06-10$ |
| MR | $2015-06-17$ |
| MR | $2015-06-24$ |
| MR | $2015-07-02$ |
| MR | $2015-07-08$ |
| MR | $2015-07-15$ |
| MR | $2015-07-22$ |
| MR | $2015-07-29$ |
| MR | $2015-08-05$ |
| MR | $2015-08-12$ |
| MR | $2015-08-19$ |
| MR | $2015-08-26$ |
| MR | $2015-09-02$ |
| MR | $2015-09-09$ |
| MR | $2015-09-16$ |
| MR | $2015-09-23$ |
| MR | $2015-09-30$ |

    \(\vec{\propto}\) 山
    $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ ぶ
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a $4 \operatorname{coc} 4 \mathrm{a}$



| UKR | $2017-05-14$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| UKR | $2017-05-30$ |
| UKR | $2017-06-22$ |
| UKR | $2017-07-13$ |
| UKR | $2017-08-01$ |
| UKR | $2017-08-23$ |
| UKR | $2017-09-16$ |
| UKR | $2018-05-10$ |
| UKR | $2018-06-07$ |
| UKR | $2018-07-05$ |
| UKR | $2018-10-02$ |
| UKR | $2018-11-23$ |

Table A4 Kendal-tau correlation and significance $(\alpha=0.05)$ between $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and other water chemistry parameters for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR calculations (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, date: 30 April 2015).

| Site | Variable | Unit | Correlation <br> Slope | Significance (p-value) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALD | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.29 | 0.044 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.22 | 0.143 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.36 | 0.013 |
|  | pH | unit | 0.19 | 0.190 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.32 | 0.093 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.182 | 0.533 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.600 | 0.142 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ |  | -0.037 | 0.876 |
| BLB | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.03 | 0.852 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.17 | 0.238 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.08 | 0.575 |
|  | pH | unit | 0.07 | 0.622 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.35 | 0.099 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}^{+}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.036 | 0.901 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.109 | 0.708 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ |  | -0.184 | 0.468 |
| CC | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}$ | 0.11 | 0.708 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.22 | 0.451 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.25 | 0.383 |
|  | $\mathrm{pH}$ | unit | -0.04 | 0.901 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.67 | 0.174 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}+$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| KB | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.800 | 0.050 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.200 | 0.624 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.800 | 0.050 |
|  | pH | unit | -0.200 | 0.624 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.600 | 0.142 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}+$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.800 | 0.050 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  |  |


|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | -0.400 | 0.327 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LR | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.37 | 0.047 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.24 | 0.226 |
|  | DOC | $m g L^{-1}$ | 0.25 | 0.189 |
|  | pH | unit | 0.19 | 0.319 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.02 | 0.937 |
|  | F+ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.333 | 0.348 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.105 | 0.801 |
| MB | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.739 | 0.001 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.062 | 0.783 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.400 | 0.073 |
|  | pH | unit | -0.279 | 0.214 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.125 | 0.580 |
|  | F+ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.028 | 0.917 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.182 | 0.533 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.463 | 0.050 |
| MPB | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.550 | 0.000 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.580 | 0.000 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.574 | 0.000 |
|  | pH | unit | -0.169 | 0.146 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.280 | 0.016 |
|  | Runoff | $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{day}{ }^{-1}$ | -0.232 | 0.042 |
|  | F+ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.239 | 0.042 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.190 | 0.160 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.206 | 0.067 |
| MR | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.459 | 0.000 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.317 | 0.002 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.382 | 0.000 |
|  | pH | unit | 0.097 | 0.362 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.285 | 0.007 |
|  | RunOff | mm day ${ }^{-1}$ | -0.108 | 0.291 |
|  | $\mathrm{F}+$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.139 | 0.188 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.086 | 0.450 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | -0.127 | 0.215 |
| PMB | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.46 | 0.019 |
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Discussions

|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.01 | 0.960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.21 | 0.295 |
|  | pH | unit | -0.23 | 0.232 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.36 | 0.065 |
|  | F+ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.063 | 0.782 |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | 0.276 | 0.444 |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | -0.293 | 0.135 |
| UKR | Ald | $\mu \mathrm{LL} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.34 | 0.071 |
|  | Ca | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.38 | 0.053 |
|  | DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 0.32 | 0.086 |
|  | pH | unit | 0.35 | 0.063 |
|  | Water Temp. | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.14 | 0.621 |
|  | F+ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | -0.600 | 0.142 |

(C) Author(s) 2019. CC BY 4.0 License.

Table A5 $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values for scatterplots of water chemistry relationships shown in Figure 3

| Site | Season | Season Dates | Relationship | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH}$ | 0.78131 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH}$ | 0.27845 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{pH}$ | 0.04551 |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$-DOC | 0.48879 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$-DOC | 0.51343 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$-DOC | 0.0014 |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Ali}_{i}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.42004 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.03442 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.08795 |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.66782 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.52313 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.0141 |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.50399 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.37339 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.00009 |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.41377 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.32486 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.0382 |
| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{-}$ Q | 0.0374 |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{-}$ Q | 0.0703 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Q}$ | 0.0063 |
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| MR | S1 | April-May | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.55308 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MR | S2 | June-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.63892 |
| MR | S3 | Sept-Feb | $\mathrm{Ald}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.5074 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{pH}$ | 0.00447 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{pH}$ | 0.21629 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{pH}$ | 0.56 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$-DOC | 0.70785 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}$-DOC | 0.43036 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$-DOC | 0.72722 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.72067 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.2356 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.4353 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.67571 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.4225 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.65683 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.59175 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.4214 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.49111 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.51142 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.03067 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 0.02961 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{1}-\mathrm{Q}$ | 0.1734 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Q}$ | 0.0039 |
| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Q}$ | 0.0004 |
| MPB | S1 | April-June | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.96289 |
| MPB | S2 | July-Aug | $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.7685 |
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| MPB | S3 | Sept-Oct | Al $_{d}-\mathrm{Ca}$ | 0.72173 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A6 Laboratory detection limit comparison.

| Chemistry <br> Parameter | Units | Value |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HERC | Maxxam | AGAT |
| pH | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| DOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | n/a | 0.50 | n/a |
| TOC | $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | n/a | n/a | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | 10.00 | n/a | 2000 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | n/a | 5.00 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ | n/a | 5.00 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{0}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | n/a | 5.00 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{Cat}_{\text {t }}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ | $0.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{\text {d }}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | n/a | 100 | 100 |

## Appendix B. Figures



Figure B1 Timeseries of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ concentration between 22 April 2015 and 23 November 2018.



Figure B2 Time series of DOC concentration between 22 April 2015 and 23 November 2018



Figure B3 Time series of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{d}}$ concentration between 22 April 2015 and 23 November 2018.


Figure B4 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for $M R$, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in ug $L^{-1}$.


Figure B5 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for $P M B$, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in ug $L^{-1}$.


Figure B6 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for MPB, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in ug $L^{-1}$.


Figure B7 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $\mathrm{Al}_{o}$ for MB , compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in ug $L^{-1}$.

(C) Author(s) 2019. CC BY 4.0 License.

Figure B8 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for $L R$, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in $u g L^{-1}$.


Figure B9 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for $U K R$, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in $u g L^{-1}$.


Figure B10 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for $B L B$, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in $u g L^{-1}$.
An Above Lime Doser

Figure B11 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for ALD, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in $u g L^{-1}$.
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Figure B12 Time series of percentage $\mathrm{Al}_{d}$ comprised of $\mathrm{Al}_{0}$ for KB , compared to absolute value of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in $u g \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.
(c) Author(s) 2019. CC BY 4.0 License.


Figure B13 Time series of percentage $A l_{d}$ comprised of $A l_{o}$ for $C C$, compared to absolute value of $A l_{i}$ in ug $L^{-1}$


Figure B14 Least-squares linear regression of $A l_{i}$ versus $A l_{d}$ for each study site. One $A l_{i}$ outlier removed for $M R$ (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 30 April 2015).


Figure B15 Least-squares linear regression of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus Ca for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 30 April 2015). One Ca outlier for KB removed (value: $1110 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 29 April 2016).


Figure B16 Least-squares linear regression of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus DOC for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 30 April 2015).


Figure B17 Least-squares linear regression of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus pH for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{L-1}, \mathrm{date:} 30$ April 2015).


Figure B18 Least-squares linear regression of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 30 April 2015).


Figure B19 Least-squares linear regression of $\mathrm{Al}_{i}$ versus $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR (value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 30 April 2015).


Figure B20 Least-squares linear regression of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ versus runoff for each study site. One $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ outlier removed for MR
(value: $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ L-1, date: 30 April 2015). One runoff outlier for MR removed (value: 17.294 mm day-1, date: 22 April 2015), and one runoff outlier for MPB removed (value: 34.994 mm day-1, date: 22 April 2015).

## Appendix C. Scripts

C.1. Linear regression
"""Linear regression calculation script
:author: Lobke Rotteveel
:email: lobke.rotteveel@dal.ca """
\# Import modules
from scipy import stats import pandas as pd import csv
\# Import data
df $=$ pd.read_csv('Input.csv')
\# Run Mann Kendall test on site-variable groups and create table of results
results = []
results.append(['site_id', 'variable', 'tau', 'pvalue', 'slope', 'std error of slope'])
grouped $=$ df.groupby('Site')
for name, group in grouped:
chem_groups $=$ [group['Ald'], group['Ca'], group['DOC_TOC'], group['CalibpH'], group['Tw'], group['RunOff']]

> Ali = group['Ali']
for i in chem_groups:
pair $=\{$ 'i':i,'Ali':Ali $\}$
pair $=$ pd.DataFrame (pair)
pair $=$ pair.dropna()
if not pair.empty:
ken_tau = stats.kendalltau(pair['i'], pair['Ali'])
slope $=$ stats.linregress(pair['i'], pair['Ali'])
result_row $=[$ name, i.name, ken_tau.correlation, ken_tau.pvalue, slope.slope,
slope.stderr]
results.append(result_row)
with open('LinearRegression_Out.csv', 'w') as f :
writer $=\operatorname{csv}$. writer(f)
writer.writerows(results)
C.2. Laboratory comparison
"""Laboratory result comparison script
:author: Lobke Rotteveel
:email: lobke.rotteveel@dal.ca

```
"""
# Import modules
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
from scipy import stats
import warnings
warnings.simplefilter('ignore', np.RankWarning)
# Importing data
df = pd.read_csv('SampDat_CompareInput_LimSur_171105_LR.csv', ',', header=0)
#print (df.head(n=5))
# Run comparisson
with open('SampData_Compare_LimSur.txt', 'w') as f:
    x = df.filter(regex='B_**').columns 
    for x_col, y_col in zip(x,y):
    Sig = sp.stats.wilcoxon(df[x_col],df[y_col])
    f.write('x: {}, y: { }, sig:{}\n'.format(x_col, y_col, Sig))
```


## Appendix D. Additional methods

D. 1 Laboratory analysis methods

Samples were analyzed at Maxxam Analytics Laboratory, Health and Environmental Research Centre (HERC), and AGAT Laboratories. Samples from MR, MPB, PMB, MB, KB, and CC were analyzed at Maxxam and HERC labs only. Samples from BLB, ALD, UKR, and LR were analyzed at all three labs.

D1.1 Maxxam Laboratory
The protocol at Maxxam Laboratory in Bedford, NS, adheres to methods approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) for identifying trace elements in water (US EPA, 1994) and analyzing samples using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) (US EPA, 1998). Cations and anions were analyzed using ICP-MS, while a Continuous Flow Analyzer was used to measure DOC. pH was measured using a standard hydrogen electrode and reference electrode.

## D1.2 HERC Laboratory

$\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ samples were analyzed at HERC Laboratory in Halifax, NS, due to lower detection limits at the Maxxam laboratory. Once delivered to the laboratory, samples were filtered using a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ glass fiber filter and analyzed using an Ion-Chromatography System (ICS) 5000 Dionex detector.

D1.3 AGAT Laboratory
Samples collected in the West River, Sheet Harbour area (UKR, ALD, LR, BLB, KB, CC) were analyzed at the AGAT laboratory in Dartmouth, NS. This laboratory holds the

9001:2015 and 17025:2005 International Organization for Standardization accreditations. Cation samples were analyzed using ICP-MS, laboratory pH was measured using a standard hydrogen electrode and reference electrode, and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and anions were measured using ICS. Samples analyzed at AGAT were analyzed for total organic carbon (TOC) as opposed to DOC and were analyzed using Infrared Combustion (IR Combustion).
D. 2 Data quality assurance and control

Blanks were used to assess contamination during the $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}$ extraction procedure. Blanks were collected on $10 \%$ of samples, taken on arbitrary sampling events. Triple deionized water was collected before passing through filter and column ("Blank Before"), and after ("Blank After"). The triple-deionized water had traces of chemicals below the laboratory detection limits, providing "Not Detectable" results for the Blank Before sample. If chemicals were detected in the Blank After sample, this would have indicated leaching of chemicals from the column.

Duplicates were collected and analyzed for $10 \%$ of the samples; on arbitrarily selected sampling events, $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {filtered }}$ or $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {unfiltered }}$, were analyzed twice, independently, by Maxxam laboratory. All laboratories also conducted additional duplicate, blank, reference material, and matrix spike testing, in addition to instrument calibration in adherence to industry standards for quality control and assurance.

To verify that sample analysis results from the Maxxam/HERC laboratory combination were comparable to AGAT, three sets of duplicate samples were collected for ALD, BLB, UKR, and LR (19 April 2017, 14 May 2017, and 30 May 2017) and analyzed by both laboratories. Laboratory results were compared using Wilcoxon Rank Sum statistical test in Python 3.6.5 using the SciPy Stats module (version 0.19) (Appendix C.2). Results indicated a significant difference in pH values between laboratories ( $\mathrm{T}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.04$ ), therefore, statistical analysis on
pH data was conducted on the calibrated YSI Pro Plus sonde field data. $\mathrm{Al}_{o}, \mathrm{Al}_{\text {filtered }}$, and $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {unfiltered }}$ results were found to be comparable between laboratories $(\mathrm{T}=8.5, \mathrm{p}=0.674 ; \mathrm{T}=5.0$, $\mathrm{p}=0.249$; and $\mathrm{T}=8.0, \mathrm{p}=0.600$, respectively). After adjusting for detection limits (Table A6), Ca results were also found to be comparable between laboratories $(T=4.0, \mathrm{p}=0.173)$. However, due to the large difference in $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ detection limits between HERC and AGAT $\left(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right.$ and 2 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$, respectively, results for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ are not comparable between laboratories. Lastly, organic carbon analyzed at Maxxam was analyzed for DOC, while AGAT analyzed for TOC, therefore these results cannot be compared. For dates where duplicate data is present, AGAT data was used to maintain data source consistency, apart from $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ data, for which HERC data was used due to superior detection limits. Analysis for BLB and ALD transitioned from Maxxam to AGAT 19 April 2017 and consequently DOC is approximated as TOC for these two sites after this date.

The YSI Pro Plus sonde was calibrated within 36 hours of in-stream data collection.

## D. 3 Toxic thresholds of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$

Identified toxic thresholds of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for Salmo salar vary in the literature. Based on toxicological and geochemical studies on Al and Salmo salar, the EIFAC suggested an $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ toxic threshold of $15 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ for Atlantic salmon in freshwaters for pH between 5.0 and 6.0 , and 30 ug $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{pH}<5$ (Howells et al., 1990). The lower threshold at higher pH is to account for the increased fraction in the $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{+}$species. At $\mathrm{pH}>6$, the toxic effects of $\mathrm{Al}_{i}$ to Salmo salar are considered negligible, and toxic effects are dominated by other dissolved and precipitated forms (Gensemer et al., 2018), due to the decreased solubility of Al at $\mathrm{pH}>6$ (Dennis and Clair 2012). However, in colder rivers, the pH-toxicity threshold may be higher, closer to pH 6.5 (Lydersen,
1990). For the purposes of this study, we use the toxic threshold of $\mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{i}}$ at $15 \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, as the majority of our pH observations were greater than or equal to 5.0 (Table A2).
D. 4 Calibration of pH measurements

In situ pH measurements were taken using a YSI Pro Plus sonde and confirmed with a YSI Ecosense pH Pen. It was found that measurements taken with the YSI Pro Plus sonde deviated from the YSI Ecosense Pen, which is known to measure pH accurately $(0.47 \pm 0.44 \mathrm{pH}$ units below in-stream pH as measured by YSI Ecosense Pen). Therefore, a calibration curve was created based on simultaneous side-by-side measurements of both instruments ( $\mathrm{n}=69$ pairs) and the in situ pH data were adjusted accordingly (Eq. 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { YSI Ecosense Pen } p H=0.595(\text { Pro Plus } p H)+2.3868 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

