

Dear Dr. Xing Yuan,

Re: Manuscript #HESS-2019-322, "On the Flood Peak Distributions over China" by Yang et al.

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Hong Do for detailed comments and suggestions which substantially help improve the manuscript. We provide a point-by-point response to their comments below. The reviewer's comments are enumerated. Our replies to each comment start with "**Response**". We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours sincerely,
Long Yang

Address: School of Geography and Ocean Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, Jiangsu Province, China.

Email: yanglong86123@hotmail.com

Responses to Reviewer 1

(1) In the revision, the authors have improved the narrative and structure of the manuscript to provide a stronger link across all analyses. The additional materials (e.g. number of gauges influenced by storms in Table 1) also provide clarification for many aspects of the analyses. The decision to remove flood timing analysis particularly made the study more streamlined and better highlight the key contributions. Before publication, I have some further comments to be addressed. From my perspective, these comments are fairly straightforward and thus a minor revision is recommended.

Response: We really appreciate the reviewer's efforts and time on our manuscript. We revise the manuscript substantially based on all the comments, and make a point-by-point response below. The reviewer's comments are enumerated. Our replies to each comment start with "**Response**". Thanks!

(2) Data description: the extended description from line 101 to 106 reads quite vague. I'm particularly confused about the statement "For instance, the dates of annual maximum flood peak and highest stage should be comparable, with records of missing flood peak timing discarded to ensure data accuracy". Does this mean that the authors (or data providers) compared the annual maximum date identified from (i) discharge and (ii) stage records – and for years that either one of them is not available the data points are removed? The author should revise this statement.

Response: We have reconstructed this paragraph in the revised manuscript. We decide not to mention stage record, as obviously it is not relevant in our analysis. Thanks!

(3) A good way to make data quality aspect more transparent is to provide references for data quality control procedure (e.g. a national standard for hydromet information) or include a supplementary table of the original sources (or data providers) for each subset of the whole database. Some information that could be included is the contact (or website) of data providers, data access policy (freely accessible or there is a payable fee) and the practices that were used for quality control (where possible).

Response: Flood records are through quality-control procedures by following the code for hydrologic data compilation of China (SL247-1999). We add this in the revised manuscript. Thanks!

(4) Section 4.2 now reads alienated from the whole manuscript, mostly due to the removal of most materials related to flood timing analysis. To remedy this issue, a potential way is to add a short paragraph at the data section, mentioning that record of both the magnitude and timing of flood peaks was used for this assessment, of which the timing was used to highlight the mixture of flood-generation mechanisms.

Response: We add one sentence ("In addition to flood peak magnitude, flood peak timing (i.e., date of occurrence for flood peaks) is also provided, and is mainly used to infer flood-generating mechanisms over different regions across China") in the manuscript. Thanks!

(5) In addition, I am not sure how Figure 6 could be interpreted as “There are three (two) distinct peaks in the seasonal distribution of annual flood peaks for southern (northern) China” as the three lines essentially show only one prominent peak (between date number 150 and 200 for southern China and between 200 and 250 for northern China and caused by TCs). The authors should revise the description to better communicate the key feature of Figure 6. In addition, the x-axis should also be revised to be consistent with the description (i.e. use Jan-Dec rather than 1-365).

Response: We redesign Figure 6 in the revised manuscript, with the peaks of flood frequency highlighted in the figure. Thanks!

(6) Figure 3 caption should include the percentage of stations showing significant trends (currently mentioned in the main-text only) to ensure it can be used as a stand-alone element. If prospective readers want to have a brief overview of “how floods have changed across China”, this figure and the improved caption should be the sufficient answer.

Response: Done. Thanks!

(7) Figure 5 caption should include the criterion for “insufficient record lengths” (e.g. less than 5 data points?).

Response: We add “(e.g., less than 10 years)” in the caption of Figure 5. Thanks!

(8) Figure 7 caption should include the criterion for “stationary stations” (i.e. insignificant change points in mean or in variance or monotonic trends).

Response: Done. Thanks!

(9) The final recommendation (about the future data archive) should be extended to specify the future development of the database (e.g. develop a website to make data available or implement the practice of the published hydrological databases).

Response: Instead of specifying future efforts, we cite Do et al. (2018) which provides a good example of making hydrological data publically accessible. Thanks!

Do, H. X., Gudmundsson, L., Leonard, M., and Westra, S.: The Global Streamflow Indices and Metadata Archive (GSIM)-Part 1: The production of a daily streamflow archive and metadata, *Earth System Science Data*, 10, 765–785, 2018.

On the Flood Peak Distributions over China

Long Yang¹, Lachun Wang¹, Xiang Li^{2,3}, and Jie Gao⁴

¹School of Geography and Ocean Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu province, China

²China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research, Beijing, China

³State Key Laboratory of Plateau Ecology and Agriculture, Qinghai University, Xining province, China

⁴China Renewable Energy Engineering Institute, Beijing, China

Correspondence: Long Yang (yanglong@nju.edu.cn)

Abstract. Here we for the first time present a nation-wide characterization of flood hazard across China. Our analysis is based on an exceptional dataset of 1120 stream gauging stations with continuous records of annual flood peaks for at least 50 years across the entire country. Our results are organized by centering on various aspects of flood peak distributions, including temporal changes in flood series and their spatial variations, statistical distribution of extreme values, and properties of storms that lead to annual flood peaks. These aspects altogether contribute to improved understandings of flood hydrology under a changing environment over China, and promote the advance of flood science at the global scale. Historical changes in annual flood peaks demonstrate frequent abrupt changes rather than slowly varying trends. The dominance of decreasing annual flood peak magnitudes indicates a weakening tendency of flood hazard over China in recent decades. We model the upper tails of flood peaks based on the Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distributions. The GEV shape parameter is weakly dependent on drainage area, but shows spatial splits tied to rainfall climatology between northern and southern China. Landfalling tropical cyclone plays an important role in characterizing the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions especially in northern China and southeastern coast, while the upper tails of flood peaks are dominated by extreme monsoon rainfall in southern China. Severe flood hazards associated with landfalling tropical cyclones are characterized with complex interactions of storm circulation with synoptic environment (i.e., mid-latitude baroclinic disturbances) and regional topography.

15 1 Introduction

We examine flood peak distributions over China based on 1120 stream gauging stations with continuous records of annual maximum flood peaks for at least 50 years. The ultimate goal of our study is to provide improved characterization of flood hazard across China from both statistical and physical perspectives. This involves a comprehensive suite of analyses that investigate temporal nonstationarities in annual flood peaks (i.e., temporal distribution), flood peak distribution based on extreme value theory (i.e., statistical distribution) and critical factors (in terms of both physiography and climate) that determine the upper tails of flood peaks (i.e., spatial distribution).

Hydrological regimes in most river basins over China, like the rest of the world, have experienced strong anthropogenic influences (i.e., river regulations, land use changes). Human-related impacts on flood hydrology are further complicated by detectable changes in external factors that are critical for flood-generation processes, such as temperature and extreme rainfall,

25 even though it remains unsettled whether the changes are due to natural climate variability or human-induced climate change (e.g., Held and Soden, 2006; Marvel and Bonfils, 2013; Trenberth et al., 2015; Schaller et al., 2016; Risser and Wehner, 2017; Eden et al., 2017). The stationarity assumption of flood series has been questioned and debated in scientific community (Milly et al., 2008; Montanari and Koutsoyiannis, 2014; Salas et al., 2018). Extensive studies on the stationarity of annual flood peaks have been carried out in many parts of the world (e.g., Robson et al., 1998; Robson, 2002; Franks and Kuczera, 30 2002; Villarini et al., 2009; Petrow and Merz, 2009; Villarini et al., 2011; Ishak et al., 2013; Tan and Gan, 2014; Mediero et al., 2014; Hodgkins et al., 2019), including some efforts in global-scale investigations of historical changes in flood series (e.g., Arnell and Gosling, 2016; Do et al., 2017, 2019). Due to the limitation of observational datasets, existing knowledge on flood hazard is significantly biased towards Europe and North America, with the characteristics of other worldwide regions (including China) far from being well represented. There are some regional studies across China (e.g., Zhang et al., 2016, 2014, 35 2018b; Liu et al., 2018). A nation-wide investigation on the stationarity in flood series over China, however, is still missing. The exceptional dataset of annual flood peaks, as demonstrated in present study, will provide additional evidence for detectable changes in flood hydrology under a changing environment. Better understanding of historical changes in annual flood peaks is of paramount importance for constraining model-based projections of flood hazards (e.g., Milly et al., 2002; Hirabayashi et al., 2013; Dankers et al., 2014; Arnell and Gosling, 2016). In this study, we expect to explore the dominant mode (i.e., abrupt 40 changes or slowly varying trends) of nonstationarities in flood series, and highlight potential factors that induce the changes in annual flood peaks.

Improved understanding of flood hazard requires essential knowledge of flood-generation mechanisms. This is also a critical aspect to consider for improved flood frequency analysis (Hirschboeck, 1988; Singh et al., 2005; Leonard et al., 2014; Brooks and Day, 2015; Yan et al., 2017, 2019). Smith et al. (2018) shows that the most extreme flood peaks are frequently determined 45 by extreme events resulted from anomalous flood agents for particular regions of the United States (which is the notion of "strange floods"). Mixture of flood-generation mechanisms poses great challenges for characterizing the upper tails of flood peaks, as different flood agents might lead to flood regimes with distinct statistics (e.g., magnitude, timing, frequency). This is, however, often the case for many regions in the world (e.g., Jarrett and Costa, 1988; Smith et al., 2011; Villarini, 2016; Blöschl et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2018; England et al., 2018). We expect annual flood peaks over China characterized with a mixture of 50 flood-generation mechanisms, due to its geographic location in a monsoon-climate region and on the margin of the most active ocean in tropical cyclones. China suffers the most frequent landfalling tropical cyclones in the world, with 9 tropical cyclones making landfall on average per year (Jiang and Jiang, 2014). Despite its significance, little is known about the hydroclimatology of flooding associated with landfalling tropical cyclones. Even less effort has been spent on investigating the impacts of different flood-generation mechanisms on the upper-tail properties of flood peaks across China. This is a critical issue for China that 55 shows contrasting rainfall climatology (under combined influences from monsoon and landfalling tropical cyclones) between the northern and southern part of the country (i.e., traditionally take the Yangtze River as the geographic divide) (e.g., Yang et al., 2013; Gu et al., 2017a; Zhang et al., 2018a). Extreme floods for different regions are often associated with contrasting flood agents. This is not merely associated with the nature of flood agents themselves, but is also determined by complex interplay of storms with ambient synoptic and physiographic environment. For instance, extreme rainfall from landfalling

60 tropical cyclones can be amplified through interactions of storm circulation with mid-latitude baroclinic disturbances (e.g.,
Hart and Evans, 2000) and regional topography (e.g., Houze, 2012). Propagation of monsoon also plays a role in determining
the spatial contrasts of flood agents through regulating temporal occurrences of flood peaks over different regions (e.g., Ding
and Zhang, 2009). Knowledge in the mixed flood-generation mechanisms and their spatial variations can provide valuable
insights into improved procedures for the estimates of Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) / Probable Maximum Flood
65 (PMF) in designing flood-control infrastructures (e.g., Smith and Baeck, 2015; Yang et al., 2017).

An important way of characterizing flood hazards is through examining flood peak distributions and factors that determine
the upper-tail properties. In this study, we model annual flood peaks based on the statistical framework of the generalized
extreme value (GEV) distributions (similarly see e.g., Katz et al., 2002; Morrison and Smith, 2002; Villarini and Smith, 2010;
Barros et al., 2014; Bates et al., 2015; Gaume, 2018; Smith et al., 2018). The key focus is placed on the upper tails of flood
70 peaks across China. Previous studies show strong dependence of location and scale parameters for the GEV distributions on
drainage area, while the GEV shape parameters only weakly depend on drainage area (Morrison and Smith, 2002; Villarini
and Smith, 2010). Weak dependence of the GEV shape parameters on drainage area indicate scale-independent properties of
the upper tails of flood peaks, and highlight additional factors (e.g., spatio-temporal rainfall variability) in determining the
upper tails of flood peaks. Yang et al. (2013) identified a spatial contrast of extreme rainfall distributions between northern and
75 southern China and pointed to contrasting flood hydroclimatology across the country. We therefore propose that similar spatial
contrasts also exist in flood peak distributions across China.

Our study is also motivated by Typhoon Nina and the resultant August 1975 flood in central China. The August 1975 flood
in central China, with 26000 direct fatalities, is one of the most destructive floods in the world history (Yang et al., 2017). The
unit peak discharge is $17 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-2}$ (i.e., flood peak discharge divided by drainage area) for a 760 km^2 drainage basin, and
80 is on the list of the world maximum floods. The August 1975 flood plays a key role in shaping the envelop curve of floods in
China and different versions of the world envelop curve (Yang et al., 2017; Costa, 1987). Devastating consequences of Typhoon
Nina and the August 1975 flood partially resulted from cascading collapses of dozens of dams, and expose inadequacies of
conventional approaches for flood frequency analysis (e.g., fitting historical flood records with assumed distribution functions)
(e.g., Smith and Baeck, 2015; Yang et al., 2017). This is an urgent issue for China, as statistics show socio-economic damages
85 caused by tropical cyclones are rapidly increasing in recent decades, with a large portion of the damages resulted from riverine
flooding (Zhang et al., 2009; Rappaport, 2014).

Based on the aforementioned gap of our knowledge in flood hydrology, we examine flood peak distributions across China
by centering on the following questions: (1) What is the dominant mode of the violation of stationarity in annual flood peak
series? (2) How do dominant flood-generation mechanisms vary across China? (3) How do upper-tail properties of flood peak
90 distributions depend on drainage areas (i.e., scale-dependence) and rainfall climatology? (4) What is the impact of landfalling
tropical cyclones on the upper tails of flood peaks across China? (5) What are the characteristics of the most severe flood hazards
(i.e., as represented by the number of stations with annual flood peaks) in the history of China and the tropical cyclones that
induce them? Even though these questions are examined based on an exclusive dataset over China, timely answers to these

questions will undoubtedly contribute to the compliment of our limited understandings on flood hazard under a changing environment, and promote the advance of flood science at the global scale.

2 Data

Our analysis is based on observations of annual maximum instantaneous peak discharge from 1120 stream gauging stations with continuous records of at least 50 years (i.e., no missing data consecutively throughout the entire periods). There are relatively more stations distributed in eastern China than the western part of the country (Figure 1). The dataset is comprehensively collected from local hydrographic offices of nine major river basins across China. All these stations are nation-level control stations with the records that have been through strict quality control procedures to ensure data consistency and accuracy : ~~For instance, the dates of annual maximum flood peak and highest stage should be comparable, with records of missing flood peak timing discarded to ensure data accuracy~~(by following the code for hydrologic data compilation of China, SL247-1999). Stations with notable site re-locations (i.e., that lead to changes in drainage area) during the observational periods are not included in this dataset. The flood records demonstrate a variety of ways in data collection, mainly include intermittent direct measurements of discharge during flood ~~season~~seasons, indirect inferences through stage-discharge rating curves, and post-flood field surveys. In addition to flood peak magnitude, flood peak timing (i.e., date of occurrence for flood peaks) is also provided, and is mainly used to infer flood-generating mechanisms over different regions across China. Flood records with missing flood peak timing are discarded from the following analysis.

Time series of total number of available stations are shown in Figure 2a. The longest flood record is 153 years, with approximately more than 90% stations fully available during the period from 1960 to 2017. The record length of 66% stations exceeds 60 years starting from 1950s till the year of 2017 (Figure 2b). There are considerable variabilities in the spatial scales of represented river basins, with a large percentage (approximately 64%) of stations representing small and medium river basins (with drainage areas less than 5000 km², Figure 2c). Previous studies found contrasting climate regimes and extreme rainfall distributions between northern and southern China (e.g., Yang et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015). To facilitate analyses and comparisons, we further classify the 1120 stations into two sub-groups, i.e., northern and southern China, based on their geographic locations (Figure 1). The northern group includes stations mainly in northeastern river basins, the Yellow River basin, the Huaihe River basin, and the Haihe River basin, while the southern group includes southeastern river basins, southwestern river basins, the Yangtze River basin, and the Pearl River basin.

3 Methodology

3.1 Change point and trend analysis

We use the non-parametric Pettitt's test (Pettitt, 1979) to examine the presence of abrupt changes in annual flood peak series. Pettitt's test is a rank-based test that relies on the Mann-Whitney statistic to test whether two samples come from the same population. There are no assumed distributions for the test, which makes it less sensitive to outliers and skewed distributions. It

125 allows for the detection of a single change point in mean at an unknown point in time, with the test significance computed using
the given formulation. We further apply the Pettitt's test on the squared residuals derived with respect to the local polynomial
regression line (loess function, Cleveland, 1979) to detect change point in variance in annual flood peak series (similarly see,
e.g., Villarini et al., 2009; Villarini and Smith, 2010; Yang et al., 2013). We also adopted a different change-point detection
approach, i.e., the one proposed by Matteson and James (2014), but only found negligible deviations from the results based on
130 Pettitt's test (results not shown).

Monotonic trends can be induced by existence of abrupt change points in mean rather than indicating slowly varying trend for
the flood series. For those series that do not show significant abrupt change points in mean, we directly use the non-parametric
Mann-Kendall test (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1975) to examine the presence of monotonically increasing or decreasing trends
in annual flood peak series. For the series with change point in mean, we divide it into two sub-groups and test monotonic
135 trends for each of the two sub-groups (i.e., before and after the change point). Additional trend analysis for the sub-series can
highlight stations that show both abrupt changes and slowly varying trend in the entire flood series. We assume the existence of
only a single change point in mean for each flood peak series in this study, to avoid dividing the series into too many segments
(similarly see, e.g., Villarini et al., 2009, 2012). Only sub-series with record lengths exceeding 10 years are considered in the
trend analysis. We set a significance level of 5% (i.e., two-tailed) for both the change-point and trend tests.

140 3.2 Generalized Extreme Value distribution

The Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution is used to statistically model distributions of annual maximum flood peaks
(e.g., Coles, 2001; Villarini and Smith, 2010). The GEV, based on extreme value theory, has been widely used in flood frequency
analysis (e.g., Coles, 2001; Katz et al., 2002; Morrison and Smith, 2002; Villarini and Smith, 2010). The cumulative distribution
function of the GEV takes the form:

$$F(x|\mu, \sigma, \xi) = \exp \left\{ - \left[1 + \xi \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \right]^{-1/\xi} \right\} \quad (1)$$

145 where μ , σ , and ξ represents the location, scale, and shape parameter, respectively. The location (μ) and scale (σ) parameter
is related to the magnitude and variability of the records, respectively. The shape parameter (ξ) indicates the tail properties of
the distribution, with positive (negative) values pointing to heavy and unbounded (light and bounded) upper tail of flood peak
distribution. The GEV parameters are estimated based on the maximum likelihood estimators (e.g., Coles, 2001). We fit the
GEV distributions only for stations without statistically significant change points in mean and variance and monotonic trends,
150 following the basic assumption of probability theory that data samples should be independent and identically distributed. The
three fitted GEV parameters (i.e., location, scale and shape) will be further used to examine their correlations with drainage
areas, shedding light on the scale-dependence of the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions across China.

3.3 Association of flood peaks with tropical cyclones

We associate an annual flood peak of a given stream gauging station with a particular tropical cyclone by following the
155 procedures, i.e., if the center of a tropical cyclone is within 500 km of the gauging station during a time window of two weeks

centered on the occurrence time of the flood peak. The spatial and temporal thresholds reflect the mean spatial extent of tropical cyclone rainfall (e.g., Rios Gaona et al., 2018), and the upper limit of flood response time (similarly also see, e.g., Hart and Evans, 2000; Villarini and Smith, 2010; Smith et al., 2011; Villarini et al., 2014). We obtain the information of tropical cyclones from the International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS, see <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/ibtracs/> for details). The dataset provides records of the circulation center location (latitude and longitude) and storm intensity (represented by minimum sea level pressure) at a temporal interval of 6 hours. An additional attribute provided by IBTrACS for each tropical cyclone at each time interval is the nature of the storm, i.e., extratropical transition or tropical storm. Extratropical transition (ET) characterizes the changing properties of a tropical cyclone from a warm-core, symmetric structure to a cold-core, asymmetrical structure (e.g. Hart and Evans, 2000). Physical process associated with extratropical transition plays an important role in determining the spatial distribution of tropical cyclone rainfall (e.g. Atallah and Bosart, 2003; Atallah et al., 2007; Liu and Smith, 2016). Tropical storm (TS), as a contrast, indicates the maintenance of a warm-core, symmetric structure during the entire life cycle of the storm.

4 Results and discussion

The structure of this section is organized as follows. We first detect change points and monotonic trends to shed light on the long-term changes in flood series across China, and discuss possible drivers that induce them (subsection 4.1). We move on to subsection 4.2 to examine seasonal distribution of annual flood peaks, highlighting the mixture of flood-generation mechanisms across China and its spatial variation. Results from both subsection 4.1 and 4.2 will serve the basis for the analysis of subsection 4.3 that delves into the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions across China, focusing on the spatial distributions of the GEV parameters as well as their dependence on drainage areas and rainfall climatology. Subsection 4.4 will specifically examine the impacts of tropical cyclones on extreme floods, to shed light on the statistical and physical characteristics of most extreme floods in the history of China.

4.1 Stationarity

4.1.1 Abrupt changes

Figure 3 shows the results of change-point analyses for annual flood peaks based on the Pettitt's test. There are 436 (38%) and 398 (35%) stations with significant change points in mean and in variance, respectively. 27% stations show change points both in mean and in variance. The majority of stations tend to show smaller values in mean (383 stations) and variance (305 stations) after than before the change point (figure not shown). Change points in both mean and variance show striking spatial concentration in northern China (i.e., the lower Yellow River basin, the upper Huaihe River basin, and the entire Haihe River basin). Change points in both mean and in variance are frequently observed during the period 1980-2000, with slightly larger frequency of occurrence during the period 1990-2000. We observe an additional amount of change points in mean distributed in the downstream of southwestern river basins and in the upper and middle portion of the Yangtze River and Pearl River

basins (Figure 3a). These change points tend to occur in the period 2000-2010 instead of the period of dominant change-point occurrence in northern China.

Spatial and temporal clustering of change points demonstrate evidence of anthropogenic influences on flood hydrology (e.g., Vogel et al., 2011; Hodgkins et al., 2019). Through meta-data inspection of selected stations, we are able to relate some of the abrupt changes in annual flood peaks to intentional human activities. For instance, the change point in mean at the year of 1986 in the upper Yellow River, the Guide hydrological station, is due to the construction of a large hydropower-generation dam, the Longyangxia Dam (Figure 4a). The Longyangxia Dam is a multi-purpose dam (e.g., flood control, water supply), and controls runoff variability of the entire Yellow River basin (Si et al., 2019). The Guide station is approximately 30 km downstream of the Longyangxia Dam. There are a couple of other hydrological stations distributed further downstream (e.g., Xunhua hydrological station, 120 km downstream), and show change points in mean around the year of 1986 for the annual flood peak series. Anthropogenic regulations on rivers in northern China (especially the middle/lower portion of the Yellow River basin and the upper Haihe River basin) is often characterized with a cascade construction of small reservoirs. We show a flood peak series in the upper Haihe River basin that experienced significant decrease in annual maximum flood peak magnitudes (smaller values both in mean and variance after the change point) around early 1990s, associated with extensive construction of small reservoirs due to an increased demand for irrigation and domestic water supply (Figure 4b). The impact of regulation by dams or reservoirs on flood hydrology has been discussed and debated in previous studies (e.g., Yang et al., 2008; Barros et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Ayalew et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2018). For instance, Smith et al. (2010) found limited impacts of dams on flood hydrology in the Delaware River basin, which is not the case for the upper Yellow River basin in our study. This might be related to contrasting physiographic properties of the river basins and/or functions of the dams, and needs further analysis.

Changes in land use/land cover (e.g., urbanization, deforestation/afforestation) can also contribute to change points in the series of annual flood peaks. This is especially the case for stations in the lower Haihe River basin (where the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei metropolitan region is distributed) and Yangtze River delta region (where Shanghai and other major cities are located). Figure 4c shows a small urban watershed in the lower Yangtze River basin) that experienced rapid urbanization in recent decades. Transboundary water-transfer project demonstrates another form of anthropogenic influence on flood hydrology. Abrupt increases in flood peak magnitudes are mainly tied to the elevated base flows transferred from neighboring river basins. We provide the annual flood peak series for a station in the lower Yellow River basin (Figure 4d). Increasing water demand from domestic and agricultural sectors in the lower Yellow River basin lead to extensive implementation of water-transfer projects.

Abrupt changes in the series of annual flood peaks can also originate from the changes in extreme rainfall across China. However, one of our previous studies investigated changes in annual maximum daily rainfall over China, but found no clear signature of spatial clustering for change points in either mean or variance for the rainfall series, although abrupt changes in annual maximum daily rainfall frequently occurred in the 1990s (see Figure 2 in Yang et al., 2013). Inconsistent spatial patterns of change points in annual maximum flood peak and annual maximum daily rainfall series indicate a weak role of climate shifts in producing abrupt changes in annual flood peaks.

4.1.2 Monotonic trends

We further examine the monotonic trends of annual flood peak series based on the Mann-Kendall test for those stations that do not show significant change points in mean. There are only 69 stations (accounting for approximately 6% of the total stations) with significant linear trends (Figure 5a). For those stations with significant linear trends, 62 (7) of them exhibits decreasing (increasing) trends. The 62 stations are uniformly distributed across the entire country, indicating a weakening tendency of annual maximum flood peaks over China in recent decades. Abrupt change rather than slowly varying trend is a common mode of violation of the stationarity assumption for the annual flood peak series over China. For those stations with significant change points in mean, we test the linear trends for each sub-series of flood peaks before and after the change point. Almost all stations show decreasing trends for the sub-series either before or after the change point with only a few exceptions (Figure 5b and 5c). Similar with change points in mean and in variance, stations with significant decreasing trends after change points spatially concentrate in northern China, especially the middle and lower portion of the Yellow River basin and the upper Haihe River basin. The decreasing trend in the middle and lower portion of the Yellow River is most likely due to the implementation of soil conservation practices in its tributary regions (e.g., Bai et al., 2016). There are few stations in southern China that show significant linear trends either before or after change points.

Changes in annual rainfall extremes (i.e., annual maximum daily rainfall) show a “dipole-like” spatial structure over China, with decreasing trends in northern China and increasing trends in the south (e.g., Yang et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Gu et al., 2017b). The decreasing annual maximum flood peaks in northern China may be partially attributed to the weakening rainfall intensity in recent decades. The opposite trends in annual rainfall extremes and annual maximum flood peaks in southern China seem contradictory to our perception. Contrasting trends between intense rainfall and annual high flows are also found over United States (mainly eastern of the Mississippi River), which are attributed to inconsistent changes of intense rainfall in different seasons (Small et al., 2006), i.e., changes in fall precipitation mainly contributes to the trend in annual rainfall extremes, while annual high flows are often observed in spring with no significant changes in rainfall. This is, however, not the case for southern China. Changes in rainfall extremes among all four seasons are dominated by significant or relatively weak increasing trends over southern China (Gu et al., 2017b). Disconnections between changes in annual maximum rainfall and annual flood peaks are also identified in other previous studies (e.g., Ivancic and Shaw, 2015; Berghuijs et al., 2016; Wasko and Nathan, 2019), and point to the additional roles of antecedent watershed wetness and changes in space-time rainfall properties in dominating flood-generation processes (i.e., storm extent, Sharma et al., 2018). Disconnection of changes in rainfall extremes and floods as exhibited for the gauges across southern China highlight the complex drivers for flood-generation process, and merits further investigation.

4.2 Mixture of flood-generation mechanisms

Long-term changes in annual flood peak series highlight the need for better understanding on flood-generation mechanisms across China, which can be pursued through the examination of seasonal distribution of annual flood peaks. There are three (two) distinct peaks in the seasonal distribution of annual flood peaks for southern (northern) China (Figure 6). The first peak

for both southern and northern China occur around late April, but are resulted from different flood-generation mechanisms.

255 Frequent occurrences of annual flood peaks around late April in southern China are observed mainly in the southeastern coast, and are caused by frontal systems or associated with early onset of the East Asia Summer Monsoon (e.g., Ding and Chan, 2005; Ding and Zhang, 2009). The April peak of flood frequency in northern China is contributed by localized storm events associated with mid-latitude weather systems in the northwestern part of the country, or related to snow melt in high-altitude regions (Ding and Zhang, 2009). The East Asia Summer Monsoon onsets around early May over mainland China, and moves

260 stepwise northward/northeastward driven by the West Pacific Subtropical High (e.g., Ding and Chan, 2005; Zhang et al., 2017). The monsoon system is characterized with “two abrupt northward jumps and three stationary periods”, and plays a deterministic role in the seasonal distribution of flood peaks in both northern and southern China. Frequent flood peaks around late June in the middle and lower portion of the Yangtze River basin contribute to the second peak of seasonal distribution of flood frequency in southern China. Further northward propagation of the monsoon system leads to frequent annual flood

265 peaks in northern China around late July and early August. The summer monsoon retreats back to the south and is weakened afterwards, transferring the dominance in flood-generation systems to tropical cyclones and post-monsoon synoptic systems.

Annual flood peaks that are caused by tropical cyclones show a very sharp seasonal distribution, with 70% of them observed in August alone (Figure 6, see section 3 for the association of annual flood peaks with a tropical cyclone). Strong pressure gradients along the western flank of the West Pacific Subtropical High provide favorable synoptic conditions for large-scale

270 moisture transport and northwestward propagation of tropical cyclones. Interactions of tropical cyclones with mid-latitude systems (e.g., mid-latitude upper-level trough) and regional topography (i.e., Qinling and Taihang Mountains) can further enhance extreme rainfall associated with landfalling tropical cyclones and the resultant flooding over China (mainly the eastern part of the country, e.g., Svensson and Berndtsson, 1996; Yang et al., 2017; Gu et al., 2017a). The seasonal distribution of annual flood peaks in northern China is almost overlapped with that of flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones, while tropical

275 cyclones mainly contribute to the third peak of the seasonal distribution for annual flood peaks in southern China (Figure 6). The concurrency of monsoon-controlled storm events and tropical cyclones is a key element of flood hydroclimatology across China. Analysis on the seasonal distribution of annual flood peaks highlight contrasting rainfall climatology between northern and southern China as well as mixture of flood-generation mechanisms across the entire country.

4.3 Extreme Value Distribution

280 We model distributions of annual flood peaks using the GEV distribution. We only focus on the stations without significant change points in mean or in variance, and without significant monotonic trends (i.e., the stationary stations). There are 486 stations that satisfy these requirements. These stations are densely located in southern rather than northern China (Figure 7), mostly due to the spatial clustering of stations with abrupt change points in annual flood peaks in northern China (Figure 3). The stationary stations represent a wide range of spatial scales of drainage basins for both northern and southern China. Figure

285 8 shows the dependence of GEV parameters on drainage area for the 486 stationary stations. Location and scale parameters are positively correlated with drainage area in a log-log domain. The correlations are all significant at the level of 5%. The shape parameter, however, generally decreases with drainage area but shows only weak dependence in a log-log domain (with a

correlation coefficient of -0.15 for northern China and -0.16 for the south, neither being statistically significant). The upper-tail properties (as represented by the shape parameter) of flood peak distributions are weakly determined by drainage areas, while
290 the magnitude and variability of annual flood peaks can be well explained by drainage area. Our results are consistent with the study in the eastern United States by Villarini and Smith (2010), and contribute to generalized understanding on the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions.

An interesting finding is that there are striking spatial splits in terms of the dependence of the GEV parameters on drainage areas between northern and southern China (Figure 8). The location and scale parameters for stations in southern China are
295 consistently larger than their counterparts in the north (with a few exceptions, Figure 8a and 8b). The shape parameters in northern China are comparatively larger than that in southern China. Large shape parameters indicate heavier upper tails of flood peak distributions in northern than southern China, even though the magnitudes and variability of flood peaks are relatively smaller in the north. One of our previous studies on the distribution of annual maximum daily rainfall found similar spatial splits for the dependence of GEV parameters on elevation between northern and southern China (Yang et al., 2013; Gu
300 et al., 2017a). Spatial splits in extreme rainfall distributions highlight spatial heterogeneity in flood hydroclimatology across China (which is also represented by the contrasting seasonal distributions of annual flood peaks shown in section 4.2). Spatial contrasts of extreme rainfall distribution further lead to different relationships between three GEV parameters and drainage areas for flood peak distributions between northern and southern China.

We further show the spatial splits for the shape parameter in Figure 7. The majority of the northern stations show positive
305 shape parameters, while the southern stations are mixed with both negative and positive shape parameters. Spatial contrast in rainfall climatology between northern and southern China seems to be a more effective predictor in explaining the spatial variability of shape parameter rather than drainage area. Our results highlight the importance of hydrometeorological analyses for better characterizations of the physical processes that lead to most extreme floods (similarly see e.g., Smith and Baeck, 2015; Yang et al., 2017). Positive shape parameters in northern China indicate flood peak distributions with unbounded upper
310 tails, while negative shape parameters for most southern stations are characterized with a bounded upper tail of flood peak distribution. Understandings remain poor pertaining to the nature of the upper tail of flood peaks (see detailed discussion in e.g., Smith et al., 2018). The bounded upper tail of flood peaks in the south can be associated with physical constraints over drainage basins (for instance, large dams for flood-control purposes) and/or the upper bounds to the hydrometeorological processes (e.g., Enzel et al., 1993; O'Connor et al., 2002; Serinaldi and Kilsby, 2014).

315 **4.4 Tropical cyclones and upper tails of flood peaks**

We examine the impacts of tropical cyclones on the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions across China in this subsection. As mentioned in previous sections, some of the most extreme floods in the history of China are associated with landfalling tropical cyclones in the western North Pacific basin (e.g., Typhoon Nina). Better characterizations of tropical cyclones and flood hazards associated with them can provide physical insights into the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions.

320 Tropical cyclones contribute to approximately 18% of annual flood peaks over China. Figure 9 shows the map of the percentage of annual flood peaks that are caused by tropical cyclones to total annual flood peaks for each station. More than 50%

of the annual flood peaks are caused by tropical cyclones in the southeastern coast of China, with the percentage even attaining 90% over the Hainan Island. The percentage gradually decreases when we move further inland and to higher latitudes. Less than 10% annual flood peaks can be associated with landfalling tropical cyclones in the middle portion of the Yellow River and Yangtze River basins (Figure 9). The percentage of annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones is closely tied to the spatial distribution of tropical cyclone rainfall and frequency of tropical cyclone occurrence over China (Wu et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2010; Gu et al., 2017b). More than 30% of the extreme rainfall events are induced by tropical cyclones along the coastal regions (Gu et al., 2017a, b), with the percentage gradually decreased moving inland due to rapid weakening of storm intensity (e.g., surface roughness, insufficient moisture transport).

We show the stations with record floods (i.e., the largest flood peak for the entire record of a station) that are caused by tropical cyclones in Figure 9 to highlight the impacts of tropical cyclones on the most extreme floods. Stations with record floods caused by tropical cyclones are spatially clustered in the southeastern coast, central and northeastern China (Figure 9). Tropical cyclone-induced record floods in the southeastern coast are mainly associated with abundant moisture and energy supply for extreme rainfall right after tropical cyclones making landfall. However, the spatial clustering of record floods by tropical cyclones in northern China (more specifically, the upper Huaihe River and northeastern China) can be partially related to extratropical transition processes during the life cycle of the storm and/or interactions with regional topography, as will be elaborated below. We do not observe a comparable distribution of record floods caused by tropical cyclones in southern China (e.g., the Yangtze River basin) excluding the coastal regions, even though the percentage of annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclone is comparable to that in northern China (less than 30%, Figure 9). Our results highlight the impacts of tropical cyclones on flood peak distributions in northern China with a large percentage of record floods caused by relatively infrequent visits of landfalling tropical cyclones.

The impact of tropical cyclones on the upper tail properties of flood peak distributions is further examined through the shape parameter of the GEV distribution. We compare the shape parameters between the entire annual flood peak series and the series with annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones removed (Figure 10). We focus on the series with record length exceeding 30 years after annual flood peaks caused tropical cyclones being removed from the series. This leads to the exclusion of most stations in the southeastern coast due to the high percentage of tropical cyclone-induced flood peaks (Figure 9). As can be seen from Figure 10, the scatters are generally distributed along the 1:1 line, indicating overall small changes in the shape parameters between two series. However, if we restrict our attention to the stations with record floods caused by tropical cyclones (mainly those stations in northern China), we observe significantly smaller shape parameters (see the insert box plot in Figure 10) for the series with annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones removed. Smaller shape parameter implies a lighter tail of flood peak distribution. Small variations in the shape parameters as demonstrated for the rest of the stations indicate relatively weak impacts of tropical cyclones on the upper tail properties of flood peak distributions. These stations are mainly located in inland regions of southern China. Our results are different from the study of Villarini and Smith (2010) in eastern United States that shows significant decreases in shape parameters for the majority of stations when annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones are removed from the series. The differences are tied to contrasting flood-generation mechanisms between China and the eastern United States. Tropical cyclones and extratropical systems play central roles in the mixture

of flood-generation mechanisms for the flooding in the eastern United States (Smith et al., 2011). Extreme rainfall associated with East Asia Summer Monsoon, rather than landfalling tropical cyclones, can be a more important player in characterizing the upper tail of flood peak distributions in most inland regions of southern China (e.g., the middle and lower portion of the Yangtze River basin) (Zhang et al., 2017). Tropical cyclones in northern China, even though characterized with low frequency of occurrence, pose significant influences on the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions.

We focus on tropical cyclones that produced relatively large numbers of flood peaks over China, to shed light on the physical attributes of most severe flood hazards associated with landfalling tropical cyclones. There are 9 tropical cyclones that produced more than 100 annual flood peaks over China since late 1950s till present. The 9 tropical cyclones alone contribute to approximately 50% of total annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones. Table 1 provides a summary of the 9 tropical cyclones. Typhoon Herb (1996) produced the largest number of annual flood peaks (167 in total), followed by Typhoon Wendy (1963) and Typhoon Tim (1994). Typhoon Herb (1996) produced a large number of annual flood peaks right after its landfall in mainland China (Figure 11a). Almost all the annual flood peaks caused by other tropical cyclones are distributed over the most inland regions (Figure 11). The percentage of stations with annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones relative to total storm-affected stations (i.e., located within 500 km buffer zone of each tropical cyclone track) varies between 14% (Typhoon Doris) and 35% (Typhoon Herb). Typhoon Andy (1982) and Typhoon Russ (1994) lead to annual flood peaks for more than 30% storm-affected stations (Table 1).

The 9 tropical cyclones can be further categorized into two groups according to the nature of the storm and spatial patterns of their tracks. The first group includes Typhoon Herb (1996), Typhoon Andy (1982), and Typhoon Nina (1975). The three tropical cyclones did not experience extratropical transition during the entire life cycle of the storms, and are characterized with two landfalls (i.e., Taiwan and mainland China). The tracks of these three tropical cyclones do not fall into the prevailing tropical cyclone tracks in the Western North Pacific basin (Wu et al., 2005). Typhoon Nina (1975) produced the largest number of record floods (24 in total) among all historical tropical cyclones over China, followed by Typhoon Polly (1960) (14 in total) and Typhoon Andy (1982) (10 in total). Annual flood peaks and record floods caused by tropical cyclones in the first group are frequently observed in northern China (mainly the middle portion of the Yellow River and the upper Huaihe River basins). This region is characterized with complex terrain, i.e., Taihang and Qinling Mountains. Interactions of tropical cyclones with regional topography can significantly enhance rainfall intensity through orographic lifting, as demonstrated by Typhoon Nina (1975). For instance, historical records of extreme rainfall (e.g., three-day rainfall accumulation exceeding 1000 mm) from Typhoon Nina (1975) were observed in the windward topographic region (Yang et al., 2017). The other 6 tropical cyclones are categorized into the second group (Figure 11). A common feature for the tropical cyclones in the second group is extratropical transition process during the life cycle of the storms. Annual flood peaks are frequently observed after the extratropical transition process (see the curvatures of tropical cyclone tracks in the latitudes around 30° in Figure 11), and are frequently observed in northern China. Except Typhoon Herb (1996), 4 of the top 5 largest number of annual flood peaks are caused by tropical cyclones with extratropical transition.

There is no strong preference for the spatial distribution of annual flood peaks with respect to storm tracks (i.e., left or right of the track), even though the records floods caused by tropical cyclones tend to be frequently observed in the left-front

quadrant (typically the down-shear side) of the circulations. This is related to the preferable distribution of extreme tropical cyclone rainfall, due to enhanced moisture convergence and updraft on the down-shear side of the circulation (e.g., Atallah et al., 2007; Shu et al., 2018).

395 5 Summary and Conclusions

In this study, we examine flood peak distributions over China based on 1120 stream gauging stations with continuous records of annual maximum instantaneous discharge for more than 50 years. The principal findings of this study can be summarized as follows.

(1) There are 38% and 35% stations exhibiting significant change points in mean and in variance, respectively. Change
400 points tend to occur during the period 1980-2000, and show strong a spatial concentration in the lower Yellow River, upper Huaihe River, the entire Haihe River, upper Yangtze and Pearl River basins. Hydrological regimes in these regions demonstrate intensive anthropogenic influences, for instance, large hydro-power generation dams, cascade constructions of small-capacity reservoirs, transboundary water-transfer projects, soil-water conservation projects, urbanization. There is a weak signal of climate impacts on the abrupt changes in annual flood series across China. Abrupt change is the dominant mode of violation
405 of the stationary assumption for annual flood peaks over China.

(2) Approximately 6% stations (69 in total) show significant linear trends in the annual flood peak series. Those stations with significant trends are uniformly distributed across the country, with 62 of them exhibiting significantly decreasing trends. The decreasing trends of flood peak magnitude in northern China may be at least partially tied to changes in extreme rainfall. Disconnections between changes in annual rainfall extremes and annual maximum floods are identified in southern China, and
410 highlight complex flood-generation processes across China. The dominance of decreasing trends in annual flood peak series indicates weakening tendencies of severe flood hazards (i.e., annual maximum floods) over China, even though flood-affected area and economic damages are on the rise in recent decades (Kundzewicz et al., 2019). Future studies need to further examine changes in flood frequency for a complete assessment on flood hazards (based on peaks-over-threshold flood series, similarly see, e.g., Mallakpour and Villarini, 2015).

(3) We fit GEV distribution for the stationary time series of annual flood peaks, and examined the dependence of its parameters on drainage area. We find that the location and scale parameters are linearly scaled with drainage area in a log-log domain. There is only a weak tendency for the shape parameters to decrease as a function of drainage area. Our results highlight scale-independent properties of upper tails of flood peaks. The relationships between GEV parameters and drainage area show strong spatial splits between northern and southern China, indicating space-time rainfall organization as an important player in
420 determining the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions over China. Procedures for regional flood frequency analysis should explicitly address the spatial splits through considering spatial heterogeneity in flood hydroclimatology.

(4) Flood-generation systems over China show a mixture of monsoon, tropical cyclones, and extratropical systems. Tropical cyclone plays an important role in characterizing spatial-temporal variability of flood peaks and the upper-tail properties of flood peak distributions over China. More than 50% of the annual flood peaks in the southeastern coast are caused by tropical

425 cyclones. The percentage progressively decreases when we move further inland and to higher latitudes. Tropical cyclones lead
to heavier tails of flood peak distributions (with larger shape parameters of the GEV distribution) in northern China. Those
regions are characterized with record floods frequently associated with tropical cyclones, despite that tropical cyclone visits
relatively infrequently compared to the southern China. Record floods in southern China are more frequently associated with
430 monsoon-related extreme rainfall rather than landfalling tropical cyclones. We highlight the importance of considering the
mixture of flood-generation mechanisms in flood frequency analyses especially in northern China. Contrasting roles of tropical
cyclones in flood peak distributions highlight the necessity of tailored procedures for flood-control practices and flood hazard
assessment across China. For instance, landfalling tropical cyclones can be good candidates for PMP/PMF designs for drainage
basins in northern rather than southern China.

(5) Tropical cyclone plays an important role in most severe flood hazards in the history of China. There are 9 tropical
435 cyclones that produced more than 100 annual flood peaks over China, contributing to approximately 50% of total annual flood
peaks caused by all historical tropical cyclones. The large number of annual flood peaks is associated with extended spatial
coverages of extreme rainfall after the storms going through the processes of extratropical transition. An additional feature
for severe flood hazards is tied to favorable synoptic set-up for persistent moisture transport after the storm making landfall,
as demonstrated by Typhoon Herb (1996), Typhoon Andy (1982), and Typhoon Nina (1975). Interaction of tropical cyclone
440 with regional topography is a key element for most extreme floods in central China (mainly the middle/lower Yellow River
basin and upper Huaihe River basin). Annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones do not show strong spatial preferences
with respect to the tracks, even though the record floods tend to be frequently observed in the left-front quadrant of the
circulation. Hydrometeorological analyses can provide improved physical characterization on severe flood hazards associated
with landfalling tropical cyclones (see e.g., Yang et al., 2017).

445 Attribution analysis on the nonstationarities of annual flood peaks across China point to mixed controls of human activities,
external climate factors (i.e., extreme rainfall), and changes in soil moisture on flood hydrology. The homogeneity of flood
population for flood frequency analysis needs to be carefully revisited in a changing environment. This is especially proposed
by England et al. (2018) in Hydrology Subcommittee Bulletin 17C as an imminent need to “define flood potentials for wa-
tersheds altered by urbanization, wildfires, deforestation, and by reservoirs”. Innovative approaches that explicitly address the
450 nonstationarities should be embraced for flood frequency analysis across China, for instance, process-based approaches that
rely on physically-based hydrological modelling which can represent the processes of nonstationarities in flood series (see
e.g., Wright et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2019), statistical modelling approaches that mathematically parametrize the role of human
regulations in flood series based on the framework of probability theory (Salas et al., 2018; Serago and Vogel, 2018; Gao et al.,
2019; Dong et al., 2019; Barth et al., 2019). These approaches should be especially in great needs for northern China that
455 exhibits an overwhelming portion of stations with nonstationarities in flood series.

Our results highlight the important role of landfalling tropical cyclones in determining the upper tails of flood peak distri-
butions across China, especially the northern China and the southeastern coast. Previous studies show strong teleconnections
between tropical cyclone activity in the western North Pacific basin and large-scale climate variability, e.g., the El Niño-
Southern Oscillation (e.g., Chan and Shi, 1996; Chan, 2000), Madden-Julian Oscillation (e.g., Kim et al., 2008). Statistical

460 models that adopt varying parameters on time or other predictors (such as, large-scale climate indices) can provide predictive tools of understanding future changes in flood hazards associated with landfalling tropical cyclones (e.g., Zhang et al., 2018c). Future studies need to zoom into watershed scales, and explore physical connections between extreme flood processes and key tropical cyclone features (e.g., space-time structures of tropical cyclone rainfall, tropical cyclone intensity), to provide additional insights into flood hazard associated with landfalling tropical cyclones.

465 A unique feature of our study is a nation-wide assessment of flood hazard based on an unprecedented network of stream gauging stations across China. Comprehensive analysis based on the exceptional dataset over China, together with studies by Villarini et al. (2009) and Burn and Whitfield (2018) in North America, Blöschl et al. (2017, 2019) in European countries, among others, promotes improved understandings on flood hydrology and hydroclimatology under a changing environment from a global perspective. A future endeavor will further exploit the dataset through developing a data archive of key hydro-
470 logical indices that is accessible to worldwide research community (similarly see, e.g., Do et al., 2018).

Data availability. The data used in this research are collected from distributed hydrological offices of major river basins over China. The dataset is unavailable to access due to licensing issues at the moment.

Author contributions. L.Y. designed the study and carried out the analysis. L.Y. wrote the manuscript with the contribution of L.W. All authors contributed to the discussion and revision.

475 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. This research is supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (~~XDA230402~~-~~LX-XDA23040202~~). L.Y. acknowledges support from the [Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Prpovince \(BK 20190314\)](#). L.X. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation of China (51609256) and the Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by the China Association for Science and Technology (2017QNRC001). The authors would like to acknowledge Gabriele Villarini from the University of
480 Iowa and James Smith from Princeton University for pre-review comments, Dr. Hong Do and the other anonymous reviewer for review comments, which substantially improve the manuscript. The authors would like to extend sincere thanks to colleagues and collaborators from hydrographic offices of major river basins across China for their exceptional contribution to this dataset.

References

- Arnell, N. W. and Gosling, S. N.: The impacts of climate change on river flood risk at the global scale, *Climatic Change*, 134, 387–401, 2016.
- 485 Atallah, E., Bosart, L. F., and Ayyer, A. R.: Precipitation distribution associated with landfalling tropical cyclones over the Eastern United States, *Monthly Weather Review*, 135, 2185–2206, 2007.
- Atallah, E. H. and Bosart, L. F.: The Extratropical Transition and precipitation distribution of Hurricane Floyd (1999), *Monthly Weather Review*, 131, 1063–1081, 2003.
- Ayalew, T. B., Krajewski, W. F., Mantilla, R., Wright, D. B., and Small, S. J.: Effect of spatially distributed small dams on flood frequency: insights from the Soap Creek watershed, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 22, 04017 011, 2017.
- 490 Bai, P., Liu, X., Liang, K., and Liu, C.: Investigation of changes in the annual maximum flood in the Yellow River basin, China, *Quaternary International*, 392, 168–177, 2016.
- Barros, A. P., Duan, Y., Brun, J., and Medina, M. A.: Flood nonstationarity in the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 19, 05014 014, 2014.
- 495 Barth, N. A., Ph, D., Villarini, G., Ph, D., White, K., and Ph, D.: Accounting for Mixed Populations in Flood Frequency Analysis : Bulletin 17C Perspective, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 24, 1–12, 2019.
- Bates, N. S., Smith, J. A., and Villarini, G.: Flood response for the watersheds of the Fernow Experimental Forest in the central Appalachians, *Water Resources Research*, 51, 4431–4453, 2015.
- Berghuijs, W. R., Woods, R. A., Hutton, C. J., and Sivapalan, M.: Dominant flood generating mechanisms across the United States, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 4382–4390, 2016.
- 500 Blöschl, G., Hall, J., Parajka, J., Perdigão, R. A. P., Merz, B., Arheimer, B., Aronica, G. T., Bilibashi, A., Bonacci, O., Borga, M., Ivan, Č., Castellarin, A., and Chirico, G. B.: Changing climate shifts timing of European floods, *Science*, 357, 588–590, 2017.
- Blöschl, G., Hall, J., Viglione, A., Perdigão, R., Parajka, R., Merz, B., Lun, D., Arheimer, B., Aronica, G., Bilibashi, A., Boháč, M., Bonacci, O., Borga, M., Čanjevac, I., Castellarin, A., Chirico, G., Claps, P., Frolova, N., Ganora, D., Gorbachova, L., Gül, A., Hannaford, J., Harrigan, S., Kireeva, M., Kiss, A., Kjeldsen, T., Kohnová, S., Koskela, J., Ledvinka, O., Macdonald, N., Mavrova-Guirguinova, M., Mediero, L., Merz, R., Molnar, P., Montanari, A., Murphy, C., Osuch, M., Ovcharuk, V., Radevski, I., Salinas, J., Sauquet, E., Šraj, M., Szolgay, J., Volpi, E., Wilson, D., Zaimi, K., and Živković, N.: Changing climate both increases and decreases European floods, *Nature*, 573, 108–111, 2019.
- 505 Brooks, F. and Day, C. A.: Analyzing the Mixed Flood Hydroclimatology of the Red River Basin, Kentucky, *Journal of the Kentucky Academy of Science*, 75, 47–52, 2015.
- Burn, D. H. and Whitfield, P. H.: Changes in flood events inferred from centennial length streamflow data records, *Advances in Water Resources*, 121, 333–349, 2018.
- Chan, J. C.: Tropical Cyclone Activity over the Western North Pacific Associated with El Niño and La Niña Events, *Journal of Climate*, 13, 2960–2972, 2000.
- 515 Chan, J. C. and Shi, J. E.: Long-term trends and interannual variability in tropical cyclone activity over the western North Pacific, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23, 2765–2767, 1996.
- Cleveland, W.: Robust locally weighted regression and smoothing scatterplots, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74, 829–836, 1979.
- Coles, S.: An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values, Springer, London, 2001.

- 520 Costa, J. E.: A comparison of the largest rainfall-runoff floods in the United States with those of the People's Republic of China and the world, *Journal of Hydrology*, 96, 101–115, 1987.
- Dankers, R., Arnell, N. W., Clark, D. B., Falloon, P. D., Fekete, B. M., Gosling, S. N., Heinke, J., Kim, H., Masaki, Y., Satoh, Y., Stacke, T., Wada, Y., and Wisser, D.: First look at changes in flood hazard in the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project ensemble, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 3257–3261, 2014.
- 525 Ding, Y. and Chan, J. C. L.: The East Asian summer monsoon: an overview, *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 89, 117–142, 2005.
- Ding, Y. and Zhang, J.: *Torrential Rains and Flashing Floods*, Meteorological Press, 2009.
- Do, H. X., Westra, S., and Leonard, M.: A global-scale investigation of trends in annual maximum streamflow, *Journal of Hydrology*, 552, 28–43, 2017.
- Do, H. X., Gudmundsson, L., Leonard, M., and Westra, S.: The Global Streamflow Indices and Metadata Archive (GSIM)-Part 1: The
530 production of a daily streamflow archive and metadata, *Earth System Science Data*, 10, 765–785, 2018.
- Do, H. X., Zhao, F., Westra, S., Leonard, M., Gudmundsson, L., Chang, J., Ciais, P., Gerten, D., Gosling, S. N., Schmied, H. M., Stacke, T., Stanislas, B. J. E., and Wada, Y.: Historical and future changes in global flood magnitude & evidence from a model-observation investigation, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, pp. 1–31, 2019.
- Dong, Q., Zhang, X., Lall, U., Sang, Y.-f., and Xie, P.: An improved nonstationary model for flood frequency analysis and its implication to
535 the Three Gorges Dam, China, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 0, 02626 667.2019.1596 274, 2019.
- Eden, J. M., Wolter, K., Otto, F. E. L., Harvey, H., Environ, A., and Lett, R.: Attribution of extreme rainfall from Hurricane Harvey, August 2017, *Environmental Research Letters*, 12, 2017.
- England, J. F., Cohn, T. A., Faber, B. A., Stedinger, J. R., Thomas Jr., W. O., Veilleux, A. G., Kiang, J. E., and Mason Jr., R. R.: Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency—Bulletin 17C, Tech. rep., Reston, VA, <https://doi.org/10.3133/tm4B5>, <http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/tm4B5>, 2018.
- 540 Enzel, Y., Ely, L. L., House, P. K., Baker, R., and Webb, R. H.: Paleoflood Evidence for a Natural Upper Bound to Flood Magnitudes in the Colorado River Basin basin, *Water Resources Research*, 29, 2287–2297, 1993.
- Franks, S. W. and Kuczera, G.: Flood frequency analysis: evidence and implications of secular climate variability, New South Wales, *Water Resources Research*, 38, 1–7, 2002.
- 545 Gao, S., Liu, P., Pan, Z., Ming, B., Guo, S., Cheng, L., and Wang, J.: Incorporating reservoir impacts into flood frequency distribution functions, *Journal of Hydrology*, 568, 234–246, 2019.
- Gaume, E.: Flood frequency analysis: The Bayesian choice, *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 5, e1290, 2018.
- Gu, X., Zhang, Q., Singh, V. P., Liu, L., and Shi, P.: Spatiotemporal patterns of annual and seasonal precipitation extreme distributions across China and potential impact of tropical cyclones, *International Journal of Climatology*, 37, 3949–3962, 2017a.
- 550 Gu, X., Zhang, Q., Singh, V. P., and Shi, P.: Nonstationarity in timing of extreme precipitation across China and impact of tropical cyclones, *Global and Planetary Change*, 149, 153–165, 2017b.
- Hart, R. E. and Evans, J. L.: A climatology of the extratropical transition of Atlantic tropical cyclones, *Journal of Climate*, 14, 546–564, 2000.
- Held, I. M. and Soden, B. J.: Robust Responses of the Hydrological Cycle to Global Warming, *Journal of Climate*, 19, 1–14, 2006.
- 555 Hirabayashi, Y., Mahendran, R., Koirala, S., Konoshima, L., Yamazaki, D., Watanabe, S., Kim, H., and Kanae, S.: Global flood risk under climate change, *Nature Climate Change*, 3, 816–821, 2013.

- Hirschboeck, K. K.: Flood hydroclimatology, in: *Flood Geomorphology*, edited by Baker, V. R., Kockel, R. C., and Patton, P. C., pp. 27–49, John Wiley, New York, 1988.
- Hodgkins, G., Dudley, R., Archfield, S., and Renard, B.: Effects of climate, regulation, and urbanization on historical flood trends in the United States, *Journal of Hydrology*, 2019.
- Houze, R.: Orographic Effects on Precipitating Clouds, *Reviews of Geophysics*, pp. 1–47, 2012.
- Ishak, E. H., Rahman, A., Westra, S., Sharma, A., and Kuczera, G.: Evaluating the non-stationarity of Australian annual maximum flood, *Journal of Hydrology*, 494, 134–145, 2013.
- Ivancic, T. J. and Shaw, S. B.: Examining why trends in very heavy precipitation should not be mistaken for trends in very high river discharge, *Climatic Change*, 133, 681–693, 2015.
- Jarrett, R. D. and Costa, J. E.: Evaluation of the flood hydrology in the Colorado Front Range using precipitation, streamflow, and paleoflood data for the Big Thompson River basin, Tech. rep., <https://doi.org/10.3133/wri874117>, <http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wri874117>, 1988.
- Jiang, F. and Jiang, B.: Study on impacts of typhoon on China and its characteristics, *Yangtze River*, 45, 1–5, 2014.
- Katz, R. W., Parlange, M. B., and Naveau, P.: Statistics of extremes in hydrology, *Advances in Water Resources*, 25, 1287–1304, 2002.
- Kendall, M.: Rank correlation methods, Charles Griffin, London, 1975.
- Kim, J. H., Ho, C. H., Kim, H. S., Sui, C. H., and Park, S. K.: Systematic variation of summertime tropical cyclone activity in the western North Pacific in relation to the Madden-Julian oscillation, *Journal of Climate*, 21, 1171–1191, 2008.
- Kundzewicz, Z., Su, B., Wang, Y., Xia, J., Huang, J., and Jiang, T.: Flood risk and its reduction in China, *Advances in Water Resources*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2019.05.020>, <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0309170818308339>, 2019.
- Leonard, M., Westra, S., Phatak, A., Lambert, M., Hurk, B. V. D., McInnes, K., Risbey, J., Jakob, D., and Stafford-smith, M.: A compound event framework for understanding extreme impacts, *WIREs Clim Change*, 5, 113–128, 2014.
- Liu, M. and Smith, J. A.: Extreme rainfall from landfalling tropical cyclones in the Eastern United States: Hurricane Irene (2011), *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 17, 2883–2904, 2016.
- Liu, S., Huang, S., Xie, Y., Wang, H., Leng, G., Huang, Q., Wei, X., and Wang, L.: Identification of the Non-stationarity of Floods: Changing Patterns, Causes, and Implications, *Water Resources Management*, 2018.
- Lu, W., Lei, H., Yang, D., Tang, L., and Miao, Q.: Quantifying the impacts of small dam construction on hydrological alterations in the Jiulong River basin of Southeast China, *Journal of Hydrology*, 567, 382–392, 2018.
- Ma, S., Zhou, T., Dai, A., and Han, Z.: Observed changes in the distributions of daily precipitation frequency and amount over China from 1960 to 2013, *Journal of Climate*, 28, 6960–6978, 2015.
- Mallakpour, I. and Villarini, G.: The changing nature of flooding across the central United States, *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 250–254, 2015.
- Mann, H. B.: Nonparametric tests against trend, *Econometrica*, 13, 245–259, 1945.
- Marvel, K. and Bonfils, C.: Identifying external influences on global precipitation, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110, 19301–19306, 2013.
- Matteson, D. S. and James, N. A.: A nonparametric approach for multiple change point analysis of multivariate data, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 109, 334–345, 2014.
- Mediero, L., Santillán, D., Garrote, L., and Granados, A.: Detection and attribution of trends in magnitude, frequency and timing of floods in Spain, *Journal of Hydrology*, 517, 1072–1088, 2014.

- Milly, P. C. D., Wetherald, R. T., Dunne, K. A., and Delworth, T. L.: Increasing risk of great floods in a changing climate, *Nature*, 415, 4–7, 595 2002.
- Milly, P. C. D., Bentacourt, J., Falkenmark, M., Robert, M., Hirsch, R. M., Kundzewicz, Z. W., Lettenmaier, D. P., and Stouffer, R. J.: Stationarity is dead: whither water management? , *Science*, 319, 573–574, 2008.
- Montanari, A. and Koutsoyiannis, D.: Modeling and mitigating natural hazards: Stationarity is immortal!, *Water Resources Research*, 50, 9748–9756, 2014.
- 600 Morrison, J. E. and Smith, J. A.: Stochastic modeling of flood peaks using the generalized extreme value distribution, *Water Resources Research*, 38, 2002.
- O’Connor, J. E., Grant, G. E., and Costa, J. E.: The Geology and Geography of Floods, *Ancient Floods Mod. Hazards*, 5, 359–385, 2002.
- Petrow, T. and Merz, B.: Trends in flood magnitude, frequency and seasonality in Germany in the period 1951-2002, *Journal of Hydrology*, 371, 129–141, 2009.
- 605 Pettitt, A. N.: A non-parametric approach to the change-point problem, *Applied Statistics*, 1, 126–135, 1979.
- Rappaport, E. N.: Fatalities in the united states from atlantic tropical cyclones: New data and interpretation, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 95, 341–346, 2014.
- Ren, F., Wu, G., Wang, X., and Wang, Y.: Changes in tropical cyclone precipitation over China, *Indian Ocean Tropical Cyclones and Climate Change*, 33, 25–28, 2010.
- 610 Rios Gaona, M. F., Villarini, G., Zhang, W., and Vecchi, G. A.: The added value of IMERG in characterizing rainfall in tropical cyclones, *Atmospheric Research*, 209, 95–102, 2018.
- Risser, M. D. and Wehner, M. F.: Attributable Human-Induced Changes in the Likelihood and Magnitude of the Observed Extreme Precipitation during Hurricane Harvey, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 12,457–12,464, 2017.
- Robson, A. J.: Evidence for trends in UK flooding, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 360, 1327–1343, 2002.
- 615 Robson, A. J., Jones, T. K., Reed, D. W., and Bayliss, A. C.: A study of national trend and variation in UK floods, *International Journal of Climatology*, 18, 165–182, 1998.
- Salas, J. D., Obeysekera, J., and Vogel, R. M.: Techniques for assessing water infrastructure for nonstationary extreme events: a review, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 63, 325–352, 2018.
- 620 Schaller, N., Kay, A. L., Lamb, R., Massey, N. R., Van Oldenborgh, G. J., Otto, F. E., Sparrow, S. N., Vautard, R., Yiou, P., Ashpole, I., Bowery, A., Crooks, S. M., Haustein, K., Huntingford, C., Ingram, W. J., Jones, R. G., Legg, T., Miller, J., Skeggs, J., Wallom, D., Weisheimer, A., Wilson, S., Stott, P. A., and Allen, M. R.: Human influence on climate in the 2014 southern England winter floods and their impacts, *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 627–634, 2016.
- Serago, J. M. and Vogel, R. M.: Parsimonious nonstationary flood frequency analysis, *Advances in Water Resources*, 112, 1–16, 2018.
- 625 Serinaldi, F. and Kilsby, C. G.: Rainfall extremes : Toward reconciliation after the battle of distributions, *Water Resources Research*, 50, 336–352, 2014.
- Sharma, A., Wasko, C., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: If Precipitation Extremes Are Increasing, Why Aren’t Floods?, *Water Resources Research*, 54, 8545–8551, 2018.
- Shu, S., Feng, X., and Wang, Y.: Essential role of synoptic environment on rainfall distribution of landfalling tropical cyclones Over China, 630 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 11,285–11,306, 2018.

- Si, Y., Li, X., Yin, D., Li, T., and Cai, X.: PT State Key Laboratory of Simulation and Regulation of Water Cycle in River Basin , China Institute of, Science of the Total Environment, 682, 1–18, 2019.
- Singh, V. P., Wang, S. X., and Zhang, L.: Frequency analysis of nonidentically distributed hydrologic flood data, *Journal of Hydrology*, 307, 175–195, 2005.
- 635 Small, D., Islam, S., and Vogel, R. M.: Trends in precipitation and streamflow in the eastern U.S.: Paradox or perception?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, 2–5, 2006.
- Smith, J. A. and Baeck, M. L.: "Prophetic vision, vivid imagination": The 1927 Mississippi River flood, *Water Resources Research*, 51, 9127–9140, 2015.
- Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Villarini, G., and Krajewski, W. F.: The hydrology and hydrometeorology of flooding in the Delaware River basin, 640 *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 11, 841–859, 2010.
- Smith, J. A., Villarini, G., and Baeck, M. L.: Mixture distributions and the hydroclimatology of extreme rainfall and flooding in the Eastern United States, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 12, 294–309, 2011.
- Smith, J. A., Cox, A. A., Baeck, M. L., Yang, L., and Bates, P.: Strange floods: the upper tail of flood peaks in the United States, *Water Resources Research*, 54, 6510–6542, 2018.
- 645 Svensson, C. and Berndtsson, R.: Characterization of extreme rainfall in an East Asian monsoon-climate catchment in the upper reaches of the Huai River, China, *International Journal of Climatology*, 16, 321–337, 1996.
- Tan, X. and Gan, T. Y.: Nonstationary analysis of annual maximum streamflow of Canada, *Journal of Climate*, 28, 1788–1805, 2014.
- Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T., and Shepherd, T. G.: Attribution of climate extreme events, *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 725–730, 2015.
- Villarini, G.: On the seasonality of flooding across the continental United States, *Advances in Water Resources*, 87, 80–91, 2016.
- 650 Villarini, G. and Smith, J. A.: Flood peak distributions for the eastern United States, *Water Resources Research*, 46, 1–17, 2010.
- Villarini, G., Serinaldi, F., Smith, J. A., and Krajewski, W. F.: On the stationarity of annual flood peaks in the continental United States during the 20th century, *Water Resources Research*, 45, 1–17, 2009.
- Villarini, G., Smith, J. A., Serinaldi, F., and Ntelekos, A. A.: Analyses of seasonal and annual maximum daily discharge records for central Europe, *Journal of Hydrology*, 399, 299–312, 2011.
- 655 Villarini, G., Smith, J. A., Serinaldi, F., Ntelekos, A. A., and Schwarz, U.: Analyses of extreme flooding in Austria over the period 1951–2006, *International Journal of Climatology*, 32, 1178–1192, 2012.
- Villarini, G., Goska, R., Smith, J. A., and Vecchi, G. A.: North atlantic tropical cyclones and U.S. flooding, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 95, 1381–1388, 2014.
- Vogel, R. M., Yaindl, C., and Walter, M.: Nonstationarity: Flood magnification and recurrence reduction factors in the united states, *Journal* 660 *of the American Water Resources Association*, 47, 464–474, 2011.
- Wasko, C. and Nathan, R.: Influence of changes in rainfall and soil moisture on trends in flooding, *Journal of Hydrology*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.054>, <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022169419304998>, 2019.
- Wright, D. B., Smith, J. A., and Baeck, M. L.: Flood frequency analysis using radar rainfall fields and stochastic storm transposition, *Water Resources Research*, 50, 191–203, 2014.
- 665 Wu, L., Wang, B., and Geng, S.: Growing typhoon influence on east Asia, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, 1–4, 2005.
- Yan, L., Xiong, L., Liu, D., Hu, T., and Xu, C.-Y.: Frequency analysis of nonstationary annual maximum flood series using the time-varying two-component mixture distributions, *Hydrological Processes*, 89, 69–89, 2017.

- Yan, L., Xiong, L., Ruan, G., Xu, C.-Y., Yan, P., and Liu, P.: Reducing uncertainty of design floods of two-component mixture distributions by utilizing flood timescale to classify flood types in seasonally snow covered region, *Journal of Hydrology*, 2019.
- 670 Yang, L., Villarini, G., Smith, J. A., Tian, F., and Hu, H.: Changes in seasonal maximum daily precipitation in China over the period 1961-2006, *International Journal of Climatology*, 33, 1646–1657, 2013.
- Yang, L., Liu, M., Smith, J. A., and Tian, F.: Typhoon Nina and the August 1975 Flood over Central China, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 18, 451–472, 2017.
- Yang, T., Zhang, Q., Chen, Y. D., Tao, X., Xu, C.-Y., and Chen, X.: A spatial assessment of hydrologic alteration caused by dam construction
675 in the middle and lower Yellow River, China, *Hydrological Processes*, 22, 3829–3843, 2008.
- Yu, G., Wright, D. B., Zhu, Z., Smith, C., and Holman, K. D.: Process-based flood frequency analysis in an agricultural watershed exhibiting nonstationary flood seasonality, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 23, 2225–2243, 2019.
- Zhang, Q., Wu, L., and Liu, Q.: Tropical cyclone damages in China 1983-2006, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 90, 489–495, 2009.
- 680 Zhang, Q., Gu, X., Singh, V. P., Xiao, M., and Xu, C.-Y.: Stationarity of annual flood peaks during 1951-2010 in the Pearl River basin, China, *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 3263–3274, 2014.
- Zhang, Q., Gu, X., Singh, V. P., Xu, C.-y., Kong, D., and Xiao, M.: Homogenization of precipitation and flow regimes across China : Changing properties , causes and implications, *Journal of Hydrology*, 530, 462–475, 2015.
- Zhang, Q., Gu, X., Singh, V. P., Sun, P., Chen, X., and Kong, D.: Magnitude, frequency and timing of floods in the Tarim River basin, China:
685 changes, causes and implications, *Global and Planetary Change*, 139, 44–55, 2016.
- Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Singh, V. P., Luo, M., and Xie, Z.: Summer extreme precipitation in eastern China: mechanisms and impacts, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 122, 2766–2778, 2017.
- Zhang, Q., Gu, X., Li, J., Shi, P., and Singh, V. P.: The impact of tropical cyclones on extreme precipitation over coastal and Inland Areas of China and its association to ENSO, *Journal of Climate*, 31, 1865–1880, 2018a.
- 690 Zhang, Q., Gu, X., Singh, V. P., Shi, P., and Sun, P.: More frequent flooding? Changes in flood frequency in Pearl River, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22, 2637–2653, 2018b.
- Zhang, W., Villarini, G., Vecchi, G. A., and Smith, J. A.: Urbanization exacerbated the rainfall and flooding caused by hurricane Harvey in Houston, *Nature*, 563, 384–388, 2018c.

Table 1. Summary of tropical cyclones that produced more than 100 annual flood peaks over China. All the stations that are located within the 500 km buffer zone of each tropical cyclone track are counted. The “storm type” column shows whether the tropical cyclone experienced extratropical transition (ET) or not (TS).

Rank	Storm name	Total No. of storm-affected stations	Total No. of annual flood peaks	No. of record floods	Storm type
1	Herb (1996)	465	167	4	TS
2	Wendy (1963)	622	159	6	ET
3	Tim (1994)	591	156	2	ET
4	Freda (1984)	634	144	2	ET
5	Doris (1961)	836	119	2	ET
6	Winnie (1997)	482	114	0	ET
7	Andy (1982)	375	111	10	TS
8	Russ (1994)	330	104	1	ET
9	Nina (1975)	441	102	24	TS




Figure 1. Overview of the stream gauging stations with record lengths of more than 50 years over China (1120 gauges in total). Scatter shading represents the record length (in years) for each station. The grey shading represents topography, while the black lines represent the first-level hydrologic units. The Roman numerals highlight the nine major hydrologic units in China: I-Northeastern river basins, II-Haihe River basin, III-Yellow River Basin, IV-Northwestern river basins, V-Huaihe River basin, VI-Southeastern river basins, VII-Yangtze River basin, VIII-Southwestern river basin, and IX-Pearl River basin. Olive line shows the boundary of river basins in southern China (VI-IX), with the rest of the river basins in northern China (I-V).




Figure 2. (a) Time series of total number of available stations (with record lengths of more than 50 years) for each year. Histograms of all the 1120 stream gauging stations sorted by (b) record lengths and (c) drainage areas.




Figure 3. Change points in (a) mean and (b) variance. Color represents the year of change-point occurrence. The insert plot shows the histogram of the years of change-point occurrence (y-axis represents the number of change points, while x-axis represents the ending year of a 10-year period, e.g., 1990 actually means 1980-1990). Only stations with results being statistically significant (at the level of 5%) are shown. There are 436 (38%) and 398 (35%) stations with significant change points in mean and in variance, respectively.




Figure 4. Time series of annual flood peaks for four stream gauging stations with strong human interventions: (a) large hydroelectric dams (upper Yellow River, ID: 40100500), (b) a cascade of small reservoirs (upper Haihe River, ID: 30909100), (c) urbanization (a tributary in the lower Yangtze River, ID: 63205400), and (d) transboundary water-transfer project (a tributary in the lower Yellow River, ID: 41800701). Locations of the four stations are represented by the numbers in brackets in Figure 3, with (1) to (4) corresponding to (a) to (d), respectively. Black lines indicate the year of occurrence for change point in mean. Results are based on the Pettitt's test. Only stations with Pettitt's test being statistically significant (at the level of 5%) are shown.




Figure 5. Mann-Kendall test results for stations (a) without change point in mean and (b,c) with change point in mean. Results are statistically significant at the level of 5%. Different number of data points between (b) and (c) are associated with (1) insufficient record lengths (e.g., less than 10 years) for sub-groups before or after change points, (2) linear trends for either sub-group being not statistically significant.




Figure 6. Seasonality of annual maximum flood peaks for northern China (red), southern China (blue), and annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones (black). The vertical dashed lines represent peaks of flood frequency for southern (blue) and northern China (red).




Figure 7. Map of the GEV shape parameters for the stationary time series (i.e., no significant change points in mean or in variance or monotonic trends) of annual flood peaks.




Figure 8. Scatterplots of GEV parameters (a) location, (b) scale, and (c) shape, as a function of drainage areas. Blue (red) scatters represent stations over south (north) China.




Figure 9. Percentage of annual flood peaks that are caused by tropical cyclones. The black dots and circles represent the stations with record floods caused by tropical cyclones. The black dots further highlight stations with stationary time series of annual flood peaks.




Figure 10. Scatterplot of the shape parameters for the entire series versus the series with annual flood peaks caused by tropical cyclones removed. Blue dots highlight the stations with record floods that are caused by tropical cyclones (see Figure 1 for locations). The insert boxplot shows the differences of shape parameter (series with TC flood peaks removed minus the entire series) for stations with (blue) and without (grey) TC-induced record floods.




Figure 11. Tropical cyclones that produced more than 100 annual flood peaks (blue dots) over China. Red dots highlight that the annual flood peak is also the record flood of the station. Dark black line shows tropical cyclone track. Grey shading represents 500 km buffer zone of each track. See Table 1 for more details.