

We thank the two referees for their thorough and helpful comments. Response to their feedback is presented below. A marked up (latex diff) manuscript follows.

1. Response to RC1 comments

General comments:

The authors present a detailed regional snow cover climatology and analysis of spatiotemporal variation for a subset of New Zealand. After creating a cloud-gap-filled daily time series of snow covered area, they extract several SCA derived metrics (snow cover duration, snow line elevation, percent basin at various SCA thresholds) to analyze spatial and temporal patterns and trends in snow. They then compare SCA derived metrics to several climatic metrics and indices (precipitation, temperature, winter air flow, SOI and SAM). The use of rPCA to explore spatial variability of SCD and the use of HYSPLIT to characterize wind trajectories is novel to the regional analysis of SCA patterns.

Results show highly variable intra-annual and inter-annual spatiotemporal variability in snow covered area for this catchment with no significant trends in snow covered duration during the record analyzed. rPCA shows utility in identifying modes of spatial variability in snow cover duration with modes relating to both topographic and climatic influences. Temperature appears to be of greater influence at higher elevations in the study area, and precipitation of greater influence lower down, contrasting previous research (Moraña-Tejeda et al., 2013; Hammond et al., 2018). While climate oscillation indices show little skill in explaining snow variability, easterly winds appear to increase SCD in this region. Figures are of a high quality and effectively communicate major results of the study.

Specific comments:

A few outstanding questions and points remain following the author's summary of their methods and discussion:

Methods:

What is the rationale behind the 77% threshold used to show first 6 principal components?

Response: The first six principal components were the focus of further analysis, as together they explained more than 75% of the observed variability. Note that the caption for Figure 11 contained a typo when describing the red line indicates 77% of explained variance, when it should have been 75. This has been corrected. The goal of PCA is to reduce the dimensionality of a dataset whilst identifying common modes of variability. In this case, the first six principal components, of a possible 16 (when all years are considered as factors), explain a substantial proportion of the variance, while excluding remaining components that individually contribute only a small, and increasingly noisy, component of the observed signal. PC6 is the first of the components that explains less than 5% of observed variability. The remaining components, being increasingly less spatially coherent are of limited use for interpretation. We have added detail regarding this at the end of Section 3.4.1

A brief summary should accompany Jobst references explaining how the datasets were created (temperature: spline interpolation with a lapse rate model, precipitation...) so that the reader doesn't have to go to Jobst publications to figure this out.

Response: Agreed, we have added detail regarding the interpolations method in the relevant section.

How does SCA from MODImLab C6 and MOD10A1 C6 generally compare?

Response: This is a relevant question but outside the scope of this paper. A comprehensive comparison can be found in Masson et al. (2018) with justification of the development of MODImLab for New Zealand applications by Sirguey et al. (2009). Nevertheless, we have made general comparisons between MODImLab and MOD10A1 initially as part of this work, and have found that while both datasets provide similar signals, MOD10A1 tends to over-estimate SCA within the Clutha Catchment. This is generally attributed to the presence of cloud, and misclassification of cloud, and/or cloud shadow, as snow by MOD10A1, a pitfall that has justified MODImLab in the first place. MOD10A1 also features aliasing artefacts due to reprojection from the SIN system in NZ all add considerable noise to sca maps, particularly around the snowline. The ability to map SCA at 250m with MODImLab further justified its use instead of MOD10A1.

Why use parametric linear regression trend analysis with assumptions below instead of non-parametric Mann kendall analysis? -Linear relationship -Multivariate normality -No or little multicollinearity -No auto-correlation -Homoscedasticity

Response: Our main motivation was to determine whether any obvious first order trends were present within the time series of snow cover metrics. In terms of assessing trends, non-parametric approaches such as the Theil-Sen slope approach, were considered alongside linear regression, and the results were consistent with those provided by linear regression. The lines of the linear fit and T-S fit have been added to Figure 1 to demonstrate this for the testing for a trend in winter median snow line. In this case, neither fit (applied to the annual medians) departs significantly from the series median. We appreciate that the Mann-Kendall test is popular to detect trend in time-series, however we must stress that parametric approaches are more powerful in general, and that the merit of the Mann-Kendall test is rather to be resilient to non-normally distributed series and outliers. Our trend analysis is done on the yearly median of the SLE. The sample distribution of the median is approximately normal and the median itself is already resilient to outliers. We therefore don't see much merit of using a less powerful non-parametric test such as Mann-Kendall in this case.




Figure 1: Box plot of annual winter snow line elevation (SLE) with linear and Theil-Sen fits applied to the series of annual median values.

Why not compare wind trajectories to annual SCD, basinwide SCA %'s instead of comparing to principal components?

Response: Once specific modes of spatial variability, associated with one or more years, were identified, our interest was in determining whether there were any strong climatic drivers associated with those spatial modes. We are interested in the impact of climate variability on spatial and temporal variability in SCA/SCD. Comparing basin wide metrics with wind trajectories would obscure the spatial component of variability. The advantage of PCA is that by considering the maps of spatial modes, and the loadings of those for each year, the bulk of spatio-temporal variability is preserved and considered together with climate variability.

Can you conduct correlations between PC's and topographic, climatic metrics to have better understanding of what each PC represents?

Response: We agree that an association between PCs and elevation could be expected. This was assessed early on in the analysis, but was found to not fully explain the spatial characteristics of PCs. As discussed in Section 5.3, PC1 is most strongly associated with elevation, mainly in terms of most of the variability in snow cover duration occurring for higher elevation areas where most of the snow cover exists. Elevation is also convolved with aspect, however, as indicated in Figure 7 in the manuscript. Furthermore, PC1 explains only 38% of the observed variability, indicating that additional factors beyond first order topographic controls play a substantial role. PC's 2 – 6 all demonstrate spatial patterns that are not related to topography in a straightforward way.

As suggested by the reviewer, the motivation of the final stage of our analysis, where correlations between PCs, and SOI, SAM and wind trajectory anomalies is to understand the relationship between PCs and larger scale atmospheric processes.

Map of annual cloud persistence, longest cloud duration could aid in assessing uncertainty of Dozier et al. (2008) method for cloud-gap filling.

Response: We agree with the reviewer's suggestion. We have added maps of mean cloud duration and maximum cloud duration, as well as a histogram of both metrics. While cloud covered periods are frequent at the pixel level, they are also relatively short in general. The area with the most persistent cloud cover is on and near the main divide, where snow is also most persistent, and the south eastern margin of the catchment, which is below the seasonal snow zone. Ultimately, the areas where snow is most variable, and more frequent observations are necessary, are less affected by cloud cover.

What is the data source for the SAM and SOI time series?

Response: Data for SAM and SOI were sourced from NOAA CPC, The URLs corresponding to those data are now provided in the revised manuscript.

Discussion:

In explaining why easterly winds lead to greater snow in this region, are winds from the east more moisture laden? Associated with cooler temperatures?

Response: The rationale here is that synoptic circulation affecting this part of NZ is generally dominated by strong westerly flow, which is intercepted by the main divide of the Southern Alps, at the western boundary of the catchment. This results in extremely high precipitation in the west of the catchment, but a strong eastward precipitation gradient to the east, and an associated rain shadow effect in the east. When easterly flow occurs, regions of the catchment that are typically

sited within the rain shadow become the first to intercept moist airmasses originating from the east. The storms that may bring such conditions to the South Island can be cold, but this is not always the case. Under these conditions, western parts of the catchment become drier (than normal). We have reworked the text in Section 5.4.3 to clarify this.

Introduction mentions importance of greater understanding of snow distribution, and how it might change, to water resource management. How might the results of this work specifically be used to improve hydrologic modeling of snowmelt in this watershed and within sub-watersheds?

Response: Snow modelling efforts in New Zealand have historically been underpinned by degree-day models, and more recently enhanced degree-day models. These models often underperform at large scales, and their refinement is the subject of ongoing research. This work provides insight in the spatial variability in sensitivity of SCD to variability in temperature and precipitation, which can in turn inform the variable performance of such models. This work provides insight into the need to better capture the processes controlling seasonal snow in order to improve models, and in the local context, provides guidance on where gains can be made. Furthermore, the snow climatology, and time series of snow products produced through this work provide a useful tool for the validation of snow models. We have added additional detail regarding these points in Section 5.4.2.

The authors attribute greater sensitivity of SCD to temperature at higher elevations to importance of rain snow threshold and importance of precipitation at lower elevations to precipitation that arrives during cold fronts. This rationale should be flushed out further with examples from other regions with similar divide-specific behavior, as the results contrast the results of previous work in Europe, North America, South America and Asia showing greater importance of precipitation at high elevations and in arid areas and temperature at low elevations (MoralA_n-Tejeda et al., 2013; Hammond et al., 2018).

Response: It appears that the reviewer may have misinterpreted our results here. Our intention was not to frame variability in sensitivity of SCD to either temperature or precipitation in terms of elevation, but rather to point out the high degree of spatial variability across the catchment. The updated Fig. 15 will hopefully emphasise this, where the improved explanatory power of distance from the main divide relative to elevation is obvious. Indeed, as figure 15 shows, sensitivity to T is greatest at lower elevations, but this relationship is relatively weak compared to distance to the main divide. We have reworked Section 4.4.1 of the results to clarify this, with respect to Fig. 15. We have also emphasised this point in Section 5.4.3. Indeed, the results presented here are broadly consistent with those of Hammond et al., (2018), but allow us to further deconvolve the meridional gradients in sensitivity across the catchment, which are not as readily apparent when space is considered only in terms of latitude and elevation. We have also further stressed these points, and contextualised them with respect to Hammond et al., 2018, in Section 5.4.2.

How does P/PET map across the catchment? Likely relates to solar insolation?

As described in Section 2, there is a strong precipitation gradient across the catchment, from west to east. It is pointed out in Section 5.4.2, with reference to Macara (2015), that solar irradiance is highest in the central part of the catchment, where sensitivity to temperature and precipitation is reduced. The role of solar radiation is subject to ongoing work locally, especially given the variable performance of P and T as predictors of SCD, as revealed here.

Further discussion of why elevation-snow relationships degrade further away from the main divide would help strengthen this point.

Response: Currently, there is a lack of data to form, analyse, and support a range of hypotheses that could explain the degradation in the snow-elevation relationship away from the main divide. Our work here identified and characterised this behaviour. In the manuscript we discuss one hypothesis; that a change in morphology of mountain ranges, from the alpine in nature Southern Alps, to the Fault-Block ranges of Central Otago may increase the efficacy of the wind in redistributing snow from relatively broad, high and flat accumulation areas into steep sided incised gullies and other terrain features. We believe however that more work is needed beyond the scope of this paper, to explore fully this and potentially raise other hypotheses.

Technical corrections, typing errors, etc.:

Snowmelt and snow melt used in paper, chose one and be consistent

Response: Agreed, uses of snow melt replaced with snowmelt.

Suggest avoiding “snow persistence” term to describe snow cover duration, as this phrase has become a metric of its own in the past decade

Response: Agreed, snow persistence replaced with SCD where appropriate.

Sentences in abstract could be better interwoven to present results more effectively

Response: Agreed, the abstract has been reworked.

End page 1 to start page 2 - Second sentence of introduction - Put references for first part of sentence corresponding to that point before comma, then references for second part of sentence at end. Similar comments on other sentences when all references displayed at end of multi-part sentence

Response: Agreed, the sentence has been fixed according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

Line 16 page 2. Suggest rephrasing sentence. Also display area normalized annual flow (mm/y) to help reader understand relative amount of flow

Response: Agreed, the area normalised flow has been added, and the sentence rephrased.

Line 31 page 3. First two sentences of this paragraph disjoint, could use topic sentence

Response: Agreed, the sentences were reworked.

Line 26 page 4. Rework to say MODIS useful for snow mapping. MODIS also “particularly” good for vegetation analyses etc...

Response: Agreed, the sentence has been modified as per the reviewer’s comment.

Line 19 page 9. Mean temperature and total precipitation

Response: Agreed, the sentence has been sentence modified.

Line 10 page 11. “, and .”

Response: Removed “, and”.

Line 5 page 12. “in the catchment At moderate”

Response: We added the missing period at the end of the sentence.

Line 7 page 13. “With the inverse being true...” not needed since you have positive (negative)

Response: removed “*With the inverse being true...*”

Line 4 page 15. Sensitivity reduces, but not at a negative rate, suggest rephrasing

Response: Agreed, the negative sign has been removed from the value of 0.43 to avoid the double negative.

Line 1 page 18. Remove “by”

Response: This is not a “by”, but a “hy” meaning hydrological year, as defined in Section 3.2.1.

Figure 11 - Could be moved to supplemental materials

Response: We agree, and have moved Fig. 11 to the supplement.

Figure 14 - Consider another color than yellow for eastern mtn ranges. Yellow not very visible in electronic and printed versions

Response: The colours of the bars in Figure 13 and 14 have been updated.

Figure 15 - What does this plot look like with elevation axis instead of distance to main divide? May help discussion on P vs T importance at different elevations

Response: We agree, this modification can help illustrate the role of P vs T. Figure 15 (now 16) has been amended to include subplots of the sensitivity of SCD anomaly to variability in T and P as a function of both elevation and distance to the main divide. It is now clear for the reader that while there is a (relatively weak) relationship between elevation and sensitivity to temperature, the relationship is much stronger for distance from the main divide. In terms of precipitation, there is no relationship between elevation and precipitation sensitivity, while there is a (ultimately non-linear) relationship between precipitation and distance to the main divide.

Figure 18 - Could be supplemental

Response: We have retained Figure 18 as it relates to key discussion points concerning persistent winter anticyclonic conditions affecting southern New Zealand, as well as demonstrating the general warming trend observed at AWS across the catchment, which is consistent with observations in New Zealand more generally.

Tables 1,3,5 - Could be supplemental

Response: We agree regarding Tables 1 and 5, and have moved these to the Supplement. We have retained Table 3 as we find it useful for assessing the groupings of years within the time series.

Table 2, Figures S9 and S32 from Hammond et al., 2018 may be apt comparisons to this study

Response: Agree, we have included comparisons to Hammond et al., (2018) in Sections 5.4.2 and 5.4.3..

2. Response to RC2 comments

The manuscript “Characterising spatio-temporal variability in seasonal snow cover at a regional scale from MODIS data” by Redpath et al. provides a timely and detailed analysis of snow duration and snow covered area in a part of the world where quantitative knowledge on these variables is scarce. The manuscript will thus be of interest to many in the community. The paper is organized in a logical way, well-written and the analysis is based on sound methods. Overall I really enjoyed reading this

paper and besides a few small notes, I do only have three major questions for and comments to the authors:

(1) *At the end of the introduction it remains somewhat vague what the actual objective of the paper is. The term “snow cover climatology” is very vague and can be interpreted in a wide range of ways. I am not even sure if the analysis provided in this manuscript show a robust enough link to “snow cover climatology”. In addition, it remains unclear what the actual research question is and which specific research hypotheses are tested in this manuscript. To help the reader to better appreciate this work, I would thus strongly encourage the authors to (a) be more specific in their terminology and (b) explicitly formulate a set of research questions and the related research hypotheses.*

Response: We thank the reviewer for this constructive comment. The final paragraphs of the introduction have been reworked to more clearly state the research aims, and to provide an explicit definition of “snow cover climatology” in this context.

(2) *I am not sure if the use of the Coefficient of Variation (CV; Eq.1; section 4.3) is the most informative way to illustrate the spatial differences in annual snow cover fluctuations. As it is the ratio of the standard deviation over the mean, it will be strongly controlled by the mean. Thus, for example low elevations with short SCD will inherently show a bias towards higher CV than higher elevations. This is exactly what can be seen in figure 4b. I think the standard deviation would be much more informative to show here, because in the end it is all about the absolute change in snow covered days – in a region with only 5 snow covered days per year a standard deviation of say 2 days will result in a high CV – but in that region snow is rather irrelevant anyway. In contrast, in a region with 150 snow covered days the same standard deviation of 2 days will result in a much lower CV.*

Response: While the standard deviation would also provide insight into variability, The CV is used here as it provides a means to visualise pixel-wise dispersion about the mean SCD across the catchment, which was our main motivation. It also acts to highlight areas where low frequency, high magnitude events (e.g., disruptive, low level and long-lasting snow events) can occur, while snow is not always relevant in these areas, but can have a significant impact when it occurs. The standard deviation suggests spatial anomalies near the 120-day snow contour in particular, where high spatial variability in absolute terms is seen to be more consistent with adjacent areas when expressed as CV. The CV still retains sufficient signal to highlight the relative variability in snow seasons both as a function of elevation and aspect (Figure 7 in the manuscript). We acknowledge that the CV map is most useful when considered at the same time as corresponding map of the mean. We also note that CV is to characterised variability in other similar studies (e.g., Hammond et al., 2018). We have added justification and references for the use of CV in the text in Section 3.3.




Figure 2: Maps of the CV (a) and standard deviation (b) of snow cover duration for the Clutha Catchment.

(3) It is not entirely clear why in section 3.3.3 suddenly the regional snowline elevation technique by Krajci et al. (2014) is used. This method is a spatial cloud filter. As I understand, here, clouds are filtered using a temporal filter (section 3.2.1). thus, for each pixel on each day, a binary value of snow yes/no is then available. In conjunction with a DEM, then also the elevation with snow in is known at that location – thus no need for further filtering. Please clarify this.

Response: While it is true that Krajci et al., (2014) propose their snowline method as a potential approach to cloud filtering (as long as cloud cover is not excessive), it also offers a more fundamental use in robustly determining a regional snow line elevation in a relatively efficient way. While the snowline could be inferred from basin-wide snow covered area and the basin hypsometry, this approach can be inaccurate when the snowline is highly variable (as a function of aspect, for example, commonly a difference in snowline elevation of 1000 m or more can be observed between north and south aspects in this region), or when localised snow fall lowers the SLE substantially, but locally, at a sub-basin scale. In this case, Krajci et al.'s method is substantially more robust and we find it relevant to determine SLE even if issues with cloud have been addressed in another step. In the case of the median winter snowline of 1270 m, for example, that was found to correspond with basin-wide SCA ranging from 3800 km² to more than 5000 km². Simply inferring SLE from SCA and hypsometry would, in these cases, potentially lead to large over and under estimations of SLE under varying conditions.

Minor points: Section 2: please add a bit more information about the region, such as elevation range, mean annual and mean winter precipitation as well as temperatures or % glacier area

Response: Agreed, we have added details as per the reviewer's suggestion.

p.4, l.5: not sure what is meant by "water management is not a new concept. . ." – please rephrase.

Response: This sentence has been reworded.

p.4, l.32: as far as I know is the effective resolution of MODIS currently estimated at ~2000m, due to factors such as topographic gradients. If I am not mistaken, the information content of the 500m product is already rather limited. Not sure if further downscaling is really warranted here. Please double-check!

Response: The resolution of 250 m is achieved following the fusion of the specific MODIS bands used by MODImLab for spectral unmixing, with merit, benefits, and validation discussed in detailed in Sirguey et al. (2008, 2009).

p.5, l.6: please be more specific! How much higher are these resolutions?

Response: Agreed, we have specified the higher (15m) spatial resolution of the ASTER sensor.

p.5, l.10-11: please be specific! What does "rigorous" mean here? How do they do it?

Response: We have added more detail here on the topographic correction in particular, which is central to the successful mapping of snow in rugged alpine terrain.

p.5, l.23: what is meant by "data cube"?

Response: Agreed, we have added a definition of the term "data cube".

p.5, l.24, figure 2: flow chart is nice, but please specify what the lightning symbols stand for. Potential problems?

Response: The lightning symbols represent processing steps – this has been clarified in the figure.

p.5, l.32: what is meant by "erosion" here?

Response: In this case we are referring to morphological erosion, a relatively common image processing technique which is useful for removing spurious (in this case, mis-classified) pixels around the edge of a feature, while still retaining the features shape. We have clarified in the text that it is morphological erosion being used and have added a reference.

p.6, l.3-7: what are the largest gaps filled here? one day? One week? One month? Which length of gap would be considered unacceptable? Why?

Response: We agree with the reviewer this is a relevant question. It was also pointed out by the first reviewer. We have added maps and a histogram of the mean and maximum duration of cloud gaps, and provided detail in the text. Averaged over the whole time series, the mean consecutive cloud duration for each pixel is 2.7 days. Considering the maximum duration of cloud cover for pixel for each year, the average over the time series is 12.1 days. Obviously extended periods with no snow cover information from the MODIS imagery will weaken the interpolation, yet an average of a clear view at least once every five days will ensure that the interpolation is well constrained. In terms of the maximum cloud duration, extremes (>20 days) are limited to small areas on the main divide. These are also the areas where variability in snow cover is least, somewhat mitigating the impact of

less frequent clear views. Areas with maximum cloud duration > 15 days are also fairly spatially limited.

p.7, l.3: not sure if “epoch” is a suitable term here. please rephrase.

Response: Agreed, we replaced this term by “a point in time”.

p.8, l.15: on basis of what were the individual mountain ranges defined?

Response: The mountain ranges were defined primarily according to the naming conventions from the authoritative NZ Geographic Names topographic dataset, from the national topographic database. A DEM and stream centreline network were used to provide guidance for the manual delineation between adjacent ranges. While this could be considered a relatively subjective approach, it provides a set of geographic units that are familiar to stakeholders within the basin.

p.11, l.5: what do you exactly mean by “the typical snow cover climatology. . .”? please try to be more specific and rephrase that.

Response: “Typical” is now deleted, as its use was redundant. We have added a clearer definition of “snow cover climatology” into the introduction. While the 16 year record is short, the intent here is to characterise the snow cover climatology spatially, with the map of mean SCD (Figure 5(a)), and temporally, with the curve of of snow covered area and snow line elevation over the course of a hydrological year. This can be characterised by the mean daily snow covered area curve (Figure 6).

p.11, l.7, figure 4: the 120-days line is not really well-visible in the figure. Please also adjust the contrast in the color scheme in figure 4a – right now it looks as if essentially all the region has a SCD of ~90 days.

Response: The symbology of Fig. 4 has been adjusted.

p.11, l.10: should read as “. . .elevation of 615m. On average, . . .”

Response: This has been fixed.

p.11, l.11: should this perennial snow cover than not be defined as a glacier?

Response: Not necessarily. We have added a comment on how this area compares to recent mapping of glaciers within the catchment.

p.11, l.13: “. . .appears” sounds very speculative here. why not directly linking it to and highlighting the position of these locations in figure 6?!

Response: Agree, this has been reworded.

p.17, l.32-33: what is meant by “positive” and “negative” variance?

Response: agree that variance is not the appropriate term here and have changed to deviation.

p.19, l.18-32: the reference Gao et al. (2017) would fit nicely here

Response: We agree and have incorporated into Section 5.4.2 of the discussion.

Characterising spatio-temporal variability in seasonal snow cover at a regional scale from MODIS data: The Clutha Catchment, New Zealand

Todd A. N. Redpath^{1,2}, Pascal Sirguey¹, and Nicolas J. Cullen²

¹National School of Surveying, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand

²Department of Geography, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand

Correspondence: Todd Redpath (todd.redpath@otago.ac.nz)

Abstract. A 16-year series of daily snow covered area (SCA) for 2000 – 2016 is derived from MODIS imagery to produce a regional scale snow cover climatology for New Zealand’s largest catchment, the Clutha Catchment. Filling a geographic gap in observations of seasonal snow, this record provides a basis for understanding spatio-temporal variability in seasonal snow cover, and combined with climatic data, provides insight into controls on variability. ~~Metrics~~ Seasonal snow cover metrics including daily SCA, mean snow cover duration (SCD), annual SCD anomaly and daily snowline elevation (SLE) were derived and assessed for temporal trends. ~~Raster~~ Modes of spatial variability were characterised, whilst also preserving temporal signals by applying raster principal components analysis (rPCA) ~~was applied~~ to maps of annual SCD anomaly ~~to characterise modes of spatial variability whilst preserving temporal signals. Semi-distributed analysis between SCD and~~ Sensitivity of SCD to temperature and precipitation anomalies allowed sensitivity of SCD to climatic forcings to be assessed spatially variability was assessed in a semi-distributed way for mountain ranges across the catchment. The influence of anomalous winter air flow, as characterised by HYSPLIT back-trajectories, on SCD variability was also assessed. On average, SCA peaks in late June, at around 30% of the catchment area, with 10% of the catchment area sustaining snow cover for > 120 days per year. A persistent mid-winter reduction in SCA ~~through mid-winter~~, prior to a second peak in August ~~and persistent throughout the time series~~ is attributed to the prevalence of winter blocking highs in the New Zealand region. In contrast to other regions globally, no significant decrease in SCD was observed, but substantial spatial and temporal variability was present. rPCA identified six distinct modes of spatial variability, characterising 77% of the observed variability in SCD. ~~rPCA and semi-distributed~~ This analysis of SCD anomalies ~~reveal~~ revealed strong spatio-temporal variability beyond that associated with topographic controls, which can result in snow cover conditions being out of phase across the catchment. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that the sensitivity of SCD to temperature and precipitation variability varies significantly across the catchment. While two large scale climate modes, the SOI and SAM, fail to explain observed variability, specific spatial modes of SCD are favoured by anomalous airflow from the NE, E and SE. These findings illustrate the complexity of atmospheric controls on SCD within the catchment and support the need to incorporate atmospheric processes that govern variability of the energy balance, as well as the re-distribution of snow by wind in order to improve the modelling of future changes in seasonal snow.

1 Introduction

Globally, seasonal snow packs represent an important, yet vulnerable water resource (Barnett et al., 2005; Mankin and Diffenbaugh, 2015), and play a major role in earth-atmosphere energy exchange (Estilow et al., 2015). A decline in seasonal snow covered area (e.g., [Estilow et al., 2015](#); [Borman et al., 2018](#)), and shifts in the timing of ~~snow-melt~~ [snowmelt](#) runoff have been observed in many regions of the world (~~McCabe and Clark, 2005; Lundquist et al., 2009; Estilow et al., 2015; Klein et al., 2016; Borman et al., 2018~~ (e.g., [McCabe and Clark, 2005](#); [Lundquist et al., 2009](#); [Klein et al., 2016](#)). While most observations of reduced seasonal snow covered area come from the Northern Hemisphere (Borman et al., 2018), negative trends have been observed over short time scales for both the alpine region of Australia (Bormann et al., 2012) and much of the South American Andes (Saavedra et al., 2018). Despite the relatively recent establishment of the Snow and Ice Network (SIN) of alpine automatic weather stations (Hendrikx and Harper, 2013), long-term records of snow occurrence and persistence are rare in New Zealand (Fitzharris et al., 1999). This scarcity of data has limited the empirical characterisation of seasonal snow processes. Subsequently, little is known about the persistence of seasonal snow across large areas of the Southern Alps/Kā-Tiritiri-o-te-Moana, the variability associated with snow persistence from year to year, and the climatic influences on such variability. Previous work to assess historical trends in snow water equivalent (SWE) in the main hydro-electric catchments of the South Island of New Zealand, which was largely model driven, revealed substantial temporal variability, but no long-term trend from the 1930's-1990's (Fitzharris and Garr, 1995). Conversely, modelling work undertaken to assess future impacts of climate change on seasonal snow in New Zealand predicts a substantial reduction overall in seasonal snow cover duration (SCD), snow proportion of precipitation and peak snow accumulation through the 21st Century (Hendrikx et al., 2012; Jobst et al., 2018).

The apparent absence of any long term trend in seasonal snow in New Zealand (Fitzharris and Garr, 1995), and the associated uncertainty resulting from a scarcity of observational data, provides clear motivation for the construction of an updated observational record of seasonal snow in New Zealand's Southern Alps. The need to understand likely future scenarios of seasonal snow cover underscores the importance of such data. Remote sensing offers a means to mitigate the limitations imposed by a scarcity of in situ observations of seasonal snow, albeit over a relatively limited time span.

Remote sensing has provided substantial advances in quantification of seasonal snow variability, with imaging sensors supporting spatial and temporal resolutions that allow a range of scales to be explored. Space-borne satellite imagers, particularly optical sensors, provide a synoptic view and have provided a step-change in capability for mapping and characterising snow covered areas, although trade-offs exist between competing resolutions (Andersen, 1982; Dozier, 1989; Nolin and Dozier, 1993; Hall et al., 2002, 2015; Rittger et al., 2013; Sirguey et al., 2009). For example, the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), operating on board TERRA since 2000, and on AQUA since 2002, permits near-daily mapping of snow covered area (SCA) at continental to global spatial scales, despite relatively coarse spatial resolution. The advance of geostationary meteorological satellites such as Himawari 8 & 9 sees comparable spatial resolution to MODIS acquired in near real-time (Bessho et al., 2016). Multi-spectral sensors such as Sentinel-2A & B, and Landsat 8 continue to improve the temporal resolution of imagery suitable for mapping snow at resolutions of 10 – 30 m (Malenovsky et al., 2012; Roy et al., 2014). Passive and active microwave sensors offer the capacity to retrieve estimates of snow water equivalent directly from space-

borne platforms, but also suffer substantial limitations, including coarse spatial resolution in the case of passive microwave sensors, and/or complications associated with complex terrain (Lemmetyinen et al., 2018). Despite the progress in mapping SCA, reliable determination of snow depth, particularly in complex terrain, remains challenging. Modern, very high resolution stereo-capable imagers show promise for retrieving snow depth over large areas, from space, although the influence of topography on uncertainties, and complications introduced by shadows in alpine terrain demand attention (Marti et al., 2016).

Although direct observation SWE from space remains complicated, methodologies for extracting SCA from MODIS imagery can now provide relatively long records of spatio-temporal variability in SCA, itself a useful climatic indicator (Clark et al., 2009; Estilow et al., 2015). This is evidenced by the recent emergence of studies examining spatial and temporal variability in seasonal snow cover, and snow cover climatologies, across medium to large spatial scales (e.g., Gascoïn et al., 2015; Saavedra et al., 2017, 2018).

This paper aims to produce:

1. Produce a snow cover climatology for ~~New Zealand's largest catchment~~, the Clutha Catchment, ~~New Zealand~~, for the years 2000 – 2016, ~~characterise the associated~~ 2016. The snow cover climatology is defined here as the characterisation of the expansion and depletion of the seasonal snow cover over the course of an average hydrological year.
2. Leverage the snow cover climatology to examine basin-wide temporal variability in snow covered area and snowline elevation and assess whether any negative trend is detectable over the study period.
3. Characterise spatial modes of temporal variability in snow cover duration in order to better understand spatio-temporal variability ~~, and refine understanding of controlling climatic processes~~. across the catchment.
4. Assess the sensitivity of variability of SCD to variability in temperature, precipitation, and synoptic scale climate processes.

This is achieved by leveraging MODIS imagery to produce a 16-year time series of daily snow covered area. While the mapping of snow covered area from MODIS imagery is a mature approach (Hall et al., 2002; Sirguey et al., 2009; Dozier et al., 2009; Nolin, 2010; Rittger et al., 2013), characterising the spatial and temporal variability observed in the MODIS time series requires the application of robust geospatial and statistical techniques, ~~specifically in~~. Here, specifically the application of spatialised principal components analysis (PCA) ~~to efficiently characterise~~ is central to efficient characterisation of spatio-temporal variability. ~~Climatic controls are considered in terms of sensitivity of snow cover duration (SCD) to temperature and precipitation anomalies, standard climate indices, and variability in airflow as characterised by atmospheric particle trajectories.~~

2 The Clutha Catchment

~~Drained by the Clutha River (With a mean annual discharge of $\sim 530 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Murray, 1975)), and~~, the Clutha River drains New Zealand's largest catchment with an area of approximately $20,800 \text{ km}^2$ ~~, New Zealand's largest catchment, the Clutha~~

(area normalised flow ~800 mm yr⁻¹. It is a watershed of primary importance to the both the Otago Region and New Zealand as a whole. Addressing the rapidly growing water needs within the Clutha Catchment presents a future challenge as demands for urban water supply and irrigation for agriculture and horticulture increase, alongside longstanding hydro-electricity commitments. Originating on the ~~eastern-side~~ main divide of the Southern Alps (maximum elevation of ~2800 m), much of the

5 headwater flows are derived from rainfall and the melt of alpine snow cover, along with minor contributions from glaciers ~~·~~ (76 km², or 0.04% of the catchment is glacierised (Brunk and Sirguey, 2018)). Annual precipitation totals may exceed 4000 mm on the western margin of the catchment, and can be less than 400 mm in inland basins. There is no strong seasonality in precipitation. The contribution of ~~snow-melt~~ snowmelt to the annual stream flow of the Clutha River is estimated to be 10% by the time it reaches the Pacific Ocean (Kerr, 2013), however this proportion is substantially higher for alpine sub-catchments

10 and large inland basins, where it may exceed 30 – 50%. Importantly, the spatio-temporal variability in seasonal snow within the catchment is currently not well understood. Some large tributaries arise in the semi-arid inland basins of Central Otago, where annual precipitation may be as low as 400 mm yr⁻¹, an order of magnitude less than on the Main Divide (Macara, 2015). The Clutha Catchment includes the Dart, Rees, Shotover, Kawarau, Matukituki, Wilkin, Hunter, Nevis and Manuherikia rivers. Further references to specific catchments within this paper will be made to the Upper Clutha (Clutha up-stream of Cromwell,

15 including lakes Wanaka and Hawea), Kawarau (west of Cromwell, including Lake Wakatipu), Lower Clutha (downstream of Cromwell) and the Manuherikia Basin, north-east of Alexandra (Figure 1).

Water demands within the catchment are driven by hydro-electric power generation, and irrigation. The Clutha River hosts two nationally significant hydro-electric power stations at the Clyde (432 MW) and Roxburgh (320 MW) dams, and smaller hydro-electric power stations operate on tributaries. Irrigation is particularly important in the central and eastern basins of the

20 catchment, where climate is characterised by much lower rainfall than the mountainous regions near the main divide of the Southern Alps, or the coastal lower reaches of the Clutha (Murray, 1975; Hinchey et al., 1981; Macara, 2015). An important aspect of irrigation schemes in drought prone basins such as the Manuherikia, is the capture and storage of runoff generated by spring snowmelt for use later in summer (Hinchey et al., 1981). Two major local irrigation dams, the Falls Dam in the upper Manuherikia, and the Fraser Dam west of Alexandra, have estimated snowmelt contributions of 15 and 20% respectively

25 (Kerr, 2013). ~~While water management is not a new concept in these areas~~ Despite a long history of hydrological research and management, the role of snow ~~in the hydrological cycle~~ within the catchment, and the impact of variability in the extent and duration of winter snow cover in the Central Otago mountain ranges, has received little attention. While modelling studies indicate that significant future changes in the snow hydrology of the Clutha Catchment are likely (e.g., Poyck et al., 2011; Jobst, 2017; Jobst et al., 2018), observational studies of snow hydrology have been discontinuous and mostly limited to small

30 sub-catchments (e.g., Fitzharris et al., 1980; Fitzharris and Grimmond, 1982; Sims and Orwin, 2011).

Seasonal snow underpins winter tourism, further contributing to the local economy (Hopkins, 2014). There are four commercial downhill ski areas, as well as a cross-country ski area, clustered in the Queenstown/Wanaka area. All ski areas have undergone expansion works in recent years, with further expansion planned. A substantial heliski industry is also based out of Queenstown and Wanaka. The commercial ski season typically runs from mid-June to early October, however opening dates

35 and season length are variable, with lifts not operating until mid-July in the “winter of discontent” of 2011 (Hopkins, 2015).

Whilst modelling work suggests that the duration of natural snow pack for ski areas in Otago will be substantially reduced, and snowmaking will become increasingly important with future climate change (Hendrikx and Hreinsson, 2012), industry participants perceive inter-annual variability as having a greater impact on ski seasons (Hopkins, 2014, 2015).

5 Within the catchment, forest cover at elevations above 1000 m is limited. According to version 4.1 of the New Zealand Land Cover Database (Landcare Research, 2015), forest constitutes 2.84% of the total area of 6602 km² above 1000 m (Table ~~??S1~~) Given the difficulties associated with recovering reliable SCA estimates from MODIS in forested areas (Raleigh et al., 2013), the low proportion of forest landcover is advantageous for the application of remote sensing techniques compared to many other mid-latitude locations.

3 Data and method

10 3.1 MODIS multispectral imagery and MODImLab

MODIS is ~~particularly useful~~ a useful sensor for mapping snow covered area (Barnes et al., 1998; Hall et al., 2002; Sirguey et al., 2009; Painter et al., 2009; Rittger et al., 2013). In terms of spectral resolution, the SWIR bands 6 (1640 nm) and 7 (2130 nm) permit the implementation of the normalised difference snow index (NDSI), and also facilitate enhanced discrimination of snow from other targets when spectral unmixing is utilised (Sirguey et al., 2009). Additionally, global daily to near-daily re-
15 visit times, allow temporally dynamic phenomena to be captured, while also maximising the likelihood of cloud-free retrievals. Furthermore, the spatial resolution is useful for monitoring change at regional scales whereby image fusion techniques can be employed to map snow using the 250 m resolution of MODIS bands 1 and 2, offering an improvement over the native 500 m resolution of MODIS bands 3-7 (e.g., Sirguey et al., 2008).

Gascoïn et al. (2015) highlighted the suitability of MODIS derived snow cover products for characterising temporal variability in SCA, and providing insight into regional snow climatology for the Pyrenees Mountains. Among several robust methodologies for mapping SCA from MODIS imagery (Masson et al., 2018), MODImLab (Sirguey et al., 2009) is used here due to its desirable capabilities and specific performance in the Southern Alps. Snowcover mapping using MODImLab for the Waitaki Catchment in New Zealand (immediately north of the Clutha Catchment) achieved a mean absolute error (MAE) <10%
25 when compared with higher resolution (15 m) ASTER reference products (Sirguey et al., 2009). Masson et al. (2018), while noting the difficulty of balancing high recall and high precision for snow mapping from MODIS imagery, found that overall MODImLab compared well with several alternatives when applied in the European Alps, Pyrenees and Moroccan Atlas Mountains.

MODImLab employs a spectral unmixing approach (in contrast to NDSI correlation applied elsewhere) to MODIS images which have undergone preprocessing, including wavelet based image fusion to facilitate mapping of snow at 250 m resolution
30 (Sirguey et al., 2008), and a rigorous atmospheric and topographic correction, ATOPCOR (Sirguey et al., 2008, 2009; Sirguey, 2009; Sirguey et al., 2009). ~~This approach~~ (Sirguey et al., 2009; Sirguey, 2009; Sirguey et al., 2016; Masson et al., 2018). In accounting for terrain-reflected irradiance and mitigating shadow, the topographic correction of MODImLab improves the performance of snow mapping in rugged alpine terrain (Sirguey et al., 2009; Sirguey, 2009), typical of New Zealand's Southern Alps. MODImLab operates on

the basis of eight possible end-members (three being snow and one of ice), originally characterised in the New Zealand context. The pasture, rock and debris end-members are expected to align well with the landcover classes detectable within the Clutha Catchment at the MODIS scale (i.e., > 250 m) (Table [??S1](#)). Re-projection of tiles from the MODIS L1B swath is handled by the swath-to-grid module within MODImLab. Imagery was resampled to a 250 m grid, in New Zealand Transverse Mercator (NZTM) projection.

3.2 Snow covered area time series

The central process underlying this study was the construction of a continuous time series of daily, virtually cloud-free, fractional snow covered area (fSCA) maps for the Clutha Catchment from MODIS imagery. Collection 6 (C6) MODIS/TERRA L1B swath granules were downloaded for all available observations from April 1 2000 to March 31 2016. Re-projection, preprocessing and mapping of fSCA was carried out using MODImLab (see full description in Sirguey et al., 2009), before implementing a cloud-filling algorithm to build the final 16-year daily cloud-free fSCA data cube used for subsequent derivation of metrics and analysis (Figure 2). In this case, the data cube is a 4-dimensional data space with two geographic dimensions (i.e., easting and northing), a temporal dimension, and the fSCA dimension.

3.2.1 Cloud detection and gap filling

The presence of cloud, and mis-classification of cloud, or cloud shadows, as snow pixels is a common problem in multispectral remote sensing (Dozier et al., 2008). The development of a robust snow cover climatology benefits from having a cloud-free daily timeseries. This was achieved through the implementation of the time trajectory interpolation proposed by Dozier et al. (2008). The MOD35 cloud cover product (Frey et al., 2008) provided the basis for determining the cloud obscured pixels for which fSCA had to be interpolated. Initially, those pixels flagged as “certain cloud” were masked. Sparse commission errors, often occurring at the edge of snow cover due to confusion between the spectral signature of cloud and mixed ground and snow pixels were mitigated by ~~an~~ a morphological erosion of the binary mask ([Haralick et al., 1987](#)). Two dilatations were then applied to restore the main cloud structures and conservatively extend the edges of cloudy regions. Each daily map of fSCA was combined with the associated daily cloud mask, with cloud affected pixels subsequently flagged for filling by fSCA interpolation.

Gaps resulting from cloudy pixels are then filled as proposed by (Dozier et al., 2008), by applying a smoothing spline interpolant to each pixel-time trajectory. The average duration of gaps to be filled was < 5 days overall, while areas of extended maximum cloud duration (> 20 days) were limited to small regions of the main divide (Figure 4). Implementation of the cloud-filling algorithm provided temporally continuous maps of daily fSCA. For convenience, the time series was processed into 365 day blocks, corresponding to the New Zealand hydrological year (hy, 01 April - 31 March). These annual gap-filled time series provide the basis for further analysis of snow cover climatology and variability.

3.3 SCD and derived metrics

The snow cover climatology can be considered initially in terms of the SCD for each hydrological year. That is, the number of days on which snow lies on the ground. SCD can be considered hypsometrically per discrete elevation bands (e.g., Gascoïn et al., 2015), or spatially per-pixel, as it is presented here. Spatial determination allows SCD to be mapped across the catchment. SCD was calculated as the number of days for which a pixel exceeded a minimum fSCA to avoid skewing the time series by spurious short lived snow fall events and heavy frost detected as snow cover. In this case, the minimum threshold fSCA ($fSCA_t$) was set to 50%, consistent with the approach of Sirguey et al. (2009).

Calculating the SCD for each hydrological year from 2001-2016 (which represents the winter of the previous calendar year) also allowed the calculation and mapping of the mean (μ_{SCD}) and standard deviation (σ_{SCD}) of SCD across the catchment, over the 16-year period. In order to characterise and map associated variability, the coefficient of variation (CV_{SCD}) was also calculated as:

$$CV_{SCD} = \frac{\sigma_{SCD}}{\mu_{SCD}}. \quad (1)$$

The (CV_{SCD}) provides an efficient means to calculate the relative dispersion of data about the mean (?), and has been used in other studies of spatio-temporal variability in snow cover (e.g., Hammond et al., 2018).

15 3.3.1 Basin snow covered area

The temporal variability of snow covered area across the basin (basin snow covered area, bSCA) was assessed using several metrics (Table 1). These bSCA metrics were calculated as the number of days for which snow covered pixels ($fSCA \geq fSCA_t$) comprised at least 10, 15 and 20% of the total catchment area. Area thresholds of 10, 15 and 20% were chosen based on basin hypsometry, and informed by the mean snow covered area. For each hydrological year, the total number of days meeting each bSCA threshold was calculated. Linear regression was applied to the annualised time series for each metric and each threshold to test the presence of any significant temporal trends.

3.3.2 SCD anomaly

For each hydrological year, the anomaly in SCD was calculated on a pixel-wise basis as:

$$anom_{SCD} = SCD_n - SCD_\mu, \quad (2)$$

25 where SCD_n is the SCD map for a given year, and SCD_μ is the mean SCD of all years. The magnitude of $anom_{SCD}$ was mapped in absolute terms. A total of 16 maps of $anom_{SCD}$ were derived, for the hydrological years 2001-2016 inclusive.

3.3.3 Snowline elevation

The snow line elevation (SLE), which may be defined as the minimum elevation at which relatively contiguous snow cover is present within a landscape, is a useful metric for characterising the state of seasonal snow for a given epoch at a point in time

(Krajčič et al., 2014; Drolon et al., 2016). In simple terms, the occurrence of snowfall and the development of a snowpack is expected to be governed by temperature and precipitation, and this often generally results in a well defined snowline in New Zealand (Barringer, 1989). The method developed by Krajčič et al. (2014) was implemented ~~to determine the on the gap filled time series to determine daily~~ SLE from fSCA maps and a corresponding digital elevation model (DEM). ~~Where cloudfilling approaches are implemented with MODIS snow cover time series, this approach provides a continuous times eries of daily SLE.~~ Deriving snow line elevation is an iterative process, where for a test elevation (z_i) the number of snow-covered pixels (P_S) below, and the number of snow-free pixels (P_L) above is minimised. This is determined by calculating an F value for each test elevation as follows (Krajčič et al., 2014):

$$F(z) = P_{S(z)} + P_{L(z)}, \quad (3)$$

$$10 \quad SLE = \arg \min_z F(z). \quad (4)$$

In the case of the Clutha Catchment, $F(z)$ is calculated from 300 to 2700 m at 10 m increments. Elevation data were sourced from the NZSoSDEM, a freely available 15 m resolution DEM (Columbus et al., 2011), resampled to 250 m to match with the fSCA maps. SLE was determined using the 16-year gap-filled time series derived from MODImLab fSCA products. Pixels were considered snowcovered for the purpose of deriving SLE when pixel $fSCA \geq fSCA_t$.

15 3.4 Characterising spatio-temporal variability

3.4.1 Spatialised principal components analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) reduces the dimensionality of multivariate datasets by determining linear combinations of variables that provide a number of de-correlated principal components (PCs), each characterising a proportion of the total variance in the underlying data (Dunteman, 1989; Jackson, 1991). This approach has a long history of use in climatological
20 research for characterising spatial patterns in the distribution of key parameters such as temperature, precipitation and atmospheric pressure (Tait et al., 1997), sea ice concentration (Baba and Renwick, 2017) and ice shelf behaviour (Campbell et al., 2017). In these applications, PCA typically reveals the spatial structure of important, and often persistent, features within spatially distributed observations. The temporal component of variability, however, may not be readily interpreted from this “atmospheric science PCA” (Demšar et al., 2012).

25 When applied to spatio-temporal data, such as time series of raster maps capturing a spatially continuous variable, each principal component is a map representing a spatial mode of variability occurring within the time series. The PCs are ordered according to the proportion of overall variance they explain. Conceptually, this approach, which can be considered as “raster PCA” (rPCA) (Demšar et al., 2012) is analogous to the application of PCA to multispectral imagery, where PCs are determined in a temporal, rather than spectral, attribute space. Spatialisation is a product of the georeferenced raster datasets, and PCs are
30 calculated only in the attribute space, so geographic effects are not accounted for (Demšar et al., 2012). A key benefit of the rPCA approach is that, when applied to a raster time series, it allows the occurrence of specific modes of spatial variability

to be considered as a function of time. Thus, the spatio-temporal variability within the data is preserved and can be further scrutinised and interpreted.

Here, rPCA was applied to the time series of SCD anomaly maps. The resulting PCs provide an indication of the spatial and temporal variability in SCD anomaly. PCA was carried out using Matlab®. The single value decomposition algorithm was applied to the the time series of 16 SCD anomaly maps, yielding as many PCs. The first six PCs were retained as together they explained >75% of the total observed variance, while the remaining PCs become increasingly less spatially coherent and are of limited use for further analysis and interpretation.

3.4.2 Temporal dynamics of spatial modes

Each PC from the rPCA described in Section 3.4.1 reveals spatial modes of variability. In turn, the respective loadings of each PC can be used to identify years which share similarities in the spatial expression of their SCD anomalies. Comparing years based on their PCA signatures was done by considering the loadings of the first six PCs, which explained 77% of the total variance in the spatio-temporal dataset. The PCA provided a six-dimensional loading space, within which the similarity between years was measured by the Euclidean distance and k-means clustering.

3.4.3 Mountain range analysis

An alternative, semi-distributed approach was considered for characterising spatial variability in SCD. Addressing space via individual mountain ranges provided a basis to explore spatial gradients in SCD variability across the catchment. This approach allows the catchment to be treated in a way that may be more readily understood by stakeholders, potentially including industrial and agricultural water managers and consumers, ski area operators and the general public. Such stakeholders typically consider the snow resource at a sub-catchment mountain range scale. The goal of this analysis was to determine whether mountain ranges provide a useful ~~geographic~~-geographic unit for capturing and characterising spatial variability. The catchment was subdivided into 36 different mountain ranges, based on the naming conventions provided by the 1:50,000 scale NZ Geographic Names topographic dataset (LINZ, 2018). All land areas below the median winter snow line elevation (as calculated in Section 3.3.3) of 1270 m were excluded. Then, areas of mountain ranges above this elevation were manually digitised using a DEM (Columbus et al., 2011) and stream centreline vector data (Snelder et al., 2010) as guidance (Figure 3). Since the Main Divide of the Southern Alps is considered to provide a baseline for climatic gradients in the east of the South Island, owing to predominant westerly air flow and the substantial orographic effects of the Southern Alps (Sinclair et al., 1997; Jobst et al., 2018), the distance from each range to the main divide of the Southern Alps was calculated using the *Near* tool in ArcGIS v10.3. Mean annual SCD anomalies for each range were then extracted from the maps calculated in Section 3.3.2. Ranking each range in terms of proximity to the main divide then provided a basis for examining spatial trends in the SCD anomaly across the catchment from year to year.

3.5 Climatic influences on snow cover variability

Beyond characterising the snow climatology for the Clutha Catchment, relating spatio-temporal variability in SCD to climatic variability is central to better understanding climatic influences on seasonal snow in New Zealand. This was done firstly by assessing the sensitivity of the annual SCD anomalies to annual temperature and precipitation anomalies. Furthermore, the role of atmospheric circulation was assessed by considering airflow as characterised by multiple atmospheric back trajectories generated for the study period using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Stein et al., 2015). Airflow analysis was motivated in part by the suggestion that increased frequency of southerly airflow can significantly suppress temperatures in New Zealand (Dean and Stott, 2009), but also because variability in airflow can be expected to produce spatial variability in the delivery of precipitation to the Clutha Catchment.

Several climatic datasets were acquired to investigate the role and relative influence of climatological processes in controlling the variability of seasonal snow, namely:

1. Gridded temperature and precipitation fields, comprising daily T_{max} , T_{min} , T_{mean} and P_{tot} for the period 2000-2016, interpolated at a resolution of 250 m, coincident with the pixel size of comparable MODIS datasets, produced as detailed in Jobst et al. (2017) and Jobst (2017). In the case of temperature, gridded data were produced from a limited observational network using a trivariate spline that was enhanced using process driven lapse rate models (Jobst et al., 2017). Precipitation was also interpolated using a trivariate spline, with a 30 year normal surface included as a covariate (Jobst, 2017).
2. Monthly values of the southern oscillation index (SOI), and southern annular mode (SAM, also known as the Antarctic Oscillation Index, AAO), from the NOAA National Weather Service Climate Prediction Center (CPC), data were sourced from https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily_ao_index/ao/ao_index.html (SAM) and <https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/indices/soi> (SOI).
3. Global gridded (2.5°) NCEP/NCAR meteorological reanalysis data (Kalnay et al., 1996), used as input fields for the HYSPLIT (version 4) model. Data were acquired from the Climate Data Center (CDC) via the ftp module within the HYSPLIT software.

3.5.1 Spatial anomalies for temperature and precipitation

The daily fields of mean temperature and total precipitation described above were used to calculate pixel-wise mean values for each hydrological year, as well as for each winter period. Winter was defined as being from May 01 – October 30, the period during which the majority of substantial snowfall events occur. Pixel-wise anomalies in annual mean temperature and total precipitation were calculated to produce a series of 16 anomaly maps for each variable, which were compared directly with the SCD anomaly maps. No significant correlation existed between temperature and precipitation anomalies.

The sensitivity of SCD anomalies to temperature and ~~precipitation~~-precipitation anomalies was assessed in a semi-spatially distributed way. Regression analysis was carried out between the annual SCD anomaly and temperature and precipitation

anomalies averaged over each mountain range. Sensitivity of SCD to temperature and precipitation variability could then be quantified and its significance assessed for each mountain range across the catchment.

3.5.2 Synoptic scale airflow variability

5 HYSPLIT is a Lagrangian air parcel trajectory and dispersion model (Stein et al., 2015). While it offers complex simulations of particle atmospheric transport, dispersion, chemical transformation and deposition, the trajectories modelled by HYSPLIT provide a means to characterise airflow, and associated airmass characteristics. Here, HYSPLIT was used to determine and analyse the origin and trajectory of air parcels arriving to the Clutha Catchment throughout the study period.

The geographic end-point for the trajectories was a location near the summit of the Pisa Range (Figure 3), with elevation set to 2000 m. This location was close to the geographic center of the Clutha Catchment (-44.90° S, 169.16° E, Figure 3) and therefore expected to provide a suitable characterisation of modes of air flow affecting the catchment throughout the year. Back trajectories were calculated over 120 hours for each day of the study period, with four temporal end-points per day (0000, 0600, 1200, 1800 hrs). In total this provided 1460 trajectories per year.

HYSPLIT trajectories were used to construct indices of airmass origin. For four timesteps, namely -96, -72, -48 and -24 hours, the trajectory direction relative to the end-point was determined and binned according to eight directional classes (i.e., 15 N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, NW). This allowed the mean frequencies of air parcel origin direction, and relative frequencies for each winter (01 June – 30 September) period to be calculated. The relative frequency distribution for each winter then provided a means to characterise air-flow characteristics for each winter.

Relationships between the annual loadings of each principal component of SCD and the relative frequency of air parcel origin direction were assessed by multiple linear regression. Because of the large number of variables (8) relative to the number of 20 years for which data exists (16), only four directions exhibiting the greatest inter-annual variability were included in multiple linear regression to mitigate against under-determination. It comes that, for each principal component (n), and each year (y), the linear model took the form:

$$\lambda_{n(y)} = \beta_{0(y)} + \beta_{1(y)}x_{1(y)} + \beta_{2(y)}x_{2(y)} + \beta_{3(y)}x_{3(y)} + \beta_{4(y)}x_{4(y)}, \quad (5)$$

where $\lambda_{n(y)}$ is the loading of the n^{th} PC for that year, and $\beta_{0(y)}, \dots, \beta_{4(y)}$ and x_1, \dots, x_4 are the SCD sensitivity to, and relative 25 frequency of air parcels originating to the NE, E, SE and S. This analysis allowed the contribution, importance, and significance of anomalous airflow directions to the modes of spatial variability in SCD to be assessed.

3.5.3 Assessing the role of climate modes

Commonly, short- to medium-term variability in climate and cryospheric processes in mid-latitude regions has been considered in terms of large scale climate modes such as the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) 30 and the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (e.g., McKerchar et al., 1998; Fitzharris et al., 2007; Kidston et al., 2009; Purdie et al., 2011; Sirguey et al., 2016). Periods of negative SOI phase are expected to correspond with cooler periods of increased solid precipitation in New Zealand, and have been associated with positive mass balance for glaciers in the Southern Alps

(McKerchar et al., 1998; Lamont et al., 1999; Fitzharris et al., 2007; Purdie et al., 2011), yet its inclusion as a predictor variable does not necessarily increase the skill of hydrological forecast models (Purdie and Bardsley, 2010). Here, mean winter values of the SOI and SAM indices were compared to each of the temporal metrics characterising snow cover within the catchment (Table 1) via correlation analysis and linear regression. The PCA analysis proposed by this study provides new insights into the spatial expression of snow cover variability that also deserves further scrutiny. Subsequently, the influence of large scale circulation indices on spatial modes of snow cover was explored by analysing the correlation between the SOI and SAM and annual PC loadings.

4 Results

4.1 Snow cover climatology for the Clutha Catchment

10 The ~~typical~~ snow cover climatology for the Clutha Catchment is ~~demonstrated by~~ expressed spatially by the map of μ_{SCD} over the period 2000-2016. The first-order control of elevation on ~~snow persistence~~ SCD is obvious in Figure 5(a). On average, an area of 2218 km², or 10% of the total catchment area, maintains snow cover for 120 days a year or more. In general, snow cover is most persistent on terrain above 1500 m a.s.l., and on slopes with Southern aspect.

15 The mean SLE during the winter period was found to be 1263 m a.s.l, this corresponds with an area of 3180 km² and is substantially higher than the mean catchment elevation of 615 m, ~~and~~. On average, the snowline is at or below this elevation from mid-June until mid-August (Figure 6). A small area of 76 km² maintains permanent snow cover (SCD > 360 days). This corresponds with a maximum SLE of around 2200 m a.s.l. ~~Terrain that maintains snow cover year round is~~ Considering the detection limits of MODIS, this area agrees well with the glacier area of Brunk and Sirguey (2018). These areas are largely restricted to ~~glacierised regions~~ within a few kilometres of the main divide, ~~and appears to be strongly controlled by aspect.~~ The importance of aspect in maintaining permanent snow cover for these high elevation regions is highlighted in Figure 6.

20 The onset of the snow season is typically rapid, with SCA increasing, and SLE lowering abruptly in the early winter, reaching their maximum and minimum, respectively, in mid-June (Figure 6). At the catchment scale, both SCA and SLE feature a mid-winter depletion/rising respectively, before SCA increases and SLE decreases again in late winter/early spring. Maximum SCA typically reaches > 35% of the catchment area during June, yet the depletion curve is punctuated by local maxima. On average, 25 the first of these occurs in early July, and the second in mid-August. These features are persistent throughout the 16 – year study period. In addition, a minima in variability of daily SCA occurs in late April, following an increase in variability due to early snowfall over the preceding month. Variability in basin SCA and SLE is greatest during the May-July period, and converges towards the mean through spring and early summer.

4.2 Topographic controls on snow duration and variability

30 Figure 7 shows the overall control of aspect and elevation on SCD and its variability. Most pixels exhibiting $\mu_{SCD} > 120$ days have a southerly aspect between 90 and 315°, and elevation in excess of 1500 m. The role of aspect strengthens as elevation

decreases. The variability in SCD is more pronounced on northerly aspects between 315 and 90°, across all elevations. In contrast, the CV_{SCD} rarely exceeds 100% for pixels with S to SW aspect between 135 and 270 degrees, but may exceed 200% for pixels with northerly aspect between 315 and 90° (Figure 7).

5 μ_{SCD} is positively, though not linearly, correlated with elevation. The rate of increase of μ_{SCD} with elevation is higher on southerly than northerly aspects (Figure 7). A $CV_{SCD} < 10\%$ is generally restricted to southerly aspects above 1500 m. Elsewhere, variability in SCD is relatively large. CV_{SCD} increases markedly at lower elevations reflecting the relatively short μ_{SCD} and associated large inter-annual variability.

4.3 Spatio-temporal variability in seasonal snow cover

The map of CV_{SCD} shown in Figure 5(b) highlights the magnitude of spatio-temporal variability associated with SCD in the Clutha Catchment. A first order control of elevation on CV_{SCD} is apparent, but further spatial variation exists beyond the basin hypsometry. Areas with $CV_{SCD} \leq 5\%$ were only present on and near the main divide, while CV_{SCD} is much greater elsewhere in the catchment. At moderate to high elevations, CV_{SCD} in the western part of the catchment was generally less than 50%. In the eastern part of the catchment, CV_{SCD} was generally greater, but this reflects the basin hypsometry to an extent. Ultimately, the large CV_{SCD} observed across most of the catchment reflects the temporally dynamic nature of snow cover across the Clutha Catchment.

4.3.1 Temporal variability in catchment-wide snow cover and snowline elevation

The full time series of daily SCA and SLE, and associated departures from their series means reveals the extent of temporal variability in seasonal snow at the catchment scale (Figure 8). Substantial departures from the mean throughout the time series highlight the strong inter-annual, as well as shorter term variability in the occurrence, persistence and disappearance of seasonal snow within the catchment. Large, yet short-lived, perturbations occur due to unseasonable snow events, while sustained positive and negative anomalies occur at seasonal scales during winter. The maximum observed SCA was 65%, and occurred on June 18 2002. The only other occasion on which SCA exceeded 60% of catchment area was June 21 2013. Notably, the years that feature the largest maximum SCA values are not always associated with a sustained positive anomaly in catchment-wide SCA.

25 The considerable temporal variability associated with SCA is further demonstrated by the bSCA metrics plotted in Figure 9. The total number of days each year with bSCA exceeding 10, 15 and 20% is highly variable from year to year, and did not reveal any significant trend over the 16-year time series. The lowest returned p -value (0.23) was associated with a negative trend for the end date of temporally continuous 15% bSCA. Typically, 10% bSCA is achieved by June, with the latest onset date of July 06 occurring for hy 2012. Generally, 10% bSCA is sustained through September 30, resulting in a mean 120-day duration. The earliest end date for 10% bSCA, of September 08 occurred for hy 2006. Several years saw 10% bSCA extend through, or beyond, October 31. For hy 2010, an early onset of continuous 10% bSCA (07 May), combined with a loss date of 30 September, resulted in the longest continuous duration of 10% bSCA, of 176 days.

Both 15 and 20% bSCA saw much more variability, with the period of 20% bSCA being discontinuous for most winters. Onset of both 15 and 20% bSCA typically occurred within a few days of 10% bSCA, however there was usually considerable lag between the end date of 15 and 20% bSCA and that of 10% bSCA.

5 The winter SLE also exhibited significant intra- and inter-annual variability, both in terms of the mean (median) winter SLE of 1263 m (1270m), and the range of observed SLE (Figures 8 & 10). The largest ranges of observed winter SLE (e.g.,hy 2012), were associated with years when the onset of winter snow cover was delayed. This scenario reflects an over-representation of high SLE through June, which is the month when the lowest SLE values are usually observed across the entire record. Similarly to SCA, no significant temporal trend was detected in SLE over the 16-year record.

4.3.2 Modes of spatial variability

10 Mapping the principal components of SCD anomaly revealed distinct modes of spatial variability (Figure 11). 77% of the total observed spatial variability in annual SCD anomaly was explained by the first six principal components (Figure ~~??~~S1). In turn, each annual SCD anomaly map can be efficiently reconstructed via linear combination of each map of PC scores. As such, the loadings of the combination quantify the relative contribution of each mode to the SCD map. Since PC scores are not standardised, their unit matches that of the SCD anomaly (i.e., day). Where loadings are positive (negative), a positive PC score will propagate positively (negatively) into the SCD anomaly, ~~with the inverse being true for negative PC scores~~. PC1 (39% of total variability), is characterised by a negative PC score across the whole catchment that reflects the first order control imposed on SCD by elevation (Figure 11). PC2 (14.8% of total variability) features an annular pattern expressed across much of the catchment, where negative PC scores are associated with high elevation areas, while positive scores occur for lower elevation areas, and in the east of the catchment. Overall, PC2 highlights the existence of a spatial trend in variability that departs from the topographic control characterised by PC1. PC3 (8.05% of total variability) further stresses a spatial trend, with negative PC scores in the west, transitioning to positive scores in the Manuherikia (north-east) region of the catchment, and for higher elevations in the central part of the catchment (e.g., the Pisa Range). PC4 (6.43% of total variability) also exhibited spatial structure, with positive PC scores in the north of the catchment, and negative scores dominating south of the Kawarau and Manuherikia rivers. Explaining 5.12% of total variability, PC5 shows that SCD anomalies are further modulated by spatially structured contrasts between negative PC scores in the central part of the catchment, and positive scores through the western, northern, and eastern margins. Finally, PC6 (3.8% of total variability) exhibits weaker spatial structure, with positive PC scores through most of the western part of the catchment, and at low to moderate elevations towards the east balanced by negative scores at higher elevations in central and eastern areas. The magnitude of scores was reduced for PC6 relative to PCs 1 – 5. PCs 7 – 16 each explained less than 3% of total variability.

30 4.3.3 Dynamics of spatio-temporal variability

The attribute space of annual PC loadings facilitated the comparison and grouping of years in terms of the spatial structure of their SCD anomaly. The two most similar years, in terms of PC loadings, were 2015 and 2006, while the two most dissimilar were 2010 and 2007 (Figure 12). PC1 (38% of spatial variability), carried relatively strong positive loadings for hydrological

years 2002, 2006, 2012, 2014 and 2015, while negative loadings for PC1 were associated with 2003, 2005, 2010 and 2016. The relatively large pair-wise distances between PC loadings for most years (Figure 12) illustrates that, over the 16 – year period, the spatial structure of SCD anomaly across the catchment is rarely repeated, except in 2006 and 2015. As a result, groups identified by k-means clustering of their PC loading signature are potentially weak. Assignment into four clusters performed well at grouping the most similar years together, whilst mitigating against single-member clusters, yet spurious members remain in all clusters (Table 2 and Figure 12).

4.3.4 Spatio-temporal variability in SCD across mountain ranges

A semi-distributed analysis of the SCD by mountain range provides further insight into spatial variability of SCD within the catchment. Since the mean elevation varies for each mountain range, the influence of elevation on SCD could be assessed. The mean annual SCD of individual mountain ranges is shown in Figure 13, which demonstrates that average SCD is a function of elevation, as well as proximity to the main divide. For ranges within 60 km of the main divide, a significant positive correlation exists between mean range elevation and SCD, with the modelled relationship predicting an elevation of 2298 m for perennial snow cover. Beyond distances of 60 km from the main divide, however, the relationship weakens substantially and loses significance (Table 3). The deterioration of the relationship between elevation and SCD for ranges distant from the main divide suggests that the influence of temperature on ~~snow persistence~~ SCD is substantially reduced in these cases.

For four out of the 16 winters analysed (25%), the sign of the anomaly was out of phase across the catchment. For the winters of 2000 and 2003, the SCD anomaly was positive in the west, and negative in the east, while the winters of 2008 and 2010 were negative in the west and positive in the east. These similarities are consistent with these pairs of years being grouped together on the basis of PC loadings. The spatial gradients of SCD anomaly observed for these years, from west to east, are consistent with the derived principal components. PCs two and three, in particular, characterised the east-west gradient in SCD anomaly which typifies these “out of phase” years. Both of these principal components expressed an east-west contrast in SCD anomaly, with PC2 emphasising an inverted topographic dependency. The winter of 2001 loaded negatively on PC2, while the winter of 2003 loaded negatively on both PCs 2 and 3. Conversely, the winters of 2008 and 2010 loaded positively on both PCs 2 and 3, with 2010 being the only winter to load strongly on both of these PCs. The consistent signal between PC signatures and the range anomaly approach demonstrates that both the fully distributed and range-based semi-distributed approaches are consistent in detecting variability in spatial contrasts. It also highlights that snow cover conditions across the Clutha Catchment is often spatially out of phase, with first order control by elevation being of diminished importance. This out-of-phase behaviour, and indeed the variability in magnitude anomaly even when the entire catchment is in phase, confirms that processes at the sub-catchment scale are important in controlling variability in seasonal snow processes, and that influence of, and sensitivity to competing climatic forcings varies across the catchment.

4.4 Climatic influences on SCD

4.4.1 Sensitivity of SCD to temperature and precipitation variability

Regression analysis between SCD, winter temperature, and winter precipitation anomalies revealed a variable response across the catchment. Sensitivity to temperature and precipitation variability is expressed as days (d) per degree Celsius, or mm, respectively. Overall, relationships between temperature/precipitation anomalies and SCD anomaly were found to be significant ($p < 0.05$) across the catchment, yet both regressions featured high dispersion, with $R^2 = 0.19$ for temperature and $R^2 = 0.11$ for precipitation (Table [S2](#)). This dispersion was found to be due to contrasting climatic sensitivity across the catchment. For temperature, 50% of ranges exhibited a statistically significant relationship between SCD and temperature anomaly. For all ranges, β was negative, with the greatest sensitivity of $-53.6 \text{ d } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ occurring for the Ailsa Range. For precipitation, a significant relationship with SCD was found for 39% of ranges. Values of β , were always positive, with a maximum sensitivity of 0.11 d mm^{-1} occurring for the Eyre Mountains.

Sensitivity of SCD anomaly to both temperature and precipitation anomalies [was considered in terms of both elevation and proximity to the main divide, and](#) exhibited significant spatial trends (Figures 15 & 16). [Figure 15 reveals that distance to the main divide outperforms elevation at explaining variability in sensitivity to temperature. In the case of precipitation sensitivity, no relationship is evident with elevation, while a complex relationship with distance to the main divide is apparent.](#)

Maximum temperature sensitivity occurred on and near the main divide, reducing at a rate of $-0.430.43 \text{ d } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1}$ eastward from the Main Divide, concurrently with decreasing R^2 and increasing p -values. The relationship between temperature anomaly and SCD anomaly becomes insignificant beyond distances of 55 km from the main divide. For precipitation, a more complex spatial trend emerged, whereby the relationship with SCD anomaly was weak and insignificant for ranges on and near the main divide, before becoming significant and strengthening with distance between 20 and 55 km, where the strongest significant relationship occurred. From this point, sensitivity to precipitation, and the strength of the relationship, decreased. The relationship between precipitation and SCD anomaly was insignificant at distances greater than 80 km from the main divide.

4.4.2 Influence of synoptic scale variability

Both the SOI and SAM varied from year to year, yet notable events were limited to the strong La Niña of 2011. Relationships between basin snow cover metrics and the SOI and SAM were weak and insignificant (Table 4, [Figure S2](#)). The lowest observed p -value (0.16), was associated with a weak positive relationship between SAM and the total number of days of 15% bSCA. Given the high inter-annual variability of bSCA relative to a lack of notable SOI or SAM events, any signal within these metrics is too weak to reveal any significant relationship with these indices over the 16-year period.

Similarly, there was no significant correlation detected between the SAM and the yearly loadings of principal components one to six. In the case of SOI, PC2 displayed a relatively weak positive relationship ($p = 0.06$, $R^2 = 0.23$) and PC5 a relatively weak negative relationship ($p = 0.07$, $R^2 = 0.21$). These results suggest that the positive expression of PC2 is likely to be favoured during periods of positive SOI phase (La Niña), and PC5 during periods of negative SOI phase (El Niño). Furthermore,

the enhanced signal provided by the PCs in this case highlights the benefit of utilising PCA to leverage the spatial structure of the SCA time series.

Comparison between the most anomalous years in terms of airflow, as characterised by HYSPLIT, and principal component loadings, revealed associations between airflow and SCD anomaly. This is demonstrated for hy 2011, which was characterised by a substantial positive anomaly in easterly airflow. This corresponded with large positive loadings for both PC2, and PC3, the only year for which this occurred. Multiple linear regression between loadings for PCs one to six and relative frequency of air mass origins for 24-96 hour periods revealed strong correlations in only a few cases (Table 5). The associations that emerge from this analysis suggest that PC3 is associated with positive anomalies in easterly airflow, and negative anomalies in south easterly airflow over periods of 24 hours, and positive anomalies in easterly airflow over 48 hours. PC2 was most strongly associated with negative easterly and positive south-easterly and southerly airflow anomalies over 72 hour periods, as well as positive southerly airflow anomalies over 96 hour periods. PC1 was most strongly associated with positive anomalies in north-easterly airflow over 96 hour periods.

Comparison between the most anomalous years in terms of airflow, as characterised by HYSPLIT, and principal component loadings, revealed associations between airflow and SCD anomaly. This is demonstrated for hy 2011, which was characterised by a substantial positive anomaly in easterly airflow. This corresponded with large positive loadings for both PC2, and PC3, the only year for which this occurred. Multiple linear regression between loadings for PCs one to six and relative frequency of air mass origins for 24-96 hour periods revealed strong correlations in only a few cases (Table 5). The associations that emerge from this analysis suggest that PC3 is associated with positive anomalies in easterly airflow, and negative anomalies in south easterly airflow over periods of 24 hours, and positive anomalies in easterly airflow over 48 hours. PC2 was most strongly associated with negative easterly and positive south-easterly and southerly airflow anomalies over 72 hour periods, as well as positive southerly airflow anomalies over 96 hour periods. PC1 was most strongly associated with positive anomalies in north-easterly airflow over 96 hour periods.

5 Discussion

5.1 Spatial trends in seasonal snow cover

First order topographic controls were found to exert substantial influence over mean SCD. Overall, SCD is strongly linked to elevation and aspect. This relationship was strongest on and near the main divide, with the relationship between elevation and mean SCD breaking down in the fault block ranges located >60 km east of the main divide, as shown in Figure 13. Maximum SCD, and the only regions of perennial snow cover coincide with those areas of highest terrain and maximum mean annual precipitation along and near the Main Divide of the Southern Alps.

The deterioration in the relationship between mean SCD and elevation for ranges south-east of the Main Divide suggests that the role of temperature as a primary control on ~~snow-persistence~~ SCD weakens for this region ~~weakens~~. Although not specifically studied here, a reduced frequency of cloud cover in the lee-ward rain shadow of the Main Divide may increase the relative importance of short-wave radiation in controlling ablation processes on eastern ranges. While the western ranges are

alpine in nature, with high relief, the eastern ranges are characterised by high plateaus, with maximum elevations of 1400-1800 m. These plateaus are dissected by shallow basins and steep sided gullies, the floors of which are typically above the winter snowline. This morphology, combined with exposure to persistent winds is conducive to preferential accumulation during redistribution events, promoting the development of deep snowpacks (Redpath et al., 2018) which may persist much longer into spring than would otherwise be expected (Redpath et al., 2018). The relative importance of competing climatic influences on SCD is discussed further in the following sections.

Overall, variability relative to the mean snow cover climatology is found to be high. The coefficient of variation is negatively correlated to elevation, and greatest for pixels with aspect N-NE, consistent with the anticipated influence of topographic variables as first-order controls. The fact that much of the catchment exhibits $CV_{SCD} > 100\%$ reflects the basin hypsometry. Most of the catchment remains below the mean winter snowline elevation, yet is still subject to occasional, often short-lived, snow fall events. A relatively large area of the catchment lies at elevations between 1000 and 1500 m and has $CV_{SCD} > 0.5$, representing a large marginal snow season zone, where snow cover is often transient. Much of this area is in the eastern part of the catchment (e.g., the Hawkdun Range), where substantial inter-annual variability in SCD is observed.

5.2 Temporal trends in seasonal snow cover

Since 1909, annual mean temperature in New Zealand has increased at a rate of $0.91^{\circ}\text{C c}^{-1}$, although this rate is reduced for the southern South Island ($0.58^{\circ}\text{C c}^{-1}$ at Dunedin) (Mullan et al., 2010) and climate change is expected to result in a reduction in both the duration of snow cover, and peak snow depth in the South Island of New Zealand (Hendriks et al., 2012). The 16 – year time series presented here, however, remains largely dominated by inter-annual variability, concealing any temporal trend that may exist in SCD or SLE. This indicates that for the 2000 – 2016 period, short term variability in seasonal snow exceeds any longer term signal driven by climate change, which contrasts a tendency toward warmer than average air temperatures within the Clutha Catchment (Figure 17). While this daily observational record of snow presence remains short, it is consistent with earlier findings of Fitzharris and Garr (1995), whose snow storage index reconstructed by temperature-index models (in turn driven by sparse observations) for the major hydro-electric catchments of the South Island showed significant inter-annual variability, but no long term trend over the period 1931 – 1993. Subsequently, the Clutha Catchment exhibits contrasting behaviour to many other regions globally, where reductions in seasonal snow, and shifts in the timing of seasonal snow processes have been observed (e.g., McCabe and Clark, 2005; Lundquist et al., 2009; Estilow et al., 2015; Klein et al., 2016; Borman et al., 2018). This includes other regions in the Southern Hemisphere, where in the Snowy Mountains of Australia a significant reduction in snow season length has been detected from MODIS data over the period 2000 – 2010 (Bormann et al., 2012). Similarly, the South American Andes have undergone a spatially variable but significant reduction in snow season length of $2 – 5 \text{ d yr}^{-1}$ between latitudes 29 and 36° S over the period 2000 – 2016 (Saavedra et al., 2017). Despite the large observed inter-annual variability, the most extreme years in the current record can provide insight into the potential impact of future warming. The greatest reduction in snow cover across most metrics considered here occurred for hy 2006, during which SCD depletion was spatially consistent across the catchment (strong positive loading on PC1). This year represents an anomalously warm winter within the catchment, where temperatures at Queenstown Airport were 1°C warmer

than the 2000 – 2016 average (NIWA, 2018), and was characterised by high temperatures and higher than normal sunshine hours across much of the lower South Island (Salinger and Burgess, 2005). Relative to the 1986 – 2005 period, winter warming of 0.7 – 1.2°C is projected for the Otago Region for the period 2031 – 2050 (Mullan et al., 2016). Considering the impact of temperature alone, the probability of poor snow seasons such as that seen for hy 2006 is likely to increase.

5 5.3 Spatio-temporal variability in seasonal snow cover

The relatively large number of principal components required to explain the main share of observed variability, and the high dissimilarity between years in terms of PC loadings, confirms the high degree of spatio-temporal variability within the time series. PC1 represents the largest share of variance ~~occurring~~ in SCD and is explained by elevation, with most variance occurring in the areas where seasonal snow mostly occurs and persists. PC2, however, reveals an annular pattern, whereby negative ~~variance deviation~~ occurs at high elevations, and positive ~~variance deviation~~ at low elevations. The maximum positive loading on PC2 occurred for hy 2012, which also coincided with the latest observed onset date for 10% bSCA and an extended period of anomalously high SLE. This characteristic in SCA and SLE was eventually reversed, with the latter part of the winter featuring a sustained period of suppressed SLE and higher than average SCA. Ultimately, this resulted in a longer than usual SCD at low elevations, despite the reduced SCD at high elevations due to an absence of autumn and early winter snow. The two most similar hydrological years in terms of PC loadings were 2006 and 2015. Both featured strong positive loadings on PC1, and negative loadings on most of the remaining PCs except for PC5. This resulted in strongly negative SCD anomalies for both years making them the two lowest ranked observed snow seasons across the catchment across the majority of metrics. Strong negative SCD anomalies affected all mountain ranges for these years.

Four years exhibited strong spatial gradients across the catchment, with an east-west gradient in positive to negative SCD anomalies for 2001 and 2003, and a west-east gradient for 2009 and 2011. While each pair shared similar loadings for some PCs, their overall pair-wise signature difference was still relatively high. This observation highlights that even for years that appear to be similar in terms of spatial variability in SCD anomaly, the propagation of spatial variability across the catchment remains complex and highly variable from year to year. Notably, the occurrence of an east-west gradient in SCD anomaly, and positive loadings on PCs 2, 3, 4 and 5 indicate that the typical climatic gradient (west-east) across the catchment can be modulated, or even reversed, in terms of SCD. The spatial structure evident across the catchment within PCs 2-6 also indicates that in terms of seasonal snow the Clutha Catchment departs substantially from behaving as a single climatic unit.

5.4 Climatic influences on observed snow cover variability

5.4.1 Influence of winter blocking highs

A persistent feature throughout the 16-year time series was the observed mid-winter decrease in bSCA, which on average occurs during July, before SCA peaks again in August. This feature of the snow cover climatology aligns with a winter positive air pressure anomaly, which peaks in July (Figure 17). The occurrence of winter anticyclones is a common feature in the New Zealand region, and these may commonly become persistent and lead to strong blocking (Sinclair, 1996). Under such

conditions, the frequency of precipitation events (i.e., snowfall), particularly those delivered by the passage of fronts, will be reduced, while at the same time, solar irradiance at the surface will be high under clear sky conditions. Together, these factors will reduce accumulation and enhance ablation, allowing a depletion in SCA before unsettled conditions in late winter and early spring promote renewed accumulation and bSCA increases again ahead of spring melt.

5 5.4.2 Temperature and precipitation sensitivity and associated spatial variability

In a global context, Hammond et al. (2018) found southern New Zealand to be mixed in terms of the relative importance of temperature and precipitation variability in influencing snow persistence. Here, a thorough spatial analysis allows the relative importance of temperature and precipitation to be further scrutinised in more detail. Temperature was found to have a more significant influence on SCD anomaly than precipitation, although sensitivity to both factors was spatially variable. Sensitivity to both temperature and precipitation was more strongly associated with proximity to the main divide than elevation. The sensitivity of SCD anomaly to temperature was greatest on and near to the main divide, where sensitivities as high as $-53 \text{ d } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ were observed, accompanied by significant R^2 values of 0.39 – 0.74. Sensitivity decreased to the east, with the weakest relationship of $-6 \text{ d } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.01$, $p = 0.78$) observed for the Dunstan Mountains. Enhanced sensitivity to temperature on and near the Main Divide reflects the influence of orographic processes, with the rain/snow temperature threshold and associated albedo feedback playing important roles in controlling accumulation and ablation in this cloudy, high precipitation environment. Conversely, increased incident solar irradiance through the central part of the catchment (Macara, 2015), and an increased proportion of precipitation delivered via cold fronts may act to reduce the importance of air temperature in controlling snow accumulation and ablation. For the Brewster Glacier, located west of the Main Divide and just outside the Clutha Catchment, Cullen and Conway (2015) reported that net radiation dominated melt at annual timescales, but the role of cloud cover in enhancing sensitivity to air temperature at Brewster Glacier has also been recognised (Conway and Cullen, 2016). The Pisa Range, near the geographic center of the catchment, has a temperature sensitivity of $-13.28 \text{ d } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.06$, $p = 0.38$), which is broadly consistent with the findings of Sims and Orwin (2011) where net radiation provided 40% of melt energy, compared to 34% from sensible heat fluxes. Conversely, further north in Canterbury’s Craigieburn Range, which is also east of the Main Divide, Prowse and Owens (1982) found that sensible heat dominated melt energetics, which suggests that spatial gradients in climatic sensitivity are not uniform across the South Island. Further complicating the relative importance of turbulent and radiative fluxes in controlling ablation processes is the role of wind entrainment. As discussed previously, wind re-distribution of snow is likely to result in concentration of snow as promoted by topography, and can promote ablation of the snow pack by sublimation under favourable conditions (Pomeroy and Gray, 1990; Pomeroy and Essery, 1999; Wang and Jia, 2018). Preferentially accumulated snow will generally increase in density and depth, further increasing the energy required to ablate the snow pack. The increased rate at which mean SCD lengthens with elevation on southerly aspects compared to northerly aspects further supports this interpretation.

Spatial variability in the sensitivity of seasonal snow to climatic parameters has been detected elsewhere. Howat and Tulaczyk (2005), for example, detected spatial variability in sensitivity of maximum spring SWE to climate forcings in the predominantly precipitation sensitive Sierra Nevada of California. While a portion of observed sensitivity was attributed to

elevation, an unexplained latitudinal dependence was attributed to contrasting regional atmospheric circulation patterns. As pointed out by Howat and Tulaczyk (2005), observations of spatial variability in temperature sensitivity may lead to over-predictions of reduced snow cover by models that lack sufficient spatial scale or parameterisation. ~~These~~ As well as providing a reference dataset for model calibration and validation, these findings can provide guidance for efforts to model seasonal snow processes at the catchment to national scale in the New Zealand context. Understanding the relative importance of precipitation and temperature and their influence on seasonal snow is central to evaluating and refining the performance of degree-day models. It is clear, for example, that ~~while a degree-day model such models~~ may perform adequately at recreating melt on and near the main divide, ~~its~~ their performance will likely deteriorate for ranges further east, where the relative importance of variability in energy balance and wind-driven redistribution of snow is increased. Hock (2003) emphasised that while degree-day models work well for modelling melt at catchment scales over long time periods, reliability is reduced as temporal resolution increases and where spatial variability in melt rates exist. Similarly, Clark et al. (2009) suggested that basin specific parameterisations are required to improve the simulation of seasonal snow across the South Island, and these results can provide guidance to this end within the Clutha Catchment, as well as providing a framework for the assessment of snow cover sensitivity to climatic forcings that could be scaled up across the South Island.

15 There is a need to better understand the relative importance of temperature and precipitation sensitivity, in particular to better capture the potential for gains in snow accumulation through increased precipitation to offset losses driven by increased temperature. Furthermore, the current study indicates that considering just temperature and precipitation leaves a large component of SCD variability unexplained, emphasising the need to improve understanding and parameterisation of individual components of the energy balance and redistribution of snow by wind. Degree day models may be enhanced relatively simply through the inclusion of radiation and albedo factors. Gao et al. (2017) demonstrate the increased transferability introduced to hydrological models of snow and glacier dominated catchments when with improved representations of topographic heterogeneity. Capturing the effects of wind on snow redistribution, however, remains a substantial challenge, further compounded by a scarcity of data in alpine environments such as New Zealand (Hendrikx and Harper, 2013), and the need to characterise terrain at sufficient resolution to resolve wind-driven processes at relevant scales (e.g., Marsh et al., 2018; Mott et al., 2018).

25 5.4.3 Atmospheric circulation

The association between HYSPLIT trajectory anomalies and characteristic spatial variability in SCD, as expressed by PC loadings, provides new insight into the role of anomalous synoptic scale circulation on snow cover variability. For hy 2011 in particular, relatively high positive loadings were identified for PC2 & 3, which are both associated with positive anomalies in SCD in the eastern part of the catchment (particularly the Manuherikia basin), and in the case of PC2, relatively strong positive anomalies in SCD at low elevations across the catchment. HYSPLIT trajectory anomalies for this period revealed a substantial increase in the frequency of airflow originating to the east of New Zealand. This scenario of anomalous easterly airflow represents a reversal of the typical zonal flow affecting the South Island of New Zealand, which is ~~typically~~ dominated by westerly flow (Sturman and Tapper, 2006; Macara, 2015) ~~-whereby the eastern part of the catchment lies in the rain shadow of the Southern Alps.~~ Under easterly flow conditions, topographic barriers between the Pacific Ocean and the ranges of the

eastern part of the catchment are substantially reduced. The 2011 hydrological year also featured the highest observed mean winter SOI value (i.e., the strongest observed La Niña event).

Overall, the climatic indices of SOI and SAM did not provide strong explanations for spatio-temporal variability of snow cover within the Clutha Catchment. A notable exception to this was hy 2012. This year had the largest positive loading on PC2, corresponding to an annular spatial pattern in SCD anomaly with positive anomalies at low elevations and negative anomalies at high elevations. Climatically, this hy was characterised by strong positive SOI values (i.e., La Niña conditions) from February 2011, weakening somewhat by June, before strengthening again through to December (Renwick, 2012). At the same time, the SAM switched from positive in May to near-neutral in June, before remaining strongly negative from July to September. Subsequently, this snow season was substantially shortened at high elevations, due to a reduction in accumulation early in winter. Conversely, the persistently negative SAM through late winter was accompanied by a period of regular low level snow fall that extended SCD at low elevations. The lack of strong relationships with SOI and SAM differs somewhat from the observations of Hammond et al. (2018) who detected a correlation between the Oceanic Niño Index and snow persistence across a substantial proportion of the lower South Island. Varying results here may be a result of the different spatial scales of analysis.

The most reduced SCD across the catchment occurred for hys 2006 and 2015, which were also the most similar in terms of PC loadings. In particular, PC1 was associated with positive anomalies in airflow originating north-east of New Zealand. While positive SOI values (e.g., La Niña conditions) are associated with anomalous north-easterly flow for New Zealand (Sturman and Tapper, 2006), these years were associated with both slightly positive (hy 2006) and negative (hy 2015) winter mean SOI values, while both having positive anomalies in the frequency of north-easterly airflow. This analysis highlights that, despite the complex interacting processes governing seasonal snow cover in New Zealand, large scale climatic perturbations can be linked to the spatio-temporal distribution of seasonal snow cover, beyond controls imposed by regional topography. An expected future strengthening of winter westerlies for the lower South Island (Mullan et al., 2016) would decrease the likelihood of extended SCD in the eastern part of the Clutha Catchment, through a corresponding reduction in winter easterly flow, which is important for delivering precipitation to the Manuherikia basin.

5.5 Limitations of scale

The large number of principal components required to explain the observed variability suggest that some of the spatial variability affecting seasonal snow within the Clutha Catchment occurs beyond the detection limits of MODIS. While an inability to resolve fine scale processes limits insights into the full range of processes controlling seasonal snow within the catchment, spatial variability in sensitivity of SCD to changes in temperature and precipitation has been demonstrated at a sub-catchment scale. Furthermore, the observed degradation of the relationship between SCD and elevation away from the Main Divide (Figure 13) suggests the processes occurring at scales that are difficult to detect with MODIS gain significance in controlling SCD. These processes include re-distribution of snow by wind and the associated influence of micro-terrain, which may complicate the interpretation of pixel level fSCA. This is likely to be especially relevant to hydrological modelling and demands further attention on fine-scale spatial variability in seasonal snow.

6 Conclusions

This study has produced a 16-year time series of daily snow covered area from MODIS imagery for the Clutha Catchment, New Zealand, providing an important supplement to sparse seasonal snow observations in the Southern Hemisphere. From the daily SCA time series, a number of metrics have been derived to characterise the spatio-temporal variability of seasonal snow cover for this region. A consistent reduction in mid-winter SCA demonstrates the influence of persistent synoptic scale features, such as blocking highs. Overall, the inter-annual variability is high, concealing any trend that may exist over the study period. Despite the lack of a temporal trend in this record, extreme years provide insight into conditions that may become more frequent under future climate change scenarios.

Characterisation of spatial modes of variability via spatialised rPCA reinforces the highly dynamic nature of seasonal snow cover within the catchment, with minimal similarity in spatial patterns between years. Nonetheless, this analysis proved capable of identifying the two most similar years, however, for which spatial patterns of SCD were linked to anomalous north-easterly airflow. The ability to identify such associations highlights the strength of the approach taken to rPCA, which retained both the spatial and temporal signals of SCD variability. Spatial variability in snow cover across the catchment is also high, with the SCD anomaly being out of phase for some years, indicating that the Clutha Catchment departs markedly from a single climatic unit in terms of seasonal snow.

Spatial variability in the sensitivity of SCD to temperature and precipitation variability at sub-catchment scale has also been demonstrated. Temperature was found to be an important control of SCD variability on and near the main divide. Precipitation was important in the east of the Main Divide, but insignificant for ranges further east. On their own, these two variables leave a large proportion of SCD variability unexplained. While providing guidance for efforts to improve parameterisations of snow models in New Zealand, these findings also highlight the likely importance of other components of the energy balance and the redistribution of snow by wind in influencing seasonal snow processes, and subsequent shortcomings in traditional degree-day approaches to modelling seasonal snow in this region. In demonstrating the utility of rPCA for examining spatio-temporal variability in SCD at regional scales, this work provides a framework for the ongoing monitoring of seasonal snow cover, improved context for understanding the snow hydrology of the Clutha Catchment, and can contribute to efforts to improve the modelling of seasonal snow throughout New Zealand and elsewhere, particularly where in situ records are sparse.

Code and data availability. MODIS data used in this research are freely available from the Level 1 and Atmosphere Archive and Distribution System (LAADS) Web. The MODImLab software is available on request from P. Sirguey.

Author contributions. T. Redpath, P. Sirguey and N. Cullen designed the study. T. Redpath and P. Sirguey processed and analysed the data. P. Sirguey developed and maintains the MODImLab software. T. Redpath prepared the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Competing interests. The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Andreas Jobst for providing gridded temperature and precipitation data for the Clutha Catchment. Sean Fitzsimons provided helpful feedback on the draft manuscript. T. Redpath was funded by a University of Otago Doctoral Scholarship.

References

- Andersen, T.: Operational snow mapping by Satellites, in: Hydrological Aspects of Alpine and High Mountain Areas (Proceedings of the Exeter Symposium). IAHS Publ. no. 138., 1982.
- Baba, K. and Renwick, J.: Aspects of intraseasonal variability of Antarctic sea ice in austral winter related to ENSO and SAM events, *Journal of Glaciology*, 63, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.49>, https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0022143017000491/type/journal_{_}article, 2017.
- Barnes, W. L., Pagano, T. S., and Salomonson, V. V.: Prelaunch characteristics of the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on EOS-AM1, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 36, 1088–1100, <https://doi.org/10.1109/36.700993>, 1998.
- 10 Barnett, T. P., Adam, J. C., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions., *Nature*, 438, 303–309, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04141>, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16292301>, 2005.
- Barringer, J. R. F.: A Variable Lapse Rate Snowline Model for the Remarkables, Central Otago, New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 28, 32 – 46, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43944624>, 1989.
- Bessho, K., Date, K., Hayashi, M., Ikeda, A., Imai, T., Inoue, H., Kumagai, Y., Miyakawa, T., Murata, H., Ohno, T., Okuyama, A., Oyama, 15 R., Sasaki, Y., Shimazu, Y., Shimoji, K., Sumida, Y., Suzuki, M., Taniguchi, H., Tsuchiyama, H., Uesawa, D., Yokota, H., and Yoshida, R.: An Introduction to Himawari-8/9 - Japan's New-Generation Geostationary Meteorological Satellites, *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II*, 94, 151–183, <https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2016-009>, https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jmsj/94/2/94_{_}2016-009/{_}article, 2016.
- Borman, K. J., Brown, R. D., Derksen, C., and Painter, T. H.: Estimating snow-cover trends from space, *Nature Climate Change*, 8, 924 – 20 936, <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0318-3>, <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-018-0318-3>, 2018.
- Bormann, K. J., McCabe, M. F., and Evans, J. P.: Satellite based observations for seasonal snow cover detection and characterisation in Australia, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 123, 57–71, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.03.003>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425712001228>, 2012.
- Brunk, T. and Sirguey, P.: An updated map of New Zealand's permanent snow and ice cover, Tech. rep., National School of Surveying, 25 University of Otago, 2018.
- Campbell, A. J., Hulbe, C. L., and Choon-Ki, L. E.: The shape of change: An EOF approach to identifying sources of transient thickness change in an ice shelf, *Annals of Glaciology*, 58, 21–27, <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2017.16>, 2017.
- Clark, M., Hreinsson, E. Ö., Martinez, G., Tait, A., Slater, A., Hendrikx, J., Owens, I., Gupta, H., Schmidt, J., and Woods, R.: Simulations of seasonal snow for the South Island, New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 48, 41–58, 2009.
- 30 Columbus, J., Sirguey, P., and Tenzer, R.: A free fully assessed 15 metre digital elevation model for New Zealand, *Survey Quarterly*, 300, 2011.
- Conway, J. P. and Cullen, N. J.: Cloud effects on surface energy and mass balance in the ablation area of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 313–328, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-313-2016>, <https://www.the-cryosphere.net/10/313/2016/>, 2016.
- Cullen, N. J. and Conway, J. P.: A 22 month record of surface meteorology and energy balance from the ablation zone of Brewster Glacier, 35 New Zealand, *Journal of Glaciology*, 61, 931–946, <https://doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG15J004>, 2015.
- Dean, S. M. and Stott, P. A.: The Effect of Local Circulation Variability on the Detection and Attribution of New Zealand Temperature Trends, *Journal of Climate*, 22, 6217–6229, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2715.1>, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2715.1>, 2009.

- Demšar, U., Harris, P., Brunson, C., Fotheringham, A. S., and McLoone, S.: Principal Component Analysis on Spatial Data: An Overview, *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00045608.2012.689236>, 2012.
- Dozier, J.: Spectral signature of alpine snow cover from the Landsat Thematic Mapper, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 22, 9–22, 1989.
- Dozier, J., Painter, T. H., Rittger, K., and Frew, J. E.: Time-space continuity of daily maps of fractional snow cover and albedo from MODIS, *Advances in Water Resources*, 31, 1515–1526, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.08.011>, 2008.
- Dozier, J., Green, R. O., Nolin, A. W., and Painter, T. H.: Remote Sensing of Environment Interpretation of snow properties from imaging spectrometry, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, S25–S37, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.07.029>, 2009.
- Drolon, V., Maisongrande, P., Berthier, E., Swinnen, E., and Huss, M.: Monitoring of seasonal glacier mass balance over the European Alps using low-resolution optical satellite images, *Journal of Glaciology*, pp. 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.78>, 2016.
- 10 Dunteman, G. H.: *Principal Components Analysis*, SAGE, 1989.
- Estilow, T. W., Young, A. H., and Robinson, D. A.: A long-term Northern Hemisphere snow cover extent data record for climate studies and monitoring, *Earth System Science Data*, 7, 137 – 142, 2015.
- Fitzharris, B., Lawson, W., and Owens, I.: Research on glaciers and snow in New Zealand, *Progress in Physical Geography*, 23, 469–500, <https://doi.org/10.1177/030913339902300402>, <http://ppg.sagepub.com/content/23/4/469.abstract>, 1999.
- 15 Fitzharris, B. B. and Garr, G. E.: Simulation of past variability in seasonal snow in the Southern Alps, New Zealand, *Annals of Glaciology*, 21, 377 – 382, 1995.
- Fitzharris, B. B. and Grimmond, C. S. B.: Assessing snow storage and melt in a New Zealand mountain Environment, in: *Hydrological Aspects of Alpine and High Mountain Areas (Proceedings of the Exeter Symposium)*. IAHS Publ. no. 138., 1982.
- Fitzharris, B. B., Stewart, D., and Harrison, W.: Contribution of snowmelt to the October 1978 flood of the Pomohaka and Fraser Rivers, *Otago, Journal of Hydrology (NZ)*, 19, 84 – 93, 1980.
- 20 Fitzharris, B. B., Clare, G. R., and Renwick, J.: Teleconnections between Andean and New Zealand glaciers, *Global and Planetary Change*, 59, 159 – 174, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.022>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818106002943>, mass Balance of Andean Glaciers, 2007.
- Frey, R., Ackerman, S., Liu, Y., Strabala, K., Zhang, H., Key, J., and Wang, X.: Cloud Detection with MODIS. Part I: Improvements in the MODIS Cloud Mask for Collection 5, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 25, 1057–1072, 2008.
- 25 Gao, H., Ding, Y., Zhao, Q., Hrachowitz, M., and Savenije, H. H.: The importance of aspect for modelling the hydrological response in a glacier catchment in Central Asia, *Hydrological Processes*, 31, 2842–2859, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.11224>, 2017.
- Gascoin, S., Hagolle, O., Huc, M., Jarlan, L., Dejoux, J., Szczypta, C., Marti, R., and Sánchez, R.: A snow cover climatology for the Pyrenees from MODIS snow products, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, pp. 2337–2351, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-2337-2015>, 2015.
- 30 Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., Salomonson, V. V., Di Girolamo, N. E., and Bayr, K. J.: MODIS snow-cover products, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 83, 181–194, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(02\)00095-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00095-0), <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425702000950>, 2002.
- Hall, D. K., Crawford, C. J., Di Girolamo, N. E., Riggs, G. A., and Foster, J. L.: Detection of earlier snowmelt in the Wind River Range, Wyoming, using Landsat imagery, 1972 - 2013, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 162, 45–54, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.01.032>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425715000565>, 2015.
- 35 Hammond, J. C., Saavedra, F. A., and Kampf, S. K.: Global snow zone maps and trends in snow persistence 2001-2016, *International Journal of Climatology*, 38, 4369–4383, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5674>, 2018.

- Haralick, R. M., Sternberg, S. R., and Zhuang, X.: Image Analysis Using Mathematical Morphology, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, PAMI-9, 532–550, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1987.4767941>, 1987.
- Hendrikx, J. and Harper, A.: Development of a national snow and ice monitoring network for New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 52, 83–95, <http://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary;dn=184904790400984;res=IELENG>, 2013.
- 5 Hendrikx, J. and Hreinsson, E. Ö.: The potential impact of climate change on seasonal snow in New Zealand : part II — industry vulnerability and future snowmaking potential, *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, pp. 619–630, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0713-z>, 2012.
- Hendrikx, J., Hreinsson, E. Ö., Clark, M. P., and Mullan, A. B.: The potential impact of climate change on seasonal snow in New Zealand: part - an analysis using 12 GCMs, *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 110, 607–618, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-012-0711-1>, 2012.
- Hinchey, L. W., Riddell, R. J., Engelbrecht, R. L., and Grant, R. D.: Report of Working Party on Central Otago Irrigation, report to Minister
10 of Works and Development, 1981.
- Hock, R.: Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas, *Journal of Hydrology*, 282, 104 – 115, 2003.
- Hopkins, D.: The sustainability of climate change adaptation strategies in New Zealand’s ski industry: a range of stakeholder perceptions, *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 22, 107 – 126, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2013.804830>, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2013.804830>, 2014.
- 15 Hopkins, D.: The perceived risks of local climate change in Queenstown, New Zealand, *Current Issues in Tourism*, 18, 947 – 965, 2015.
- Howat, I. M. and Tulaczyk, S.: Climate sensitivity of spring snowpack in the Sierra Nevada, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110, 2005.
- Jackson, J. E.: *A User’s Guide To Principal COMPONENTS*, John Wiley & Sons, 1991.
- Jobst, A. M.: The potential impacts of climate change on the hydro-climate of the Clutha/Mata-Au catchment, Phd, University of Otago, <https://otago.ourarchive.ac.nz/handle/10523/7334>, 2017.
- 20 Jobst, A. M., Kingston, D. G., Cullen, N. J., and Sirguey, P.: Combining thin-plate spline interpolation with a lapse rate model to produce daily air temperature estimates in a data-sparse alpine catchment, *International Journal of Climatology*, pp. 214–229, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4699>, 2017.
- Jobst, A. M., Kingston, D. G., Cullen, N. J., and Schmid, J.: Intercomparison of different uncertainty sources in hydrological climate change projections for an alpine catchment (upper Clutha River, New Zealand), *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22, 3125–3142, <https://search.proquest.com/docview/2050372886?accountid=14700>, 2018.
- 25 Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins, W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K. C., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds, R., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 77, 437–472, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(1996\)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2), [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(1996\)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0437:TNYRP>2.0.CO;2), 1996.
- 30 Kerr, T.: The contribution of snowmelt to the rivers of the South Island, New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 52, 61–82, <http://search.informit.com.au/documentSummary;dn=184867524458468;res=IELENG>, 2013.
- Kidston, J., Renwick, J. A., and McGregor, J.: Hemispheric-Scale Seasonality of the Southern Annular Mode and Impacts on the Climate of New Zealand, *Journal of Climate*, 22, 4759–4770, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2640.1>, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2640.1>, 2009.
- 35 Klein, G., Vitasse, Y., Rixen, C., Marty, C., and Rebetz, M.: Shorter snow cover duration since 1970 in the swiss alps due to earlier snowmelt more than to later snow onset, *Climatic Change*, 139, 637–649, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1806-y>, 2016.
- Krajčič, P., Holko, L., Perdigão, R. A. P., and Parajka, J.: Estimation of regional snowline elevation (RSLE) from MODIS images for seasonally snow covered mountain basins, *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 1769–1778, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.08.064>, 2014.

- Lamont, G., Chinn, T., and Fitzharris, B.: Slopes of glacier ELAs in the Southern Alps of New Zealand in relation to atmospheric circulation patterns, *Global and Planetary Change*, 22, 209 – 219, [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181\(99\)00038-7](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(99)00038-7), <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921818199000387>, 1999.
- Landcare Research: LCDB v4.1 - Land Cover Database version 4.1, Mainland New Zealand, <https://iris.scinfo.org.nz/layer/48423-lcdb-v41-land-cover-database-version-41-mainland-new-zealand/>, 2015.
- Lemmetyinen, J., Derksen, C., Rott, H., Macelloni, G., King, J., Schneebeli, M., Wiesmann, A., Leppänen, L., Kontu, A., and Pulliainen, J.: Retrieval of Effective Correlation Length and Snow Water Equivalent from Radar and Passive Microwave Measurements, *Remote Sensing*, 10, 170, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10020170>, <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/10/2/170>, 2018.
- LINZ: NZ Geographic Names (Topo, 1:50k), <https://data.linz.govt.nz/layer/50280-nz-geographic-names-topo-150k/>, 2018.
- 10 Lundquist, J. D., Dettinger, M. D., Stewart, I. T., and Cayan, D. R.: Variability and Trends in Spring Runoff in the Western United States, pp. 63 – 76, University of Utah Press, 2009.
- Macara, G. R.: The Climate and Weather of Otago, NIWA Science and Technology Series 67, NIWA, 2015.
- Malenovsky, Z., Rott, H., Cihlar, J., Schaepman, M. E., García-Santos, G., Fernandes, R., and Berger, M.: Sentinels for science: Potential of Sentinel-1, -2, and -3 missions for scientific observations of ocean, cryosphere, and land, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 120, 91–101, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.09.026>, 2012.
- 15 Mankin, J. S. and Diffenbaugh, N. S.: Influence of temperature and precipitation variability on near-term snow trends, *Climate Dynamics*, pp. 1099–1116, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2357-4>, 2015.
- Marsh, C. B., Spiteri, R. J., Pomeroy, J. W., and Wheeler, H. S.: Multi-objective unstructured triangular mesh generation for use in hydrological and land surface models, *Computers & Geosciences*, 119, 49 – 67, 2018.
- 20 Marti, R., Gascoïn, S., Berthier, E., De Pinel, M., Houet, T., and Laffly, D.: Mapping snow depth in open alpine terrain from stereo satellite imagery, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 1361–1380, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-1361-2016>, 2016.
- Masson, T., Dumont, M., Mura, M. D., Sirguey, P., Gascoïn, S., Dedieu, J.-P., and Chanussot, J.: An Assessment of Existing Methodologies to Retrieve Snow Cover Fraction from MODIS Data., *Remote Sensing*, 10, 619, <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a9h&AN=129340571&site=ehost-live&scope=site>, 2018.
- 25 McCabe, G. J. and Clark, M. P.: Trends and Variability in Snowmelt Runoff in the Western United States, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 6, 476 – 482, 2005.
- McKerchar, A. I., Pearson, C. P., and Fitzharris, B. B.: Dependency of summer lake inflows and precipitation on spring SOI, *Journal of Hydrology*, 205, 66–80, 1998.
- Mott, R., Vionnet, V., and Grünewald, T.: The Seasonal Snow Cover Dynamics: Review on Wind-Driven Coupling Processes, *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 6, 197, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00197>, <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/feart.2018.00197>, 2018.
- 30 Mullan, A. B., Stuart, S. J., Hadfield, M. G., and Smith, M. J.: Report on the Review of NIWA's 'Seven-Station' Temperature Series, NIWA Information Series No.78, NIWA, https://www.niwa.co.nz/sites/niwa.co.nz/files/import/attachments/Report-on-the-Review-of-NIWAAs-Seven-Station-Temperature-Series_v3.pdf, 2010.
- Mullan, B., Sood, A., and Stuart, S.: Climate Change Projections for New Zealand: Atmosphere Projections Based on Simulations from the IPCC Fifth Assessment, Tech. rep., Ministry for the Environment, 2016.
- 35 Murray, D. L.: Regional Hydrology of the Clutha River, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 14, 83 – 98, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/43944345.pdf>, 1975.
- NIWA: CliFlo: NIWA's National Climate Database on the Web. Last accessed November 2018, <http://cliflo.niwa.co.nz/>, 2018.

- Nolin, A. W.: Recent advances in remote sensing of seasonal snow, *Journal of Glaciology*, 56, 1141–1150, 2010.
- Nolin, A. W. and Dozier, J.: Estimating snow grain size using AVIRIS data, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 44, 231–238, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257\(93\)90018-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(93)90018-S), <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/003442579390018S>, 1993.
- Painter, T. H., Rittger, K., McKenzie, C., Slaughter, P., Davis, R. E., and Dozier, J.: Retrieval of subpixel snow covered area, grain size, and albedo from MODIS, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, 868–879, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.01.001>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425709000029>, 2009.
- Pomeroy, J. W. and Essery, R. L. H.: Turbulent fluxes during blowing snow: field tests of model sublimation predictions, *Hydrological Processes*, 13, 2963–2975, [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-1085\(19991230\)13:18<2963::AID-HYP11>3.0.CO;2-9](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19991230)13:18<2963::AID-HYP11>3.0.CO;2-9), [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/%28SICI%291099-1085%2819991230%2913%3A18%3C2963%3A%3AAID-HYP11%3E3.0.CO%3B2-9, 1999](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/%28SICI%291099-1085%2819991230%2913%3A18%3C2963%3A%3AAID-HYP11%3E3.0.CO%3B2-9,1999).
- Pomeroy, J. W. and Gray, D. M.: Saltation of snow, *Water Resources Research*, 26, 1583–1594, <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR026i007p01583>, <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/WR026i007p01583>, 1990.
- Poyck, S., Hendriks, J., McMillan, H., Hreinsson, E. O., and Woods, R.: Combined snow and streamflow modelling to estimate impacts of climate change on water resources in the Clutha river, New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 2011.
- Prowse, T. and Owens, I. F.: Energy Balance Over Melting Snow, Craigieburn Range, New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (New Zealand)*, 21, 133 – 147, 1982.
- Purdie, H., Mackintosh, A., Lawson, W., and Anderson, B.: Synoptic influences on snow accumulation on glaciers East and West of a topographic divide: Southern Alps, New Zealand, *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research*, 43, 82 – 94, <https://doi.org/10.1657/1938-4246-43.1.82>, 2011.
- Purdie, J. and Bardsley, W.: Seasonal prediction of lake inflows and rainrain in a hydro-electricity catchment, Waitaki River, New Zealand, *International Journal of Climatology*, 30, 372 – 289, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1897>, 2010.
- Raleigh, M. S., Rittger, K., Moore, C. E., Henn, B., Lutz, J. A., and Lundquist, J. D.: Ground-based testing of MODIS fractional snow cover in subalpine meadows and forests of the Sierra Nevada, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 128, 44–57, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.09.016>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425712003768>, 2013.
- Redpath, T. A. N., Sirguey, P., and Cullen, N. J.: Repeat mapping of snow depth across an alpine catchment with RPAS photogrammetry, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 3477 – 3497, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3477-2018>, 2018.
- Renwick, J.: New Zealand national climate summary 2011: A year of extremes, Tech. rep., National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, https://www.niwa.co.nz/sites/niwa.co.nz/files/import/attachments/sclisum_05_3_winter.pdf, James Renwick, 2012.
- Rittger, K., Painter, T. H., and Dozier, J.: Assessment of methods for mapping snow cover from MODIS, *Advances in Water Resources*, 51, 367–380, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.03.002>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0309170812000516>, 2013.
- Roy, D. P., Wulder, M. A., Loveland, T. R., C.E., W., Allen, R. G., Anderson, M. C., Helder, D., Irons, J. R., Johnson, D. M., Kennedy, R., Scambos, T. A., Schaaf, C. B., Schott, J. R., Sheng, Y., Vermote, E. F., Belward, A. S., Bindschadler, R., Cohen, W. B., Gao, F., Hipple, J. D., Hostert, P., Huntington, J., Justice, C. O., Kilic, A., Kovalsky, V., Lee, Z. P., Lymburner, L., Masek, J. G., McCorkel, J., Shuai, Y., Trezza, R., Vogelmann, J., Wynne, R. H., and Zhu, Z.: Landsat-8: Science and product vision for terrestrial global change research, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 145, 154–172, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.02.001>, 2014.
- Saavedra, F. A., Kampf, S. K., Fassnacht, R., and Sibold, J. S.: A snow climatology of the Andes Mountains from MODIS snow cover data, *International Journal of Climatology*, 1539, 1526–1539, <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4795>, 2017.

- Saavedra, F. A., Kampf, S. K., Fassnacht, S. R., and Sibold, J. S.: Changes in Andes snow cover from MODIS data , 2000 – 2016, *The Cryosphere*, pp. 1027–1046, 2018.
- Salinger, J. and Burgess, S.: National Climate Summary - Winter 2005, Tech. rep., National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, https://www.niwa.co.nz/sites/niwa.co.nz/files/import/attachments/sclimsum_05_3_winter.pdf, jim Salinger and Stuart Burgess, 2005.
- 5 Sims, C. and Orwin, J. F.: Snowmelt generation on a hydrologically sensitive mountain range, Pisa Range, Central Otago, New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology (NZ)*, 50, 383–405, 2011.
- Sinclair, M. R.: A climatology of anticyclones and blocking for the Southern Hemisphere, *Monthly Weather Review*, 124, 245 – 263 245 – 263, 1996.
- Sinclair, M. R., Wratt, D. S., Henderson, R. D., and Gray, W. R.: Factors Affecting the Distribution and Spillover of Precipitation in the Southern Alps of New Zealand - A Case Study, *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, 36, 428 – 442, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1997\)036<0428:FATDAS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1997)036<0428:FATDAS>2.0.CO;2), 1997.
- 10 Sirguey, P.: Simple correction of multiple reflection effects in rugged terrain, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30, 1075–1081, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160802348101>, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160802348101>, 2009.
- Sirguey, P., Mathieu, R., Arnaud, Y., Khan, M., and Chanussot, J.: Improving MODIS spatial resolution for snow mapping using wavelet fusion and ARSIS concept, *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 5, 78 – 82, 2008.
- 15 Sirguey, P., Mathieu, R., and Arnaud, Y.: Subpixel monitoring of the seasonal snow cover with MODIS at 250 m spatial resolution in the Southern Alps of New Zealand: Methodology and accuracy assessment, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113, 160–181, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.09.008>, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425708002757>, 2009.
- Sirguey, P., Still, H., Cullen, N. J., Dumont, M., Arnaud, Y., and Conway, J. P.: Reconstructing the mass balance of Brewster Glacier, New Zealand, using MODIS-derived glacier-wide albedo, *The Cryosphere*, 10, 2465–2484, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2465-2016>, <https://www.the-cryosphere.net/10/2465/2016/>, 2016.
- 20 Snelder, T., Briggs, B., and Weatherhead, M.: New Zealand River Environment Classification User Guide, Tech. rep., Ministry for the Environment, 2010.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA’s HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96, 2059–2077, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1>, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1>, 2015.
- 25 Sturman, A. and Tapper, N.: *The weather and climate of Australia and New Zealand*, Oxford University Press, 2 edn., 2006.
- Tait, A., Kavalieris, L., and Fitzharris, B.: An Alternate Method of Representing 2-Dimensional Principal Components: A Climatological Example, *Weather and Climate*, 17, 7–10, 1997.
- 30 Wang, Z. and Jia, S.: A simulation of a large-scale drifting snowstorm in the turbulent boundary layer, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 3841–3851, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3841-2018>, <https://www.the-cryosphere.net/12/3841/2018/>, 2018.




Figure 1. Context map of the Clutha Catchment, including ~~snow-melt~~ snowmelt contribution to stream flow for rivers of stream order 4 and greater, ~~snow-melt~~ snowmelt contribution data from Kerr (2013). The boundaries of the Upper Clutha, Kawarau and Manuherikia sub-catchments are also included.




Figure 2. MODIS imagery processing chain and subsequent [processing \("lightning bolt" symbols\) and](#) analytical steps applied. The fractional snow covered area (fSCA) datacube facilitates the determination of daily snowline elevation (SLE) and snow covered area (SCA). The mean snow cover duration (μ SCD) calculated over the length of the time series provides the basis for calculating annual SCD anomalies. Along with spatial SCD modes from PCA analysis these form the basis for assessing the importance of climatic forcings on spatio-temporal variability in SCD.




Figure 3. Individual mountain ranges mapped out within the Clutha Catchment for analysis of SCD variability and temperature and precipitation sensitivity. The end point for HYSPLIT back trajectories is also shown.




Figure 4. Maps of the mean duration of cloud occurrence (a), mean of the maximum annual cloud occurrence duration (b), and histograms of both (c).




Figure 5. Mean annual SCD, (μ_{SCD}), (a) and associated coefficient of variation, CV (CV_{SCD}), (b) for the Clutha Catchment, 2001-2016.




Figure 6. 10-day moving average of daily snow covered area (SCA) (top) and snowline elevation (SLE) (bottom). The mean of each is shown in black, accompanied by the envelopes of associated standard deviation, range and standard error to illustrate the spread of trajectories, and the uncertainty of the mean, respectively.




Figure 7. Mean SCD (left) and associated coefficient of variability ($\frac{\sigma}{\mu}$) (right) as a function of aspect (top), and elevation (bottom). For clarity, a random sub-sample of 50,000 pixels is displayed in the plots.




Figure 8. 5-day moving average of daily snow covered area (SCA) and percentage of mean snow covered area for all years (upper two panels), and daily snowline elevation (SLE) and daily SLE anomaly (lower two panels). Mean daily values, repeated for each year, are shown in gray in the daily SCA and SLE plots.




Figure 9. Timeline of occurrence of 10, 15 and 20% basin snow covered area (bSCA) for the Clutha Catchment for hydrological years 2001-2016.




Figure 10. Box-plots of winter (01 June-30 September) snowline elevation for all years. The upper and lower bounds of the boxes are the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. Outliers are represented by '+' symbols.




Figure 11. The first six spatial principal components of SCD anomaly.

Cumulative explained variance for 16 principal components of SCD anomaly. The red line indicates 77% of explained variance.




Figure 12. (Left) Loadings for the first 6 principal components of SCD anomaly (77% of total variance) for each hydrological year (which corresponds with the winter of the preceding calendar year). (Right) Pair-wise distance between PC loadings.




Figure 13. Relationship between mean annual SCD, and mean elevation of each mountain range. Ranges are sorted from left to right by their proximity to the main divide of the Southern Alps. The relationship between elevation and snow cover persistence was found to be much stronger in the western (within 60 km of the main divide) part of the catchment than in the east.




Figure 14. SCD anomaly (in days) for geographic units of mountain ranges within the Clutha Catchment. Mountain ranges are sorted and symbolised by their proximity to the main divide of the Southern Alps, revealing spatial gradients in SCD anomaly across the catchment.




Figure 15. Regressions between the sensitivity of SCD to temperature (**A**top) and precipitation (**B**bottom) and correlation coefficient (R^2) in terms of the relationship between mean elevation of each range SCD and temperature for areas above the median winter snowline (**C**left) and precipitation (**D**) in terms of distance of each range from the Main Divide (**right**). In each case, regression is applied to all ranges, and to the subset for which $p < 0.05$ for range level sensitivity




Figure 16. Spatial variability in the regression parameters of slope and R^2 between SCD anomaly and temperature and precipitation anomalies for each mountain range within the Clutha Catchment.

Scatter plots with linear regression between average winter SOI phase and annualised loadings for principal components 1-6.




Figure 17. (Left) Mean monthly atmospheric pressure (mean sea level) plotted for five climate stations within the Clutha Catchment. Record lengths vary from eight (dashed lines) to 16 years (solid lines). (Right) Anomalies in winter mean air temperature for low elevation stations in the Clutha Catchment. Anomalies for each station were calculated with respect to the mean of the the station record. Data from the NIWA National Climate Database(CliFlo) (NIWA, 2018).

Landcover classes above 1000 m in the Clutha Catchment, data from the New Zealand Landcover Database v4.1 (Landcare Research, 2015)

| Class | Area (km ²) | Class % |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| All other classes | 108.8 | 1.7 |
| Permanent Snow and Ice | 144.6 | 2.2 |
| Indigenous Forest | 185.0 | 2.8 |
| Low Producing Grassland | 225.0 | 3.4 |
| Alpine Grass/Herbfield | 269.6 | 4.1 |
| Sub Alpine Shrubland | 320.4 | 4.9 |
| Gravel or Rock | 811.5 | 12.3 |
| Tall Tussock Grassland | 4537.7 | 68.7 |
| Total | 6602.4 | 100.0 |

Table 1. Basin wide snow covered area (bSCA) temporal metrics. These metrics were calculated annually, per-pixel.

| Name | Description | bSCA (%) |
|-------------------------|--|------------|
| tSCD | Total number of snow cover days | 10, 15, 20 |
| maxSCD | Maximum continuous number of days exceeding $fSCA_t$ | 10, 15, 20 |
| maxSCD _{onset} | First day of maxSCD | 10, 15, 20 |
| maxSCD _{loss} | Last day of maxSCD | 10, 15, 20 |

Table 2. k-means clusters based on principal component loadings of SCD anomalies.

| Cluster | | | |
|---------|------|------|------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2007 | 2002 | 2001 | 2003 |
| 2009 | 2006 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 2011 | 2013 | 2008 | 2010 |
| - | 2015 | 2012 | 2016 |
| - | - | 2014 | - |

Table 3. Robust (bi-square) regression parameters between mean elevation and mean SCD for mountain ranges within the Clutha Catchment. Regression was carried out for all ranges together, and for those ranges within 60 km of the main divide, and more than 60 km from the main divide.

| Ranges | n | β (d m ⁻¹) | c | R^2 | RMSE | p |
|-----------------|-----|------------------------------|---------|-------|------|------|
| All | 36 | 0.24 | -246.11 | 0.50 | 24.8 | 0.00 |
| < 60 km of M.D. | 24 | 0.32 | -370.52 | 0.74 | 20.3 | 0.00 |
| > 60 km of M.D. | 12 | 0.07 | 3.89 | 0.07 | 25.1 | 0.41 |

Table 4. Parameters of regression between basin snow cover metrics and winter SOI and SAM values.

| Metric | bSCA (%) | SOI | | | SAM | | |
|-------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------|------|--------------|----------------|------|
| | | sign β | R ² | p | sign β | R ² | p |
| tSCD | 10 | - | 0.06 | 0.37 | + | 0.04 | 0.46 |
| | 15 | - | 0.02 | 0.62 | + | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| | 20 | - | 0.04 | 0.46 | + | 0.10 | 0.23 |
| maxSCD | 10 | - | 0.02 | 0.56 | + | 0.07 | 0.31 |
| | 15 | - | 0.08 | 0.29 | + | 0.05 | 0.37 |
| | 20 | - | 0.11 | 0.20 | + | 0.17 | 0.10 |
| maxSCD _{onset} | 10 | + | 0.03 | 0.51 | - | 0.03 | 0.50 |
| | 15 | + | 0.00 | 0.95 | - | 0.07 | 0.33 |
| | 20 | + | 0.02 | 0.63 | - | 0.03 | 0.52 |
| maxSCD _{loss} | 10 | - | 0.00 | 0.91 | + | 0.04 | 0.46 |
| | 15 | - | 0.08 | 0.30 | + | 0.00 | 0.89 |
| | 20 | - | 0.12 | 0.18 | + | 0.03 | 0.50 |

Table 5. Parameters for significant ($p \leq 0.05$) linear multiple regression models between PC loadings and north-east (NE), east (E), south-east (SE) and south (S) trajectory frequency anomalies over varying time periods. Significant ($p \leq 0.05$) predictive variables are denoted by *.

| PC | t (h) | β_0 | β_1 (NE) | β_2 (E) | β_3 (SE) | β_4 (S) | R^2 | adj. R^2 | p |
|----|-------|-----------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-------|------------|-------|
| 1 | -96 | -0.21 | 0.41* | 0.00 | -0.03 | -0.19 | 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.03 |
| 2 | -72 | -0.28 | -0.23 | -0.34* | 0.29* | 0.52* | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.009 |
| 2 | -96 | -0.37 | 0.00 | -0.20 | -0.11 | 0.63* | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.04 |
| 3 | -24 | -0.62 | 0.04 | 0.43* | -0.26* | 0.42 | 0.73 | 0.63 | 0.004 |
| 3 | -48 | -0.72* | 0.18 | 0.34* | -0.05 | 0.26 | 0.56 | 0.43 | 0.033 |