

Review of the revised manuscript entitled “Assessment of near 0°C temperature and precipitation characteristics across Canada” by Mekis et al. 2019

The authors have addressed most of my comments in the revised manuscript. However, there are still a few minor issues in the revised manuscript that should be addressed.

1. Lines 120-124. The country is divided into 6 broad regions. Does this study show any results for these 6 broad regions?
2. Lines 167-169. The information regarding the average annual surface temperature lines is out of context. Is this provided on fig. 2?
3. Table 2. The caption is incomplete. Average annual frequencies of the number of days, hours, events and maximum duration when $-2^{\circ}\text{C} \leq T \leq 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ (in brackets) with the 31 year...
4. Table 3. Should not provide '(no)' for no significant change but instead mention in the caption that no brackets means no significant change.
5. Table 4. What are “Onset” and “Cessation” trends? Are these expressions necessary? They need to be defined.
6. Fig. 2 caption. “Blue ellipses and red crosses”: blue crosses instead?
7. Fig. 8. Why is fig. 8 not in agreement with Table 2? For example, St. Johns shows an increase of 7 hours in maximum duration in Table 2 while fig. 8 shows a decrease. Toronto shows a decrease of 26.9 hours in Table 2 while fig. 8 show a decrease of about 400 hours.
8. Fig. 11. The temperature pathways and corresponding text need more explanation. Is this section and figure really needed?