

Interactive comment on “High-resolution hydrometeorological modelling of the June 2013 flood in southern Alberta, Canada” by V. Vionnet et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 9 August 2019

Dear authors,

Your study is a case study (a nice one, I admit). I am personally not very interested in such studies, where actually only the data owner and model operators learn something about their specific data chain in a single event in their region. With some adjustments it might fit in NHESS. For HESS I see too limited added information for the community.

Where is the true novelty? You use well citable tools and data for a specific event. Their present combination might be novel, but surely not original (different resolution, different initial conditions, different density of stations).

Where is the solid statistic?

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



See the attached document for additional comments.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/hess-2019-152/hess-2019-152-RC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2019-152>, 2019.

HESSD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

