Reply to reviewers (HESS-2018-81)

Referee #1

1) *Disclosure and data location*: We have made the changes as outlined in the interactive reply to the reviewer (HESS-2018-81-AC1) and as previously discussed with the Editors. This includes a

5 statement in the Introduction that the exact site location cannot be report due to 'proprietary reasons'. We have included additional details in the general site description, as requested by the Editors.

2) *Dissimilatory nitrate reduction (DNRA*): We added in the Introduction (p.2, line 33) the equation for DNRA along with those for denitrification and anammox. However, we also concluded (p. 11; line

10 32) that unlike other subtropical estuaries DNRA is unlikely to be a key process in this environment because it cannot account for the simultaneous decline in both NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ in the profiles. The discrepancy between this and other studies can be accounted for by the unusual 1:1 molar ratio for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ in the contamination source, which would suit anammox best.

Minor comments.

20

25

15 3.25. *What River*?: Unfortunately, the site owner clearly indicated the name of the river could not be revealed as a condition to release data for publication.

4.5. *Rubber mats*: See our reply in HESS-2018-81-AC1. Essentially, the sampling environment was challenging and we did the best we could do. The interval between sampling points was deliberately kept relatively large to account for potential disturbances during sampling. We have removed the last sentence of this paragraph to avoid confusion.

4.25. *Filtration of nitrate samples:* The water samples for the stable isotopes of nitrate were filtered through a $0.2 \,\mu$ m filter and then frozen.

9.24. *Isotopic signature for groundwater*: A detailed answer was provided in HESS-2018-81-AC1. Essentially, due to widespread contamination at the site it is not clear where 'pristine' groundwater could have been found and building a meteoric water line for the site would require several years of sampling, well beyond our capacity. The use of Sydney rainfall and groundwater as a proxy is reasonable in our opinion as it is close (less than 100 km) from the site and also near the coastline.

Fig. 2. Show directions to sea: Done

Other figures: Based on the advice from both reviewers, we have updated most of the figures and revised most of the figure captions

Reviewer#2

1) *First study combining intertidal and hyporheic mixing*: We now highlight this at the end of the abstract and in the title

35 2) *Site location*: See response to similar concern by Reviewer #1.

3) *Present oxygen saturation levels in Table 1:* Because of the qualitative nature of this data (that is, it was collected from purge water only), we left as is. The main message is that oxygen levels were low in porewater and a correction for %saturation is not required to demonstrate that.

4) Salinity vs. chlorinity: Whilst it is customary to use salinity as a conservative tracer in estuarine environments, it is not appropriate here because the reactive constituents (NH_4^+ and NO_3^-) concentrations are high enough to influence salinity. Thus, chlorinity was used to evaluate mixing instead.

5 5) *Figure 1*: We have slightly updated the figure to more strongly emphasise the hyporheic zone.

6) Figure 3: The graph was updated as per the reviewer's suggestions.

7) *Figure 4*: Chlorinity now expressed in g/L throughout the manuscript. Figure has been 'decluttered' as much as feasible.

8) Figures 5 and 6 have been redrawn to remove the confusion

10 9) Figure 7: Comments about the Profile 2 samples included.

10) Figure 8: Caption corrected to 'dashed line'.

11) Figure 9: The meaning of the arrows is now explained

12) Figure 10: The main inference from the figure now explained in the caption.

13) The intrepid reviewer provided three pages of minor revisions...we have agreed with most of
these or have clarified the statement in other ways. These changes can be found in track-change in
the text. Notable modifications include:

- One requested change not agreed to was to use 'intertidal recirculation' instead of 'seawater recirculation'. The latter term is common usage at present and the former could be confused with the specific process of 'tidal circulation' we referred to elsewhere in the text. However, following Heiss and Michael (2014), tidal recirculation is now 'tide-induced circulation' in the text and on Figure 1.
- Yes, the reviewer read correctly, N concentrations were up to the g/L range. The site is
 indeed under the close scrutiny of the local Environmental Protection Authority and the
 (new) owner of the industrial facility is actively trying to address the problem (hence this
 study).
- No, the reviewer did not get the volume of porewater pumped out correctly. It is 210 mL as stated. We can only assume some confusion with the paragraph below, which is about the processing of the samples, not new volumes collected.
- We have not provided a specific reference for the isotope ratio mass spectrometry of the water samples because it is essentially a routine technique and all the instrumentation used is in the text. The interested readers can easily contact GNS New Zealand (the largest provider for isotopic analyses in the southern hemisphere) for additional details. However, due to the unusual results obtained for δ¹⁸O-H₂O, we did seek confirmation from the laboratory that the numbers were accurate. They confirmed the results were correct and this gave us the confidence to go ahead with a deeper interpretation.
 - We have not included additional information about age-dating of groundwater with radon because we made little use of this information.
 - Nitritation is not a typo. It is the production of nitrite.
 - Page 11 line 7. I could not see the problem with the Greek symbols on the original document
- 40

20

25

30

Nitrogen attenuation, dilution and recycling in the intertidal hyporheic zone of a subtropical estuaryat the groundwater surface water interface of a subtropical estuary inferred from the stable isotope composition of nitrate and water

5

Authors: Sébastien Lamontagne¹, Frédéric Cosme², Andrew Minard², and Andrew Holloway³

Affiliations:

10 ¹CSIRO Land & Water, PB 2, Glen Osmond 5064, Australia ²Golder Associates, Richmond, VIC 3121, Australia ³Golder Associates, St Leonards, NSW 2065, Australia *Correspondence to: S. Lamontagne (sebastien.lamontagne@csiro.au)

15 Abstract. Estuarine environments have a dynamic groundwater - surface water interface driven by terrestrial groundwater discharge, tidal cycles, waves and other processes. This interface also corresponds to an active biogeochemical environment. Tidal estuarine channels have complex and dynamic interfaces controlled by upland groundwater discharge, waves, tides, and channel velocities that also control biogeochemical processes within adjacent sediments. In an Australian subtropical estuary, An assessment of discharging groundwater with elevated 20 (>300 mg N L⁻¹) NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations at such an interface located in a subtropical estuary had indicated that 80 % of the N was attenuated at this interface, one of the highest N removal rates (>100 mmol m⁻² day⁻¹) measured for intertidal sediments. The remaining N was also diluted by a factor of two or more by mixing with surface water before being discharged to the estuary. Most of the mixing occurred in a 'hyporheic zone' in the upper 50 cm of the channel riverbed. However, groundwater entering this zone was already partially mixed (12 -25 60%) with surface water via_a-tide-inducedal circulation-cell. Below the hypothesic zone (50 - 125 cm below the channel river bed), NO₃⁻ concentrations declined slightly faster than NH₄⁺ concentrations and $\delta^{15}N_{NO_2}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_2}$ gradually increased, suggesting a co-occurrence of anammox and denitrification. In the hypothesic zone, $\delta^{15}N_{NO_2}$ continued to become enriched (consistent with either denitrification or anammox) but $\delta^{18}O_{NO_2}$ became more depleted (indicating some nitrification). The discrepancy between <u>A high</u> $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ (23 – 35‰) and <u>a low</u> 30 $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ (1.2 - 8.2‰) in all porewater samples indicated that the original synthetic nitrate pool (industrial <u>NH₄NO₃</u>; $\delta^{15}N \sim 0\%$; $\delta^{18}O \sim 18 - 20\%$) had turned-over <u>completely</u> during transport in the aquifer before reaching the <u>channel river</u>bed. Whilst porewater NO₃⁻ was more δ^{18} O depleted than its synthetic source, porewater $\delta^{18} \theta_{H_{2}0}$ (-3.2 to -1.8‰) was enriched by 1–4‰ relative to rainfall-derived groundwater mixed with seawater. Isotopic fractionation from H₂O uptake during the N cycle and H₂O production during synthetic NO₃⁻ reduction 35 are the probable causes for this $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ enrichment. Whilst occurring at a smaller spatial scale than tide-induced

Formatted: Subscript Formatted: Subscript

circulation, hyporheic exchange can provide a similar magnitude of mixing and biogeochemical transformations for groundwater solutes discharging through intertidal zones.

Keywords: groundwater – surface water interactions, submarine groundwater discharge, nitrate, isotopic fractionation, hyporheic

5 1 Introduction

10

15

20

35

In permeable sediments, there is active mixing between surface water and groundwater by hyporheic exchange and seawater recirculation (Jones and Mulholland, 2000;Heiss and Michael, 2014) (Fig. 1). Hyporheic exchange is induced by flows and currents over uneven riverbeds creating zones where surface water moves in and porewater moves out of the sediments (Harvey and Bencala, 1993). In marine environments, tides, wave action and density differences between discharging fresh groundwater and seawater also generate groundwater – surface water mixing (collectively referred to here as 'seawaterseawater recirculation') (Burnett et al., 2003;Sawyer et al., 2013;Precht and Huettel, 2003;Pool et al., 2015). When concentrations are more elevated in groundwater, hyporheic exchange and seawater recirculation can spread a solute load over time and in general will tend to lower concentrations at the discharge point (Li et al., 1999;Murgulet and Tick, 2016). However, because hyporheic exchange and seawater recirculation also bring labile organic matter, oxygen and other compounds to the subsurface (Santos et al., 2011;Ahmerkamp et al., 2017), mixing zones are also very active biogeochemical environments where <u>reactive</u> contaminants like NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ can be attenuated via a range of biogeochemical processes (Ullman et al., 2003;Abe et al., 2009;Ueda et al., 2003). When attenuation also takes place, both the contaminant concentration and the contaminant load to surface water is reduced by groundwater – surface water exchange.

The mixing and attenuation of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ in contaminated groundwater discharging into a subtropical southeast Australian estuary was evaluated by collecting <u>channel river</u>bed porewater profiles using <u>a</u> drive points (Cranswick et al., 2014). <u>Chloride concentrations were used as a stable tracer to determine the effect of movement and mixing</u>A conservative tracer (chloride) evaluated mixing, ²²²Rn estimated residence times (Hoehn and Cirpka, 2006;Lamontagne and Cook, 2007) and various other parameters (including NH4⁺ and NO3⁻ concentrations and the <u>dual isotopes-isotopic composition</u> of <u>NOa</u>⁻nitrate) evaluated N cycling in the subsurface. The isotopic composition of water was also initially measured to evaluate mixing owing to the large difference in isotopic composition between rainfall-derived groundwater and seawater (Clark and Fritz, 1997). Instead, owing to the large NOa⁻ concentrations in this environment, <u>However</u>, the isotopic composition of <u>ground</u>water <u>was found not</u> to be conservative and was used to further evaluate N attenuation processes. The implications for the evaluation of the N cycle in contaminated aquifers are discussed. For proprietary reasons, details on the exact location of the site are not being reported.

1.1 Key biogeochemical processes

In a contaminated aquifer environment, some of the key processes likely to control the N cycle will include nitrification (Casciotti et al., 2010):

 $NH_4^+ + 2O_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + H_2O + 2H^+$

(1),

denitrification (here shown via organic matter oxidation; (Schiff and Anderson, 1987)):

 $(CH_2O)_{106}(NH_3)_{16}(H_3PO_4) + 94.4 NO_3^- + 92.4H^+ \rightarrow 106CO_2 + 55.2 N_2 + HPO_4^{-2} + 177.2 H_2O_4 + 1000 H_2O_4 + 100$ (2),dissimilatory NO3- reduction to NH4+ (DNRA, here shown via organic matter oxidation; (Schiff and Anderson, 1987)):

 $(CH_2O)_{106}(NH_3)_{16}(H_3PO_4) + 53NO_3^- + 120H^+ \rightarrow 106CO_2 + 69NH_4^+ + HPO_4^{-2} + 53H_2O_2^-$ 5 (3), and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox; (Brunner et al., 2013)): $1.3NO_2^- + NH_4^+ \rightarrow N_2 + 0.3NO_3^- + 2H_2O$ (43).

Annamox tends to co-occur with other biogeochemical processes producing NO2-, such as denitrification (Zhou et al., 2016). Other possible reactions include ion exchange with aquifer materials, the assimilation of NH4⁺ and

- 10 NO3⁻ into microbial biomass- dissimilatory NO3⁻ reduction to NH4⁺, and the mineralisation of organic-N during decomposition (Casciotti, 2016; Appelo and Postma, 1993). All the above biogeochemical reactions are expected to modify the nitrogen $({}^{15}N;{}^{14}N)$ and oxygen $({}^{18}O;{}^{16}O)$ isotope ratios in the original NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ pools via kinetic fractionation and isotopic equilibrium effects (isotopic ratios are generally expressed in parts per thousands (‰) relative to a standard using the del (δ) notation or, for $\delta^{15}N$, (^{15}N : $^{14}N_{sample}/^{15}N$: $^{14}N_{standard} - 1$) · 1000). For
- 15 example, a NO3⁻ pool undergoing denitrification will become more enriched in its heavier isotopes as the lighter ones are selectively removed. The enrichment factor for $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ during denitrification $({}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO_3 \to N_2})$ has been found to vary from 9 – 20‰ and the one for $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ ($^{18}\varepsilon_{NO_3 \rightarrow N_2}$) from 4 – 16‰ (Knoller et al., 2011;Bottcher et al., 1990;Dahnke and Thamdrup, 2013;Wenk et al., 2014). Anammox also strongly fractionates ¹⁵N in the NH4⁺, NO2⁻ and NO3⁻ pools present via kinetic and isotopic equilibrium effects (Brunner et al., 2013). However, the
- 20 systematics for oxygen fractionation during anammox are not known (Casciotti, 2016). Nitrification is a special case because the δ^{18} O signature of the NO₃⁻ produced will be a function of the isotopic signature of the ambient O2 and H2O (Mayer et al., 2001;Snider et al., 2010;Casciotti et al., 2010). Synthetically produced NO3⁻ tends to $be~^{18}O\text{-enriched relative to}~NO_3^{-} produced ~via nitrification because \\ \frac{in~synthetic}{NO_3}^{-} all ~the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen in the oxygen is atmospheric to a structure of the oxygen in the oxygen in$ in origin ($\delta^{18} O_{0_2} \sim 23\%$) whereas during nitrification two out of three O originates from water, which is generally $^{18}\text{O}\text{-depleted}$ ($\delta^{18} \textit{O}_{H_2\textit{O}} < 5 \text{\%}$) relative to atmospheric O₂ (Mengis et al., 2001). 25

1.2 Terminology

30 35

The following terms are used to define either different sources of water or exchange processes in the profiles. Porewater is used for any water recovered in the subsurface, regardless of its origin. Terrestrial groundwater is used for groundwater originating from rainfall recharge before any significant mixing with estuarine water has occurred. The hyporheic zone is defined as the upper part of the channel river bed where surface and subsurface water mix because of processes such as currents, wave pumping or any other. Tide-inducedal circulation is the process by which estuarine water tends to move inland over the freshwater table during the rising tide and discharges back to the estuary during the falling tide. Surface water represents the estuary. When describing the profiles, porewater from below the hyporheic zone is further referred to as groundwater while porewater within the hyporheic zone is further referred to as hyporheic water.

2 Methods

Formatted: Subscript Formatted: Superscript Formatted: Subscript Formatted: Superscript

2.1 Site description

the intertidal zone.

The site is located in the estuarine section of a large river on the <u>south</u>east coast of Australia. The flow regime is <u>similar to other large rivers in this region, with occasional floods flushing the estuary with freshwater and</u> prolonged low-flow periods resulting in seawater-like salinities near the mouth. The tidal amplitude in the lower <u>estuary is similar to the ocean (1 – 2 m). The climate is subtropical with precipitation (~800 mm) lower than</u> potential evaporation (~1730 mm). Land-use in the catchment is also typical for southeast Australia, including <u>conservation areas, farming and mining in the headwaters and a mixture of urban, industrial and conservation</u> <u>areas in the estuary</u>-where an industrial facility is located. The site itself is located near an industrial facility on <u>partially reclaimed land</u>. Groundwater NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations are elevated <u>in and near the industrial</u> <u>facility</u> (>5000 mg N L⁻¹ at some locations). The groundwater contamination is widespread at the site and may have several sources. In other words, there is not a single contamination point and associated groundwater plume downgradient. However, the most impacted area is located on the south-eastern side of the site and the associated discharge point along the estuary is known. This area has been instrumented with nested piezometers transects in the four hydrostratigraphic units present including <u>the uppermost</u> units 1 and 2, the two most likely to outcrop in

15

20

25

30

5

10

Three drive point profiles were collected in the intertidal zone in the vicinity of the main impacted area (Fig. 2). Profile 2 was located in the alignment of the transect of nested piezometers described above, whilst profiles 1 and 3 were <u>located</u> approximately-<u>located</u> 100 m south and north from Profile 2, respectively. The intertidal zone at the site consists of a steep artificial rock embankment abutting a silty sand <u>channel bedriverbed</u> interspersed with oyster beds on harder substrates. The <u>channel river</u>bed would typically only be exposed <u>only</u> for a few hours at <u>each</u> low tide. Sampling occurred on the afternoon of 27 April 2017 and was planned to coincide with the <u>minimum</u> monthly low tide level to maximise the window of time available to access the riverbed. Profile 1 was collected at the end of the ebbing tide, Profile 2 at low tide, and Profile 3 during the beginning of the flood tide. The sampling locations were 2 - 5 m offshore <u>offrom</u> the rock embankment (to prevent interference from buried rocks) and in approximately 1 - 10 cm of surface water. Rubber mats were deployed on the <u>channel river</u>bed around the drive points to minimise disturbance during sampling. This was only partially successful due to the soft nature of the sediments.

The profiles were collected using a drive point system designed to collect sediment porewater at up to 1.25 m-depth below ground surfacepermeable riverbeds. The drive point consisted of a 1.5 m x 24 mm outer diameter stainless steel tube to which in which a 10 cm drive point heads was attachedean be serewed on. The drive point heads had a 5 cm screeens and wasere connected to the surface via a 5 mm ID PVC tube in order to minimise the need for purging between samples. The drive points wasare gently inserted at suitable depths by gently-hammered at suitable depths whilst being protected from damage by a ing over a removable snuggly-fitting brass shoe.

35 2.2 Sample collection

At each location, porewater was sampled at 25 cm intervals from the-<u>channel river</u>bed surface down to 1.25 m deep (only to 1.0 m at Profile 1). This sampling interval was defined to maintain a high enough vertical resolution to capture the hyporheic zone while minimising the risk to entrain water from adjacent intervals during sample

collection. At each depth, ~65 mL was first purged using a hand-held peristaltic pump. The purge water was used to collect field measurements, including for electrical conductivity, oxygen concentration, pH, temperature, and redox potential using calibrated probes. A further 60 mL was then collected for major ions, 20 mL for NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, 20 mL for radon-222, 5 mL for the stable isotopes of water, and 40 mL for the stable isotopes of nitrate. Overall, ~210 mL of porewater was removed at each depth. Assuming a porosity ~0.3 and that porewater was drawn to the drive point from a sphere around the screen, the radius of influence (~6 cm) should not have overlapped between adjacent sampling depths.

10

15

20

25

30

35

5

Samples for chloride and nutrients were collected in 250 mL plastic containers, stored at 4°C in the field, and 0.45 µm-filtered within a few hours of collection. Samples for stable isotopes of water were 0.45 µm-filtered in the field and stored in 2 mL vials. Samples for the stable isotopes of nitrate were stored in 60 mL containers and kept at 4°C in the field, and 0.2 µm-filtered and frozen within a few hours offrom collection. Radon-222 samples were collected following the DC method of Leaney and Herczeg (2006). Briefly, the tip of a 20 mL disposable syringe was inserted into the exit tubing from the peristaltic pump and then gently filled by pumping. An initial 6 mL sample was used to flush the syringe and remove air bubbles, followed by a 14-mL sample. The syringe was then fitted with a 0.45 µm pore-sized disposable filter and <u>a</u> needle. The radon sample was preserved by injecting below a mineral oil-scintillant mixture in a pre-weighed scintillation vial.

A surface water sample was collected at the beginning and at the end of the sampling period. Sample collection was as for the drive points, with the exception that field parameters were measured by suspending the probes in the estuary and radon-222 was collected using the PET method (Leaney and Herczeg, 2006) to account for lower expected radon activities in surface water.

2.3 Analytical methods

Chloride and nitrogen species (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻) were <u>determined</u>measured by colorimetry at ALS Environmental in Newcastle, <u>New South Wales</u>. The detection limit for chloride and nitrogen species are 1 and 0.01 mg L⁻¹ respectively. Stable isotopes of water were sent for analysis <u>to_at</u> GNS New Zealand and were measured on an Isoprime mass spectrometer, for δ^{18} O by water equilibration at 25°C using an Aquaprep device, for δ^{2} H by reduction at 1100 °C using a Eurovector Chrome HD elemental analyser. All results are reported with respect to VSMOW2, normalized to internal standards. The analytical precision for this instrument is 0.2‰ for δ^{18} O and 2.0‰ for δ^{2} H. The stable isotopes of nitrate <u>samples</u> were <u>sent to Leeder Analytical (Melbourne) to be</u> analysed using the bacterial denitrification method to convert NO₃⁻ into N₂O prior to measurement by isotope ratio mass spectroscopy-at Leeder Analytical (Melbourne). Nitrate nitrogen isotope ratios are reported relative to N₂ in air and oxygen isotope ratios relative to VSMOW reference water. Internal nitrate isotopic standards were calibrated to the following standards: IAEA-NO₃⁻ (+4.7‰_{air}, 25.32‰_{VSMOW}), USGS32 (+180 ‰_{air}, 25.40‰ v_{SMOW}), USGS34 (-1.8‰_{air}, -27.78‰ v_{SMOW}) and USGS 35 (+2.7‰_{air}, 56.81‰ v_{SMOW}). The precision on the nitrogen and oxygen isotopic <u>analyse</u>measurements is ±0.5‰. Radon-222 activity was measured by liquid scintillation at CSIRO, Adelaide, with a detection limit of ~3 and 100 mBq L⁻¹, for the PET and DC methods, respectively, with a precision of 3 – 5 %.

2.4 Interpretation

Formatted: Font: Symbol

10

Separating the role of mixing between groundwater and surface water in the hyporheic zone from the one of attenuation during nitrogen transport in the subsurface <u>isean be</u> challenging. A simple graphical approach developed for surface water discharge to estuaries was used to differentiate the contribution between mixing and attenuation in the hyporheic zone (Ullman et al., 2003). In estuaries, river freshwater and associated nutrients mix with estuarine waters before discharging to the ocean. Tidal cycles in estuaries result in little net movement of water in or out of the estuary but generate significant mixing. This mixing is a dispersive process i.e. solutes tend to move from high to low concentration areas even when no <u>solvent movement occursnet exchange of water</u> occurs. Similarly, hyporheic exchange can be viewed as a dispersive process in the <u>channel river</u>bed, with no net exchange of water but a transport of solutes from high to low concentration areas (Qian et al., 2008). In applying the concept developed for estuaries to hyporheic exchange, the discharging groundwater flowing through the hyporheic zone is considered to act as the 'river', the hyporheic zone is considered to act as the 'ocean' end-member.

The dynamics of mixing in estuaries at steady-state have been described by Officer (1979) and Officer and Lynch (1981):

$$15 F = Qc - K_x A \frac{dc}{dx} (54),$$

where *F* is the flux of a reactive solute out of the estuary, *Q* is river discharge, *c* the solute concentration in the river, K_x the longitudinal dispersion coefficient, *A* the cross-sectional area of the estuary and dc/dx the solute concentration gradient along the estuary. The approach assumes no density stratification (i.e. the water column is perfectly mixed). At steady-state the distribution of salinity (s; or any other conservative tracer) along the estuary is:

$$Qs - K_x A \frac{ds}{dx} = 0 \tag{65}.$$

Incorporating the two equations, the variations in the reactive solute concentration can be expressed as a function of the variations in salinity:

 $F = Q \left(c - s \frac{dc}{ds} \right)$

20

The advantage of this approach is graphical because the e_{c} ffects of attenuation and mixing <u>on reactive</u> solutes can be evaluated visually (Fig. 3). In the case of a solute that is entirely conservative (Line A in Fig. 3), the mixing line is linear. In this case, there is no addition or removal of the solute during transport through the hyporheic zone and the solute flux out is simply Qc. However, when a solute is produced in the hyporheic zone

30

35

25

hyporheic zone and the solute flux out is simply Qc. However, when a solute is produced in the hyporheic zone (Line B), its concentration will fall above and, when it is consumed, it will fall below the mixing line (lines C and D). The intercept of the tangent of these curves from the surface water end-member (c_0^*) is an estimate of the effective solute concentration leaving the hyporheic zone. In other words, c_0^* is the concentration that the estuary would 'receive' if there was no mixing in the hyporheic zone, only attenuation. The solute flux out of the hyporheic zone is Qc_0^* . In the case where c_0^* is negative (Line D), all the groundwater input of the solute is consumed within the hyporheic zone. The negative flux Qc_0^* also means that the hyporheic zone is also-a sink for solutes imported from the surface water by mixing.

As in the present investigation the hyporheic zone only covered a part of the considered depth profiles, the application of the Officer (1979) model required an adaptation. Below the hyporheic zone, it can be assumed that there is no mixing while attenuation is possible, whereas in the hyporheic zone both mixing and attenuation can occur. Thus, the profiles were interpreted in two parts: Below (constant <u>chlorinitysalinity</u>) and within the

(76).

hyporheic zone (variable <u>chlorinitysalinity</u>). The two key assumptions of the application of the Officer (1979) model to the hyporheic zone context is that it is assumed groundwater flow is largely vertical at the scale of the measurements and that concentrations patterns are near steady-state. <u>Whilst it is customary to use salinity to evaluate mixing in estuarine environments, here chlorinity was used instead because the reactive constituents of interest can represent a significant proportion of the salinity.</u>

3 Results

Incidental measurements of water level in the clear tubing of the drive points showed a hydraulic head ~50 cm above river level at 1 m depth in Profile 2 and ~0.2 m above river level at 1.25 m depth in Profile 3. This was consistent with numerous small seeps at the foot of the embankment, showing <u>ubiquitousgeneralised</u> groundwater discharge in the intertidal zone at low tide.

3.1 Field parameters

15

5

10

The three profiles differed markedly in their field parameters (Table 1). Relative to surface water from the estuary, porewater was less <u>conductivesaline</u>, especially deeper in the profiles, and also slightly more acidic. Whilst pH was ~7.8 in surface water, it ranged from 6.8 in Profile 2 to 7.1 in Profile 1. The most variable field parameter in the profiles was oxygen concentration. All profiles had declining O₂ with depth but over a different range. Profile 1 was well oxygenated throughout ($7.8 - 9.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), Profile 2 was suboxic ($1.9 - 3.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$) and Profile 3 varied from suboxic at 1.25 m (1.0 mg L^{-1}) to well oxygenated at 25 cm (9.6 mg L^{-1}).

3.2 Chloride

20

25

30

Based on chloride, the groundwater at the base of the profiles was largely terrestrial groundwater in origin mixed with some estuarine water. The groundwater Cl⁻ concentration at nested piezometer P3 (~140 m inland; Fig. 2) was previously found to varywaries between 0.028 mg L^{-1} (Unit 1) and 0.047 mg L^{-1} (Unit 2; A. Minard, *unpublished data*). By comparison, surface water was 15,000 mg L⁻¹ at the time of sampling and porewater at the base of the profiles was 1,880, 3,290 and 8,750 mg L⁻¹ for profiles 2, 1 and 3, respectively (Fig. 4a). Thus, at 100 – 125 cm, profiles 1 and 2 were composed of 12 – 20 % surface water while Profile 3 was 60 % surface water. In general, chloride concentrations remained constant between 75 and 125 cm but increased <u>upwardat 50 cm and especially at 25cm</u>, indicating the hyporheic mixing zone was approximately 50 cm in thickness. However, Cl⁻ concentration remained ~8,000 mg L⁻¹ throughout Profile 3, suggesting a thinner hyporheic zone there. Trends with depth for $\delta^2 H_{H_20}$ and $\delta^{18} O_{H_20}$ were similar to chloride but with some subtle differences between profiles. As<u>Like</u> for Cl⁻, the isotopic composition <u>offor</u> water indicated mixing with surface water at 25 and 50 cm (Fig. 4b-c). The Cl⁻ and $\delta^2 H_{H_20}$ values for profile 3 but. However, $\delta^{18} O_{H_20}$ was enriched by ~2‰ in Profile 2 relative to Profile 3 but. However, $\delta^{18} O_{H_20}$ was enriched by ~2‰ in Profile 2 relative to Profile 1 (see additional evaluation for the isotopic composition of water below).

3.3 Ammonium and nitrate

There was a general trend for decreasing NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations upward in the profiles, but the extent varied materially between profiles (Fig. 4d-e). The highest concentrations were measured in Profile 2 (300 – 400 mg N L⁻¹ at the base) and lowest in Profile 3, especially for NO_3^- (0.01 – 1.5 mg N L⁻¹). The decline in nitrogen concentrations was most pronounced in Profile 2, with NH_4^+ and NO_3^- being 53 and 19 mg N L⁻¹, respectively at 25 cm. The NH_4^+ to NO_3^- ratio tended to increase at shallower depths in Profile 2 and decrease in Profile 3 (Fig. 4f). This means that NH_4^+ was lost more rapidly than NO_3^- in Profile 3 while NO_3^- was lost more rapidly than NH_4^+ in Profile 2. Nitrite was below detection limit (<0.01 mg N L⁻¹) in porewater samples but slightly above detection limit (0.02 and 0.03 mg N L⁻¹) in the surface water samples.

3.4 Stable isotopes of NO₃-

10 There was a consistent pattern in the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ as a function of depth (Fig. 4g-h). In general, δ¹⁵N_{NO3} and δ¹⁸O_{NO3} increased at first from the base of the profiles but tended to decrease once in the hyporheic zone. The increase in δ¹⁵N_{NO3} and δ¹⁸O_{NO3} deeper in the profiles would be consistent with the occurrence of a process such as like denitrification (which leaves the residual NO₃⁻ pool enriched in its heavier isotopes). However, the decreased δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O values once in the hyporheic zone are more difficult to evaluate. These were in part due to mixing because the isotopic composition of surface water NO₃⁻ was less enriched than in the porewater. For example, the δ¹⁵N_{NO3} in surface water was 10.4‰ whilst it varied between 28.2 and 42.1‰ at 50 cm in the profiles. On the other hand, δ¹⁸O_{NO3} at P1-25 cm was lower than in either deeper porewater or in surface water. This indicates that some nitrate with a low isotopic composition was produced in the hyporheic zone, most likely via nitrification.

20 3.5 Radon-222

The vertical distribution of radon-222 activity indicated a typical pattern for groundwater discharging through a hyporheic zone (Fig 4i). In general, ²²²Rn activities ranged between 1-3 Bq L⁻¹ in porewater, larger than in surface water (~0.07 Bq L⁻¹), and peaked at mid-depth. The lower activitieeoncentrations in the hyporheic zone are likely due to mixing and the peak at mid-depth can be attributed either to groundwater 'aging' along the flowpath, greater radon emanation rates from sediments at the edge of the hyporheic zone, or both.

The radon emanation rate from the sediments is not known, so evaluating the apparent age of porewater is more difficult. A minimum groundwater velocity (v_{low}) can be estimated by assuming the largest ²²²Rn activity measured in the profiles (~3 Bq L⁻¹) is close <u>tothe</u> equilibrium activity with sediments (A_o). Below the hyporheic zone (that is, without the need to correct for mixing), the apparent age of porewater can then be estimated from: $A_x = A_o (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$ (87),

where A_x is the radon activity at a given depth and λ the radioactive decay constant for radon. Assuming $A_0 \sim 3.5$ Bq L⁻¹, the time elapsed between groundwater travelling from 1.25 m to 0.75 m in profiles 2 and 3 would be 4.6 and 7.2 days, respectively, resulting in a ν_{low} of 0.11 and 0.07 m day⁻¹, respectively. However, the ν_{low} estimates assume that the emanation rate is constant with depth, which may not be correct in the vicinity of hyporheic zones because of the potential for ²²⁶Ra (the parent to ²²²Rn) to be retained at redox interfaces (Dixon, 1990). Velocity in Profile 1 was not estimated because most samples were in the hyporheic zone, where the additional effect of

35

25

30

mixing on radon activities would need to be considered.

An alternative estimate of velocity can be inferred from the hydraulic gradients (*i*) measured during sampling. Using Darcy's Law, velocity would be equal to $K \cdot i/n$, with *K* the hydraulic conductivity of the sediments and *n* its effective porosity. *K* for a silty sand varies between 10^{-7} and 10^{-5} m s⁻¹ (Freeze and Cherry, 1979) and the vertical hydraulic gradients measured at the sites were $\sim 0.2 - 0.5$ (at low tide). Assuming an effective porosity ~ 0.3 and a hydraulic gradient ~ 0.2 over the tidal cycle, velocity would be 0.006 - 0.6 m day⁻¹, overlapping the range found with the radon method. Thus, groundwater travelled through the 1.25 m profiles in two days or more.

3.6 Mixing model

10

15

profiles (Fig. 5). In Profile 1, NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations fell below the mixing line between groundwater and surface water, indicating consumption in the hyporheic zone. The estimated effective NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations (c_0 *) were 70 and 45 mg N L⁻¹, respectively, indicating consumption of both species. Thus, the net fraction of N consumed in the hyporheic zone ($f = (c_0* - c_0)/(c_1)$ was -0.21 and -0.13 for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ respectively. In Profile 2, there was a large net consumption of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ in both groundwater and in the hyporheic zone. For example, 59 % of the initial NO₃⁻ at 1.25 m was apparently consumed once groundwater had reached the edge of the hyporheic zone, and a further 87 % of the remaining NO₃⁻ was then consumed in the hyporheic zone itself. Overall, the attenuation of N in Profile 2 was notable, with the c_0* for NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ being 130 and 22 mg N L⁻¹, respectively, relative to concentrations at the base of the profile of 296 and 409 mg N L⁻¹, respectively. Thus, ~80 % of the dissolved nitrogen load was consumed in the <u>channel river</u> bed before discharging to surface water at Profile 2.

In general, there was a net consumption of NH4⁺ and NO3⁻ in both the groundwater and hyporheic part of the

20

25

30

35

In Profile 3, NH₄⁺ concentrations varied from ~60 mg N L⁻¹ at the base of the profile to 48 mg N L⁻¹ closer to surface water, so some NH₄⁺ consumption was likely. As NO₃⁻ concentrations remained low (<2 mg N L⁻¹) throughout the profile, if NH₄⁺ was consumed by nitrification then denitrification probably occurred as well. The low NO₃⁻ concentrations are consistent with the low oxygen concentrations in this profile, which would favour denitrification over nitrification. Because NO₃⁻ concentrations in porewater were generally lower than in the surface water, surface water NO₃⁻ imported to the subsurface by hyporheic exchange was probably consumed at Profile 3 (i.e. similar to Line D on Fig. 3).

3.7 Mixing models for the stable isotopes of nitrate

The variations in porewater $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ independent of mixing were also evaluated using the estuarine mixing model (Fig. 6). The general trends are similar between profiles. In the 'groundwater' zone (i.e., the part of the profile below the hyporheic zone) both the $\delta^{15}N$ and the $\delta^{18}O$ of NO₃⁻ became more enriched (more positive) at shallower depths, consistent with the occurrence of processes such as denitrification and anammox. However, in the hyporheic zone the trends were more complex. In general, isotopic enrichment continued at 50 cm but an isotopic depletion was evident at 25 cm, especially for $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$. The only exception to this pattern was $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ in Profile 2, where the gradual enrichment persisted across the profile. Thus, attenuation processes appear to have a <u>vertical</u>stmtified distribution in the hyporheic zone, with evidence for greater nitrification relative to anammox/denitrification at 25 cm.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

The observed patterns in the stable isotopes of water in the profiles were unusual. and are explored in more detail here. The isotopic signature of terrestrial groundwater at the site has not been measured but should be somewhere between the values associated with average annual volume-weighed precipitation annual rainfall ($\delta^2 H = -20.2\%$) and $\delta^{18}O = -4.50\%$) and <u>average annual average volume-weighed</u> winter <u>precipitationrainfall</u> ($\delta^2 H = -33.0\%$ and $\delta^{18}O = -6.24\%$) for Sydney (the closest data available for the site; Hughes and Crawford, 2013), or. This is consistent with the isotopic signature for shallow groundwater in Sydney ($\delta^2 H = -22.9\%$ and $\delta^{18}O = -4.77\%$; (Hughes and Crawford, 2013)), which is slightly depleted relative to annual Sydney rainfall. The comparison of chloride and $\delta^2 H_{H_2O}$ shows that the porewater samples were within expectations for mixing between two water sources (estuarine water and terrestrialfresh groundwater derived from rainfall), especially if the groundwater endmember was more similar to winter Sydney rainfall (Fig. 7a). However, when looking at chloride and $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ (Fig. 7b), porewater samples from profiles 2 and 3 were at least 1 - 4‰ enriched relative to conservative mixing lines and more similar to annual than winter Sydney rainfall. The discrepancy was noticeable for profile 2 samples, especially when expressed on a δ^2 H- δ^{18} O plot (Fig. 8). Water table evaporation can shift the isotopic composition of groundwater to the right of the meteoric water line (Clark and Fritz, 1997). However, evaporation would enrich both $\delta^2 H_{H_20}$ and $\delta^{18} O_{H_20}$ whereas (relative to Sydney groundwater), Profile 2 appeared $\delta^{18} O_{H_20}$ enriched and possibly slightly $\delta^2 H_{H_20}$ depleted. As Profile 2 is aligned with what is thought to be one of the most impacted groundwater flow lines for the site, the apparent shift in the isotopic composition of water may be related to nitrogen cycling during transport within the aquifer.

There is also some evidence for non-conservative mixing in the isotopic composition of water at the scale 20 of the profilesriverbed. In Profile 2, there was a gradual-<u>-1.4</u>‰ shiftdepletion in $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ upwardstowards the surface once mixing wasis accounted for (Fig. 9), mirroring the increase in $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in the same profile. This depletion-enrichment was small but still above the precision for $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ measurements (<0.2‰). The depletionis apparent enrichment may be an artefact of groundwater flow being in two dimensions-2D in the intertidal zone, where different flowpaths with slightly different signatures would be sampled with depth, or of temporal variations 25 in the isotopic signature of surface water. However, in both cases variations in $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ and $\delta^2 H_{H_2O}$ would be expected, whereas there was no apparent shift in $\delta^2 H_{H_2O}$ once mixing was accounted for (Fig. 9). The variations in $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ in Profile 2 may represent an isotopic shift mediated by the significant N consumption in the <u>channel</u> riverbed at that location.

4 Discussion

5

10

15

30 Many estuaries are at risk of eutrophication because of excessive N loading from industry, agriculture or other sources (Nixon, 1995;Cosme and Hauschild, 2017). However, a mitigating feature found in many catchments is that groundwater – surface water interactions tend to lower the N load to estuaries by fostering a biogeochemical environment where N isinputs are attenuated by denitrification or other processes (Gomez-Velez et al., 2015;Heiss et al., 2017;Kim et al., 2017). At the site, up to 80 % ofn the N-load in impacted groundwater is removed at the scale of the channel riverbed and N concentrations are diluted by a factor of two or more in the subsurface by mixing. There are also at least two scales of mixing at this site. At the larger scale, tide-inducedtidal circulation mixes surface and groundwater at the scale of tens of metres (based on chloride trends in the piezometer network;

10

15

20

25

A. Minard, *unpublished data*), consistent with findings elsewhere (Pool et al., 2015). The degree of mixing by <u>tide-inducedtidal</u> circulation may be variable in space along the beachface, as suggested by the differences in chloride concentrations at the base of the porewater profiles. At the smaller scale, there was also a 50-cm 'hyporheic'-like mixing zone in the <u>channel river</u>bed, where tides, currents and waves would induce surface water to move in and out of the sediments. The extent of attenuation at the larger scale of mixing is not known because sampling focussed at the scale of the <u>rivechannel ribed</u>. Thus, the potential for N attenuation during groundwater – surface water mixing at this site is probably larger than the 80 % of the N <u>inputload</u> estimated at the <u>channel</u> riverbed scale. Even when using a low estimate of the vertical groundwater velocity (~0.01 m day⁻¹), this represents a very high N removal rate (>100 mmol m⁻² day⁻¹) for permeable intertidal sediments (Schutte et al., 2015).

4.1 Nitrate attenuation and recycling

The trends in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ suggest N is extensively recycled in the aquifer and in the riverbed. The isotopic signature for groundwater NO₃⁻ in the source area (that is, 400 m from the river) is consistent with a synthetic NH₄NO₃ source that has been partially nitrified or denitrified ($\delta^{15}N_{NO_3} = -7$ to +13‰ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3} = -7$

13 to 35‰; Fig. 10). However, in the porewater profiles, NO₃⁻ was δ^{15} N enriched (>20‰) and δ^{18} O depleted (1 – 20‰) relative to groundwater NO₃⁻ near the source. Thus, near the <u>channel_river</u>bed the NO₃⁻ is largely 'recycled' in origin, either from synthetic NH₄⁺ that has undergone nitrification, synthetic NO₃⁻ than was assimilated and later remineralised, or from the mineralisation of 'natural' organic N in sediments or the aquifer (Mengis et al., 2001;Snider et al., 2010;Wong et al., 2014). Biogeochemical cycling would tend to favour ¹⁵N gradually being enriched along the flowpath but for ¹⁸O to be reset with a nitrification signature once all the initial NO₃⁻ source has been consumed.

The fractionation processes during nitrification in aquifers are not well understood but it has been evaluated in soils and in the marine environment, where $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ is a function of the isotopic <u>compositionsignature</u> of the ambient dissolved O₂ and H₂O, fractionation effects during O uptake, and an isotopic equilibrium between H₂O and NO₂⁻ (Casciotti et al., 2010). However, the isotopic equilibrium effect can probably be ignored as a first approximation because NO₂⁻ was below detection limit in the profiles. Neglecting equilibrium effects and following Casciotti et al. (2010), the $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ for nitrate produced via nitrification will be:

$$\delta^{18}O_{NO_3} = \frac{1}{3}\delta^{18}O_{H_2O} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\delta^{18}O_2 - {}^{18}\varepsilon_{k,O_2} - {}^{18}\varepsilon_{k,H_2O,1}\right) + \frac{1}{3}\left(\delta^{18}O_{H_2O} - {}^{18}\varepsilon_{k,H_2O,2}\right) \tag{98},$$

where ¹⁸ε_{k,O2}-¹⁸ε_{k,H2O,1} is the combined kinetic fractionation factor during nitritation and ¹⁸ε_{k,H2O,2} the kinetic
fractionation factor during nitratation. Using a porewater δ¹⁸O_{H2O} ~ -1‰, ¹⁸ε_{k,O2}-¹⁸ε_{k,H2O,1} ~ 30‰, ¹⁸ε_{k,H2O,2}
~ 15‰ (Casciotti et al., 2010), and assuming δ¹⁸O₂ ~ 23‰, the δ¹⁸O_{NO3} of NO3⁻ produced via nitrification in the channel riverbed would be approximately -8‰. Thus, the inference for enhanced nitrification at P1-25 cm based on the low δ¹⁸O_{NO3} (-2.4‰) is reasonable.

35

Below the hyporheic zone, there is a tendency for porewater $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ to become more enriched during transport to the surface. Such a dual enrichment in the isotopic composition of NO³ is commonly found in aquifers undergoing denitrification. The fractionation factors previously found for denitrification $({}^{15}\varepsilon_{NO_3 \rightarrow N_2} = 9 - 20\%$ and ${}^{18}\varepsilon_{NO_3 \rightarrow N_2} = 4 - 16\%)$ indicate $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ should increase faster than $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in the Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm

10

15

25

profiles. However, for porewater below the hyporheic zone the reverse pattern occurred, with $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ increasing ~1.5 times faster than $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$. As both NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations were elevated (>300 mg N L⁻¹) and both were apparently consumed during transport in the profiles, anammox probably occurred. Denitrification and anammox can co-occur because a source of NO₂⁻ (an intermediate product in denitrification) must be present to fuel anammox (Teixeira et al., 2016). In the marine pelagic zone, anammox yields a residual NH₄⁺ pool that is ¹⁵N-enriched and a NO₃⁻ product pool that is also ¹⁵N-enriched because NO₂⁻ is either converted to NO₃⁻ or N₂ (Brunner et al., 2013). The systematics of the oxygen isotopes during anammox are unknown so the impact this process would have on $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ is unclear. However, the faster increase in $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ relative to $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ in the profiles suggests anammox more strongly fractionates ¹⁸O relative to denitrification. Overall, the shifts in the isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ during transit through the <u>channel river</u>bed were consistent with N attenuation via a combination of denitrification, anammox and nitrification.

These findings appear at odds with other studies from tropical and subtropical estuaries suggesting DNRA is a dominant NO₃⁻⁻ removal processes in sediments (Dunn et al., 2012;Dunn et al., 2013;Dong et al., 2011). Some level of DNRA is likely in this environment and would similarly contribute to the observed trends in the NO₃⁻⁻ isotopes, However, DNRA cannot account for the similar variations in NH₄⁺⁻ and NO₃⁻⁻ concentrations in the profiles. If DNRA was dominant, NO₃⁻⁻ would decline whilst NH₄⁺⁻ would increase. This discrepancy with other subtropical estuaries can be attributed to the nature of the groundwater N contamination source, where a large 1:1 molar input of NH₄⁺⁻ and NO₃⁻⁻ would favour anammox over DNRA, but other processes such as dissimilatory NO₃⁻⁻ reduction to NH₄⁺⁻ are also possible.

20 4.2 Stable isotopes of water

The high <u>inputloading</u> and turnover of synthetic NO₃⁻ in the aquifer during transit towards the <u>channel river</u>bed apparently shifted the isotopic <u>composition</u>signature of groundwater. To thoroughly evaluate the processes potentially causing this isotopic shift is well beyond the scope for this study because <u>T</u>the systematics for isotopic fractionation are poorly described for groundwater in general (Green et al., 2010) and unknown for oxygen for anammox<u>-in particular</u> (Casciotti, 2016;Brunner et al., 2013). However, some preliminary assessments can be made to <u>determinejudge</u> whether the magnitude of the N transformations in the aquifer can realistically shift the isotopic signature for groundwater.

The past NH4⁺ and NO₃⁻ inputsloadings from the source to the terrestrial groundwater and subsequent transit time before discharge to the river are not known. As a consequence In other words, what the <u>the</u> initial N
concentration was at the time of recharge for groundwater discharging to the river at the time of sampling is not known. However, N concentrations in excess of 5000 mg N L⁻¹ (>0.35 mol L⁻¹) have been recently measured in groundwater near the source area (A. Minard, *unpublished data*), indicating initial N concentrations in groundwater currently discharging to the river could also have been high. Assuming an initial concentration of 5000 mg N-NO₃⁻ L⁻¹ (~1 mol O-NO₃ L⁻¹) with a δ¹⁸O_{NO3}= 20‰, and an initial groundwater δ¹⁸O_{H2O}= -4.8‰
(or δ¹⁸O₁) for discharging groundwater at the time of the study, the shift in isotopic signature if all the O-NO₃⁻ was converted in O-H₂O during transit would be:

 $m_{tot} \delta^{18} O_{tot} = m_i \delta^{18} O_i + m_{O-NO_3} \delta^{18} O_{NO_3}$

(<u>10</u>9),

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Superscript

where m_{tot} is the moles of water in the final unit volume, m_i = the molarity of water (~55.6 mol L⁻¹), and m_{O-NO_3} the moles of water produced by the consumption of NO₃⁻ in the initial litre of reactants. Re-arranging and solving for $\delta^{18}O_{tot}$ yields (for m_{O-NO_3} = 1 mol L⁻¹) an isotopic signature of -4.4‰ or a 0.4‰ enrichment relative to the initial groundwater (noting that the initial volume of water would also have had to increase by 1.8% to account for the new H₂O produced). This is smaller than the apparent level of $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ enrichment seen in profiles 2 and 3 (1 – 4‰). In part, this can be explained by a potentially larger NO₃⁻ concentration at the source. For example, for m_{O-NO_2} = 4 mol L⁻¹ (~20 g N-NO₃⁻ L⁻¹), the expected enrichment would be 1.7‰.

Another possibility is that N cycling also promotes a broader isotopic turnover for the water pool. Many biogeochemical processes consume water, produce water or both. For example, the stoichiometry of denitrification by organic matter (Eq. 2) can also be expressed in terms of the gross amounts of water consumed and produced:

 $(CH_2O)_{106}(NH_3)_{16}(H_3PO_4) + 94.4 NO_3^- + 92.4H^+ + 138 H_2O \rightarrow 106CO_2 + 55.2 N_2 + HPO_4^{-2} + 315.2 H_2O$

(1<u>1</u>0)

(121).

15

10

5

In this case, every mole of O-NO₃⁻ consumed also consumes ~0.5 mole of water as well as producing ~1.1 mole of new H₂O. Water consumption during N cycling typically enriches the remaining water pool (Buchwald et al., 2012;Casciotti et al., 2010). For demonstration purposes, Eq. 9 can be expanded by assuming that for each mole of O-NO₃⁻ consumed, 0.5 mole of H₂O is also consumed (*m_c*), with a ¹⁸ $\varepsilon_{H_2O \to Product}$ = 20‰:

$$m_{tot}\delta^{18}O_{tot} = m_i\delta^{18}O_i + m_{O-NO_3}\delta^{18}O_{NO_3} - m_c(\delta^{18}O_i - {}^{18}\varepsilon_{H_2O \to Product})$$

20

Including isotopic fractionation during water consumption, for 1 O-NO₃⁻ mol L⁻¹ consumed the shift in groundwater $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ doubles to -3.96% (or a ~0.8‰ enrichment) relative to the case with no water consumption. As there are several potential kinetic and equilibrium fractionation effects involving water during N cycling (Brunner et al., 2013;Casciotti et al., 2010), the magnitude of the $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ enrichment associated with N attenuation at the site could be greater. In particular, whilst isotopic exchange equilibrium between NO₃⁻ and H₂O is extremely slow at neutral pHs (Kaneko and Poulson, 2013), it can be significant when a pool of NO₂⁻ is present (Casciotti et al., 2010). Despite many uncertainties, the apparent shift in $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ in profiles 2 and 3 relative to expectations for rainfall-derived groundwater can be reasonably accounted for by the elevated synthetic NO₃⁻ inputload and its recycling during transport in the aquifer.

30

35

25

If the input of O-NO₃⁻ to the aquifer was sufficient to shift the $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$, the similar input of NH₄⁺ could also have shifted the $\delta^2 H_{H_2O}$ as NH₄⁺ was nitrified or converted into N₂ by anammox during transport in the aquifer. Synthetic NH₄⁺ sources appear to have a large range $\delta^2 H_{NH_4}$ (~60‰; (Benson et al., 2009)), so the potential exists for a large difference in δ^2 H content between synthetic NH₄⁺ and ambient groundwater at the site. However, the porewater $\delta^2 H_{H_2O}$ is within expectations for mixing between winter Sydney rainfall and seawater (but not for Sydney groundwater and seawater). Thus, there is either no effect on $\delta^2 H_{H_2O}$ from a large NH₄⁺ inputloading or the shift was relatively small at the site. A search of the literature failed to yield any information on $\delta^2 H_{NH_4}$ in the environment, so further evaluation of how a high NH₄⁺ inputloading could have impacted on porewater $\delta^2 H_{H_3O}$ is not, at present, possible at present.

5 Conclusion

This study demonstrated a strong potential for N attenuation at the groundwater – surface water interface for contaminated groundwater discharging to a subtropical estuary. This finding is consistent with the literature, where this interface is considered an active environment for dilution of incoming groundwater solutes (Sawyer et al., 2013;Li et al., 1999) and for biogeochemical processes, in particular for nitrogen cycle (Jones and Mulholland 2000; Ullman et al. 2003; Gomez-Velez et al. 2015). However, in an estuarine setting, different scales of groundwater – surface water mixing are present and may synergistically contribute to N attenuation. Much of the N attenuation at the site was probably via anamnox, perhaps owing to the unusual composition of the contaminated groundwater (with near molar equivalents of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- at the source). Once the systematics of oxygen isotope exchange during the N cycle in aquifers are better understood, the shifts in the isotopic composition of groundwater along a flowpath could become a useful tool to evaluate <u>dissolved nitrogen</u> attenuation in both natural and contaminated environmentsN attenuation.

5

Acknowledgements

15

25

Sheree Woodroffe and Antony Taylor facilitated access to the study area. Comments by Axel Suckow, Nina Werti, and Michael Donn, <u>Bill Ullman and one anonymous reviewer</u> greatly improved earlier versions of the manuscript.

Disclosure

This study was funded by the company on which property the contaminated groundwater source is located. Site location and the data set can only be provided with the consent of the company.

References

- 20 Abe, Y., Aravena, R., Zopfi, J., Parker, B., and Hunkeler, D.: Evaluating the fate of chlorinated ethenes in streambed sediments by combining stable isotope, geochemical and microbial methods, J Contam Hydrol, 107, 10-21, 10.1016/j.jconhyd.2009.03.002, 2009.
 - Ahmerkamp, S., Winter, C., Krämer, K., Beer, D. d., Janssen, F., Friedrich, J., Kuypers, M. M. M., and Holtappels, M.: Regulation of benthic oxygen fluxes in permeable sediments of the coastal ocean, Limnology and Oceanography, 62, 1935-1954, 10.1002/lno.10544, 2017.
 - Appelo, C. A. J., and Postma, D.: Geochemistry, groundwater and pollution, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, 1993.
 Benson, S. J., Lennard, C. J., Maynard, P., Hill, D. M., Andrew, A. S., and Roux, C.: Forensic analysis of explosives using isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) Discrimination of ammonium nitrate sources, Sci Justice, 49, 73-80, 10.1016/j.scijus.2009.04.005, 2009.
- 30 Bottcher, J., Strebel, O., Voerkelius, S., and Schmidt, H. L.: Using Isotope Fractionation of Nitrate Nitrogen and Nitrate Oxygen for Evaluation of Microbial Denitrification in a Sandy Aquifer, Journal of Hydrology, 114, 413-424, doi 10.1016/0022-1694(90)90068-9, 1990.

- Brunner, B., Contreras, S., Lehmann, M. F., Matantseva, O., Rollog, M., Kalvelage, T., Klockgether, G., Lavik, G., Jetten, M. S., Kartal, B., and Kuypers, M. M.: Nitrogen isotope effects induced by anammox bacteria, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 110, 18994-18999, 10.1073/pnas.1310488110, 2013.
- Buchwald, C., Santoro, A. E., McIlvin, M. R., and Casciotti, K. L.: Oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate and nitrite produced by nitrifying cocultures and natural marine assemblages, Limnology and

Oceanography, 57, 1361-1375, doi 10.4319/lo.2012.57.5.1361, 2012.

5

40

- Burnett, W. C., Bokuniewicz, H., Huettel, M., Moore, W. S., and Taniguchi, M.: Groundwater and Pore Water Inputs to the Coastal Zone, Biogeochemistry, 66, 3-33, 2003.
- Casciotti, K. L., McIlvin, M., and Buchwald, C.: Oxygen isotope exchange and fractionation during bacterial
 ammonia oxidation, Limnology and Oceanography, 55, 753-762, 2010.
- Casciotti, K. L.: Nitrogen and Oxygen Isotopic Studies of the Marine Nitrogen Cycle, Ann Rev Mar Sci, 8, 379-407, 10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135052, 2016.

Clark, I., and Fritz, P.: Environmental isotopes in hydrogeology, Lewis, Boca Raton, 1997.

- Cosme, N., and Hauschild, M. Z.: Characterization of waterborne nitrogen emissions for marine eutrophication
 modelling in life cycle impact assessment at the damage level and global scale, International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 22, 1558-1570, 10.1007/s11367-017-1271-5, 2017.
 - Cranswick, R. H., Cook, P. G., and Lamontagne, S.: Hyporheic zone characterisation and residence times inferred from riverbed temperature, radon-222 and electrical conductivity data, Journal of Hydrology, 519, 1870-1881, 10.1016/j.hydrol.2014.09.059, 2014.
- 20 Dahnke, K., and Thamdrup, B.: Nitrogen isotope dynamics and fractionation during sedimentary denitrification in Boknis Eck, Baltic Sea, Biogeosciences, 10, 3079-3088, 10.5194/bg-10-3079-2013, 2013.
 - Dixon, B. L.: Radium in Groundwater, in: The environmnetal behaviour of radium, edited by: IAEA, IAEA TECDOC, 310, IAEA, Vienna, 1990.
- Dong, L. F., Sobey, M. N., Smith, C. J., Rusmana, I., Phillips, W., Stott, A., Osborn, A. M., and Nedwell, D. B.:
 Dissimilatory reduction of nitrate to ammonium, not denitrification or anammox, dominates benthic nitrate reduction in tropical estuaries, Limnology and Oceanography, 56, 279-291, 10.4319/lo.2011.56.1.0279, 2011.
 - Dunn, R. J. K., Welsh, D. T., Jordan, M. A., Waltham, N. J., Lemckert, C. J., and Teasdale, P. R.: Benthic metabolism and nitrogen dynamics in a subtropical coastal lagoon: Microphytobenthos stimulate
- 30 nitrification and nitrate reduction through photosynthetic oxygen evolution, Estuar Coast Shelf S, 113, 272-282, 2012.
 - Dunn, R. J. K., Robertson, D., Teasdale, P. R., Waltham, N. J., and Welsh, D. T.: Benthic metabolism and nitrogen dynamics in an urbanised tidal creek: Domination of DNRA over denitrification as a nitrate reduction pathway, Estuar Coast Shelf S, 131, 271-281, 10.1016/j.ecss.2013.06.027, 2013.

35 Freeze, R. A., and Cherry, J. A.: Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, 1979.

 Gomez-Velez, J. D., Harvey, J., Cardenas, M. B., and Kiel, B.: Denitrification in the Mississippi River network controlled by flow through river bedforms, Nature Geoscience, 8, 941-U975, 10.1038/Ngeo2567, 2015.
 Green, C. T., Bohlke, J. K., Bekins, B. A., and Phillips, S. P.: Mixing effects on apparent reaction rates and

isotope fractionation during denitrification in a heterogeneous aquifer, Water Resources Research, 46, Artn W08525, 10.1029/2009wr008903, 2010.

- Harvey, J. W., and Bencala, K. E.: The Effect of Streambed Topography on Surface-Subsurface Water Exchange in Mountain Catchments, Water Resources Research, 29, 89-98, Doi 10.1029/92wr01960, 1993.
- Heiss, J. W., and Michael, H. A.: Saltwater-freshwater mixing dynamics in a sandy beach aquifer over tidal,
- spring-neap, and seasonal cycles, Water Resources Research, 50, 6747-6766, 10.1002/2014wr015574, 2014.
- Heiss, J. W., Post, V. E. A., Laattoe, T., Russoniello, C. J., and Michael, H. A.: Physical Controls on Biogeochemical Processes in Intertidal Zones of Beach Aquifers, Water Resources Research, 10.1002/2017wr021110, 2017.
- 10 Hoehn, E., and Cirpka, O. A.: Assessing residence times of hyporheic ground water in two alluvial flood plains of the Southern Alps using water temperature and tracers, Hydrol Earth Syst Sc, 10, 553-563, 2006.
 - Hughes, C. E., and Crawford, J.: Spatial and temporal variation in precipitation isotopes in the Sydney Basin, Australia, Journal of Hydrology, 489, 42-55, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.02.036, 2013.
 - Jones, J. B., and Mulholland, P. J.: Streams and Ground Waters, Academic Press, 2000.

- 15 Kaneko, M., and Poulson, S. R.: The rate of oxygen isotope exchange between nitrate and water, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 118, 148-156, 10.1016/j.gca.2013.05.010, 2013.
 - Kim, K. H., Heiss, J. W., Michael, H. A., Cai, W. J., Laattoe, T., Post, V. E. A., and Ullman, W. J.: Spatial Patterns of Groundwater Biogeochemical Reactivity in an Intertidal Beach Aquifer, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 122, 2548-2562, 10.1002/2017jg003943, 2017.
- 20 Knoller, K., Vogt, C., Haupt, M., Feisthauer, S., and Richnow, H. H.: Experimental investigation of nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation in nitrate and nitrite during denitrification, Biogeochemistry, 103, 371-384, 10.1007/s10533-010-9483-9, 2011.
 - Lamontagne, S., and Cook, P. G.: Estimation of hyporheic residence time in situ using ²²²Rn disequilibrium, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 5, 407-416, 2007.
- 25 Leaney, F. W., and Herczeg, A. L.: A rapid field extraction method for determination of radon-222 in natural waters by liquid scintillation counting, Limnol Oceanogr-Meth, 4, 254-259, 2006.
 - Li, L., Barry, D. A., Stagnitti, F., and Parlange, J. Y.: Submarine groundwater discharge and associated chemical input to a coastal sea, Water Resources Research, 35, 3253-3259, Doi 10.1029/1999wr900189, 1999.
 - Mayer, B., Bollwerk, S. M., Mansfeldt, T., Hutter, B., and Veizer, J.: The oxygen isotope composition of nitrate
- 30 generated by nitrification in acid forest floors, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 65, 2743-2756, Doi 10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00612-3, 2001.
 - Mengis, M., Walther, U., Bernasconi, S. M., and Wehrli, B.: Limitations of using d¹⁸O for the source identification of nitrtae in agricultural soils, Environmental Science and Technology, 35, 1840-1844, 2001.
- 35 Murgulet, D., and Tick, G. R.: Effect of variable-density groundwater flow on nitrate flux to coastal waters, Hydrological Processes, 30, 302-319, 10.1002/hyp.10580, 2016.
 - Nixon, S. W.: Coastal Marine Eutrophication a Definition, Social Causes, and Future Concerns, Ophelia, 41, 199-219, 1995.
 - Officer, C. B.: Discussion of the behaviour of nonconservative dissolved constituents in estuaries, Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science, 9, 91-94, 1979.

- Officer, C. B., and Lynch, D. R.: Dynamics of mixing in estuaries, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 12, 525-533, 1981.
- Pool, M., Post, V. E. A., and Simmons, C. T.: Effects of tidal fluctuations and spatial heterogeneity on mixing and spreading in spatially heterogeneous coastal aquifers, Water Resources Research, 51, 1570-1585, 10.1002/2014wr016068, 2015.

10

15

35

- Precht, E., and Huettel, M.: Advective pore-water exchange driven by surface gravity waves and its ecological implications, Limnology and Oceanography, 48, 1674-1684, 2003.
- Qian, Q., Voller, V. R., and Stefan, H. G.: A vertical dispersion model for solute exchange induced by underflow and periodic hyporheic flow in a stream gravel bed, Water Resources Research, 44, Artn W07422, 10.1029/2007wr006366, 2008.
- Santos, I. R., Glud, R. N., Maher, D., Erler, D., and Eyre, B. D.: Diel coral reef acidification driven by porewater advection in permeable carbonate sands, Heron Island, Great Barrier Reef, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, Artn L03604, 10.1029/2010gl046053, 2011.
- Sawyer, A. H., Shi, F. Y., Kirby, J. T., and Michael, H. A.: Dynamic response of surface water-groundwater exchange to currents, tides, and waves in a shallow estuary, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 118, 1749-1758, 10.1002/jgrc.20154, 2013.
- Schiff, S. L., and Anderson, R. F.: Limnocorral studies of chemical and biological acid neutralization in two freshwater lakes, Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 44, 173-187, 1987.
- Schutte, C. A., Joye, S. B., Wilson, A. M., Evans, T., Moore, W. S., and Casciotti, K.: Intense nitrogen cycling
 in permeable intertidal sediment revealed by a nitrous oxide hot spot, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 1584-1598, 10.1002/2014gb005052, 2015.
 - Snider, D. M., Spoelstra, J., Schiff, S. L., and Venkiteswaran, J. J.: Stable oxygen isotope ratios of nitrate produced from nitrification: ¹⁸O-labeled water incubations of agricultural and temperate forest soils, Environmental Science and Technology, 44, 5358-5364, 2010.
- 25 Teixeira, C., Magalhães, C., Joye, S. B., and Bordalo, A. A.: Response of anaerobic ammonium oxidation to inorganic nitrogen fluctuations in temperate estuarine sediments, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 121, 1829-1839, 10.1002/2015jg003287, 2016.
 - Ueda, S., Go, C. S. U., Suzumura, M., and Sumi, E.: Denitrification in a seashore sandy deposit influenced by groundwater discharge, Biogeochemistry, 63, 187-205, Doi 10.1023/A:1023350227883, 2003.
- 30 Ullman, W. J., Chang, B., Miller, D. C., and Madsen, J. A.: Groundwater mixing, nutrient diagenesis, and discharges across a sandy beachface, Cape Henlopen, Delaware (USA), Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 57, 539-552, 2003.
 - Wenk, C. B., Zopfi, J., Blees, J., Veronesi, M., Niemann, H., and Lehmann, M. F.: Community N and O isotope fractionation by sulfide-dependent denitrification and anammox in a stratified lacustrine water column, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, 125, 551-563, 10.1016/j.gca.2013.10.034, 2014.
- Wong, W. W., Grace, M. R., Cartwright, I., and Cook, P. L. M.: Sources and fate of nitrate in a groundwater-fed estuary elucidated using stable isotope ratios of nitrogen and oxygen, Limnology and Oceanography, 59, 1493-1509, 10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1493, 2014.

Formatted: Space After: 8 pt

Zhou, S., Borjigin, S., Riya, S., and Hosomi, M.: Denitrification-dependent anammox activity in a permanently flooded fallow ravine paddy field, Ecological Engineering, 95, 452-456, 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.06.111, 2016.

Sample	рН	Electrical conductivity (mS cm ⁻¹)	Redox potential (mV)	Dissolved oxygen (mg L ⁻¹)
P1-25 cm	7.05	34.8	155	9.2
P1-50 cm	7.12	13.41	129	9.16
P1-75 cm	7.07	16.12	131	7.01
P1-100 cm	7.2	14.21	131	7.8
P2-25 cm	6.84	-	155	3.09
P2-50 cm	6.79	-	142	3.74
P2-75 cm	6.81	-	153	2.71
P2 -100 cm	6.84	-	162	2.62
P2-125 cm	6.7	_	170	1.91
P3-25 cm	6.89	_	155	9.63
P3-50 cm	7.01	_	154	3.01
P3-75 cm	6.96	-	154	2.82
P3-100 cm	7.01	-	156	1.9
P3-125 cm	7	-	157	0.95
Surface water -1	7.88	74.5	195	7.74
Surface water -2	7.77	_	167	7.12

 $\label{eq:table1} \textbf{Table 1.} Field \ parameters \ collected \ in \ porewater \ and \ surface \ water \ in \ the \ intertidal \ zone.$



Figure 1. Conceptual representation of the different scales of groundwater – surface water mixing in the intertidal zone (modified from (Heiss and Michael, 2014)).



Figure 2. Location of the porewater profiles (top) and a schematic cross-section of the intertidal zone (bottom). Also indicated is part of the piezometer network previously installed at the site, approximately aligned with the zone with the most contaminated groundwater.



Figure 3. Variations in the concentration of a solute along a salinity gradient in an estuary. c_L , s_L – solute concentration and salinity in the sea; c_0 , s_0 – solute concentration and salinity in the river; Line A – mixing only (no solute production or consumption in the estuary). Line B – solute production in the estuary. Lines C and D – solute consumption in the estuary; c_0^* – effective solute concentration. The tangent off lines B, C and D (black dashed lines) are used to infer c_0^* . For Line D, $c_0^* < 0$ which means the estuary is also a sink for solutes imported by mixing from the sea. Modified from Officer (1979).

5

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.27 cm



Figure 4. <u>Intertidal zone p</u>Porewater profiles for selected parameters <u>collected in the intertidal zone</u>. <u>Based on</u> the trends in chloride (A) and the stable isotopes of water (B – C), two scales of groundwater – surface water mixing were apparent in the profiles. In the top 50 cm of the profiles, a gradual change in concentration between a surface water and deeper porewater end-member is consistent with hyporheic mixing. Below the hyporheic zone, chloride concentrations were relatively constant but were intermediate between terrestrial groundwater and surface water, suggesting return flow from tide-induced circulation. See text for explanations about the other parameters.



Figure 5. Evaluation of mixing and transformations for NH4⁺ (<u>A, C, Ey-axes, left column</u>) and NO₃⁻ (<u>B, D, Fly-axes, right column</u>) for Profile 1 (top row), Profile 2 (middle row) and Profile 3 (bottom row). The vertical pink lines represent samples collected in the 'groundwater' zone and those to the right of this line are within the hyporheic zone, based on chloride concentrations. The blue circles represent the surface water samples. The black solid lines represent the expected N concentrations in the hyporheic zone if only conservative mixing occurred. The black dashed lines evaluate whether N was consumed or produced in the hyporheic zone (see Fig. <u>3</u>).



Figure 6. Evaluation of mixing and transformations for $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ (<u>A. C. Ey-axes, left column</u>) and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ (<u>B.</u> <u>D. Fy-axes, right column</u>) for Profile 1 (top row), Profile 2 (middle row) and Profile 3 (bottom row). The vertical pink lines represent samples collected in the 'groundwater' zone and those to the right of this line are within the hyporheic zone, based on chloride concentrations. The blue circles represent the surface water samples. The black solid lines represent expected isotopic values if only conservative mixing occurred in the hyporheic zone. The red arrows indicate the position of samples in the profiles (from bottom to top), highlighting an apparent reversal in the path of isotopic enrichment for NO₀⁻ at the base of the hyporheic zone.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Superscript



Figure 7. Chloride-based mixing lines for the isotopic composition of porewater relative to surface water<u>and</u>; annual Sydney rainfall₇ <u>orand</u> winter Sydney rainfall. Sydney rainfall <u>and groundwater wereas</u> used as a proxiesy for the isotopic composition of unimpacted groundwater at the site. Note that the isotopic composition of Sydney groundwater is intermediate between annual and winter Sydney minfall. <u>Profile 2 porewater</u> $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$.values cannot be readily accounted for by a two end-member conservative mixing model for terrestrial groundwater and surface water.



Figure 8. Isotopic composition for surface water, porewater, and Sydney groundwater and rainfall relative to the meteoric water line for Sydney (Lucas Height; solid line). Dashedotted lines represent potential mixing lines between terrestrialfresh groundwater and surface water. Note that evaporation lines for groundwater would be very similar to the mixing line in this environment. Profile 2 porewater samples do not conform to a two-end member conservative mixing model between terrestrial groundwater and surface water.



Figure 9. Mixing model for the isotopic composition of water for Profile 2, showing a small depletion trend upward in the profiles for $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ (A) but not $\delta^2H_{H_2O}$ (B). The red arrows indicate the position of the samples in the profile, from bottom to top, highlighting the depletion trend for $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ is continuous. When corrected for mixing, $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ would be -3.2% at the top of the profile, representing a -1.4% shift relative to the base of the profile.



Figure 10. Variations in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in intertidal porewater, surface water, one synthetic NH4NO3 sample from the plant and three groundwater samples collected underneath the plant (A. Minard, *unpublished data*). The enrichment in $\delta^{15}N_{NO_3}$ and depletion in $\delta^{18}O_{NO_3}$ in porewater relative to the industrial source suggest a complete turnover of the nitrate pool during groundwater transport.