

## ***Interactive comment on “The Kerala flood of 2018: combined impact of extreme rainfall and reservoir storage” by Vimal Mishra et al.***

**Amit Kumar (Referee)**

amithydrocoin@gmail.com

Received and published: 27 October 2018

The manuscript ‘The Kerala flood of 2018: combined impact of extreme rainfall and reservoir storage’ by Mishra and others includes very interesting argument on recent flood in the Kerala. The topic is very interesting and of great interest to the scientific communities working in the field of climatology and hydrology as well. However, certain portion of the manuscript needs substantial reworking before it can be referred in HEES. The research purpose, significance and objectives are clearly stated but not well organized. More detailed and accurate descriptions are required. I suggest some parts of abstract would fit better into the introduction. Moreover, authors should begin the abstract directly with results/findings, and/or state that the area received several anomalous rainfall storms throughout the past and recently experienced 53% above

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



normal rainfall (Monsoon season 2018).

The rainfall in the Kerala is predominately controlled by the South-west and North-east monsoons. The area has witnessed heavy losses to life and property by floods in almost all rivers of Kerala due to several rainstorms in the past as well. Despite the fact such information is missing in the text and fails to convey a clear and convincing introduction. I suggest that keep one paragraph in introduction about the history of floods in Kerala and discuss the conditions about reservoir operations during such rainfall events. The contents of this paper are valuable, but the authors should pay close attention to the data sets and results. Authors have used rigorous statistical methods to compare peak monsoon rainfall patterns during two time periods. The team looked specifically at rainfall during the month of August, which is the peak of the monsoon. Further, explain the percent (%) of rainfall over Kerala during June, July and 1st to 19 th of August, above selected normal. Catchment area of each sub-basin is believed to be calculated and should be included in the text, and therefore comparison of rainfall depths observed in different sub-basins and rest of the Kerala during event will be computed.

Rainfall received during the summer monsoon season contributes about 70–90% of annual rainfall over India. The intensity and magnitude of these floods are the manifestation of year to year variability of monsoon over India. Also, it has been recognized that such variability of ISM has a good teleconnective relation with El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO), North Atlantic Oscillation and climate extreme indices available. Therefore, team should look at the effects of teleconnection patterns (TPs) on the extremes of precipitation over Kerala. Whether, the patterns of extreme wet and dry spells during the monsoon season have changed in recent decades (1901-2018). An understanding of the teleconnection patterns associated with these events could benefit many people and policy makers in the state. It is essential to re-evaluate the operating criteria, guidelines that govern the storage and release functions of a reservoir in such extreme conditions. You would need to have much more discussion highlighting how

your results are relevant for climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction in the region. This has not really been demonstrated in discussion or conclusions.

Can you expand on this? “Reservoir operations need to be improved using a skillful forecast of extreme rainfall at the longer lead time (4-7 days)”. Your result shows that, if the reservoir had been below FRL, the flooding conditions would have not changed much due to the severe storm continued for 3-4 days. It would have been necessary to release from the reservoirs after 1st day of the extreme rainfall. Therefore, improved forecast of extreme rainfall from onset of the monsoon along with reservoir conditions (Inflow and outflow) must be reviewed and design accordingly. The probable maximum flood (PMF) is frequently revised as the required inflow design flood (IDF) until all the necessary safety conditions will be satisfied.

Results and conclusions are almost similar. Findings you infer from your data should be worked out more thoroughly in discussion section. Try to be more stringent when presenting your data and avoid repetition of similar sentences. Please find more details in the attached file.

The manuscript needs to substantially improve in English. I think manuscript need thorough revision for it to get to the standard that it deserves. I strongly encourage authors to read these comments without any pre-conceived notion because I think this should be published once revised appropriately.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

<https://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/hess-2018-480/hess-2018-480-RC2-supplement.pdf>

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-480>, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

