

Interactive comment on “Potential evaporation at eddy-covariance sites across the globe” by Wouter H. Maes et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 6 December 2018

Performances of different methods widely applied for potential evaporation (Ep) estimation are evaluated using eddy-covariance measurements from the FLUXNET2015 database as reference. A total of 11 biomes types were considered for biome-specific estimation methods. For each eddy-covariance site, performances of the suite of methods are evaluated considering only those days for which ecosystem were classified as unstressed according to certain criteria. I found the paper very well written. All methods and authors' assumptions are well documented and, to my knowledge, fair. After a pleasant reading of the manuscript I made my opinion that the paper can be published as it is (except maybe, the reasonable suggestion by the first reviewer, which I read after making my judgment).

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



470, 2018.

HESSD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

