

# ***Interactive comment on “Quantifying new water fractions and transit time distributions using ensemble hydrograph separation: theory and benchmark tests” by J. Kirchner***

**J.W. Kirchner**

kirchner@ethz.ch

Received and published: 9 October 2018

The discussion paper, "Quantifying new water fractions and transit time distributions using ensemble hydrograph separation: theory and benchmark tests," uses weighted regressions in several places (specifically Eqs. 16, 28, and 54). These calculations are based on a simple short-cut that is widely used in the physical sciences, namely that if one multiplies both the  $x_j$ 's and  $y_j$ 's by the square root of the weights  $w_j$ , an ordinary least-squares regression of the square-root-weighted  $x_j$ 's and  $y_j$ 's will yield an accurate estimate of the weighted regression slope.

However, this short-cut requires that the volume-weighted averages of the  $x_j$ 's and

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



$y_j$ 's are both zero (or, as an approximation, almost zero). That condition is met in the calculations in the paper, but might not be met in other situations in which these equations could potentially be applied. To avoid any misunderstanding, the general equations for weighted regression (which do not require this approximation) will be presented in the final version of the paper.

## HESSD

---

[Interactive  
comment](#)

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

