Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-298-SC5, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



HESSD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Technical note: Pitfalls in using log-transformed flows within the KGE criterion" by Léonard Santos et al.

J Ding

johnding_toronto@yahoo.com

Received and published: 27 July 2018

Re-ranking the transformations

The NISR (negative inverse square-root) transformation can be generalized to a NIR (negative inverse root) one defined below:

$$J_N(Q) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt[N]{Q}} = -\frac{1}{Q^{1/N}},\tag{1}$$

$$J_0(Q) = \log Q, \tag{2}$$

Some may dismiss the J_2 transformation as simply a sign change of the classical ISR

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



(inverse square-root) one. Indeed they are correct. But as Leonardo Da Vince (1452 - 1519) once said, "Simplicity is the ultimate sophistication."

For example, J_2 happens to be a subset of the 1-parameter Box-Cox (1964) transformation (Eq. 6 in Santos et al. paper) when parameter $\lambda = -1/2$,

$$f_{BC}^{\lambda = -1/2}(Q) = \frac{Q^{\lambda} - 1}{\lambda} = 2(1 + J_2),$$
(3)

The difference between these two ISR-type transformed values is:

$$f_{BC}^{\lambda = -1/2} - J_2 = 2 + J_2 = 2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}},\tag{4}$$

This has a maximum value of 2.

Figure 1 shows the modifications of the four transformation methods being considered in the authors' Table 1. These are the original logarithmic, a fixed-parameter Box-Cox, the inverse negated, and the square root both inverted and negated, and labelled J_0 , $f_{BC}^{\lambda=-1/2}$, J_1 , and J_2 , respectively. All four transformation curves share the same inverted U-shape, being an advantage for comparison purposes. These show their relative impact on the transformed flow values, most obviously on the lower ends.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-298, 2018.



Fig. 1. Comparison of Box-Cox and NIR (negative inverse root) transformation methods.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

