



1	Less Frequent but More Severe Hydrological Drought Events Emerge at 1.5 and
2	2 °C Warming Levels over the Wudinghe Watershed in northern China
3	Yang Jiao ¹ , Xing Yuan ^{1*}
4	¹ Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment for Temperate East Asia
5	(RCE-TEA), Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing,
6	100029, China
7	
8	
9	
10	

^{*}*Corresponding author address:* Xing Yuan, RCE-TEA, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China. E-mail: yuanxing@tea.ac.cn





11 Abstract

12	Assessment of changes in hydrological droughts at specific warming levels (e.g., 1.5
13	or 2 °C) is important for an adaptive water resources management with consideration
14	of the 2015 Paris Agreement. However, most studies focused on the response of
15	drought frequency to the warming and neglected other drought characteristics
16	including severity. By using a semiarid watershed in northern China (i.e., Wudinghe)
17	as an example, here we show less frequent but more severe hydrological drought
18	events emerge at both 1.5 and 2 °C warming levels. We used meteorological forcings
19	from eight Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 climate models with four
20	representative concentration pathways, to drive a newly developed land surface
21	hydrological model to simulate streamflow, and analyzed historical and future
22	hydrological drought characteristics based on the Standardized Streamflow Index. The
23	Wudinghe watershed will reach the 1.5 °C (2 °C) warming level around 2006-2025
24	(2019-2038), with an increase of precipitation by 6% (9%) and runoff by 17% (27%)
25	as compared to the baseline period (1986-2005). This results in a drop of drought
26	frequency by 26% (27%). However, the drought severity will rise dramatically by
27	63% (30%), which is mainly caused by the increased variability of precipitation and
28	evapotranspiration. The climate models contribute to more than 82% of total
29	uncertainties in the future projection of hydrological droughts. This study suggests
30	that different aspects of hydrological droughts should be carefully investigated when
31	assessing the impact of 1.5 and 2 °C warming.





- 33 Key Words: hydrological drought; global warming; land surface model; CMIP5
- 34 models; RCP scenarios; uncertainty analysis.
- 35





36 1. Introduction

37 Global warming has affected both natural and artificial systems across continents, bringing a lot of eco-hydrological crises to many countries (Gitay et al., 2002; Tirado 38 et al., 2010; Thornton et al., 2014). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 39 40 (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) concluded that global average surface air temperature increased by 0.61°C in 1986-2005 compared to pre-industrial periods 41 42 (IPCC, 2014a). In order to mitigate global warming, the Conference of the Parties of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 43 emphasized in the Paris Agreement that the increase in global average temperature 44 45 should be controlled within 2 °C above preindustrial levels, and further efforts should be made to limit it below 1.5 °C. However, a 2 °C warming would be too high for 46 47 many regions and countries (James et al., 2017; Rogelj et al., 2015). In addition, whether the temperature controlling goal can be reached is still unknown, with much 48 difficulty under current emission conditions (Peters et al., 2012). Therefore, it is 49 necessary to assess changes in hydrological cycle and extremes under 1.5 and 2 °C 50 51 temperature increases at regional scale.

Global warming is mainly caused by greenhouse gases emissions and has a profound influence on hydrosphere and ecosphere (Barnett et al., 2005; Vorosmarty et al., 2000). It alters hydrological cycle both directly (e.g., influences precipitation and evapotranspiration) and indirectly (e.g., influences plant growth and related hydrological processes) at global (Zhu et al., 2016; McVicar et al., 2012) and local scales (Tang et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008). Besides affecting the





58 mean states of the hydrological conditions, global warming also intensifies hydrological extremes significantly, such as droughts that were regarded as naturally 59 occurring events when water (precipitation, or streamflow, etc.) is significant below 60 normal over a period of time (Van Loon et al., 2016; Dai, 2011). Among different 61 62 types of droughts, hydrological droughts focus on the decrease in the availability of water resources, e.g., surface and/or ground water (Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2013). 63 64 Many studies focused on the historical changes, future evolutions, causing factors and 65 uncertainties for hydrological droughts (Chang et al., 2016; Kormos et al., 2016; 66 Orlowsky and Seneviratne, 2013; Parajka et al., 2016; Perez et al., 2011; Prudhomme 67 et al., 2014; Van Loon and Laaha, 2015; Wanders and Wada, 2015; Yuan et al., 2017). Most drought projection studies focused on the future changes over a fixed time 68 period (e.g., the middle and end of the 21st century), but recent studies pointed out the 69 importance on hydrological drought evolution at certain warming levels (Roudier et 70 al., 2016; Marx et al., 2018) given the aim of the Paris Agreement. Moreover, the 71 changes in characteristics (e.g., frequency, duration, severity) of hydrological drought 72 73 events at specific warming levels received less attention. The projection of these drought characteristics could provide more relevant guidelines for policymakers on 74 75 implementing adaptation strategies.

In the past five decades, a significant decrease in channel discharge was observed in the middle reaches of the Yellow River basin over northern China (Yuan et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2014), leading to an intensified water resources scarcity in this populated area. In this study, we take a semiarid watershed, the Wudinghe in the middle reaches





of the Yellow River basin as a testbed, aiming at solving the following questions: (1)
When does temperature increase reach the 1.5 and 2 °C thresholds over the Wudinghe
watershed? (2) How do hydrological drought characteristics change at different
warming levels? (3) What are the contributions of uncertainties from different sources
(e.g., climate models, representative concentration pathways (RCPs) scenarios, and
internal variability)?

86 2. Study area and dataset

In this study, the Wudinghe watershed was selected for hydrological drought analysis. 87 As one of the largest sub-basins of the Yellow River basin, the Wudinghe watershed is 88 located in the Loess Plateau, and has a drainage area of 30261 km² with Baijiachuan 89 hydrological station as the watershed outlet (Figure 1). It has a semiarid climate with 90 91 long-term annual mean precipitation of 356 mm and runoff of 39 mm, resulting in a runoff coefficient of 0.11 (Jiao et al., 2017). Most of the rainfall events are 92 concentrated in summer (June to September) with a large possibility of heavy rains 93 (Mo et al., 2009). Located in the transition zone between cropland/grassland and 94 95 desert/shrub, the northwest part of Wudinghe watershed is dominated by sandy soil, while the major soil type for the southeast part is loess soil. During recent decades, 96 97 Wudinghe watershed has experienced a significant streamflow decrease (Yuan et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2014) and suffered from serious water resource scarcity because of 98 99 climate change, vegetation degradation and human water consumption (Xiao, 2014; 100 Xu, 2011).

101 The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) general circulation





102 model (GCM) simulations for historical experiments and future projections formed the science basis for the IPCC AR5 reports (IPCC, 2014b; Taylor et al., 2012). In this 103 104 study, we chose eight CMIP5 GCMs for historical (1961-2005) and future (2006-2099) drought changing analysis. Table 1 listed the details of GCMs used in this paper. 105 106 Because of the deficiency in GCM precipitation and runoff simulations, we used the 107 corrected meteorological forcing data from CMIP5 climate models, to drive a land 108 surface hydrological model to simulate runoff and streamflow (see Section 3.1 for 109 details).

110 All CMIP5 simulations were bias corrected before being used as land surface model input. After interpolating CMIP5 simulations and China Meteorological 111 Administration (CMA) meteorological station observations to a suitable resolution 112 113 (0.05 degree in this study), a widely used quantile mapping method (Wood et al., 2002; Yuan et al., 2015) was applied to CMIP5/ALL historical simulations to fit its 114 cumulative density functions to observed ones at monthly time scale. For future 115 projections, a modified correction method (Li et al., 2010) was used to remove the 116 117 biases in CMIP5/RCPs monthly simulations. Bias-corrected monthly precipitation and temperature were then temporally downscaled to a 6-hours interval for driving land 118 119 surface hydrological model.

120 3. Land Surface Hydrological Model and Methods

121 **3.1. Introduction of the CLM-GBHM model**

122 In this study, we chose a newly developed land surface hydrological model,

123 CLM-GBHM, to simulate historical and future streamflow. This model was first





124 developed and applied in Wudinghe watershed at 0.01 degree (Jiao et al., 2017) and then the Yellow River basin at 0.05 degree resolution (Sheng et al., 2017). By 125 improving surface runoff generation, subsurface runoff scheme, river network-based 126 representation and 1-D kinematic wave river routing processes, CLM-GBHM showed 127 128 good performances in simulating streamflow, soil moisture content and water table depth (Sheng et al., 2017). Figure 2 demonstrated the structure and main 129 130 eco-hydrological processes of CLM-GBHM. Model resolution, surface datasets, initial conditions and model parameters were kept the same as Jiao et al. (2017), 131 except that monthly LAI in 1982 was used for all simulations because of an unknown 132 133 vegetation condition in the future.

134 **3.2.** Determination of years reaching specific warming levels

135 IPCC AR5 (IPCC, 2014a) reported that global average surface air temperature change between pre-industrial period (1850-1900) and reference period (1986-2005) is 0.61 136 (0.55 to 0.67) °C. Therefore, we took 1986-2005 as the baseline period, and used 137 "1.5 °C warming level" referring to a temperature increase of 0.89 (=1.5-0.61) °C 138 compared to the baseline. Similarly, "2 °C warming level" referred to an increase of 139 140 1.39 (=2-0.61) °C compared to the baseline. As large differences existed in 141 temperature simulations among CMIP5 models and RCP scenarios, we applied a 142 widely used time sampling method (James et al., 2017; Mohammed et al., 2017; Marx et al., 2018) to each GCM under each RCP scenario (referred to as GCM/RCP 143 combination hereafter). A 20-years moving window, which is the length of the 144 baseline period, was used to determine the first period reaching a specific warming 145





146 level for each combination, with the period median year referred to as the "crossing

147 year".

148 **3.3. Identification of hydrological drought characteristics**

We used a two-step method similar to previous studies (Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2013; 149 150 Ma et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2017) to extract hydrological drought characteristics in this paper. At the first step, a hydrological drought index named as Standardized 151 152 Streamflow Index (SSI) was calculated by fitting monthly streamflow using a probabilistic distribution function (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2017). 153 Specifically, for each calendar month, historical streamflow values in that month were 154 155 collected, arranged, and fitted by using a gamma distribution function. Using the same parameters of the fitted gamma distribution, both historical (1961-2005) and future 156 157 (2006-2099) streamflow values in that calendar month were standardized to get SSI values. The procedure was repeated for twelve calendar months, four RCP scenarios 158 and eight GCMs separately. The second step was identification and characterization of 159 hydrological drought events by a SSI threshold method (Yuan and Wood, 2013; 160 161 Lorenzo-Lacruz et al., 2013; Van Loon and Laaha, 2015). Here, a threshold of -0.8 was selected, which is equivalent to a dry condition with a probability of 20%. 162 163 Months with SSI below -0.8 were treated as dry months, and 3 or more continuous dry months were considered as the emergence of a hydrological drought event. To 164 165 characterize the hydrological drought event, drought duration (months) and severity (sum of the difference between -0.8 and SSI) for a certain drought event were 166 167 calculated.





168 **3.4. Uncertainty separation**

169 Given large spreads among future projections (including combinations of eight GCMs 170 and four RCP scenarios, as shown in shaded areas in Figure 3), a separation method (Hawkins and Sutton, 2009; Orlowsky and Seneviratne, 2013) was applied to explore 171 172 uncertainty from three individual sources, i.e., internal variability, climate models and RCPs scenarios. In order to separate internal variability from other two factors with 173 long-term changing trends included, a 4th order polynomial was selected to fit specific 174 time series twice: (1) obtain an average i_m during historical period (1961-2005) as a 175 reference value, and (2) obtain a smooth fit $x_{m,s,t}$ during the whole period (1961-2099). 176 177 Future projections $(X_{m,s,t})$ were separated into three parts: reference value (i_m) , smooth 178 fit $(x_{m,s,t})$ and residual $(e_{m,s,t})$, and the uncertainties from three sources were then 179 calculated as follows:

$$V = \sum_{m} \operatorname{var}_{s,t}(e_{m,s,t}) / N_m$$
(1)

$$M_t = \sum_s \operatorname{var}_m(x_{m,s,t}) / N_s \tag{2}$$

$$S_t = \operatorname{var}_s(\sum_m x_{m,s,t} / N_m)$$
(3)

where V, M_t and S_t represent uncertainties from internal variability (which is time-invariant), climate models and RCPs scenarios, N_m and N_s are numbers of climate models and RCPs scenarios, $var_{s,t}$ denotes the variance across scenarios and time, var_m and var_s are variances across models and scenarios respectively. Finally, uncertainty contributions from each component were calculated as proportions to the sum. In this study, we applied this method to the 20-years moving averaged ensemble time series.





187 4. Results

188 **4.1. Changes in hydrometeorology in the past and future**

We first calculated the trends during both the historical and future periods for 189 basin-averaged annual mean hydrological variables (Table 2 and Figure 3). During 190 191 1961-2005, there was a significant increasing trend (p<0.01) in observed temperature 192 and a decreasing trend (p<0.1) in observed precipitation, resulted in a decreasing 193 naturalized streamflow (p<0.01) and an increasing hydrological drought frequency (p<0.01). Here, the naturalized streamflow was obtained by adding human water use 194 195 back to the observed streamflow (Yuan et al., 2017). These historical changes could 196 be captured by hydro-climate model simulations to some extent, although both the 197 warming and drying trends were underestimated (Table 2). During 2006-2099, four 198 variables show consistent changing trends across RCPs scenarios, but with different magnitudes (Table 2). Future temperature and precipitation will increase, resulting in 199 an increasing streamflow and decreasing hydrological drought frequency. Unlike 200 temperature trends that increase from RCP2.6 to RCP8.5 (which indicates different 201 202 radiative forcings), precipitation trend under RCP6.0 is smaller than that under 203 RCP4.5, suggesting a nonlinear response of regional water cycle to the increase in 204 radiative forcings. As a result, RCP6.0 shows the smallest increasing rate in streamflow and decreasing rate in drought frequency. 205

More details could be found in **Figure 3** when focusing on dynamic changes in the history and future. **Figure 3** a shows that the differences in temperature among RCPs are negligible until 2030s when RCP8.5 starts to outclass other scenarios, and the





others begin to diverge in the far future (2060s-2080s). In contrast, differences in future precipitation are small throughout the 21st century, except that RCP8.5 scenario becomes larger after 2080s (**Figure 3**b). As comprehensive outcomes of climate and eco-hydrological factors, a clear decrease-increase pattern in streamflow and an increase-decrease trend in hydrological drought frequency are found (**Figure 3**c and 3d). However, differences among RCPs are not discernible. Figures 3b-3d also show that the differences in water-related variables among climate models are very large.

216 4.2. Determination of time periods crossing 1.5 and 2 °C warming levels

Using the time-sampling method mentioned in Section 3.2, first 20-year periods with mean temperature increasing across 1.5 and 2 °C warming levels for each GCM/RCP combination were identified and listed in **Table 3**. To demonstrate the overall situation for a specific warming level, we chose median year among GCMs as model ensemble for each RCP scenario, and median year among all GCMs and RCPs as total ensemble.

As listed in Table 3, crossing years for most GCM/RCP combinations reaching 1.5 °C 223 224 warming level are within 2016-2018 except for GFDL-ESM2M and MRI-CGCM3. Model ensemble years for different RCP scenarios have small differences, and total 225 226 ensemble year for all GCMs and RCPs is 2016, indicating that 1.5 °C warming level would be reached within 2006-2025 over the Wudinghe watershed generally. As for 227 228 the 2 °C warming level, there are large differences in crossing year from different GCMs. The crossing years vary from 2016 to 2064 among all combinations, where 229 GFDL-ESM2M and MRI-CGCM3 under RCP2.6 scenario will not reach that 230





- 231 warming level (marked as "NR" in Table 3, and treated as infinity when calculating
- 232 median year for the ensemble). Model ensemble years for RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5 are
- 233 2029/2030/2033/2025 respectively, indicating that the Wudinghe watershed will first
- 234 reach 2 °C warming level under RCP8.5 and last under RCP6.0 scenario. Overall, the
- total ensemble year is 2029 for reaching the 2 °C warming level.

236 4.3. Hydrological changes at 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels

237 After identifying the time periods reaching specific warming levels, we collected 238 precipitation and runoff data within these periods (different among GCM/RCP 239 combinations), and calculated their relative changes compared to the baseline period 240 (1986-2005). Figure 4 shows the spatial pattern of relative changes in model ensemble mean precipitation. Precipitation increases at both warming levels under all 241 242 RCP scenarios, while large differences exist in spatial patterns. At 1.5 °C warming level, the watershed-mean changes in precipitation are 5.9% for all scenarios and 243 7.1%/4.7%/6.6%/5.2% for RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5, respectively. Precipitation increases by 244 nearly 10% at 2 °C warming level for all RCP scenarios, except RCP6.0 by 5.9%. 245 Under all scenarios except RCP6.0, Wudinghe watershed has a larger increase in 246 precipitation at 2°C than 1.5 °C warming level. More precipitation increases occur in 247 248 the south, west and southwest parts which are upstream regions.

The watershed-mean runoff increases by 17.0% and 26.6%, which are larger than those of precipitation because of nonlinear hydrological response (Figure 5). At 1.5 °C warming level, RCP6.0 shows greatest runoff increase and RCP4.5 the lowest. Negative changes in runoff emerge in the northeast and southeast regions under





RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 (Figure 5), where precipitation increases least (Figure 4). Moving to 2 °C warming level, mean change rates for runoff are over 25% for RCP2.6/4.5/8.5 scenarios, with RCP8.5 the largest (37%). Runoff changes are closed linked to watershed river networks, with large increase in the south and middle parts (upper and middle reaches) and less increase or even decrease in the southeast and northeast parts (lower reaches).

259 Figure 6 shows the characteristics of hydrological droughts during the baseline period 260 and the periods reaching both warming levels. The number of hydrological drought events averaged among all RCP scenarios and climate models is 10.2 in the baseline 261 period, and it drops to 7.5 (-26% relative to baseline, the same below) at 1.5 °C 262 warming level and 7.4 (-27%) at 2 °C warming level (Figure 6a). Hydrological 263 264 drought durations do not change significantly, with 6.4, 6.7 (+5%) and 6.0 (-6%) months at baseline, 1.5 and 2 °C warming levels, respectively. However, drought 265 severity increases from 2.7 at baseline to 4.4 (+63%) at 1.5 °C warming level, and 266 then to 3.5 (+30%) at 2 °C warming level (Figure 6a). These results indicate that 267 268 although precipitation and runoff increase, the Wudinghe watershed would suffer from more severe hydrological events in the near future at 1.5 °C warming level. The 269 270 severity could be alleviated in time periods reaching 2 °C warming level, with more precipitation occurring over the watershed. 271

The results for individual scenarios also suggest a decrease in drought frequency, but an increase in drought severity (Figures 6b-6e). The least change in drought severity is found under RCP2.6 scenario (+4%/+15% after warming). Under RCP8.5, drought





- duration increases from 6.4 to 7.8 (+22%) and 8.6 (+34%) months, and drought
 severity increases from 2.7 to 5.9 (+119%) and 7.9 (+193%). In short, high emissions
 would increase the risk of hydrological drought over the Wudinghe watershed
 significantly through increasing the duration and severity.
- 279 5. Discussion

To explore the reason for less frequent but more severe hydrological droughts, we 280 281 compared the differences in monthly precipitation, evapotranspiration, 282 total/surface/sub-surface runoff and streamflow between baseline and periods reaching 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels. Standardized indices for these hydrological 283 284 variables were used to remove seasonality from monthly time series, and mean values and variabilities of these indices were chosen as indicators. 285

Figure 7 shows that mean values increase as temperature increases for all 286 standardized hydrological indices, showing a wetter hydroclimate in the near future 287 with more precipitation, evapotranspiration, runoff and streamflow (Figure 7a). 288 However, variabilities for the standardized indices in the future are higher than those 289 290 during baseline period, indicating larger fluctuations and higher chance for extreme droughts/floods at both warming levels (Figure 7b). Focusing on the gaps between 291 baseline and future periods (Figure 7a-7b), it is clear that the differences in both 292 evapotranspiration and runoff are much larger than those of precipitation for both 293 mean values and standard deviations, suggesting the water redistribution through 294 complicated hydrological processes. The increase in mean value of runoff and 295 consequently streamflow mainly comes from the increase in subsurface runoff. As 296





297 hydrological drought defined in this paper is based on monthly SSI series, increases in

298 both mean value and variability in precipitation and evapotranspiration indicate a

299 period with less frequent but more severe hydrological drought events.

Another issue is the reliability of results considering large differences among CMIP5 300 301 models. Figure 8 shows the uncertainty fractions contributed from internal variability, climate models and RCPs scenarios based on multi-model and multi-scenario 302 303 ensemble projections of temperature, precipitation, streamflow and drought frequency. Uncertainty in temperature projection is mainly contributed by climate models before 304 305 2052, and it is then taken over by RCPs scenarios. Internal variability contributes to 306 less than 3% of the uncertainty for the temperature projection (Figure 8a). For precipitation projection, climate models account for a large proportion of uncertainty 307 308 (over 73%) throughout the century. The internal variability contributes to larger uncertainty than RCPs scenarios until the second half of the 21st century (Figure 8b). 309 Similar to precipitation, major source of uncertainty for the projections of streamflow 310 and hydrological drought frequency comes from climate models, while the impacts of 311 312 both internal variability and RCP scenarios are further weakened (Figures 8c-8d).

For total ensemble (see **Table 4**), climate model accounts for over 80% of total uncertainties for all variables, while internal variability contributes to a comparable or larger proportion than RCPs scenarios except for temperature. RCPs scenario uncertainty accounts for 18.4% of temperature uncertainty and 4.8% of precipitation uncertainty at 2 °C warming level, both of them are more than doubled compared to those at 1.5 °C warming level. RCPs scenario only contributes to around 3% of the





319 uncertainties in the projections of streamflow and hydrological drought frequency.

320 These results indicate that the improvement in GCMs would largely narrow the

321 uncertainties for future projections of hydrological droughts.

There are also some issues for further investigations. As shown in Figure 3, GCM 322 323 historical simulations underestimates the increasing trend in temperature and decreasing trend in precipitation, and results in underestimations of hydrological 324 325 drying trends. Although the quantile mapping method used in this study is able to 326 remove the biases in GCM simulations (e.g., mean value, variance), the 327 underestimation of trends could not be corrected. An alternative method is to use regional climate models for dynamical downscaling, which would be useful if 328 regional forcings (e.g., topography, land use change, aerosol emission) are strong. 329 330 Another issue is about the spatially varied warming rates. IPCC AR5 reported (IPCC, 2014c) that global warming for the last 20 years compared to pre-industrial are 331 0.3-1.7 °C (RCP2.6), 1.1-2.6 °C (RCP4.5), 1.4-3.1 °C (RCP6.0), 2.6-4.8 °C (RCP8.5). 332 However, temperature increases vary a lot for different regions. For instance, 333 334 temperature rises faster in high-altitude (Kraaijenbrink et al., 2017) and polar regions 335 (Bromwich et al., 2013), where the rate of regional warming could be three times of 336 global warming. In this paper, we focused on local warming rates in our studying area with a conclusion that both warming levels could probably be reached in the near 337 338 future. The reaching periods for regional warming levels are earlier than global mean results (not shown here), which suggest that the hydrological droughts would 339 probably be more severe under global warming of 1.5 and 2 °C scenarios. 340





341 6. Conclusions

342	In this paper, we bias-corrected future projections of meteorological forcings from
343	eight CMIP5 GCM simulations under four RCP scenarios to drive a newly developed
344	land surface hydrological model, CLM-GBHM, to project changes in streamflow and
345	hydrological drought characteristics over the Wudinghe watershed. After determining
346	the local time periods reaching 1.5 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ and 2 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ warming levels for each GCM/RCP
347	combination, we focused on the changes in hydrological drought characteristics at
348	both warming levels. Moreover, projection uncertainties from different sources were
349	separated and analyzed. Main conclusions are listed as follows:
350	(1) With CMIP5 GCM simulations as forcing data, the model ensemble mean hindcast
351	can reproduce the significant decreasing trend of streamflow and increasing trend of
352	hydrological drought frequency in historical period (1961-2005), but the drying trend
353	is underestimated because of GCM uncertainties. Streamflow increases and
354	hydrological drought frequency decreases in the future under all RCP scenarios.
355	(2) The time periods reaching 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels over the Wudinghe
356	watershed are 2006-2025 and 2019-2038, respectively. Different RCP scenarios show
357	small deviations in time periods reaching 1.5 °C warming level, while results vary for
358	reaching the 2 °C warming level, with RCP8.5 the earliest and RCP6.0 the latest.
359	(3) Precipitation increases under all RCP scenarios at both warming levels (5.9% and
360	9.0%), while large differences exist in spatial patterns. Runoff has larger relative
361	change rates (17.0% and 26.6%). Large increases of runoff occurred in the upper and
362	middle reaches and less increases or even decreases emerged in the lower reaches,





- 363 indicating a complex spatial distribution in hydrological droughts.
- (4) As a result of increasing mean values and variability for precipitation, evapotranspiration and runoff, hydrological drought frequency drops by 26-27% at both warming levels compared to the baseline period, while hydrological drought severity rises dramatically by 63% at 1.5 °C warming level and then drops to 30% at 2 °C warming level. This indicates that the Wudinghe watershed would suffer more severe hydrological drought events in the future, especially under RCP6.0 and RCP8.5 scenarios.
- (5) The main uncertainty sources vary among hydrological variables. In the near future, most uncertainties are from climate models, especially for precipitation. At both warming levels, climate models contribute to over 82% of total uncertainties, while internal variability contributes to a comparable proportion of uncertainties to RCPs scenarios for precipitation, streamflow and hydrological drought frequency.

376

377 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0606002) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (91547103). Daily precipitation and temperature simulated by CMIP5 models were provided by the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modeling (https://esgf-data.dkrz.de/search/cmip5-dkrz). We thank Prof. Dawen Yang and Prof. Huimin Lei for the implementation of the CLM-GBHM land surface hydrological model.





386 References

- 387 Barnett, T. P., Adam, J. C., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Potential impacts of a warming
- climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions, Nature, 438, 303-309,
- 389 doi:10.1038/nature04141, 2005.
- 390 Bromwich, D. H., Nicolas, J. P., Monaghan, A. J., Lazzara, M. A., Keller, L. M.,
- Weidner, G. A., and Wilson, A. B.: Central West Antarctica among the most
 rapidly warming regions on Earth, Nat Geosci, 6, 139-145,
- 393 doi:10.1038/Ngeo1671, 2013.
- Chang, J., Li, Y., Wang, Y., and Yuan, M.: Copula-based drought risk assessment
 combined with an integrated index in the Wei River Basin, China, Journal of
 Hydrology, 540, 824-834, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.06.064, 2016.
- Dai, A. G.: Drought under global warming: a review, Wires Clim Change, 2, 45-65,
 doi:10.1002/wcc.81, 2011.
- Gitay, H., Suárez, A., Watson, R. T., and Dokken, D. J.: Climate change and
 biodiversity, IPCC Technical Paper V, 2002.
- Hawkins, E., and Sutton, R.: The Potential to Narrow Uncertainty in Regional
 Climate Predictions, B Am Meteorol Soc, 90, 1095-+,
 doi:10.1175/2009bams2607.1, 2009.
- 404 IPCC: Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis, Cambridge University
- 405 Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., 2014a.
- 406 IPCC: Summary for Policymakers, in: Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science
- 407 Basis, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K.,
- Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1-30,
 2014b.
- 411 IPCC: Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments and Irreversibility, in:
- 412 Climate Change 2013 The Physical Science Basis, edited by: Stocker, T. F.,
- 413 Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia,
- 414 Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,





415	United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1029-1136, 2014c.
416	James, R., Washington, R., Schleussner, C. F., Rogelj, J., and Conway, D.:
417	Characterizing half-a-degree difference: a review of methods for identifying
418	regional climate responses to global warming targets, Wires Clim Change, 8,
419	doi:10.1002/wcc.457, 2017.
420	Jiao, Y., Lei, H. M., Yang, D. W., Huang, M. Y., Liu, D. F., and Yuan, X.: Impact of
421	vegetation dynamics on hydrological processes in a semi-arid basin by using a
422	land surface-hydrology coupled model, Journal of Hydrology, 551, 116-131,
423	doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.05.060, 2017.
424	Kormos, P. R., Luce, C. H., Wenger, S. J., and Berghuijs, W. R.: Trends and
425	sensitivities of low streamflow extremes to discharge timing and magnitude in
426	Pacific Northwest mountain streams, Water Resour Res, 52, 4990-5007,
427	doi:10.1002/2015wr018125, 2016.
428	Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Bierkens, M. F. P., Lutz, A. F., and Immerzeel, W. W.:
429	Impact of a global temperature rise of 1.5 degrees Celsius on Asia's glaciers,
430	Nature, 549, 257-+, doi:10.1038/nature23878, 2017.
431	Li, H. B., Sheffield, J., and Wood, E. F.: Bias correction of monthly precipitation and
432	temperature fields from Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change AR4
433	models using equidistant quantile matching, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 115,
434	doi:10.1029/2009jd012882, 2010.
435	Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Moran-Tejeda, E., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., and Lopez-Moreno, J.
436	I.: Streamflow droughts in the Iberian Peninsula between 1945 and 2005: spatial
437	and temporal patterns, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 119-134,
438	doi:10.5194/hess-17-119-2013, 2013.
439	Ma, F., Yuan, X., and Ye, A. Z.: Seasonal drought predictability and forecast skill
440	over China, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 120, 8264-8275, doi:10.1002/2015jd023185,
441	2015.
442	Marx, A., Kumar, R., Thober, S., Rakovec, O., Wanders, N., Zink, M., Wood, E. F.,
443	Pan, M., Sheffield, J., and Samaniego, L.: Climate change alters low flows in
444	Europe under global warming of 1.5, 2, and 3 degrees C, Hydrology and Earth





445	System Sciences, 22, 1017-1032, doi:10.5194/hess-22-1017-2018, 2018.
446	McVicar, T. R., Roderick, M. L., Donohue, R. J., Li, L. T., Van Niel, T. G., Thomas,
447	A., Grieser, J., Jhajharia, D., Himri, Y., Mahowald, N. M., Mescherskaya, A. V.,
448	Kruger, A. C., Rehman, S., and Dinpashoh, Y.: Global review and synthesis of
449	trends in observed terrestrial near-surface wind speeds: Implications for
450	evaporation, Journal of Hydrology, 416, 182-205,
451	doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.10.024, 2012.
452	Mo, X. G., Liu, S. X., Chen, D., Lin, Z. H., Guo, R. P., and Wang, K.: Grid-size
453	effects on estimation of evapotranspiration and gross primary production over a
454	large Loess Plateau basin, China, Hydrolog Sci J, 54, 160-173,
455	doi:10.1623/hysj.54.1.160, 2009.
456	Mohammed, K., Islam, A. S., Islam, G. M. T., Alfieri, L., Bala, S. K., and Khan, M. J.
457	U.: Extreme flows and water availability of the Brahmaputra River under 1.5 and
458	2 A degrees C global warming scenarios, Climatic Change, 145, 159-175,
459	doi:10.1007/s10584-017-2073-2, 2017.
460	Orlowsky, B., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Elusive drought: uncertainty in observed trends
461	and short- and long-term CMIP5 projections, Hydrology and Earth System
462	Sciences, 17, 1765-1781, doi:10.5194/hess-17-1765-2013, 2013.
463	Parajka, J., Blaschke, A. P., Bloeschl, G., Haslinger, K., Hepp, G., Laaha, G.,
464	Schoener, W., Trautvetter, H., Viglione, A., and Zessner, M.: Uncertainty
465	contributions to low-flow projections in Austria, Hydrology and Earth System
466	Sciences, 20, 2085-2101, doi:10.5194/hess-20-2085-2016, 2016.
467	Perez, G. A. C., van Huijgevoort, M. H. J., Voss, F., and van Lanen, H. A. J.: On the
468	spatio-temporal analysis of hydrological droughts from global hydrological
469	models, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 15, 2963-2978,
470	doi:10.5194/hess-15-2963-2011, 2011.
471	Peters, G. P., Andrew, R. M., Boden, T., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Le Quéré, C.,
472	Marland, G., Raupach, M. R., and Wilson, C.: The challenge to keep global
473	warming below 2 C, Nat Clim Change, 3, 4, 2012.
474	Prudhomme, C., Giuntoli, I., Robinson, E. L., Clark, D. B., Arnell, N. W., Dankers,





475	R., Fekete, B. M., Franssen, W., Gerten, D., Gosling, S. N., Hagemann, S.,
476	Hannah, D. M., Kim, H., Masaki, Y., Satoh, Y., Stacke, T., Wada, Y., and
477	Wisser, D.: Hydrological droughts in the 21st century, hotspots and uncertainties
478	from a global multimodel ensemble experiment, Proceedings of the National
479	Academy of Sciences, 111, 3262-3267, doi:10.1073/pnas.1222473110, 2014.
480	Rogelj, J., Luderer, G., Pietzcker, R. C., Kriegler, E., Schaeffer, M., Krey, V., and
481	Riahi, K.: Energy system transformations for limiting end-of-century warming to
482	below 1.5 degrees C, Nat Clim Change, 5, 519-+, doi:10.1038/nclimate2572,
483	2015.
484	Roudier, P., Andersson, J. C. M., Donnelly, C., Feyen, L., Greuell, W., and Ludwig,
485	F.: Projections of future floods and hydrological droughts in Europe under a+2
486	degrees C global warming, Climatic Change, 135, 341-355,
487	doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1570-4, 2016.
488	Sheng, M. Y., Lei, H. M., Jiao, Y., and Yang, D. W.: Evaluation of the Runoff and
489	River Routing Schemes in the Community Land Model of the Yellow River
490	Basin, J Adv Model Earth Sy, 9, 2993-3018, doi:10.1002/2017ms001026, 2017.
491	Tang, Y., Tang, Q., Tian, F., Zhang, Z., and Liu, G.: Responses of natural runoff to
492	recent climatic variations in the Yellow River basin, China, Hydrology and Earth
493	System Sciences, 17, 4471-4480, doi: 10.5194/hess-17-4471-2013, 2013.
494	Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of Cmip5 and the
495	Experiment Design, B Am Meteorol Soc, 93, 485-498,
496	doi:10.1175/Bams-D-11-00094.1, 2012.
497	Thornton, P. K., Ericksen, P. J., Herrero, M., and Challinor, A. J.: Climate variability
498	and vulnerability to climate change: a review, Global Change Biol, 20,
499	3313-3328, doi:10.1111/gcb.12581, 2014.
500	Tirado, M. C., Clarke, R., Jaykus, L. A., McQuatters-Gollop, A., and Franke, J. M.:
501	Climate change and food safety: A review, Food Res Int, 43, 1745-1765,
502	doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2010.07.003, 2010.
503	Van Loon, A. F., and Laaha, G.: Hydrological drought severity explained by climate
504	and catchment characteristics, Journal of Hydrology, 526, 3-14,

23





- 505 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.059, 2015.
- 506 Van Loon, A. F., Stahl, K., Di Baldassarre, G., Clark, J., Rangecroft, S., Wanders, N.,
- 507 Gleeson, T., Van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Tallaksen, L. M., Hannaford, J., Uijlenhoet, R.,
- 508 Teuling, A. J., Hannah, D. M., Sheffield, J., Svoboda, M., Verbeiren, B.,
- 509 Wagener, T., and Van Lanen, H. A. J.: Drought in a human-modified world:
- 510 reframing drought definitions, understanding, and analysis approaches,
- 511 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20, 3631-3650,
 512 doi:10.5194/hess-20-3631-2016, 2016.
- Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Lopez-Moreno, J. I., Begueria, S., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J.,
 Azorin-Molina, C., and Moran-Tejeda, E.: Accurate Computation of a
 Streamflow Drought Index, J Hydrol Eng, 17, 318-332,
 doi:10.1061/(Asce)He.1943-5584.0000433, 2012.
- Vorosmarty, C. J., Green, P., Salisbury, J., and Lammers, R. B.: Global water
 resources: Vulnerability from climate change and population growth, Science,
 289, 284-288, doi:10.1126/science.289.5477.284, 2000.
- Wanders, N., and Wada, Y.: Human and climate impacts on the 21st century
 hydrological drought, Journal of Hydrology, 526, 208-220,
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.047, 2015.
- Wood, A. W., Maurer, E. P., Kumar, A., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Long-range
 experimental hydrologic forecasting for the eastern United States, J Geophys
 Res-Atmos, 107, doi:10.1029/2001jd000659, 2002.
- 526 Xiao, J. F.: Satellite evidence for significant biophysical consequences of the "Grain
- 527 for Green" Program on the Loess Plateau in China, J Geophys Res-Biogeo, 119,
- 528 2261-2275, doi:10.1002/2014jg002820, 2014.
- Xu, J. X.: Variation in annual runoff of the Wudinghe River as influenced by climatechange and human activity, Quatern Int, 244, 230-237,
- doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2010.09.014, 2011.
- 532 Yuan, X., and Wood, E. F.: Multimodel seasonal forecasting of global drought onset,
- 533 Geophys Res Lett, 40, 4900-4905, doi:10.1002/grl.50949, 2013.
- 534 Yuan, X., Roundy, J. K., Wood, E. F., and Sheffield, J.: Seasonal forecasting of





- 535 global hydrologic extremes: system development and evaluation over GEWEX
- basins, B Am Meteorol Soc, 96, 1895-1912, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00003.1, 536
- 2015. 537
- Yuan, X., Zhang, M., Wang, L. Y., and Zhou, T.: Understanding and seasonal 538
- 539 forecasting of hydrological drought in the Anthropocene, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21, 5477-5492, doi:10.5194/hess-21-5477-2017, 2017.
- 540
- Yuan, X., Y. Jiao, D. Yang, and H. Lei: Reconciling the attribution of changes in 541
- streamflow extremes from a hydroclimate perspective, Water Resour Res, 542 doi:10.1029/2018WR022714, 2018 543
- Zhang, X. P., Zhang, L., Zhao, J., Rustomji, P., and Hairsine, P.: Responses of 544 streamflow to changes in climate and land use/cover in the Loess Plateau, China, 545 Water Resour Res, 44, doi:10.1029/2007wr006711, 2008. 546
- Zhao, G. J., Tian, P., Mu, X. M., Jiao, J. Y., Wang, F., and Gao, P.: Quantifying the 547 impact of climate variability and human activities on streamflow in the middle 548 reaches of the Yellow River basin, China, Journal of Hydrology, 519, 387-398, 549
- doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.07.014, 2014. 550
- 551 Zheng, H. X., Zhang, L., Zhu, R. R., Liu, C. M., Sato, Y., and Fukushima, Y.: 552 Responses of streamflow to climate and land surface change in the headwaters of 553 the Yellow River Basin, Water Resour Res, 45, doi:10.1029/2007wr006665,
- 554 2009.
- Zhu, Z. C., Piao, S. L., Myneni, R. B., Huang, M. T., Zeng, Z. Z., Canadell, J. G., 555 Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Arneth, A., Cao, C. X., Cheng, L., Kato, E., 556
- 557 Koven, C., Li, Y., Lian, X., Liu, Y. W., Liu, R. G., Mao, J. F., Pan, Y. Z., Peng,
- S. S., Penuelas, J., Poulter, B., Pugh, T. A. M., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N., Wang, 558
- X. H., Wang, Y. P., Xiao, Z. Q., Yang, H., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: Greening of 559
- the Earth and its drivers, Nat Clim Change, 6, 791-795, 560 561 doi:10.1038/nclimate3004, 2016.





562 Figure Captions

- 563 Figure 1. Location, elevation and river networks for the Wudinghe watershed.
- 564 Figure 2. Structure and main eco-hydrological processes for the land surface
- 565 hydrological model CLM-GBHM. (modified from Jiao et al., 2017)

566 Figure 3. Historical (ALL) and future (RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5) time series of standardized annual mean (a) temperature, (b) precipitation and (c) streamflow, and (d) 567 568 the time series of hydrological drought frequency (drought months for each year) over the Wudinghe watershed. Shaded areas indicate the ranges between maximum and 569 570 minimum values among CMIP5/CLM-GBHM model simulations. ALL represents 571 historical simulations with both anthropogenic and natural forcings, RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5 represent four representative concentration pathways from lower 572 573 to higher emission scenarios.

Figure 4. Spatial pattern of relative changes in multi-model ensemble mean precipitation at 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels compared to the baseline period (1986-2005). The percentages in the upper-right corners of each panel are the watershed-mean changes for different RCP scenarios, and the percentages in the top brackets are the mean values from four RCP scenarios.

579 Figure 5. The same as Figure 4, but for the spatial patterns of runoff changes.

580 Figure 6. Comparison of the characteristics (frequency (number of drought events per

581 20 years), duration (months) and severity) averaged among climate models and RCP

- scenarios for hydrological drought events during the baseline period (1986-2005) and
- 583 the periods reaching 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels.





- 584 Figure 7. Comparison of (a) mean values and (b) standard deviations for hydrological
- 585 indices averaged among climate models and RCP scenarios during the baseline period
- 586 (1986-2005) and the periods reaching 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels. SPI, SEI, SRI,
- 587 SSRI, SBI, SSI represent standardized indices of precipitation, evapotranspiration,
- runoff, surface runoff, baseflow (subsurface runoff) and streamflow, respectively.
- 589 Figure 8. Fractions of uncertainties from internal variability (orange), RCP scenarios
- 590 (green) and climate models (blue) for the projections of 20-years moving averaged (a)
- 591 temperature, (b) precipitation (c) streamflow and (d) hydrological drought frequency.
- 592 Two dashed lines indicate the multi-model ensemble median years reaching 1.5 °C
- 593 (year 2016) and 2 °C (year 2029) warming levels, respectively.

594

595 Table Captions

Table 1. CMIP5 model simulations used in this study. ALL represents historical
simulations with both anthropogenic and natural forcings (r1i1p1 realization),
RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5 represent four representative concentration pathways from lower
to higher emission scenarios.

Table 2. Trends in hydrometeorological variables and hydrological drought frequency
 over the Wudinghe watershed. Historical observed trends for streamflow and drought
 frequency were calculated by using naturalized streamflow data (Yuan et al., 2017).

- 603 Here, "*" and "**" indicate 90% and 99% confidence levels, respectively, while those
- 604 without any "*" show no significant changes (p>0.1).
- 605 Table 3. Determination of crossing year for the periods reaching 1.5°C and 2 °C





- 606 warming levels for different GCMs and RCPs combinations. Here, "NR" means that
- 607 the corresponding GCM/RCP combination will not reach the specified warming level
- 608 throughout the 21^{st} century.
- 609 Table 4. Uncertainty contributions (%) from internal variability, climate models and
- 610 RCPs scenarios for the future projections considering 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels.







612 **Figure 1.** Location, elevation and river networks for the Wudinghe watershed.







- 614 Figure 2. Structure and main eco-hydrological processes for the land surface
- 615 hydrological model CLM-GBHM. (modified from Jiao et al., 2017)
- 616







618 Figure 3. Historical (ALL) and future (RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5) time series of standardized annual mean (a) temperature, (b) precipitation and (c) streamflow, and (d) 619 620 the time series of hydrological drought frequency (drought months for each year) over 621 the Wudinghe watershed. Shaded areas indicate the ranges between maximum and minimum values among CMIP5/CLM-GBHM model simulations. ALL represents 622 historical simulations anthropogenic 623 with both and natural forcings, RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5 represent four representative concentration pathways from lower 624 to higher emission scenarios. 625









Figure 4. Spatial pattern of relative changes in multi-model ensemble mean precipitation at 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels compared to the baseline period (1986-2005). The percentages in the upper-right corners of each panel are the watershed-mean changes for different RCP scenarios, and the percentages in the top brackets are the mean values from four RCP scenarios.







633 **Figure 5.** The same as **Figure 4**, but for the spatial patterns of runoff changes.







Figure 6. Comparison of the characteristics (frequency (number of drought events per
20 years), duration (months) and severity) averaged among climate models and RCP
scenarios for hydrological drought events during the baseline period (1986-2005) and
the periods reaching 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels.





639



640 **Figure 7.** Comparison of (a) mean values and (b) standard deviations for hydrological

641 indices averaged among climate models and RCP scenarios during the baseline period

642 (1986-2005) and the periods reaching 1.5 °C and 2 °C warming levels. SPI, SEI, SRI,

643 SSRI, SBI, SSI represent standardized indices of precipitation, evapotranspiration,

runoff, surface runoff, baseflow (subsurface runoff) and streamflow, respectively.







Figure 8. Fractions of uncertainties from internal variability (orange), RCP scenarios
(green) and climate models (blue) for the projections of 20-years moving averaged (a)
temperature, (b) precipitation (c) streamflow and (d) hydrological drought frequency.
Two dashed lines indicate the multi-model ensemble median years reaching 1.5 °C
(year 2016) and 2 °C (year 2029) warming levels, respectively.





Table 1. CMIP5 model simulations used in this study. ALL represents historical simulations with both anthropogenic and natural forcings (r1i1p1 realization), RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5 represent four representative concentration pathways from lower to higher emission scenarios. L 652

651

GCMs	Institute	Resolution	Historical simulations	RCP scenarios
GFDL-CM3	NOAA GFDL	144×90	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
GFDL-ESM2M	NOAA GFDL	144×90	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
HadGEM2-ES	MOHC	192×145	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
IPSL-CM5A-LR	IPSL	96×96	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
IPSL-CM5A-MR	IPSL	144×143	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
MIROC-ESM-CHEM	MIROC	128×64	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
MIROC-ESM	MIROC	128×64	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5
MRI-CGCM3	MRI	320×160	ALL	RCP2.6/4.5/6.0/8.5

Table 2. Trends in hydrometeorological variables and hydrological drought frequency over the Wudinghe watershed. Historical observed trends

653

654

for streamflow and drought frequency were calculated by using naturalized streamflow data (Yuan et al., 2017). Here, "*" and "**" indicate 90%

and 99% confidence levels, respectively, while those without any "*" show no significant changes (p>0.1).

655





Historical (1961-2005) and future		Changing trend of stand	ardized timeseries (yr ⁻¹	
(2006-2099) scenarios	Temperature	Precipitation	Streamflow	Drought frequency
(historical) observations	0.0494^{**}	-0.0216*	-0.0503**	0.0448^{**}
(historical) all forcings simulations	0.0272^{**}	-0.0009	-0.0213**	0.0346^{**}
(future) RCP2.6 simulations	0.0138^{**}	0.0025*	0.0046^{**}	-0.0069**
(future) RCP4.5 simulations	0.0291^{**}	0.0056^{**}	0.0105^{**}	-0.0096**
(future) RCP6.0 simulations	0.0312^{**}	0.0039^{**}	0.0038^{**}	-0.0044^{**}
(future) RCP8.5 simulations	0.0345^{**}	0.0108^{**}	0.0133**	-0.0107 **

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-255 Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discussion started: 16 July 2018







		1.5 °C war	ming level.			2 °C warn	ning level	
	RCP2.6	RCP4.5	RCP6.0	RCP8.5	RCP2.6	RCP4.5	RCP6.0	RCP8.5
GFDL-CM3	2016	2016	2016	2016	2021	2016	2018	2016
GFDL-ESM2M	2031	2023	2030	2023	NR	2048	2064	2041
HadGEM2-ES	2016	2016	2016	2016	2020	2036	2023	2024
IPSL-CM5A-LR	2016	2018	2016	2016	2033	2028	2038	2026
IPSL-CM5A-MR	2016	2016	2016	2016	2037	2025	2033	2023
MIROC-ESM-CHEM	2016	2021	2016	2016	2022	2031	2032	2026
MIROC-ESM	2017	2017	2017	2017	2025	2029	2025	2023
MRI-CGCM3	2047	2028	2045	2024	NR	2049	2060	2040
Model ensemble	2016	2018	2016	2016	2029	2030	2033	2025
Total ensemble		20	16			20	29	

39

656

Table 3. Determination of crossing year for the periods reaching 1.5°C and 2 °C warming levels for different GCMs and RCPs combinations.

Here, "NR" means that the corresponding GCM/RCP combination will not reach the specified warming level throughout the 21st century.





Table 4. Uncertainty contributions (%) from internal variability, climate models and RCPs scenarios for the future projections considering 1.5 °C 658

659 and 2 °C warming levels.

	1.5	°C warming le	vel	2 (C warming lev	'el
Variables	Internal variability	Climate Models	RCPs scenarios	Internal variability	Climate Models	RCPs scenarios
Temperature	2.0	90.1	8.0	1.2	80.5	18.4
Precipitation	10.0	88.1	2.0	12.5	82.8	4.8
Streamflow	6.7	91.2	2.1	6.9	90.9	2.3
Drought frequency	3.4	93.3	3.3	4.1	93.4	2.5