



## 1 Identifying ENSO Influences on Rainfall with Classification

2 Models: Implications for Water Resource Management of Sri

- 3 Lanka
- 4 Thushara De Silva M.<sup>1,3</sup>, George M. Hornberger<sup>1,2,3</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA.

6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA. <sup>3</sup> Vanderbilt

7 Institute for Energy and Environment, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA.

8 Correspondence to: Thushara De Silva M. (thushara.k.de.silva@vanderbilt.edu)

9 Abstract. Seasonal to annual forecasts of precipitation patterns are very important for water infrastructure 10 management. In particular, such forecasts can be used to inform decisions about the operation of multipurpose 11 reservoir systems in the face of changing climate conditions. Success in making useful forecasts often is achieved by 12 considering climate teleconnections such as the El-Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) as 13 related to sea surface temperature variations. We present a statistical analysis to explore the utility of using rainfall 14 relationships in Sri Lanka with ENSO and IOD to predict rainfall to Mahaweli and Kelani, river basins of the country. 15 Forecasting of rainfall as classes; flood, drought and normal are helpful for the water resource management decision 16 making. Results of these models give better accuracy than a prediction of absolute values. Quadratic discrimination 17 analysis (QDA) and classification tree models are used to identify the patterns of rainfall classes with respect to ENSO 18 and IOD indices. Ensemble modeling tool Random Forest is also used to predict the rainfall classes as drought and 19 not drought with higher skill. These models can be used to forecast the areal rainfall using predicted climate indices. 20 Results from these models are not very accurate; however, the patterns recognized are useful input to the water 21 resources management and adaptation the climate variability of agriculture and energy sectors.

### 22 1 Introduction

The spatial and temporal uncertainty of water availability is one of the major challenges in water resource management. Understanding patterns and identifying trends in seasonal to annual precipitation are very important for water infrastructure management. In particular, forecasts that incorporate such information can be used to inform decisions about the operation of multipurpose reservoir systems in the face of changing climate conditions.

27 Success in making useful forecasts often is achieved by considering climate teleconnections such as the El-Nino-

- 28 Southern Oscillation (ENSO) as related to sea surface temperature variations and air pressure over the globe using
- empirical data (Amarasekera et.al., 1997; Denise et.al., 2017; Korecha & Sorteberg, 2013; Seibert et.al., 2017). Also,

modes of variability of other tropical oceans can be related to regional precipitation (Dettinger and Diaz 2000; Eden
et al. 2015; Maity and Kumar 2006; Malmgren et al. 2005; Ranatunge et al. 2003; Suppiah 1996; Roplewski &

- Halpert,1996). For example, the effect of the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) is identified as independent of the ENSO
- effect (Eden et al., 2015). Pacific decadal oscillation (PDO), Atlantic multi-decadal mode oscillation (AMO), ENSO,
- and IOD teleconnections to precipitation have been found by many studies over the globe. Variations of precipitation
- 25 in the United States are explained by ENSO, DDO and AMO/Edan at al. 2015, National Oceania and Atmospheric





36 Administration, 2017; Ward, Eisner, Flo Rke, Dettinger, & Kummu, 2014), in African countries by ENSO, AMO and

37 IOD (Reason et.al., 2006), and in South east Asian countries by ENSO: Indonesia (Lee, 2015; Nur'utami & Hidayat,

2016), Thailand (Singhrattna et.al., 2005), China (Cao et al., 2017; Ouyang et al., 2014; Qiu et.al., 2014). Australia

39 (Bureau of Meteorology, 2012; Verdon & Franks, 2005), and central and south Asia (Gerlitz et al., 2016).

40 The impact of ENSO and IOD on the position of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) has been identified as a

41 primary factor driving south Asian tropical climate variations. South Asian countries get precipitation from two

42 monsoons from the movements of ITCZ in boreal summer (2<sup>o</sup>N) and boreal winter (8<sup>o</sup>S). The South western monsoon

43 (summer monsoon) is during June-August months and the North eastern monsoon (winter monsoon) is during

December –February months (Schneider et.al, 2014). Climate teleconnections have been studied for summer
 monsoons (Singhrattna et. al., 2005; Surendran et.al., 2015) and winter monsoons (Zubair & Ropelewski, 2006), A

monsoons (Singhrattna et. al., 2005; Surendran et.al., 2015) and winter monsoons (Zubair & Ropelewski, 2006), A
 negative correlation of ENSO with Indian summer monsoon has been identified (Jha et al., 2016; Surendran et al.,

47 2015).

The objective of this study is to explore the climate teleconnection to dual monsoons and inter monsoons. Water resource management decisions typically are based on precipitation throughout the year and it is extremely important to explore the possibility that rainfall might be related to teleconnection indices for which seasonal forecasts are available. Sri Lanka is a South Asian country that gets rainfall from two monsoons and two inter-monsoons. We

52 explore ENSO and IOD climate teleconnection to Sri Lanka precipitation throughout the year. Past studies have

identified climate teleconnection linking precipitation to climate indices for several months and monsoon seasons, and

shown the importance of these for forecasting rainfall in river basins (Chandimala & Zubair, 2007; Chandrasekara et

al., 2003). We extend these analyses across monsoon and inter-monsoon seasons.

Although rainfall anomalies may be correlated strongly with teleconnection indices, the scatter in the data can be large, making predictions from regression models have high uncertainty. However, water managers may act on information about whether rainfall is expected to be abnormally low or high. We investigate river basin rainfall teleconnections to climate indices with classification models. If reasonably accurate relationships can be developed, they will be useful for water resources management. For example, in Sri Lanka decisions about allocations of water for irrigation and hydropower could be improved with estimates of when low rainfall seasons are likely.

#### 62 2 Methods

Sri Lanka is an island in the Indian Ocean (latitude  $5^{\circ}55'$  N -  $9^{\circ}50'$  N, longitudes  $79^{\circ}40'$  E –  $81^{\circ}53'$  E). Mean annual rainfall varies from 880 mm to 5500 mm across the island. The rainfall distribution is determined by the monsoon system of the Indian Ocean interacting with the elevated land mass in the interior of the country. The country is divided into three climatic zones according to the rainfall distribution: wet zone (annual rainfall > 2500 mm), intermediate zone (2500 mm < rainfall < 1750 mm) and dry zone (rainfall < 1750 mm) (Department of Agriculture Sri Lanka, 2017).

69 Sri Lanka, a water-rich country, has 103 river basins varying from 9 km<sup>2</sup> to 10448 km<sup>2</sup>. A large fraction of the water 70 resources management infrastructure of the country is associated with the Mahaweli and Kelani river basins. The 71 catchment areas of the Mahaweli and Kelani are 10448 km<sup>2</sup> and 2292 km<sup>2</sup> respectively. The two rivers start from the





- 72 central highlands. Mahaweli, the longest river, travels to the ocean 331 km in the eastern direction and the Kelani 145
- 73 km in the western direction. Average annual discharge volume for the Mahaweli and Kelani basins are 26368  $10^{6}$ m<sup>3</sup>
- and  $8660 \ 10^6 \text{m}^3$  respectively (Manchanayake & Madduma Bandara, 1999). The Kelani river basin is totally inside the
- 75 wet zone whereas the Mahaweli river basin migrates through all three climate zones (Figure 1).
- 76 The temporal pattern of rainfall in Sri Lanka can be divided into four seasons as follows.
- (1) Generally low precipitation across the country from the Northeast monsoon (NEM), which gets most precipitation
   during January to February. The dry zone of the country gets significant precipitation from the NEM, while wet
- 79 zone gets very little rainfall during this period.
- (2) The whole country gets precipitation from the first inter-monsoon (FIM) during March to April months. However,
   rainfall during this period is not very high across the country.
- (3) The highest precipitation for the country is from the South western monsoon (SWM) during May to September.
   However, only the wet zone gets high precipitation during this season.
- 84 (4) The whole country gets precipitation from the second inter-monsoon (SIM) during October to December.85 Generally, precipitation from SIM is higher than FIM.
- 86 The time period of NEM and SIM are generally considered as December to February and October to November
- 87 respectively (Department of Meteorology Sri Lanka, 2017; Malmgren et.al, 2003; Ranatunge et al., 2003). However,
- 88 considering the bulk amount of water received from the monsoon, we consider January and February as the period of
- 89 NEM and October to December as the period of SIM.
- 90 Reflecting the rainfall seasons, the country has two agriculture seasons "Yala" (April September) and
- 91 "Maha"(October March). Because the dry zone gets minimal precipitation during the SWM, the agricultural systems
- 92 (165,000 ha) developed under the Mahaweli multipurpose project depend on irrigation water during the Yala season.
- 93 The country depends on stored water to drive hydropower year round. The Mahaweli and Kelani hydropower plants
- of 810 MW and 335 MW capacity serve as peaking and contingency reserve power to the power system (Ceylon
- 95 Electricity Board, 2015). Management of reservoir systems is done to cater both to irrigation and hydropower
- 96 requirements.







Figure 1:Mahaweli and Kelani river basins of Sri Lanka

97

### 98 2.1 Sub Basin Rainfall (Areal Rainfall)

99 Monthly rainfall data for years 1950-2013 are used for the study (Ceylon Electricity Board, 2017). River basin rainfall 100 was calculated using the Thiessen polygon method (Viessman, 2002). The Mahaweli river basin is divided into 16 101 Thiessen polygons and the Kelani river basin is divided into 11 Thiessen polygons (Figure 1). Eight sub-basins are 102 selected for analysis: Morape, Randenigala, Peradeniya, Manampitiya and Bowatenna represent the Mahaweli major 103 reservoir catchments and irrigation tanks, and Norton Bridge, Norwood and Laxapana represent the Kelani basin reservoir catchments. The catchment of the major Mahaweli river reservoir cascade (Kotmale, Victoria, Randenigala, 104 105 Rantambe, Bowatenna) is represented by Morape and Peradeniya located in the wet zone and Randenigala and 106 Bowatenna located in the intermediate zone. The dry zone major irrigation catchments of the Mahaweli are represented 107 by Manampitiya. The Kelaniya reservoir cascade (Norton Bridge & Moussakele) catchments in the wet zone are 108 represented by Laxapana, Norton Bridge and Norwood sub-basins.





- 109 We calculate the rainfall for the four seasons, NEM, FIM, SWM and SIM for 64 years of historical data. Rainfall
- anomalies are calculated by reducing the seasonal mean rainfall (Eq.(1)) and standardized anomalies are calculated
- 111 by dividing the rainfall anomalies by the standard deviation (SD) (Eq.(2)).

$$X_{ANM} = (X - \bar{X}_t)$$
 Eq.(1)

$$X_{S\_ANM} = (X - \bar{X}_t)/SD_t \qquad \text{Eq.(2)}$$

- 112 Where,  $\bar{X}_t$  is the average of seasonal rainfall,  $X_{ANM}$  is the rainfall anomaly and  $X_{S_ANM}$  is the standardized rainfall
- anomaly.
- 114 Standardized rainfall anomalies are divided into three classes as dry, average and wet (Table 1). A normality test for
- the rainfall data classes is done using the Shapiro-Wilk test. If the rainfall data are not normally distributed, log (e),
- square root or square functions are used to transform the data into normally distributed data sets.
- 117 Table 1: Rainfall anomaly classification

Class	Range
dry	$Minimum <= X_{S\_ANM} < -0.5$
average	$-0.5 \le X_{S_{ANM}} \le 0.5$
wet	$0.5 \le X_{S\_ANM} \le Maximum$

118

#### 119 2.2 ENSO & IOD Indices

120 The Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) is based on sea-level pressure, zonal and meridional components of the surface 121 wind, sea surface temperature, surface air temperature, and total cloudiness fraction of the sky (National Oceanic and 122 atmospheric administration 2017). The Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) is an oscillation of sea surface temperature in the 123 equatorial Indian ocean between Arabian sea and south of Indonesia (Bureau of Meteorology Australia, 2017). IOD 124 is identified as relevant to the climate of Australia (Power et.al., 1999) and countries surrounded by the Indian ocean 125 in southern Asia (Chaudhari et al., 2013; Maity & Nagesh Kumar, 2006; Qiu et al., 2014; Surendran et al., 2015). The 126 Dipole Mode Index (DMI) is used to represent the IOD capturing the west and eastern equatorial sea surface 127 temperature gradient. 128 Data used for the analyses are MEI monthly data from years 1950 - 2013, (Climate indices, NOAA, 2017) and the

Data used for the analyses are MET monthly data from years 1950 – 2015, (Climate indices, NOAA, 2017) and the

129 DMI monthly data from years 1950-2013 (HadISST dataset, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology

130 2017). Averages of MEI and DMI values for four rainfall seasons are used for the statistical analysis.

#### 131 2.3 Statistical Analyses

132 Seasonal values of MEI and DMI were used as the predictors to classify seasons into the three rainfall classes. The 133 total data set is divided into 75 % for training the model and 25 % for testing model performance. Quadratic 134 discriminant analysis (QDA) and classification trees were selected for the analyses. A random forest model also was 135 applied to investigate the reliability of a cross-validated statistical forecast tool based on an advance estimate of MEI 136 and DMI.





#### 137 2.3.1 Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)

138 QDA assumes that observations from each class are drawn from a Gaussian distribution. Substituting a Gaussian

- density function of K<sup>th</sup> class to Bayes theorem and taking the log values, the quadratic discriminant function is derived
- 140 (James et.al., 2013; Löwe et.al., 2016) (Eq.(3))Eq.(3.

$$\delta_k(x) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_x)^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x - \mu_x) + \log \pi_k$$
 Eq.(3)

141 The covariance matrix  $(\sum_k)$ , mean  $(\mu_x)$  and prior probability  $(\pi_k)$  for each class are estimated from the training data 142 set. These values are inserted into the discriminant function together with state variables and the corresponding class 143 is selected according to the largest value of the function. The number of parameters to be estimated for the QDA model 144 for K classes and p predictors are K.p.(p + 1) / 2 values. The QDA model output is the probability that an 145 observation of a climate category will fall into each of the rainfall classes.

#### 146 2.3.2 Classification Tree model

For the classification tree model the predictor space is divided into non-overlapping regions  $(R_1..R_j)$ . A classification tree predicts each observation as belonging to the most commonly occurring class of the training data regions (James

- tree predicts each observation as belonging to the most commonly occurring class of the training data regions (Jameset.al., 2013).
- 150 The Gini index (G) is considered as the criterion for splitting into regions (James et.al., 2013).

$$G = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{p}_{mk} \left( 1 - \hat{p}_{mk} \right)$$
 Eq.(4)

151 In Eq.(4),  $\hat{p}_{mk}$  represents the fraction of observations in the m<sup>th</sup> class that belong to the k<sup>th</sup> class. The Gini index is 152 considered as a measure of node purity of the tree model, since small values of the index indicate that node has a 153 higher number of observations from a single class. The complexity of trees is adjusted using a pruning process to 154 produce more interpretable results.

Tree models give the probability that an observation falls into each of the three rainfall classes. The predicted class is assigned based on the highest probability. Tree models handle ties of probability values by randomly assigning the class.

#### 158 2.3.3 Random Forest

A random forest is an ensemble learning method used for classification and regression problems. The method is based on a multitude of decision trees based on training data with the final model as the mean of the ensemble (Breiman, 2001). Individual trees are built on a random sample of the training data with several predictors from the total number of predictors. Individual trees are built from the bootstrapped training data set.





- 164 In a random forest model the importance of the variable is measured as the decrease in node impurity from the splits
- 165 over the variable. This value is calculated by averaging the Gini index over the multitude of trees with a larger value
- 166 indicating high importance of the predictor (James et.al., 2013).







168 Figure 2: Sub basin Rainfall for (a) Morape, (b) Peradeniya,(c) Randenigala, (d) Bowatenna, (e) Laxapana (f)
169 Norwood, (g) Norton Bridge, and (h) Manampitiya.





### 170 3 Results

- Monthly rainfall boxplots of eight sub basins over the year for 1950 2013 illustrate the seasonal and the spatial variation of rainfall patterns (Figure 2). The largest fraction of total rainfall in the dry zone occurs at the end of the SIM (December) and during the NEM (January February) with correspondingly high variability whereas there is little rainfall in the dry zone during the SWM (May September) with correspondingly little variability (Figure 2 (h)). The intermediate zone receives approximately 60% of total rainfall from the SIM and NEM. Although the variability of the rainfall is low in the intermediate zone, high rainfall can occur in all seasons (Figure 2 (c) and (d)). In the wet
- 2017 zone, a large portion of rainfall occurs in SWM and early months of SIM (October-November). High variability of
- 178 wet zone rainfall is observed at the end of FIM (April), in the SWM (May-September), and at the start of SIM
- 179 (October) (Figure 2 (a), (b), (e), (f) and (g)).
- 180 Similar to other investigators, we observe several strong correlations between rainfall anomalies and the climate
- 181 indices (Table A.1, Appendix). For example, rainfall in the SWM is very important for stations in the wet zone of
- the country which is the source of a large amount of water stored in reservoirs. Correlation coefficients between
- 183 SWM rainfall at Norton Bridge are negative and strong, -0.31 for MEI (p=0.01) and -0.37 for DMI (p<0.01). The
- 184 strength of the correlation notwithstanding, the residuals from a regression model indicate that high uncertainty
- 185 would attach to any forecast (Fig. 3). Thus, we are led to explore the efficacy of classification methods (Appendix).



186

Figure 3: Linear regression of rainfall anomaly on MEI and DMI. High values of MEI and DMI are associated withlow values of rainfall.

189 We present classification results for two sub-basins, one that has the highest rainfall during the NEM, Manampitiya,

190 and one that has the highest rainfall for the SWM, Norton Bridge (Figure 4). Norton Bridge represents the areal rainfall

191 of reservoir catchments in the wet zone and Manampitiya represents the rainfall that contributes to irrigation tanks in

the dry zone. Results of other sub-basins are presented in the supplementary materials (Appendix).

193

The SWM is a season when the wet zone receives the bulk of rainfall. At Norton Bridge, the occurrences of the dry rainfall anomaly class in the SWM is seen to "clump" in the region of relatively high MEI and DMI. Both the classification tree and the QDA successfully identify the pattern (Fig. 4(a) and 4(c)) with an overall accuracy of 73 %,





197 19 and 16 correct out of 22 occurrences (Table 2). In the dry zone the NEM season is one of the most important for 198 rainfall. At Manampitiya, the MEI provides the primary variable in the classification, with the dry anomaly class being 199 correctly selected in 52 % by tree model and 95 % with the QDA model. The results suggest that it may be possible 200 to identify seasons when it is expected to be anomalously dry. The correct classification of "average" conditions likely 201 has less importance for water managers. We explored classification using two classes, "Dry" and "Not Dry." In this 202 case, the classification model again correctly classifies 86 % of the anonymously dry cases and gets more than 69 % 203 of the "Not Dry" cases correct (Figure 5).





207 tree model (c) Norton Bridge SWM rainfall QDA











210 Table 2: Classification model results. Highlighted cells indicate where there may be information content with

211 respect to forecasting either dry or wet anomaly classes as judged by a classification success rate of at least 2/3.

	]	Manampitiya Norton Bridge					Manampitiya			ge
Season		QDA Model		QDA Model						
	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry	Normal	Wet				
NEM	22/23	11/25	1/16	5/20	25/29	2/15				
FIM	9/21	20/24	5/19	3/20	14/23	14/20				
SWM	2/21	30/27	2/16	16/22	9/22	9/20				
SIM	17/25	13/20	7/19	7/22	15/22	11/20				
Saacon		Tree Model		Tree Model						
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry	Normal	Wet				
NEM	12/23	9/25	11/16	11/20	18/29	8/15				
FIM	9/21	19/24	8/19	13/21	6/23	15/20				
SWM	6/21	25/27	7/16	19/22	8/22	9/20				
SIM	20/25	0/20	17/19	19/22	5/22	14/20				

212

Classification trees are known to be unstable. That is, small changes in the observations can lead to large changes in the decision tree. The random forest approach overcomes the issue by building a "bag" of trees from bootstrap samples. The robustness of the model can then be checked by considering the "out-of-bag" error. The results of the random forest indicate that predictions of three rainfall anomaly classes using MEI and DMI is not feasible (Table 3). The outof-bag error rate is close to two thirds, which for three categories is equivalent to a random selection.





		Norton 1	Bridge			Manam	pitiya	
Season				OOB				OOB
	Dry	Normal	Wet	Er	Dry	Normal	Wet	Er
NEM	11/20	12/29	6/15	55%	14/23	10/25	5/16	55%
FIM	7/21	8/23	8/20	64%	10/21	11/24	6/19	58%
SWM	9/22	6/22	8/20	64%	6/21	17/27	5/16	56%
SIM	13/22	9/22	9/20	52%	15/25	8/20	7/19	53%

220 Table 3: Results of random forest ensemble classification results

221

222 However, the results of the random forest for a classification as either "Dry" or "Not Dry" suggests that there may

223 be skill in such a prediction. The out-of-bag error rates for this case range from 22 % to 38 % for Norton Bridge and

224 Manampitiya (Table 3) and from 20 % to 39 % across all stations (Table A 6).

225 Table 4: Results of random forest ensemble classification results for two rainfall anomaly classes

	I	Norton Bridg	je	Manampitiya			
Season	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	
NEM	9/20	36/44	30 %	13/23	33/41	28 %	
FIM	5/21	35/43	38 %	8/21	35/43	33 %	
SWM	9/22	32/42	36 %	5/16	34/43	39 %	
SIM	10/22	36/42	28 %	16/25	34/39	22 %	

226

#### 227 4 Discussion

228 Understanding seasonal rainfall variability across the spatially diverse Mahaweli and Kelani river basins is important 229 for irrigation and hydropower water planning. SWM and SIM are the key rainfall seasons for sub basins in the wet 230 zone (Norton Bridge, Morape, Peradeniya and Laxapana), delivering 80 % of annual rainfall (Figure 2 (a),(b),(e),(f)). 231 For the dry zone (Manampitiya) and intermediate zone (Randenigala, Bowatenna) sub basins, the major season is 232 SIM, which delivers more than 40 % of annual rainfall (Figure 2 (c),(d),(h)). The dry zone also gets rainfall during 233 the NEM (24 % of annual rainfall at Manampitiya) and the intermediate zone gets rainfall during the SWM (25 % -234 30 % of annual rainfall at Randenigala and Bowatenna). 235 Climate teleconnection indices are related to rainfall anomalies observed during the two main growing seasons, Yala

and Maha. The Maha agriculture season (October-March) depends on rain from SIM and NEM. During El Nino events
rainfall increases for the first three months of the Maha season (SIM: October-December) (Fig. A 1, Fig. A 2, Fig. A

238 3, Fig. A 4) (Ropelewski and Halpert, 1995) and decreases during the last three months (NEM: January-March)(Figure

4 (b)). In Yala season (April-September), La-Nina events enhance the rainfall during SWM (Figure 4(a), (c), Fig. A

240 1, Fig. A 2, Fig. A 3, Fig. A 4)(Whitaker et.al, 2001). During El Nino events the SWM rainfall is reduced (Figure 4(a),

241 (c), Fig. A 5, Fig. A 6) (Zubair, 2003; Chandimala & Zubair, 2007; Chandrasekara et.al, 2017). The El Nino impact

during the SWM is not as significant as it is during the NEM season (International Research Institute, 2017a). We





- 243 find, however, that there is an interaction between two teleconnection indices, MEI and IOD for SWM rainfall. During
- the Yala season there is a high probability of having a drought when both the IOD and MEI are positive (Figure 5).
- 245 Also not having drought is probable when both the IOD and MEI are negative (Figure 5, Figure A 5, Fig. A 6).
- 246 Classification of wet, average, and dry rainfall anomalies using the MEI and DMI indices is successful. For example,
- 247 a dry SWM season for Norton Bridge (Table 2) and other wet-zone stations (Table A 3) is classified correctly with
- 248 greater than 70 % accuracy with QDA and tree models. However, a random forest approach demonstrates that there
- 249 is little skill in identifying a full wet-average-dry classification. However, a random forest model using only two
- 250 rainfall categories shows more than 60 % accuracy in identifying "dry" and "not dry" classes of key rainfall seasons
- 251 of the wet zone (Table 4, Table A 6). Similarly, for dry zone locations such as Manampitiya, the dry rainfall class
- identification for NEM and SIM seasons is about 60 % (Table 4, Table A 6).
- 253 Our statistical classification models can be combined with MEI and DMI forecasts to indicate the season-ahead 254 expectation for rainfall. ENSO forecasts are available from the International Research Institute for Climate and Society 255 (International Research Institute, 2017b) and IOD forecasts are available in the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM), 256 Australian Government (Bureau of Meteorology, 2017). ENSO and IOD predictions are also associated with the 257 uncertainty. Therefore, final forecast accuracy is a combination of the MEI, DMI forecast uncertainties and model's 258 accuracy rate in each class. Although overall prediction accuracy is not extremely high, a forecast of an anomalously 259 low rainfall season can have value for risk-averse farmers (Cabrera et.a., 2007) and can guide plans for hydropower 260 management (Block & Goddard, 2012). 261 The electricity and agriculture sectors of Sri Lanka heavily rely on Mahaweli and Kelani river water resources so 262 season ahead forecasts of abnormally low rainfall should be useful for decisions on adaptation measures. For example, 263 water availability of the first three months of a growing season is important for crop selection and the extent of land
- to be cultivated. Hydropower planning and scheduling of maintenance of the power plants also can benefit fromseason-ahead forecasts. The damage that can occur due to incorrect rainfall forecasts in the agriculture and energy
- sectors can be minimized with emergency planning during the season, which is the usual practice.
- Although the accuracy of predicting low or not low seasonal rainfall is not very high, decisions based on forecasts that are improvements over climate averages should be an improvement over current practices. The accuracy of statistical models can be improved with longer records, which are important to train the classification models. Also, models can be fine-tuned for important shorter periods such as crop planting months and harvesting months for irrigation water planning.

#### 272 5 Conclusion

ENSO and IOD phenomena teleconnections with river basin rainfall provide potentially useful information for water
 resource management. Relationships identified between teleconnection indices and river basin rainfall agree with other

- 275 research findings. Prediction of seasonal rainfall classes from ENSO and IOD indices can inform water resources
- 276 managers in reservoir operation planning for both hydropower and irrigation releases.
- 277
- 278





#### 279 **References:**

- 280 Amarasekera, K. N., Lee, R. F., Williams, E. R., and Eltahir, E. A. B.: ENSO and the natural variability in the flow
- 281 tropical rivers. Journal of Hydrology, 200(1-4), 24-39, doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03340-9, 1997.
- Block, P., and Goddard, L.: Statistical and Dynamical Climate Predictions to Guide Water Resources in Ethiopia, 282
- 283 138(June), 287-298., doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)WR.1943-5452.0000181, 2012
- 284 Breiman, L.: Randomforest2001. Machine Learning, 45(1), 5-32., doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004, 2001.
- Bureau of Meteorology : Record-breaking La Niña events. Bureau of Meteorology, 26. available at : 285
- 286 www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/history/La-Nina-2010-12.pdf, 2012
- 287 Bureau of Meteorology : Indian Ocean, POAMA monthly mean IOD forecast., available at : www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/#tabs=Indian-Ocean, last access: 30 March 2017. 288
- 289 Cabrera, V. E., Letson, D., and Podesta, G.: The value of climate information when farm programs matter, 93, 25-290 42. doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2006.04.005, 2007
- 291 Cao, Q., Hao, Z., Yuan, F., Su, Z., Berndtsson, R., Hao, J., and Nyima, T.: Impact of ENSO regimes on developing-
- 292 and decaying-phase precipitation during rainy season in China. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21(11), 293 5415-5426, 2017
- 294 Cevlon Electricity Board.: Long Term Generation Expansion Plan 2015-2034, Transmission and Generation 295 Planning Branch Transmission Division Ceylon Electricity Board Sri Lanka, 2015
- 296 Ceylon Electricity Board.. River Basin Hydrology Data, System control branch Transmission Division. Sri Lanka, 297 2017
- 298 Chandimala, J., and Zubair, L.: Predictability of stream flow and rainfall based on ENSO for water resources 299 management in Sri Lanka. Journal of Hydrology, 335(3-4), 303-312. doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.11.024, 2007
- 300 Chandrasekara, S., Prasanna, V., and Kwon, H. H.: Monitoring Water Resources over the Kotmale Reservoir in Sri 301 Lanka Using ENSO Phases. Advances in Meteorology, 2017, doi.org/10.1155/2017/4025964, 2017
- 302 Chaudhari, H. S., Pokhrel, S., Mohanty, S., & Saha, S. K.: Seasonal prediction of Indian summer monsoon in NCEP
- 303 coupled and uncoupled model. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 114(3-4), 459-477., doi.org/10.1007/s00704-304 013-0854-8, 2013
- 305 Denise, C., Rogers, W., and Beringer, J.: Describing rainfall in northern Australia using multiple climate indices, 306 597-615., doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-597-2017, 2017
- 307 Department of Agriculture Sri Lanka.: Climate zones of Sri Lanka. available at:
- 308 www.doa.gov.lk/images/weather\_climate/Climatezone.jpg, last access: 7 November 2017.
- 309 Department of Meteorology Sri Lanka.: Climate of Sri Lanka. Retrieved November 7, 2017, available at:
- 310 www.meteo.gov.lk/index.php?option=com content&view=article&id=94&Itemid=310&lang=en, 2017.
- 311 Dettinger, M. D., and Diaz, H. F.: Global Characteristics of Stream Flow Seasonality and Variability. Journal of 312 Hydrometeorology, 1(4), 289-310., doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2000)001<0289:GCOSFS>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- 313 Eden, J. M., Van Oldenborgh, G. J., Hawkins, E., and Suckling, E. B.: A global empirical system for probabilistic
- 314 seasonal climate prediction. Geoscientific Model Development, 8(12), 3947-3973., doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3947-315 2015, 2015





- 316 Gerlitz, L., Vorogushyn, S., Apel, H., Gafurov, A., Unger-Shayesteh, K., & Merz, B.: A statistically based seasonal
- 317 precipitation forecast model with automatic predictor selection and its application to central and south Asia.
- 318 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(11), 4605–4623., doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-4605-2016, 2016
- International Research Institute.: ENSO resources, El-Nino teleconnections & La-Nina teleconnections. Retrieved
   January 1, 2017, available at: iri.columbia.edu/our-expertise/climate/enso/, 2017a
- International Research Institute.: IRI ENSO forecast. Retrieved January 1, 2017, abailable at: iri.columbia.edu/our expertise/climate/forecasts/enso/current, 2017b
- James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R.: Springer Texts in Statistics An Introduction to Statistical
- 324 Learning with Applications in R., doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-7138-7, 2013
- 325 Japan Agency for Marine Earth Science and Technology.: SST/DMI data set. available at:
- 326 www.jamstec.go.jp/frcgc/research/d1/iod/DATA/dmi.monthly.txt, 2017.
- 327 Jha, S., Sehgal, V. K., Raghava, R., and Sinha, M.: Teleconnections of ENSO and IOD to summer monsoon and rice
- 328 production potential of India. Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans, 76, 93–104,
- doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2016.10.001, 2016.
- Korecha, D., and Sorteberg, A.: Validation of operational seasonal rainfall forecast in Ethiopia. Water Resources
   Research, 49(11), 7681–7697. doi.org/10.1002/2013WR013760, 2013.
- Lee, H.: General Rainfall Patterns in Indonesia and the Potential Impacts of Local Seas on Rainfall Intensity. *Water*,
   7(4), 1751–1769. doi.org/10.3390/w7041751, 2015
- Löwe, R., Madsen, H., and McSharry, P.: Objective classification of rainfall in northern Europe for online operation
   of urban water systems based on clustering techniques. Water (Switzerland), 8(3), doi.org/10.3390/w8030087, 2016.

Maity, R., and Nagesh Kumar, D.: Bayesian dynamic modeling for monthly Indian summer monsoon rainfall using
 El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and Equatorial Indian Ocean Oscillation (EQUINOO). Journal of

338 Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 111(7), 1–12. doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006539, 2006.

- 339 Malmgren, B. A., Hullugalla, R., Lindeberg, G., Inoue, Y., Hayashi, Y., & Mikami, T.: Oscillatory behavior of
- monsoon rainfall over Sri Lanka during the late 19th and 20th centuries and its relationships to SSTs in the Indian
  Ocean and ENSO. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 89(1–2), 115–125. doi.org/10.1007/s00704-006-0225-9,
  2007.
- 343 Malmgren, B. A., Hulugalla, R., Hayashi, Y., and Mikami, T.: Precipitatioon trends in Sri Lanka since the 1870s and
- relationships to El Niño-southern oscillation. International Journal of Climatology, 23(10), 1235–1252.
   doi.org/10.1002/joc.921, 2003.
- 346 Manchanayake, P., and Madduma Bandara, C.: Water Resources of Sri Lanka, National Science Foundation, 1999.
- 347 National oceanic and atmospheric administration.: Cold & Warm Episodes by Season., available at:
- 348 www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\_monitoring/ensostuff/ensoyears.shtml, last access: 30 March 2017.
- 349 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.: El Nino Southern oscilation., available at:
- 350 http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/enso/past\_events.html, 2017
- 351 Nur'utami, M. N., and Hidayat, R.: Influences of IOD and ENSO to Indonesian Rainfall Variability: Role of
- Atmosphere-ocean Interaction in the Indo-pacific Sector. Procedia Environmental Sciences, 33, 196–203., doi org/10.1016/j.proopy.2016.03.070.2016
- doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2016.03.070, 2016.
- 354 Ouyang, R., Liu, W., Fu, G., Liu, C., Hu, L., and Wang, H.: Linkages between ENSO/PDO signals and





- precipitation, streamflow in China during the last 100 years. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18(9), 3651–
   3661. doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-3651-2014, 2014.
- Power, S., Casey, T., Folland, C., Colman, A., and Mehta, V.: Inter-decadal modulation of the impact of ENSO on
   Australia. Climate Dynamics, 15(5), 319–324, doi.org/10.1007/s003820050284, 1999.
- Qiu, Y., Cai, W., Guo, X., & Ng, B.: The asymmetric influence of the positive and negative IOD events on China's rainfall. Scientific Reports, *4*, 4943, doi.org/10.1038/srep04943, 2014.
- 361 Ranatunge, E., Malmgren, B. A., Hayashi, Y., Mikami, T., Morishima, W., Yokozawa, M., and Nishimori, M.:
- 362 Changes in the Southwest Monsoon mean daily rainfall intensity in Sri Lanka: Relationship to the El Niño-Southern
- 363 Oscillation. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 197(1–2), 1–14. doi.org/10.1016/S0031 0182(03)00383-3, 2003.
- 365 Reason, C. J. C., Landman, W., and Tennant, W.: Seasonal to decadal prediction of southern African climate and its
- links with variability of the Atlantic ocean. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 87(7), 941–955.
   doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-87-7-941, 2006.
- Ropelewski C.F. and Halpert M.S.: Quantifying Southern Oscillation-Precipitation Relantionships. Journal of
   Climate, 1995
- Schneider, T., Bischoff, T., and Haug, G. H.: Migrations and dynamics of the intertropical convergence zone.
  Nature, 513 (7516), 45–53. doi.org/10.1038/nature13636, 2014.
- 372 Seibert, M., Merz, B., and Apel, H.: Seasonal forecasting of hydrological drought in the Limpopo Basin: A
- comparison of statistical methods. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 21(3), 1611–1629., doi.org/10.5194/hess21-1611-2017, 2017.
- Singhrattna, N., Rajagopalan, B., Clark, M., and Kumar, K. K.: Seasonal forecasting of Thailand summer monsoon
   rainfall. International Journal of Climatology, 25(5), 649–664., doi.org/10.1002/joc.1144, 2005.
- Singhrattna, N., Rajagopalan, B., Krishna Kumar, K., and Clark, M.: Interannual and interdecadal variability of
   Thailand summer monsoon season. Journal of Climate, 18(11), 1697–1708., doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3364.1, 2005.
- Suppiah, R.: Spatial and temporal variations in the relationships between the southern oscillation phenomenon and
  the rainfall of Sri Lanka. International Journal of Climatology, 16(12), 1391–1407., doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)10970088(199612)16:12<1391, 1996.</li>
- 382 Surendran, S., Gadgil, S., Francis, P. A., and Rajeevan, M.: Prediction of Indian rainfall during the summer
- monsoon season on the basis of links with equatorial Pacific and Indian Ocean climate indices. Environmental
   Research Letters, 10(9), 94004., doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094004, 2015.
- Verdon, D. C., and Franks, S. W.: Indian Ocean sea surface temperature variability and winter rainfall: Eastern
   Australia., Water Resources Research, *41*(9), 1–10. doi.org/10.1029/2004WR003845, 2005.
- 387 Ward, P. J., Eisner, S., Flo Rke, M., Dettinger, M. D., and Kummu, M.: Annual flood sensitivities to el nintild;O-
- Southern Oscillation at the global scale. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18(1), 47–66., doi.org/10.5194/hess 18-47-2014, 2014.
- Whitaker, D. W., Wasimi, S. A., & Islam, S.: The El Niño southern oscillation and long-range forecasting of flows
   in the Ganges. International Journal of Climatology, 21(1), 77-87, 2001.
- Zubair, L.: El Niño-southern oscillation influences on the Mahaweli streamflow in Sri Lanka. International Journal
   of Climatology, 23(1), 91–102. doi.org/10.1002/joc.865, 2003.





Zubair, L., and Ropelewski, C. F.: The strengthening relationship between ENSO and northeast monsoon rainfall
 over Sri Lanka and southern India. Journal of Climate, 19(8), 1567–1575., doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3670.1, 2006.

396

# Appendix: Identifying ENSO Influences on Rainfall with Classification Models: Implications for Water Resource Management of Sri Lanka

399 Correlation coefficients between rainfall anomalies and MEI and DMI are negative for the NEM, FIM and SWM

400 seasons and positive for the SIM season. Rainfall anomalies correlations to the DMI are not stronger as the correlations

401 to the MEI. However, there are strong correlations for the anomalies of major monsoons to the sub basins and DMI

402 values. For example, wet sub basins (Morape, Peradeniya, Laxapana, Norwood, Norton Bridge) have high correlation

403 coefficient between SWM rainfall anomalies and DMI, while dry zone (Manampitiya) and intermediate zone

404 (Randenigala, Bowatenna) sub basins have high correlation coefficient between NEM and SIM rainfall anomalies.

405Table A. 1: Correlation between rainfall anomalies and MEI, DMI indices. High correlation coefficients are406highlighted.

Rainfall	Mor	rape	Perac	leniya	Rande	enigala	Bowa	itenna
Month	MEI	DMI	MEI	DMI	MEI	DMI	MEI	DMI
NEM	-0.35	-0.09	-0.38	-0.11	-0.30	-0.11	-0.35	-0.20
FIM	-0.28	-0.11	-0.27	-0.06	-0.29	-0.04	-0.23	-0.02
SWM	-0.35	-0.29	-0.35	-0.31	-0.17	-0.24	-0.18	-0.12
SIM	0.21	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.37	0.35	0.35	0.36
Rainfall	Laxa	pana	Nor	wood	Norton	Bridge	Manar	npitiya
Month	MEI	DMI	MEI	DMI	MEI	DMI	MEI	DMI
NEM	-0.27	-0.01	-0.28	-0.04	-0.32	-0.01	-0.26	-0.16
FIM	-0.28	-0.07	-0.27	-0.13	-0.18	-0.08	-0.20	-0.14
SWM	-0.30	-0.31	-0.21	-0.24	-0.31	-0.37	-0.07	-0.03
SIM	0.10	0.08	0.29	0.28	0.02	-0.15	0.45	0.51

407

408 Classification methods classification tree models, random forest and quadratic discriminant analysis identify the 409 relationship between standardized rainfall anomaly classes (dry, average, wet) and MEI and DMI values (Figure A 1, 410 Figure A 2, Figure A 3, Figure A 4). Positive values of MEI and DMI values resulted dry or average rainfall class for 411 the NEM, FIM and SWM seasons. However, for SIM rainfall has wet or average class for the positive values of MEI 412 and DMI. Accuracy of model result are high for the dominant monsoon rainfall seasons of each sub basin (Table A. 413 2, Table A. 3, Table A. 4). Ensemble model approach with random forest has given comparatively lower out-of-bag 414 error rate for the dominant monsoons' rainfall anomaly classification (Table A. 4). For example, wet zone sub basins 415 such as Norton Bridge, Norwood, Laxapana, Peradeniya and Morape random forest error rate is lower for the SWM 416 and SIM seasons. Same as, dry and intermediate sub basins Manampitiya, Randenigala and Bowatenna NEM and SIM 417 rainfall classes accuracy rate is high than other rainfall seasons. Also all three models have higher accuracy rate in





418	identifying dry events and error rate of identifying wet and dry class also less 15 % (Table A. 2, Table A. 3, Table A.
419	4). Further analysis of two rainfall classes dry and not dry rainfall classes are identified relevant to the MEI and DMI
420	values with classification tree and random forest methods (Figure A 5, Figure A 6). Classification tree models for two
421	classes have higher accuracy rate as 65 % - 84 % for eight sub basins (Table A. 5). Random forest out-of-bag error
422	for two classes models are vary between 20%-39% and shows higher skill in identifying rainfall classes for major
423	monsoons of the sub basins (Table A. 6). MEI shows higher variable importance of identifying the rainfall classes
424	compare to the DMI values. Specially, for NEM and SIM which are important to the dry zone sub basins importance
425	of MEI is high in the classification. However, some of the wet zone sub basins shows equal importance of DMI
426	variable in identifying two rainfall classes in FIM and SWM (Figure A 7).
427	
727	
428	
429	
430	
431	
-91	
432	
433	
434	
135	
435	
436	
437	
438	
420	
439	
440	

- . . .

















453





454	Table A. 2: Classification tree model results. Highlighted cells indicate where there may be information content with
455	respect to forecasting either dry or wet anomaly classes

Saacon		Morape			Peradeniya	
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry	Normal	Wet
NEM	21/21	13/29	0/14	10/20	24/31	0/13
FIM	5/19	19/25	12/20	5/20	5/20 28/28 6	
SWM	12/24	13/21	12/19	9/23	11/19	18/22
SIM	8/19	18/28	9/17	12/25	16/19	5/20
Season		Randenigala			Bowatenna	
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry Normal We		
NEM	11/24	11/25	12/15	24/24	12/19	0/21
FIM	8/20	24/25	3/19	17/21	17/25	0/18
SWM	8/21	23/24	8/19	18/25	6/21	12/18
SIM	14/24	11/21	15/19	17/21	9/26	13/17
				Norwood		
Season		Laxapana			Norwood	
Season	Dry	<b>Laxapana</b> Normal	Wet	Dry	<b>Norwood</b> Normal	Wet
Season NEM	Dry 0/19	Laxapana Normal 24/24	Wet 6/21	Dry 4/19	Norwood Normal 22/28	Wet 10/17
Season NEM FIM	Dry 0/19 2/20	<b>Laxapana</b> Normal 24/24 14/26	Wet 6/21 18/18	Dry 4/19 7/19	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21	Wet 10/17 12/24
Season NEM FIM SWM	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23 8/21	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20 22/26	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21 9/17	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20 16/20	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27 15/25	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17 11/19
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23 8/21	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20 22/26 Norton Bridg	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21 9/17 e	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20 16/20	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27 15/25 Manampitiya	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17 11/19
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23 8/21 N Dry	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21 9/17 e Wet	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20 16/20 1 Dry	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27 15/25 Manampitiya Normal	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17 11/19 Wet
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23 8/21 <b>N</b> Dry 11/20	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal 18/29	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21 9/17 e Wet 8/15	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20 16/20 16/20 Dry 12/23	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27 15/25 Manampitiya Normal 9/25	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17 11/19 Wet 11/16
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM FIM	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23 8/21 N Dry 11/20 13/21	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal 18/29 6/23	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21 9/17 e Wet 8/15 15/20	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20 16/20 16/20 Dry 12/23 9/21	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27 15/25 Manampitiya Normal 9/25 19/24	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17 11/19 Wet 11/16 8/19
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM FIM SWM	Dry 0/19 2/20 19/23 8/21 N Dry 11/20 13/21 19/22	Laxapana Normal 24/24 14/26 14/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal 18/29 6/23 8/22	Wet 6/21 18/18 8/21 9/17 e Wet 8/15 15/20 9/20	Dry 4/19 7/19 10/20 16/20 16/20 1 Dry 12/23 9/21 6/21	Norwood Normal 22/28 19/21 14/27 15/25 Manampitiya Normal 9/25 19/24 25/27	Wet 10/17 12/24 11/17 11/19 Wet 11/16 8/19 7/16

456

457

458















Figure A 4:Identifying relationships between three rainfall classes (dry, average, wet) and MEI and DMI values
using classification tree models. (e) Laxapana (f) Norwood (g) Norton Bridge (h) Manampitiya





475	Table A. 3: Classification QDA model results. Highlighted cells indicate where there may be information content
476	with respect to forecasting either dry or wet anomaly classes

Saacan		Morape			Peradeniya	
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry	Normal	Wet
NEM	6/21	28/29	0/14	10/20	28/31	0/13
FIM	7/19	22/25	9/20	5/20	28/28	2/16
SWM	19/24	6/21	13/19	20/23	6/19	13/22
SIM	5/19	26/28	2/17	13/25	16/19	4/20
Saason		Randenigala	l		Bowatenna	
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry Normal Wet		
NEM	17/24	8/25	4/15	24/24	9/19	3/21
FIM	8/20	13/25	12/19	9/21	23/25	1/18
SWM	4/21	13/24	8/19	19/25	7/21	8/18
SIM	19/24	16/21	6/19	13/21	15/26	10/17
				Norwood		
Season		Laxapana			Norwood	
Season	Dry	<b>Laxapana</b> Normal	Wet	Dry	<b>Norwood</b> Normal	Wet
Season NEM	Dry 4/19	Laxapana Normal 15/24	Wet 14/21	Dry 8/19	Norwood Normal 23/28	Wet 6/17
Season NEM FIM	Dry 4/19 4/20	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26	Wet 14/21 8/18	Dry 8/19 6/19	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21	Wet 6/17 13/24
Season NEM FIM SWM	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23 9/21	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20 22/26	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21 3/17	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20 11/20	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27 13/25	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17 8/19
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23 9/21	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20 22/26 Norton Bridg	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21 3/17 e	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20 11/20	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27 13/25 Manampitiya	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17 8/19
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23 9/21 N Dry	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21 3/17 e Wet	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20 11/20 I Dry	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27 13/25 Manampitiya Normal	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17 8/19 Wet
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23 9/21 <b>N</b> Dry 5/20	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal 25/29	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21 3/17 e Wet 2/15	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20 11/20 11/20 11/20 22/23	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27 13/25 Manampitiya Normal 11/25	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17 8/19 Wet 1/16
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM FIM	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23 9/21 N Dry 5/20 3/20	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20 22/26 Norton Bridg Normal 25/29 14/23	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21 3/17 e Wet 2/15 14/20	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20 11/20 11/20 Dry 22/23 9/21	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27 13/25 Manampitiya Normal 11/25 20/24	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17 8/19 Wet 1/16 5/19
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM FIM SWM	Dry 4/19 4/20 20/23 9/21 N Dry 5/20 3/20 16/22	Laxapana Normal 15/24 22/26 13/20 22/26 Vorton Bridg Normal 25/29 14/23 9/22	Wet 14/21 8/18 10/21 3/17 e Wet 2/15 14/20 9/20	Dry 8/19 6/19 6/20 11/20 1 Dry 22/23 9/21 2/21	Norwood Normal 23/28 16/21 19/27 13/25 Manampitiya Normal 11/25 20/24 26/27	Wet 6/17 13/24 8/17 8/19 Wet 1/16 5/19 6/16





487 488	Table A. 4: I respect to for	Random fores recasting eith	t model results er dry or wet a	. Highli nomaly	lighted cells ind y classes	licate where the	here may	be information	on content v	vith

Season		Morape			Peradeniya			
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry	Normal	Wet		
NEM	12/21	12/29	5/14	9/20	17/31	5/13		
FIM	8/19	14/25	10/20	7/20	17/28	6/16		
SWM	11/24	6/21	11/19	11/23 1/19 13/2				
SIM	8/19	16/28	2/17	5/25	9/19	6/20		
Saacon		Randenigala	L		Bowatenna			
Season	Dry	Normal	Wet	Dry	Normal	Wet		
NEM	10/24	8/25	4/15	16/24	6/19	11/21		
FIM	9/20	8/25	8/19	16/21	14/25	4/18		
SWM	9/21	14/24	6/19	14/25	7/21	5/18		
SIM	15/24	6/21	7/19	3/21	14/26	11/17		
				Norwood				
Saacon		Laxapana			Norwood			
Season	Dry	<b>Laxapana</b> Normal	Wet	Dry	Norwood Normal	Wet		
Season NEM	Dry 3/19	Laxapana Normal 11/24	Wet 9/21	Dry 9/19	Norwood Normal 16/28	Wet 8/17		
Season NEM FIM	Dry 3/19 1/20	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26	Wet 9/21 1/18	Dry 9/19 8/19	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21	Wet 8/17 12/24		
Season NEM FIM SWM	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20	Wet 9/21 1/18 4/21	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17		
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23 10/21	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20 12/26	Wet 9/21 1/18 4/21 3/17	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20 8/20	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27 14/25	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17 8/19		
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23 10/21	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20 12/26 Norton Bridg	Wet 9/21 1/18 4/21 3/17 e	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20 8/20	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27 14/25 Wanampitiya	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17 8/19		
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23 10/21 N Dry	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20 12/26 Norton Bridg Normal	Wet 9/21 1/18 4/21 3/17 <b>e</b> Wet	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20 8/20 I Dry	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27 14/25 Manampitiya Normal	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17 8/19 Wet		
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23 10/21 <b>N</b> Dry 11/20	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20 12/26 Norton Bridg Normal 12/29	Wet 9/21 1/18 4/21 3/17 e Wet 6/15	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20 8/20 1 Dry 14/23	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27 14/25 Manampitiya Normal 10/25	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17 8/19 Wet 5/16		
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM FIM	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23 10/21 N Dry 11/20 7/21	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20 12/26 Norton Bridg Normal 12/29 8/23	Wet           9/21           1/18           4/21           3/17           e           Wet           6/15           8/20	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20 8/20 1 Dry 14/23 10/21	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27 14/25 Manampitiya Normal 10/25 11/24	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17 8/19 Wet 5/16 6/19		
Season NEM FIM SWM SIM Season NEM FIM SWM	Dry 3/19 1/20 19/23 10/21 <b>N</b> Dry 11/20 7/21 9/22	Laxapana Normal 11/24 18/26 9/20 12/26 Norton Bridg Normal 12/29 8/23 6/22	Wet 9/21 1/18 4/21 3/17 e Wet 6/15 8/20 8/20	Dry 9/19 8/19 6/20 8/20 1 Dry 14/23 10/21 6/21	Norwood Normal 16/28 10/21 15/27 14/25 Manampitiya Normal 10/25 11/24 17/27	Wet 8/17 12/24 4/17 8/19 Wet 5/16 6/19 5/16		

489

490

491









Figure A 5: Identifying relationships between two rainfall classes (dry, not dry) and MEI and DMI values using classification tree models for wet zone sub basins for SWM and SIM seasons. (a) Morape (b) Peradeniya (c) Laxapana (d) Norwood (e) Norton Bridge







494

Figure A 6: Identifying relationships between two rainfall classes (dry, not dry) and MEI and DMI values using
 classification tree models for dry and intermediate zone sub basins for NEM and SIM seasons. (a) Randenigala (b)

497 Bowatenna (c) Manampitiya

498

499 Table A. 5: Classification tree model results for major rainfall season to the sub basins.

Season	Morape		Peradeniya		Laxapana		Norwood		Norton Bridge	
	Dry	Not dry	Dry	Not dry	Dry	Not dry	Dry	Not dry	Dry	Not dry
SWM	21/24	22/40	18/23	26/41	19/23	27/41	12/20	34/44	19/22	29/42
SIM	10/19	39/45	12/19	30/45	8/21	36/43	11/20	38/44	13/22	36/42
Season	Randenigala		Bowatenna		Manampitiya					
	Dry	Not dry	Dry	Not dry	Dry	Not dry				
NEM	11/24	31/40	14/24	34/40	13/23	34/41				
SIM	23/24	22/40	15/21	32/43	22/25	26/39				





502 Table A. 6: Random forest model results.

		Morape		Peradeniya			
Season	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	
NEM	10/21	33/43	33%	8/20	34/44	34%	
FIM	5/19	36/45	36%	6/20	37/44	33%	
SWM	11/24	29/40	38%	11/23	28/41	39%	
SIM	5/19	39/45	33%	5/19	37/45	34%	
		Randenigala	1	Bowatenna			
Season	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	
NEM	8/24	31/40	39%	15/24	33/40	25%	
FIM	6/20	39/44	30%	13/21	38/43	20%	
SWM	7/21	38/43	30%	11/25	29/39	38%	
SIM	13/24	31/40	31%	6/21	35/43	36%	
		Laxapana		Norwood			
Season	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	Dry	Not dry	OOB Error	
NEM	8/20	37/45	30%	10/19	39/45	23%	
FIM							
	7/20	37/44	31%	8/19	39/45	26%	
SWM	7/20 12/23	37/44 27/41	31% 39%	8/19 7/20	39/45 37/44	26% 31%	
SWM SIM	7/20 12/23 9/21	37/44 27/41 34/43	31% 39% 33%	8/19 7/20 7/20	39/45 37/44 37/44	26% 31% 31%	
SWM SIM	7/20 12/23 9/21	37/44 27/41 34/43 Norton Bridg	31% 39% 33% e	8/19 7/20 7/20	39/45 37/44 37/44 Manampitiya	26% 31% 31%	
SWM SIM Season	7/20 12/23 9/21 Dry	37/44 27/41 34/43 Norton Bridg Not dry	31% 39% 33% e OOB Error	8/19 7/20 7/20 Dry	39/45 37/44 37/44 Manampitiya Not dry	26% 31% 31% OOB Error	
SWM SIM Season NEM	7/20 12/23 9/21 Dry 9/20	37/44 27/41 34/43 Norton Bridg Not dry 36/44	31% 39% 33% e OOB Error 30%	8/19 7/20 7/20 Dry 13/23	39/45 37/44 37/44 Manampitiya Not dry 33/41	26% 31% 31% OOB Error 28%	
SWM SIM Season NEM FIM	7/20 12/23 9/21 Dry 9/20 5/21	37/44 27/41 34/43 Norton Bridg Not dry 36/44 35/43	31% 39% 33% e OOB Error 30% 38%	8/19 7/20 7/20 Dry 13/23 8/21	39/45 37/44 37/44 Manampitiya Not dry 33/41 35/43	26% 31% 31% OOB Error 28% 33%	
SWM SIM Season NEM FIM SWM	7/20 12/23 9/21 Dry 9/20 5/21 9/22	37/44 27/41 34/43 Norton Bridg Not dry 36/44 35/43 32/42	31% 39% 33% e COB Error 30% 38% 36%	8/19 7/20 7/20 Dry 13/23 8/21 5/16	39/45 37/44 37/44 Manampitiya Not dry 33/41 35/43 34/43	26% 31% 31% OOB Error 28% 33% 39%	





511 512

> Peradeniya Randenigala Bowatenna Morape NEM FIM SWM SIM NEM FIM SWM | SIM NEM FIM SWM SIM NEM FIM SIM Mean Decrease Gini 17 15 13 11 אלים ואת האלם ושא האלים ואים האים האים wei ohn Laxapana Norton Bridge Manampitiya Norwood NEM FIM SWM NEM FIM SWM SIM NEM FIM SWM SIM NEM FIM (SWM) SIM SIM Mean Decrease Gini 17 15 13 11 MEI DMI **Climate Indices Climate Indices Climate Indices Climate Indices** DMI MEI

513

514 Figure A 7: Random forest importance of variable to identify the dry and not dry classes of rainfall anomalies