

This review is for manuscript HESS-2018-214, entitled *Evaluating post-processing approaches for monthly and seasonal streamflow forecasts*, authored by Fitsum Woldemskel and coauthors. The paper is well written throughout, and I believe the results and conclusions are of interest to the HESS community. I found that the authors have addressed all of the comments from the previous reviews. Other than the following minor comment, I think the manuscript is ready for publication in HESS.

- In the case that the post-processed streamflow falls beyond the historical maxima/minima, how did you back transform it into the real space? Was the sensitivity of the different transformation schemes with the length of the calibration period investigated? If not the case, is there any suggestion for the length of the historical data requirement for effective implementation of different transformation schemes, mainly the BC0.2 scheme which is found best for operational application?