Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.,
https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-187-SC5, 2018
© Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

Interactive comment on "Comment: Getting the Methodology Wrong for Analysing the Hydrological Changes in Watersheds" *by* Nitin Bassi et al.

G. Boisrame

gboisrame@berkeley.edu

Received and published: 18 May 2018

I understand the concern raised in this paper that important datasets are being ignored or under-utilized. However, the information presented for this particular comment paper is not always relevant to the original article. For example, Table 1 lists all of the gauging stations in the State of Karnataka, but does not specify how many of these fall within the Arkavathy Basin. Similarly, Figure 1 shows the entire Cauvery River Basin (which includes the Arkavathy Basin but also many other basins) and the vast majority of the gauging stations shown on this map appear to fall outside of the Arkavathy Basin. It would be much more relevant if this comment only discussed data available within the

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

Arkavathy Basin itself (as was done in Figures 2 and 3).

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-187, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

CC BY