Enrique Morán Tejeda, on behalf of the co-authors

Dear Editor, we are pleased to submit a revised version of the manuscript entitled "Recent evolution and associated hydrological dynamics of a vanishing Tropical Andean glacier". In this document we respond to every comment done by the reviewers, emphasizing the changes done in the manuscript, or the reasons why some suggested changes (the least) were not implemented. We have undertaken a thorough revision, including changes in figures, with new analyses, large portions of the text, new paragraphs in the introduction and discussion sections as well as all the line-specific changes suggested by the reviewers.

In light of the recommendations by the two reviewers, suggesting an English revision of the manuscript (albeit the original manuscript had been already revised by a native English speaker), the manuscript has been carefully checked by a professional English editor

We believe that the revised version of the manuscript, thanks to all the changes suggested by the reviewers, is more solid and compelling and hope that the editor takes this revised version for publication.

Referee #1

This paper presented glacier dynamics of a Colombian glacier, which is close to ex-tinction. In general, the results are well presented and the paper, of course, fits well into a series of articles published recently (e.g. Rabatel et al. 2017). I do recommend publication of this paper after some minor revisions, such as adding a few references. I believe that proof reading by a native English speaker would be an advantage.

It is notable that the authors tried to give some attention to volcanic activities; more or less similar to that occurring in Mexico (even though not exactly belong to "tropical" glaciers).

A 57% reduction in the last three decades is comparable to the Peruvian and Bolivian glaciers in the eastern cordilleras. However, as the authors mentioned, it is highly dependent on size and altitude (and many more).

References:

Granados, H.D., Miranda, P.J., Nunez, G.C., Alzate, B.P., Mothes, P., Roa, H.M., Correa, B.E.C., Ramos, J.C., 2015. Hazards at ice-clad volcanoes: phenomena, processes, and example from Mexico, Colombia, Ecuador, and Chile. In: Hae- berli,W.,Whiteman, C., Shroder, J.F. (Eds.), Chapter 17, Snow and Ice-related Hazards. Risks and Disasters, pp. 607-646

Morris, J.N., Poole, A.J., Klein, A.G., 2006. Retreat of tropical glaciers in Colombia and Venezuela from 1984 to 2004 as measured from ASTER and Landsat images. In: Proceedings of the 63rd Eastern Snow Conference, Newark, Delaware, USA, 181-191.

Rekowsky, I.C., 2016. Variações de área das geleiras da Colômbia e da Venezuela entre 1985e 2015, com dados de sensoriamento. MSc Dissertation. Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil.

Authors: We are grateful by the words of the reviewer. We have included the suggested references, which were not on our original list

Referee #2

The authors greatly appreciate the exhaustive and constructive revision done by Referee #2, who have deeply understood the strengths and shortcomings of our research. In the next paragraphs we will try to respond to every comment done by the referee, explaining in detail the changes done in the manuscript.

Reviewer:

Despite an appropriately titled section (1.2), the paper lacks a clearly listed and justified hypothesis or set of objectives. A reference to "one of" the main objectives is made later in description of statistics, but these should be clearer up front in this section. Instead, the premise of this study is framed by first summarizing the list of five specific characteristics that Hock et al. outlined in 2005, and showing which are not relevant for inner tropics. Hock's list provides a description of likely sources of time variable signals under warming conditions. This does provide some sort of guide; the original list of characteristics by Hock et al. was not claimed to be exhaustive, as implied by the authors here, but serve as useful heuristic. What are the actual hypotheses here?

Authors:

In order to make the hypothesis and objectives clearer, we have changed the paragraph with objectives descriptions, by the next paragraph (English has been revised):

…. It is expected that changes will be observed in the hydrological dynamics of vanishing glaciers, independently of climate drivers. Such hydrological changes may serve as indicators of glacier shrinkage, complementing others such as mass balance or areal observations. The objective of this work is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the hydrological dynamics of a glacierized basin, with the glacier in its last stages prior to extinction. Considering the abovementioned characteristics of the hydrology of retreating glaciers, the specific aim is to explore changes on time of streamflow dynamics, focusing on the daily cycle, and to discern whether such changes are driven by climate or are a result of the diminishing glacierized area within the basin.

Reviewer:

Likewise, a more convincing case should be made about the motivations to examine the details of this small glacier close to extinction, especially since the analyses are restricted to the upper catchment. What makes these near-extinct ice bodies meritorious objects of analyses with only short time series of observations? Moreover, how do the hydrological dynamics impact streamflow further downstream? Is there any novel or more generalizable method developed here that can find broader application? What is it about the PCA on diurnal timeseries that can transcend climatic gradients and be applied to near-extinction glaciers throughout the Andes, as is claimed (L161)?

Authors:

We are thankful to the reviewer for pointing out these questions. We are positive that there are enough reasons that make this case study scientifically interesting, it is our fault to not have made them clear in the original manuscript. In the next lines we will try to respond to such questions:

We are studying this small isolated glacier because is one of the very few monitored glaciers that are in tropical mountains. The fact that the study is restricted to the upper catchment, although it can limit the scientific relevance, is important for the objectives of the work. This is because it allows isolating the hydrological signal of the glacier from the impact of any other environmental factor that may take place in the downstream larger catchment which would make it more difficult to discern the origin of the observed hydrological changes.

There is a lot literature about the diurnal cycles of streamflows, but this is the first study in a tropical periglacial environment. Our approach allows to isolate these cycles to just the behavior of the glacier, prevent it from being masked by other diurnal cycles, such that the plants transpiration, for instance. The use of the PCA and the computed hydrological indices, has allowed to neatly identify the timings of flows under the influence of glacier melt or glacier melt + rainfall. The approach used is perfectly applicable to other studies at glacier outlets, so we believe that the results are comparable to other tropical glaciers.

Even though the time series is short, in terms of number of years analyzed, the sub-daily resolution of data allows characterizing the daily cycle for 1614 days, in which 3 daily patterns (3 Principal Components) repeat throughout the years. In this sense, we don't think that more years of data would give any other different pattern. The limitation of the short period is that it doesn't allow investigating the long-term changes related to climate trends or patterns of multi-year variability, however the climatology exposed in section 4.1. shows a neat relation between mass balance and temperature/ENSO

As we acknowledge in the introduction, the fact that we are in a very humid area, and given the small size of the glacier, the hydrological impact on streamflow further downstream is very limited (in terms of water resources). We don't think this fact diminishes the value of our research, because our approach can be taken as an example in tropical areas with a marked dry season (Peru or Bolivia), where glacier retreat can have a serious impact on communities and ecosystems living downstream. There can be other impacts on streamflow downstream: macroinvertebrates that depend on glacier melt; release of heavy metals or other products from volcanic activity accumulated in the ice during centuries (López-Moreno et al., 2017). However, the consideration of the latter is way far from the objectives of our research.

All these previous considerations have been included at different parts of the revised manuscript (objectives section and discussion section)

The next paragraph has been included in the "hypothesis and objectives" section: (English has been revised)

The case study is a small glacier (see description in Section 2) in the Central Colombian Andes and the catchment that drains the water at the snout of the glacier. It is one of the very few monitored glaciers in the tropical Andes (Mölg et al., 2017; Rabatel et al., 2017) and represents an ideal case, where the hydrological signal of the glacier can be studied in isolation from any environmental factors that may occur in the downstream areas. For this reason, the approach used (see Section 3.3) can be applied to similar environments, and the obtained results can be representative of expected hydrological dynamics in other glacierized areas in the Andes, with glaciers close to extinction.

The next paragraph has been included at the end of the "discussion and conclusions" section: (English has been revised)

The added value of studying the hydrology related to this small-sized and near-extinct glacier is that the changes observed in the hydrology of the catchment could be directly attributed to the dynamics of the glacier and the climate that occurs at the same time-scale; contrary to catchments containing large glaciers that respond with a larger temporal inertia to environmental changes. Hydrological analyses were restricted to the upper catchment because the streamflows measured at the snout of the glacier are not influenced by the signals of other environmental processes that may occur downstream (e.g., forest clearing or increasing grazing). The methodological approach, including the PCA and the hydrological indices computed over sub-daily resolution data demonstrated itself as viable for detecting changes on the diurnal cycle of the glacier and can be applied to other small glaciers of the tropical Andes that respond rapidly (at sub-annual scales) to environmental forcing. The necessity for in situ observations on a fine scale in order to improve accuracy on future estimations of water availability related to glacier retreat is emphasized.

Reviewer:

They also claim confusingly that the glacier in Colombia lacks seasonality of precipitation because of its inner tropical location (L123, 124). However, they show in Fig. 2 and on L187 that there are two contrasting seasons of precip. Clarify this.

Authors:

That's right, we were referring to seasonal patterns in temperature. We added the next sentence to clarify:

Seasonal runoff variation dependent on ablation and accumulation periods at latitudes with markedly variable temperature and/or precipitation seasonal patterns. **In the case of temperature**, this does not apply to glaciers in the inner tropics.

Reviewer:

Study site: Why are the ecological zones of the watershed described for the Rio Claro Basin? Is this relevant?

Authors:

We included such description for the reader to get a general idea of how the environment is. Moreover, we refer later in the discussion section to the páramos ecosystem as a buffer of hydrological dynamics. Considering that this description only takes 2 lines, we think it's ok to leave it as it is.

Reviewer:

Data and Methods: This study refers to a 'network' of observations (How many stations? Where are they?) in the Rio Claro basin initiating in 2009, but also that for reasons of data quality concerns only sensor data from 2013-2017 were used. Still, it is unclear exactly what instruments were used, and where. The map shows only two stations. Is this the extent of the network?

Authors: For this particular study, we just used the stations located at the surroundings of the glacier, but in the Río Claro basin there is a network hydrological and meteorological stations covering the whole altitudinal gradient. We have included them all in the map of Río Claro basin, and make it clear in the text that from the whole network, in this work we just used the ones in the upper catchment: (English has been revised)

The experimental site of the Río Claro basin has been monitored since 2009, with a network of meteorological and hydrological stations located at different tributaries of the Río Claro River, covering an altitudinal gradient of 2700 – 4900 m.asl. As this research is focused on the upper catchment in which the glacier is located for the present study, we used data from just the stations located at the Conejeras glacier snout (Figure 1, bottom map).This includes one stream gauge (with associated rating curve) measuring 15-minute resolution water discharge (m3 s-1); one temperature station measuring hourly temperature (ºC) (both stations located at 4662 m.asl); and one rain-gauge measuring 10-minute precipitation (mm, the station located at 4413 m. asl).

Reviewer:

What does it mean that in 2013 the "sensors stabilized"? The map in Fig. 1 locates a precipitation gage below 4400 m, but the text refers to a station at 4413 m. Is this the same? Also, the Brisas climate station that is used for longer time series (Fig. 2) is not described in the data section, nor is it identified on the maps, and needs to be. it in the basin? The elevation is identified as 2721 m, which means it is at the extreme lower end (the watershed is defined as spanning to 2700 m). What actually defines the pour point of this watershed?

Authors:

Up to 2013, the sensors and the logging systems experienced technical problems, and they were solved with more or less frequency in the field trips. Thus, from 2009 to 2013 we found many inconsistencies in the data, outliers, inhomogenities, etc.. which prevented us from using the data from those years. The sensors and loggers stopped giving trouble in 2013, and series from then do not contain any more error. We changed the text as following:

Even though these data have been available since 2009, the sensors and loggers experienced technical problems and numerous inhomogeneities; thus, out-of-range values and empty records were present in the data series. From 2013, the technical problems were solved and the data is suitable for analysis

As for the station at 4413 m, our coordinates for locating the station in the map were wrong. We have corrected it,

As for Brisas station, we have included it in the map, and describe it in the text. This station is not in the watershed, indeed, is located right in the opposite hillside.

The pour point of the watershed is defined by a gauge station at the Rio Claro basin, illustrated in the map

Reviewer: *Glacier evolution data: Impressive mass balance monitoring has been maintained, and this is not easy. But the mass balance data presented are only shown as summation bar graphs. We don't see stake specific information.*

Authors: We have included a new figure, containing more information (mass balance per elevation ranges and time), and explained it in the results section.

Reviewer: *What does the "topographic surveys" comprise? Theodolite? GPS? And how is the satellite imagery able to reconstruct elevation to "support direct topographic surveys"? This is not explained.*

Authors: We used Differential GPS. Satellite imagery analyses were only addressed to compute the surface of the glacier, not to reconstruct elevation.

Reviewer:

Results:

Climatology and glacier's evolution:

There is a strong El Nino signal in temperature. This is not surprising. Precipitation seasonality is also unsurprising, and reported in the Setting description, so not as appropriate to repeat also in results. There is mention of spectral analysis on the time series showing high power at 48-month frequency that is not surprising, although those data are not displayed. Finally, it is awkward to overlay the glacier mass balance data that are not introduced until Fig. 3. Why not show how the temperature data at the station closest to the glacier compares to that at the distant Brisas station for the period of overlap (2013-17)?

Authors: We have removed the precipitation seasonality plot, and the glacier mass balance data from the plots. Instead we have included the series of temperature at the upper location, as is suggested later by the reviewer. As for the high-power at 48-month frequency, we must say that these data was displayed as small text tables embedded in the plots. The new corrected figure looks like this:



Reviewer: *The glacier change is actually just a surface area evolution, and not a total mass or topographic (surface elevation) change. The polygons mapped in Fig. 3 are not very meaningful, and seems to have curious boundaries shifting (in the higher elevation). By referring to 'global balance', is this the same as the net cumulative mass balance? Likewise, would a cumulative surface area loss and cumulative mass balance curve not suffice to tell the* *story, with maybe a table showing area, uncertainty and satellite image ID used per epoch rather than the current Fig. 3 b?. Relative retreat is not convincingly depicted with these data, and images of varying resolution. For example, the authors make an association of sharp retreat post 2014 in the upper glacier. However, Fig. 3 depicts only overlapped outlines of dated polygons in which the later images of 2016, 2017 seem to feature higher resolution, as well as an offset (gain) in the mapped area in the upper glacier for 2016.*

Authors: we are aware of the limitations detailed by the reviewer. First of all we must say that the analysis of the mass balance data and changes in glacier area is not an objective of this research, *per se*. We included it in the paper as a general framework to help understanding the hydrological dynamics at the outlet of the glacier. There is already a research article (Rabatel et al., 2017) showing the glacier thickness change, thanks to a campaign of measurements with ice penetrating radar undertaken in 2014.

This is why we didn't perform a deep analysis of mass balance and surface evolution. With regard to mass balance, we have included a plot of annual change by elevation ranges:



As for the different resolution of the polygons' boundaries, we also admit this limitation, whose origin is purely methodological: some polygons were derived from differential GPS measurements, and others from images of different satellites (with different resolution). The resulting figure is more informative than analytical, and we thought it was a good way of describing the recession experienced by the glacier.

We must say however, that given that this part of the paper is the most problematic one, we have simplified the figure by putting only 4 polygons, all derived from the same method (NDSI, from satellite), for the years 2006, 2010, 2013 and 2017. Thus, the glacier recession during the study period can be easily observed, and the year-to-year evolution can be extrapolated from the yearly mass balance (above figure). The resulting whole figure would be like this:



Reviewer: *The authors infer temperature increase and less snowfall as key drivers for accelerated recession, yet do not show the time series of temperature from the upper elevation site. It would be more informative to see the time series of temperature loggers located closer to the glacier being correlated to mass balance. This would also provide a test of the idea that some break occurred around 2013-14. The inference of albedo alteration via volcanism is only anecdotal. Any figures or photographs to substantiate the volcanic ash hypothesis?*

Authors: This assertion is not totally right. We don't mention temperature increase (in terms of a trend), but sustained temperatures above 0º; and is not true that we don't show the time series of temperature from the upper elevation site; it is clearly depicted in the bottom left plot of Figure 6. As suggested by the reviewer, to such plot we have added the mass balance series, to see the correlation of the two variables. (see fragment of the figure, below)



As for the volcanic ash hypothesis, we have included in figure 3 2 photographs (there is not actual data from measurements) that show the content of volcanic ashes on the surface (see below)

Reviewer:

Hydrological dynamics:

Are the temperatures from the same location as discharge? How well constrained is the discharge (presumably, this is a stage recorder with an associated rating curve, but none of this information is provided)? Using the coded names for variables is awkward; why not use full terms? The analytical approach of using PCA on the hourly stream flow statistics is interesting, but it is unclear if any meaningful trends can be extrapolated given that the data span only 4 years.

Authors: yes, temperatures are recorded at the same location as discharge (it is shown in the map of figure 1). Yes, the discharges are measured at a stream gauge with an associated rating curve, and now we specify it in the methods section.

As for the use of the coded names, we believe that it makes the text more dynamic to say: "correlations between *Qmax, Qrange, Qslope* and *totalQ,* with *Tmax* and *Tmean",* than "correlations between the maximum streamflow, the range between baseflow and maximum streamflow, the slope of the rising limb of streamflow, and the total daily streamflow with maximum and mean temperature". In Table 1 we specified the meaning of each coded name, and with a quick look at it is easy to get familiarized with them.

It is clear that we cannot extrapolate any climatological trend from our 5-years data (5, not 4), nor it was our intention. It is explicitly admitted in the discussion. However, when considering the monthly aggregation of the variables (Figure 6) and their evolution, it is correct, at least statistically, to speak about trends. In order to avoid confusion, we have changed the term “trend” throughout the text, by more suitable terminology

Reviewer:

Changes in the runoff-climate relationship:

Similarly, it is difficult to find the observed inflection point in mid-2016 to be significant when the total time series is short.

Authors:
Here we partially disagree. It is true that the inflection point may not be significant climatologically (at least there is no way to know it, as for the short time series available). But what our analyses from figures 4, 6, 7 and 8 reveal is that not only there is a change from increasing to decreasing flows; there is also a change in the timing of most analyzed indices, as well as a change in the frequency of the two main types of hydrographs observed. Even if it’s not climatically significant, it must mean something. For us the key aspect of the analysis is that we don’t observe the same increasing-decreasing pattern in either temperature or precipitation. And the correlations (which are statistically significant, as are based on 56 monthly data) between flows and temperature (precipitation) change drastically before and after such turning point. In simple words: the flows increase and decrease independently of temperature and precipitation (this is evident from the analysis). This is why we speak of a non-climate-driven hydrological change.
There is a scientific debate on the existence of such tipping points in areas subjected to glacier recession, and we think that our work contributes positively to such debate, while admitting the limitations imposed by the data. All these things are properly discussed in the “discussion section”, and the limitations are also recognized. In fact, we emphasize that the “turning point” observation cannot be taken conclusively

Reviewer: *The statement that: "...the runoff increases because glacier mass becomes more sensitive to energy exchange as it gets smaller" is not evident. All that seems fair to say is that runoff decreases in direct proportion to less mass loss. Moreover, the mass balance seems most closely controlled by MEI. Yet, we are not shown how well the temperature locally at the glacier match the longer record.*

Authors: We have removed such statement to avoid confusion, and have added that “**streamflow increases and decreases in direct proportion to mass balance change indicating the strong dependence of runoff to glacier melt**”.
As for the local temperatures, this has been corrected in figure 2, and the match with the longer record seems evident.

Reviewer: *The presentation of results is difficult to follow given the use of abbreviated names of variables. Also in final Fig. 8, the shift in use of red-blue symbols between (a) and (b) is confusing to follow.*

Authors: We still think that is less confusing to use the coded names (once you are familiarized with them), rather than the long names of variables (i.e. slope of the rising limb of runoff → Qslope). For the more common variable names, such as temperature, or precipitation, we don't use abreviations. Finally, there was no shift in the use of red-blue symbols between a) and b) in Figure 8. Blue triangles correspond to the months previous to 2016, and red circles to the months after 2016.

Reviewer:

General terminology: Glaciation can refer to landscapes previously covered with ice, but no longer. Instead of deglaciation, the modern or actual glacier loss is better described as glacier recession. Water yield is an unfamiliar term; why not use discharge?

Authors: we are thankful for this observation and have change the "deglaciation" term accordingly throughout the paper. Even if we are familiarized with the term "water yield" (it is used in the fields of hydrology/hydrological modelling to name the volume of water produced by the catchment), it's actually the same as "water discharge", so we have also changed it across the document.

Authors: all the line specific edits detailed below have been done as suggested by the reviewer

R: L62 change regarding to of, and just use glacier not glaciers' In the lines following, the list of research topics can be made neater by removing the redundant 'and regarding' from each line.

L85 and following: sentence is long and should be rephrased.

L92 territories is awkward word; landscapes?

L99 glaciers are not a main source of water for Lima! This hyperbole needs to be moderated. Look carefully at Vuille et al. (published in 2018), who make it clear that only in La Paz is glacier melt contributing significantly to municipal water supply.

L112 do streams really remain constant flow? This implies no variability, and that is not the case.

117 do not use "unglaciated" here, as glaciated can be used to describe landscapes at one time in past having glacier cover. Better to use "non-glacierized" to refer to regions without actual glaciers. Edit this throughout the text.

L151-155: this sentence is dense and needs clariyfying, and perhaps split into two

L188 should say "the dry seasons" and "wet seasons" to emphasize more than one exist per year.

L198 change has been to have been

L203 unclear what 'consecutively located' means

L205 reword sentence; not clear to say located at surroundings

L231 losses should be loses

L238 should be cloud-free

L256 should be over time

L265 missing full stop.

L308 double full stop; delete one.

L325 yield is not a familiar term. Suggest discharge is better. Also, gauging station is better than gauge station.

L371 missing "-" to demarcate range of precipitation rates (mm per day)

L402 lacks full stop.

L409 lacks full stop.

Fig. 2 caption: two c)'s ; make last one d) This figure 2(d) should have some range of variability around only a mean.

Recent evolution and associated hydrological dynamics of a vanishing Tropical Andean glacier: *Glaciar de Conejeras*, Colombia

Enrique Morán-Tejeda[1], Jorge Luis Ceballos [2], Katherine Peña [2], Jorge Lorenzo-Lacruz[1] and Juan Ignacio López-Moreno[3]

Eliminado: q

1. Department of Geography. University of the Balearic Islands. Palma, Spain
2. Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales (IDEAM). Bogotá, Colombia
3. Pyrenean Institute of Ecology. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas. Zaragoza, Spain.

Abstract

Glaciers in the inner tropics are rapidly retreating due to atmospheric warming. In Colombia, this retreat is accelerated by volcanic activity, and most glaciers are in their last stages of existence. There is general concern about the hydrological implications of receding glaciers, as they constitute important freshwater reservoirs and, after an initial increase in melting flows due to glacier retreat, a decrease in water resources is expected in the long term as glaciers become smaller. In this paper, we perform a comprehensive study of the evolution of a small Colombian glacier, Conejeras (Parque Nacional Natural de los Nevados) that has been monitored since 2006, with special focus on the hydrological response of the glacierized catchment. The glacier shows great sensitivity to changes in temperature and especially to the evolution of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon, with great loss of mass and area during El Niño warm events. Since 2006 it has suffered a 37% reduction from 22.45 ha in 2006 to 12 ha in 2017, with an especially abrupt reduction since 2014. During the period of hydrological monitoring (June 2013 to December 2017) streamflows at the outlet of the catchment experienced a noticeable cycle of increasing flows up to mid-2016 and decreasing flows afterwards. The same cycle was observed for other hydrological indicators, such as slope of the rising flow limb and the monthly variability of flows. We observed an evident change in the daily hydrograph: from a predominance of days with a pure melt-driven hydrograph up to mid-2016, to an increase in the frequency of days with flows less influenced by melt after 2016. Such a hydrological cycle is not directly related to fluctuations of temperature or precipitation; therefore, it is reasonable to consider that it is the response of the glacierized catchment to retreat of the glacier. Results confirm the necessity for small-scale studies at a high temporal resolution in order to understand the hydrological response of glacier-covered catchments to glacier retreat and imminent glacier extinction.

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: kind of

Eliminado: or

Key words: glacier retreat, melting flows, tropical glaciers; hydrological change; tipping point

1. Introduction

1.2 Andean glaciers and water resources

Glacier retreat is one the most prominent signals of global warming; glaciers from most mountain regions in the world are disappearing or have already disappeared due to atmospheric warming (Vaughan et al., 2013). Of the retreating mountain glaciers worldwide, those located within the tropics are particularly sensitive to atmospheric warming (Chevallier et al., 2011; Kaser and Omaston, 2002). Their locations in the tropical region involve a larger energy forcing in terms of received solar radiation compared to other latitudes. Unlike glaciers in mid and high latitudes, which are subject to freezing temperatures during a sustained season, tropical glaciers may experience above-zero temperatures all year round, especially at the lowest elevations, involving constant ablation and rapid response of the glacier snout to climate variability and climate change (Francou et al., 2004; Rabatel et al., 2013). As a result of atmospheric warming since the mid-20th century, glaciers in the tropics are seriously threatened, and many of them have already disappeared (Vuille et al., 2008). Of the tropical glaciers, 99% are located in the Central Andes and constitute a laboratory for glaciology (see review in Vuille et al., 2017), including studies of glacier response to climate forcing (e.g. Favier et al., 2004; Francou et al., 2004, 2003; López-Moreno et al., 2014), hydrological and geomorphological consequences of glacier retreat (Bradley et al., 2006; Chevallier et al., 2011; Kaser et al., 2010; López-Moreno et al., 2017; Ribstein et al., 1995; Sicart et al., 2011) and the vulnerability of populations to risks associated with glacier retreat (Mark et al., 2017). Perhaps the glaciers in the most critical situation in the Andean mountains are those located in the inner tropics, including the countries of Ecuador, Venezuela and Colombia (Klein et al., 2006; Rekowsky, 2016). In the latter country, a constant glacier recession since the 1970s has been reported, with an acceleration since the 2000s (Ceballos et al., 2006; Rabatel et al., 2013), and most glaciers are in danger of disappearing in the coming years (Poveda and Pineda, 2009; Rabatel et al., 2017). In the outer tropics, the variability of glacier mass balance is highly dependent on seasonal precipitation; thus, during the wet season (December-February) freezing temperatures ensure seasonal snow cover that increases the glaciers' surface albedo and compensates mass balance losses of the dry season. In contrast, for glaciers of the inner tropics, ablation rates remain more or less constant throughout the year due to the absence of seasonal fluctuations of temperature and to a freezing level which is constantly oscillating within the glaciers' elevation ranges. Therefore, the mass balance of these glaciers is more sensitive to inter-annual variations of temperature, and they are much more sensitive to climate warming (Ceballos et al., 2006; Favier et al., 2004; Francou et al., 2004; Rabatel et al., 2013, 2017). In Colombia, this situation is further aggravated by the location of glaciers near or on the top of active volcanos. The hot pyroclastic material emitted during volcanic eruptions and the reduced albedo of glacier surfaces by the deposition of volcanic ash have notably contributed to rapid glacier recession in these areas (Granados et al., 2015; Huggel et al., 2007; Rabatel et al., 2013; Vuille et al., 2017).

Current glacier recession in the Andes involves the loss of natural scientific laboratories (Francou et al., 2003) and of landscape and cultural emblems of mountainous areas (IDEAM, 2012; Rabatel et al., 2017). But in more practical terms, the vanishing of glaciers has a major impact on livelihoods of communities living downstream, including potential reduction of freshwater storage and changes in the seasonal patterns of water supply by downstream rivers (Kaser et al., 2010). Glaciers constitute natural water reservoirs in the form of ice accumulated during cold and wet seasons, and they provide water when ice melts during above-freezing temperature seasons. The hydrological importance of glaciers for downstream areas depends on the availability of other sources of runoff, including snow melt and rainfall. Therefore, water supply by glaciers becomes critical for arid or semi-arid regions downstream of the glacierized areas, buffering the lack of sustained precipitation or water provided by seasonal melt of snow cover (Rabatel et al., 2013; Vuille et al., 2008). Such is the case for the western slopes of the tropical Andes: in countries like Peru or Bolivia, with a high variability in precipitation and a sustained dry season, the contribution of glacier melt is crucial for socioeconomic activities and for water supply, especially since it is one of the main sources of water for the highly populated capital cities such as La Paz (Kaser et al., 2010; López-Moreno et al., 2014; Soruco et al., 2015; Vuille et al., 2017). In more humid/temperate regions (i.e. the Alps or western North America) the melt of seasonal snow cover provides the majority of water during the melt season (Beniston, 2012; Stewart et al., 2004)

Eliminado: regarding

Eliminado: s'

Eliminado: and regarding

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: also regarding

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado: deglaciation

Eliminado: ; hence

Eliminado: rs

Eliminado: '

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: deglaciation

Código de campo cambiado

Con formato: Español (alfabetización tradicional)

Eliminado: (Huggel et al., 2007; Rabatel et al., 2013; Vuille et al., 2017).

Con formato: Español (alfabetización tradicional)

Eliminado: Regardless of

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado:

Eliminado: territories

Eliminado: glaciated

Eliminado: of Lima and

and glacier melt is a secondary contributor. However, even in this region, water availability can be subject to climate variability, and the occurrence of dry and warm periods that comprise thin and brief snow cover may involve glacier melt as the main source of water during such events (Kaser et al., 2010). In the inner tropics, glaciers may not constitute the main source of water for downstream populations, as the seasonal shift of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (Poveda et al., 2006) assures two humid seasons every year; however, the loss of water from glacier melt can affect the eco-hydrological functioning of the wetland ecosystems called "*páramos*", which are positioned in the altitudinal tier located below that of the periglacial ecosystem (Rabatel et al., 2017). Agriculture and livestock in Colombian mountain communities are partly dependent on water from these important water reservoirs that provide water flow to downstream rivers even during periods of less precipitation.

Eliminado: located

Eliminado: constant

1.2. Hypothesis and objectives

The present work is focused on the hydrological dynamics of a Colombian glacier near extinction due to prolonged deglaciation. Hock et al. (2005) presented a summary of the effects of glaciers on streamflow compared to non-glacierized areas. The main characteristics of streamflow can be summarized as follows (Hock et al., 2005):

Eliminado: unglaciated

- Specific runoff dependence on variability of glacier mass balance. In years of mass balance loss, total streamflow will increase as water is released from glacier storage. The opposite will happen in years of positive mass balance.
- Seasonal runoff variation dependent on ablation and accumulation periods at latitudes with markedly variable temperature and/or precipitation seasonal patterns. In the case of temperature, this does not apply to glaciers in the inner tropics
- Large diurnal fluctuation in the absence of precipitation as a result of the daily cycle of temperature and derived glacier melt.
- Moderation of year-to-year variability. Moderate percentages (10 to 40%) of ice cover fraction within the basin reduces variability to a minimum, but it becomes greater at both higher and lower glacierization levels.
- Large glacierization involves a high correlation between runoff and temperature, whereas low levels of glacier cover increase runoff correlation with precipitation.

Eliminado: T

However, under warming conditions that lead to glacier retreat, the hydrological contribution of the glacier may notably change from the aforementioned characteristics. The retreat of a glacier is a consequence of prolonged periods of negative mass balance, the result of a disequilibrium in the accumulation/ablation ratio that involves an upward shift of the equilibrium line (the elevation at which accumulation and ablation volumes are equal) and an increase of the ablation area with respect to the accumulation area (Chevallier et al., 2011). As a result, the glacierized area is increasingly smaller compared to the non-glacierized area within the catchment in which the glacier is settled. Under such conditions of sustained negative mass balance, the hydrological response of the glacier will be a matter of time-scales (Chevallier et al., 2011; Hock et al., 2005).The total runoff production of the retreating glacier comprises a tradeoff between two processes: on one side, an acceleration of glacier melt that will increase the volume of glacier outflows independent of the volume precipitated as snowfall or rainfall; on the other side , water discharges from the catchment decrease because the water reservoir that represents the glacier is progressively emptying (Huss and Hock, 2018). Thus, the contribution of glacier melt to total water discharge will initially increase, as the first process will dominate over the other; however, after reaching a discharge peak, the second process dominates, leading to a decrease in water discharge until the glacier vanishes. In terms of runoff variability, there is also a different signal between initial and final stages of glacier retreat: on a daily basis, the typical diurnal cycle of glacier melt will exacerbate at the initial stages (larger difference between peak and base runoff) and will moderate at the final stages. However, in terms of year-to-year variability, there can be a reduction or increase at the initial stages depending on the original glacierized area. And for the long term, increasing variability should be expected, as the water discharge will correlate with precipitation instead of temperature because the percentage of runoff from glacier melt decreases with decreasing glacierization (Hock et al., 2005).

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: glaciated

Eliminado: a

Eliminado: ted

Eliminado:

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: glaciated

Eliminado: , a

Eliminado: an increase in

Eliminado: water yield

It is expected that changes will be observed in the hydrological dynamics of vanishing glaciers, independently of climate drivers. Such hydrological changes may serve as indicators of glacier shrinkage, complementing others such as mass balance or areal observations. The objective of this work is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the hydrological dynamics of a glacierized basin, with the glacier in its last stages prior to extinction. Considering the abovementioned characteristics of the hydrology of retreating glaciers, the specific aim is to explore changes on time of streamflow dynamics, focusing on the daily cycle, and to discern whether such changes are driven by climate or are a result of the diminishing glacierized area within the basin.

The case study is a small glacier (see description in Section 2) in the Central Colombian Andes, and the catchment that drains the water at the snout of the glacier. It is one of the very few monitored glaciers in the tropical Andes (Mölg et al., 2017; Rabatel et al., 2017) and represents an ideal case where the hydrological signal of the glacier can be studied in isolation from any environmental factors that may occur in the downstream areas. For this reason, the approach used (see Section 3.3) can be applied to similar environments, and the obtained results can be representative of expected hydrological dynamics in other glacierized areas in the Andes, with glaciers close to extinction.

2. Study site

Our study focuses on the Conejeras glacier, a very small ice mass (14 hectares in 2017) that forms part of a larger glacier system called Nevado de Santa Isabel (1.8 km^2), one of the six glaciers that still persist in Colombia. It is located in the Cordillera Central (the central range of the three branches of the Andean chain in Colombia) and, together with the glaciers of Nevado del Ruiz and Tolima, comprises the protected area called Parque Nacional Natural de los Nevados (Fig. 1). The summit of the Santa Isabel glacier reaches 5100 m, being the lowest glacier in Colombia. As a result, it is as well the most sensitive to atmospheric warming and why it has been monitored since 2006, part of the world network of glacier monitoring (IDEAM, 2012). The Santa Isabel glacier has been retreating since the 19th century, with an intensification of deglaciation since the middle of the 20th century. As a result, the glacier is now a set of separated ice fragments instead of a continuous ice mass, as it was a decade ago (IDEAM, 2012). One of the fragments, located at the north-east sector of the glacier, is the Conejeras glacier, which is the object of this study, whose elevation ranges between 4700 and 4895 m. In 2006, at the glacier terminus, hydro-meteorological stations were installed in order to measure glacier contribution to runoff, as well as air temperature and precipitation.

The Conejeras water stream is a tributary of one of the 'quebradas' (Spanish for small mountain rivers in South American countries) flowing into the river Rio Claro. Thus, the Conejeras glacier corresponds to the uppermost headwaters of the Rio Claro basin (Fig. 1). The Rio Claro basin comprises an elevation range of 2700 to 4895 m and, from highest to lowest, presents a succession of typical Andean ecosystems: glacial (4700 to 4894), periglacial (4300 – 4700 m), *páramo* wetland ecosystem (3600 to 4300 m) and high elevation tropical forest *bosque altoandino* (2700 to 3600 m). Mean annual temperature at the glacier base is 1.3 ± 0.7ºC, with very little seasonal variation, and precipitation sums reach 1025 ± 50 mm annually, with two contrasted seasons (see Figure 2) resulting from the seasonal migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ, Poveda et al., 2006). During the dry seasons (December to January and June to August), mean precipitation barely reaches 75 mm per month, whereas during the wet seasons (March to May and September to October), values exceed 150 mm per month.

3. Data and Methods

3.1. Hydrological and meteorological data

Eliminado: ... [1]

Eliminado: glaciated

Eliminado: Thanks to sub-hourly meteorological and hydrological data, changes in time of streamflow dynamics as a result of changes in atmospheric conditions and/or changes in the glacier area resulting from mass balance loss are also explored.

Eliminado: R

Eliminado: glaciated

Eliminado: "quebradas"

Eliminado: name

Eliminado: (

Eliminado:)

Eliminado: higher

Eliminado: ,

Meteorological and hydrological data used in the present work have been collected by the Institute for Hydrological, Meteorological and Environmental Studies of Colombia (IDEAM, *Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales*), thanks to the automatic meteorological and gauge stations network at the Río Claro basin (Figure 1).

The experimental site of the Río Claro basin has been monitored since 2009, with a network of meteorological and hydrological stations located at different tributaries of the Río Claro River, covering an altitudinal gradient of 2700 – 4900 m.asl. As this research is focused in the upper catchment in which the glacier is located, for the present study, we used data from just the stations located at the Conejeras glacier snout (Figure 1, bottom map). This includes one stream gauge (with associated rating curve) measuring 15-minute resolution water discharge (m^3 s^{-1}); one temperature station measuring hourly temperature (ºC) (both stations located at 4662 m.asl); and one rain-gauge measuring 10-minute precipitation (mm, the station located at 4413 m. asl). Even though these data have been available since 2009, the sensors and loggers experienced technical problems; thus, numerous inhomogeneities, out-of-range values and empty records were present in the data series. From 2013 the technical problems were solved and the data is suitable for analysis. The period covered for analysis ranges from June 2013 to December 2017, a total of 56 months, and data was aggregated hourly, daily and monthly to perform statistical analyses. However, in order to obtain a wider perspective and to take advantage of the effort made by the IDEAM glaciologist who conscientiously took mass balance measurements every month since 2006, also shown are trends and variability in climate (from a nearby meteorological station of the Colombian national network, (Brisas), that contain data since 1982 and glacier mass evolution for the longest time period available. The Multivariate ENSO Index, used for characterizing influence of the ENSO phenomenon on the glacier evolution, has been downloaded from NOAA https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/enso/mei/table.html (December 2017).

3.2. Glacier evolution data

The evolution of the Conejeras glacier (Fig. 3) has been monitored by the Department of Ecosystems of IDEAM. Since March 2006, a network of 14 stakes was installed on the Conejeras glacier to measure ablation and accumulation area. The 6–12 m long stakes are PVC pipes of 2 m in length. These 14 stakes are vertically inserted into the glacier at a depth not less than 5 meters and they are roughly organized in six cross profiles at approximately 4670, 4700, 4750, 4780, 4830 and 4885 m a.s.l. Accumulation and ablation measurements are performed monthly. Typical measurements of the field surveys include stake readings (monthly), density measurement in snow and firn pits (once per year) and re-drilling of stakes (if required) to the former position. The entire methodology can be found in (Mölg et al., 2017; Rabatel et al., 2017). The mass balance data is calculated using with the classical glaciological method that represents the water equivalent that a glacier gains or loses in a given time. This data is used to generate yearly mappings of mass balance and calculate the equilibrium line altitude (ELA), which is the altitude point where mass balance is equal to zero equivalent meters of water and separates the ablation and accumulation area in the glacier (Francou and Pouyaud, 2004).

Changes in glacier surface during the study period were computed by means of satellite imagery (Landsat and Sentinel constellations) for the years 2006, 2010, 2013 and 2017. Cloud-free cover Landsat TM images were selected for 2006 and 2010 years, and Landsat OLI and Sentinel images for 2013 and 2017 respectively. TOA (Top Of Atmosphere) Reflectance was obtained using specific radiometric calibration coefficients for each image and sensor (Chander et al., 2009; Padró et al., 2017). BOA (Bottom of the Atmosphere) Reflectance was based on the Dark Object Substraction (DOS) approach (Chavez, 1988). The Normalized Difference Snow Index (NDSI) was used to discriminate snow and ice-covered areas from snow-free areas. The NDSI is expressed as the relationship between reflectance in the visible region and reflectance in the medium-infrared region (the specific bands vary among different sensors; e.g. TM bands 2 and 5). Pixels in the different images were classified as snow- or ice-covered areas when the NDSI was greater than 0.4 (Dozier, 1989).

3.3. Statistical Analyses

Eliminado: has

Eliminado: consecutively

Eliminado: the

Eliminado: river

Eliminado: For

Eliminado: data was used from

Eliminado: surroundings

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: including

Eliminado: :

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: and

Eliminado: 4413

Eliminado: quality analysis prevented us from using the entire series, as

Eliminado: automatic sensors stabilized

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: glaciologist of

Eliminado: for

Eliminado: undertaking

Eliminado: s

Eliminado:)

Eliminado: five

Eliminado: 6

Eliminado: about

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: s

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: Changes in glacier surface have been measured by direct topographic surveys (in the years 2009, 2012, 2014, and 2017) or computed using satellite imagery (Landsat and Sentinel constellations) for the other years within the 2006-2018 period

Eliminado: Free-cloud cover Landsat TM images were selected until 2011 and then Landsat OLI or Sentinel 2 images were selected when data became available. A set of 8 images was processed in order to support direct topographic surveys for ice-covered area measurements.

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

A number of indices were extracted from the streamflow, temperature and precipitation hourly series in order to assess changes in time in the hydrological output of the glacier and their relation to climate (Table 1). These daily indices were subject to statistical analyses, including correlation tests, monthly aggregation and assessment of changes over time.

Since one of the main objectives of the paper is to characterize daily dynamics of streamflow and changes in time, a principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted in order to extract the main patterns of daily streamflow cycles. The data matrix for the PCA was then composed by streamflow hourly values in 1614 columns as variables (number of days) and 24 rows as cases (hours in a day). As the PCA does not allow the number of variables to exceed the number of cases, PCAs were performed on 25 bootstrapped random samples of days (n=23, with replacement); Results showed that three principal components were stable throughout the samples (see Table 3 in Results sections). After the main PCs were extracted, calculation of correlation between each day of the time series and the selected PCs was determined. The PC that best correlated with the correspondent day was assigned to every day, obtaining a time-series of the three PCs. This allowed assessment of changes in time of the main patterns of daily streamflow cycles observed.

4. Results

4.1. Climatology and glacier's evolution

The long-term climatic evolution of the study area is depicted in Figure 2. The temperature and precipitation series (Fig. 2 a, c and d) correspond to the Brisas meteorological station, which is located 25 km from the glacier, at 2721 m elevation. It therefore does not accurately represent the climate conditions at the glacier. It is, however, the closest meteorological station with available meteorological data to study long-term climate. The temperature record measured at the glacier snout is included (blue line). It can be observed that despite the different range of values (temperatures at the glacier are 3.2 ºC lower than at Brisas), there is a match in variability for the common period.

Long-term evolution of temperature does not show any significant trend or pattern from 1982 to 2015; however, a spectral analysis shows that the frequency with higher spectral density corresponds with a seasonality of 48 months, indicating a recurrent cycle every four years. By comparing Fig. 2a with Fig. 2b, there is a close match between temperature and evolution of the Multivariate ENSO Index (R = 0.49), which also shows as well, a high value of power spectra in the 48-month frequency cycle. Notwithstanding other factors whose analysis is far beyond the scope of this paper, it is evident that the evolution of temperature in the study area is highly driven by the ENSO phenomenon. Regarding precipitation (Fig. 2c), no long-term trend is observed, and the most evident pattern is the bi-modal seasonal regime which is confirmed by the frequency analysis showing the highest power spectra in the 6-month cycle.

The evolution of the glacier since 2006 is shown in Figure 3. Almost every month since measurements began in 2006, the glacier has lost mass (113 months), and very few months (20) recorded a positive mass balance. The global balance in this period is a loss of 34.4 meters of water equivalent. For the sake of visual comparison, we have included the time series of MEI and a close correspondence between the variables is observed (Figure 3.a). During the warm phases of ENSO (Niño events, values of MEI above 0.5), the glacier loses up to 600 mm w.e. per month, as in the Niño event of 2009-2010, when the glacier lost a total of 7000 mm w.e. One could surmise that during La Niña (cold phases of ENSO, MEI values < -0.5) the glacier could recuperate mass. In fact, when MEI values are negative, the glacier experiences much less decrease; however, even during the strongest La Niña events, the balance is negative, with just a few months having a positive balance (e.g. in the 2010-2011 La Niña, the glacier lost 1000 mm w.e.) This occurs because even during La Niña mean temperatures at the glacier are above zero (0.8 ± 0.3 ºC). The aforementioned agreement between ENSO and mass balance appears to break from 2012 onwards. There were two events of large mass balance loss around 2013-2014 that do not match with El Niño events. A local factor that can affect the glacier's mass balance independent of climatology is reduced albedo of the surface caused by the quantity of deposited ash that comes from the nearby Santa Isabel volcano. This variable has not been considered in

Eliminado: on

Eliminado: the

Eliminado: it was ensured that results with

Eliminado: table

Eliminado: results

Eliminado: , especially due to the lapse rate of temperature with elevation (which makes temperatures at the glacier 3.2 ºC lower: annual mean temperature of 1.4 ºC at the glacier's base, compared to 4.6 ºC at Brisas)

Eliminado:

Eliminado: 4

Eliminado: we observe

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: (Fig. 2d) with two "humid" seasons and two "dry" seasons every year, typical of the whole country that is driven by a seasonal shifting of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITZC).

Eliminado:

Eliminado: saw

Eliminado: was recorded

Eliminado: the mass balance evolution in the temperature and MEI plot's (Fig. 2a and 2b) are included,

Eliminado: the

Eliminado: , but they do coincide with temperature peaks. This might be due to other factors that affect temperature and mass balance such as increasing radiation due to less cloudiness. But some peaks of mass balance loss that do not correspond to temperature peaks were also observed. .

Eliminado: ly

Eliminado: the

Eliminado: on the ice surface

Eliminado:

the present study but there are two pictures of the glacier for visual evidence (Figure 3.d). This fact, together with prevalence of above-zero degrees (see Figure 2, top plot) at the elevation in which the glacier is located has induced the large glacier recession observed between 2006 and 2017 (Figure 3.c). During this period, there has been a 37% reduction, from 22.45 ha in 2006 to 12 ha in 2017. However, this reduction has been far from linear. As shown in Figure 3.b, mass balance losses during the first years of the monitoring period were in general less pronounced than in the latest years. In 2012, the ice mass retreated up the 4700 m elevation curve, and from then on the years with larger mass loss were 2015, 2016 and 2014.

4.2. Hydrological dynamics

The water discharge of the Conejeras glacier is measured at a gauging station located 300 m from the glacier snout (when the station was installed in 2009, it was only 10 meters away from the glacier snout). The water volume measured at this station is a combination of water from glacier melt and water from precipitation into the watershed area, although the former exerts a larger control in water discharge variability. Table 2 shows the correlation between hydrological and temperature indices for samples of days with precipitation, independent of the amount of fallen precipitation (left), and for samples of days without precipitation (right). On days without precipitation, most hydrological indices show significant correlation with temperature, except for the baseflow and *hQmax.* The highest correlation values are found between *Qmax, Qrange, Qslope* and *totalQ,* with *Tmax* and *Tmean* (correlation values in the range of 0.5 – 0.65), indicating that the higher the temperatures, the more prominent the melting pulse of runoff*. Tmin* shows smaller and less significant correlation values. The *hpulse* also shows high correlation with temperature, but in this case in a negative fashion, indicating a later occurrence of the daily melting pulse when minimum temperatures and maximum temperatures are lower. On days with precipitation, correlation values are generally smaller but, in some cases, they are still significant as for *Qmax, Qrange* and *Qslope.*

A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) performed on hourly streamflow data (in a recursive fashion, see Section 3.3 for explanation of the method) allowed procurement of the main patterns of daily flow, as well as changes in time during the study period. Three principal components were obtained, whose values of explained variance were stable throughout the 25 bootstrapped samples (Table 3). The first PC explained an average of 48 ± 6% of the variance throughout the 25 samples, and the second PC an average of 35 ± 5.7%. Together they account for 83% of variance and they both showed a neat pattern of daily streamflows (Fig. 4a). The main difference between PC1 and PC2 is the time of the day when peak flows are reached and hence the time range when most daily flows occur. Thus, PC1 corresponds to days with an earlier melt pulse (towards 10h) and earlier peak flows (towards 14h), compared to PC2, with days of melt pulse at 13h and peak flows at 18h. The remaining PC explains a residual percentage of the variance and, unlike PC1 and PC2, does not show a stable streamflow pattern across the samples. However, it was decided to keep it, as it can help explain some peculiarities in the results. In Figure 4b the evolution of the frequency (days per month) of days corresponding to each PC is shown. Although there is some degree of variability, the frequency of days with PC1 streamflow pattern increases over time and dominates over the frequency of PC2 and PC3 days. This is especially significant between 2015 and 2016, coinciding with an El Niño event. However, by mid-2016 the frequency of PC1 days drops considerably and the frequency of PC2 days increases in the same ratio. Thus, from mid-2016 to the end of the study period, they both maintain similar levels of frequency.

In order to understand the underlying factors of each PC, the frequency distribution of the climatic and hydrological indices for the days corresponding to each PC was computed, in the form of boxplots (Figure 5). From a hydrological point of view, PC1 better corresponds to days with higher total runoff and maximum runoff and with a more pronounced slope in both the rising and decreasing limbs of the peak flow volume than PC2 and PC3. The variability (expressed by the amplitude of boxes in the boxplots) of such hydrological indicators is, as well, higher amongst days of PC1, compared to days of PC2 and PC3. Base runoff is higher in PC1 but not significantly. The contrasted weight of climate may explain such hydrological differences between PCs: days of PC1 present significantly higher mean temperature (median = 1.7ºC) and maximum temperature (median = 3.8ºC) than days of PC2 (0.9ºC and 2.4ºC respectively) and PC3 (0.5ºC

Eliminado:

Eliminado: could be the subject of further research, since a meteorological station that includes albedo measurements has been recently installed on the top of the glacier.

Eliminado:

Eliminado: In terms of the glacier's area,

Eliminado:

Eliminado: i

Eliminado: 2014

Eliminado: receded

Eliminado: Between 2006 and 2014 the area reduction was 9%, occurring in a gradual fashion, and one year (2012) saw a slight recuperation in glaciated area. Reduction during these years was limited to a slight receding of the glacier's snout, and no apparent changes were observed in the upper parts of the ice body (see map in Figure 3). From 2014 to 2017, in contrast, there has been a sharp decrease in the glacier's area, being especially drastic between 2014 and 2015, with substantial receding of the snout combined with a retreat of ice in the upper parts of the glacier.

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: gauge

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: *Qbase*

Eliminado: *d*

Eliminado: section

Eliminado: the

Eliminado:

Eliminado: am

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: s

and 1.6ºC respectively). In contrast, precipitation is notably higher (and shows greater variability) in days grouped within PC3 (median = 1.9 mm day^{-1}) and PC2 (2.2 mm day^{-1}) compared to days of PC1 (0.3 mm day^{-1}). To summarize, PC1 corresponds to a daily regimen of pure glacier melting, whereas PC2 and PC3 correspond to days with a lower glacier melting pulse with more (PC3) or less (PC2) influence of precipitation.

In Figure 4 a notable change occurs in the frequency of the two main patterns of hourly streamflow, PC1 and PC2, by mid-2016. Further details regarding changes in the hydrological yield of the glacier are shown in Figure 6, which presents the evolution of the main hydrological indices computed, along with temperature, precipitation and glacier mass balance during the study period and averaged monthly. Total and maximum daily streamflow (*totalQ* and *Qmax*) depict an increase up to mid-2016, where they begin to decrease. During the last 18 months, they remain at low levels compared to previous months. This turning point seems to coincide in time with the 2015-16 El Niño event, with higher-than-average temperatures and low levels of precipitation that led to an increasing mass balance loss and therefore increased flows. It is remarkable that streamflow increases and decreases in direct proportion to mass balance change, indicating the strong dependence of runoff to glacier melt. Similar evolution is observed in the difference between base flows and maximum flows (*Qrange*), as well as the slope of the rising limb of diurnal flows (*Qslope*) which are indicators of diurnal variability: they increase up to 2016 and decrease afterwards, which coincides with the change in the frequency of daily streamflow patterns in Fig. 5. The mean hour of the day at which maximum flows are reached (*hQmax*) shows a steady evolution until mid-2016 when it begins to rise. This seems surprising when comparing it to the evolution of *hTmax* (i.e. the hour of the day when maximum temperature is reached) which does not show any particular temporal pattern. Regarding the monthly variability of flows (third panel on the right, Fig. 7) the same turning point is observed, with a clear decrease in the coefficient of variation until 2016 and an increase afterwards. It is clear that a hydrological change has occurred at the outlet of the glacier, but when we look at the two most plausible drivers of change (temperature and precipitation, bottom plots Fig. 7 do not seem to be responsible for it. They both are affected by the El Niño event, when temperatures increased and precipitation decreased; however, they do not show an increasing-decreasing temporal pattern before and after such an event. This leads to the hypothesis that the hydrological change observed at these last stages of the glacier's life is independent of climate.

4.3. Changes in the runoff-climate relationship

In this section, the runoff is isolated from temperature and precipitation in order to determine if observed hydrological dynamics are driven by climate or are related to shrinkage of the glacier. Figure 7 shows the mean monthly runoff for days with temperatures lower and higher than 2ºC, i.e. water discharge series independent of temperature. Precipitation has also been added to the plot. It was noted that water discharge for days warmer than 2ºC is significantly higher than water discharge on days cooler than 2ºC. The characteristic evolution of runoff, with increasing amounts during most of the study period up to mid-2016 and decreasing runoff from that point onwards was also observed. The same evolution occurs for both days below and days above 2ºC, and it occurs for very similar amounts of precipitation. This indicates that flows from the melting glacier are becoming less dependent on temperature, or climate in general, and more dependent on the size of the glacier. The boxplots of Figure 8 (bottom) confirm this observation by showing water volumes significantly higher before than after the breaking point, but also because the differences between water discharge at < 2ºC and water discharge at > 2ºC are also smaller (and not significant) after the breaking point, indicating the decreasing importance of temperature in the process of runoff production in the shrinking glacier.

Eliminado: 2.2

Eliminado: 1.9

Eliminado: 2.2

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: ing trend

Eliminado: trend

Eliminado: we observe

Eliminado:) they

Eliminado: contrasted sign on trends

Eliminado: a

Eliminado: climatology

Eliminado: The most plausible causes for this will be explained

Eliminado: from temperature and precipitation

Eliminado: the

Eliminado: by

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado:

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: It seems evident, therefore,

Eliminado: Following the hypothesis of Section 1.2, regarding hydrological changes of shrinking glaciers from 2013 to mid-2016, the runoff increases because glacier mass becomes more sensitive to energy exchange as it gets smaller. From mid-2016 onwards, runoff decreases because the water reservoir present in the ice has reached a threshold where its contribution cannot be offset by incoming precipitation or potentially higher temperatures.

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Finally, Figure 8 shows correlations between temperature/precipitation and monthly flows for different time periods. In Figure 8a two years are compared that can be considered analogues in terms of total flow (similar amounts of monthly flow, see Figure 6), but one year (2013-14) belongs to the period of increasing flows, before the 2016 breakpoint, and the other year (2017) belongs to the period of decreasing flows after the breakpoint. Correlation between temperature and flow is much higher (R = 0.65) for 2013-14 than for 2017 (R = 0.35), which would corroborate the previous observation. However, precipitation also shows higher correlation with flow for 2013-14 (R = 0.67) than for 2017 (R = 0.42), which would contradict the hypothesis. One year, however, may not be representative of general trends, and so the same analysis is repeated, not for individual years but for the whole periods pre- and post-2016 breakpoint (Fig. 8b). The pattern seems more clear and corroborates the aforementioned hypothesis: correlation between temperature and flow is significant for the pre-2016 period (R = 0.55) but is non-existent for the post-2016 period (R = -0.1). Correlation between precipitation and flow is insignificant (R = -0.23) for the pre-2016 period, and it is positive and significant for the post-2016 period (R = 0.32). These previous observations lead to reasoning that during the years of hydrological monitoring (2013-2017), the observed hydrological dynamic, with a marked breakpoint in 2016, is a result of the vanishing glacier process and not a response to climate variability.

Eliminado: ... [2]

Eliminado: due to deglaciation

Eliminado:

5. Discussion and conclusions

The present paper shows a comprehensive analysis of the dynamics of an Andean glacier that is close to extinction, with special focus on its hydrological yield. This resarech has benefited from a hydro-climatic monitoring network located in the surroundings of the glacier terminus that has been fully operative since 2013 and from monthly and annual estimations of mass balance and glacier extent respectively, derived from ice depth measurements and topographical surveys since 2006. Everything has been managed by the Institute of Hydrology Meteorology and Environmental Studies (IDEAM) of Colombia. The *Conejeras* glacier is currently an isolated small glacier that used to be part of a larger ice body called *Nevado de Santa Isabel.* Since measurements have been available, the glacier has constantly lost mass and, consequently, a reduction in its area is evident. The extinction of Colombian glaciers has been documented since 1850, with an average loss of 90% of their area, considering current values (IDEAM, 2012). This reduction, of about 3% per year, has been much larger during the last three decades (57%) compared to previous decades (23%), which is directly related to the general increase in temperatures in the region and to re-activation of volcanic activity (IDEAM, 2012; Rabatel et al., 2017). Since direct measurements began in 2006, the studied glacier has constantly lost area; however, until 2014 the area loss was gradual and restricted to the glacier front; from 2014 the sharp retreat also involved higher parts of the glacier. The main reason for this strong shrinkage is the existence of above-zero temperatures during most of the year and less precipitation fallen as snow. This involves a constant migration of the equilibrium line to higher positions and a decreasing albedo of the ice surface that involves greater energy absorption, the latter accelerated by intense activity of Nevado de el Ruiz in the last years. In terms of mass balance, very few months exhibit a gain of ice during the studied period and these tend to coincide with la Niña events (negative MEI episodes). These episodes cannot compensate for the great losses that occurred during the majority of months, which are especially large during El Niño events (positive MEI episodes), when above-normal temperatures are recorded. The ENSO phenomenon exerts great influence on the evolution of the glacier, similar to that reported for most inner tropical glaciers (Francou et al., 2004; Rabatel et al., 2013; Vuille et al., 2008); however, some episodes of great mass balance loss, such as that of 2014 cannot be explained by the ENSO. Observations of glacier surface during field surveys showed that during some periods of mass loss, surface ice retreat left ancient layers of volcanic ash exposed. The reduced energy reflectance caused by such ash layers might have triggered positive feedback that led to increasing melting and large ice retreat.

Eliminado: We have

Eliminado: our

Eliminado: , and

Eliminado: ,

Eliminado: occurring

Eliminado: then

Eliminado: (which coincides with the sharp decrease in glacier extent)

Glacier retreat is a worldwide phenomenon currently linked to global warming (IPCC, 2013). Amongst the environmental issues related to glacier retreat, the issue concerning water resources has produced a vast amount of research. This is because glaciers constitute water reservoirs in the form of accumulated ice over thousands of years, and they provide water supply to downstream areas for the benefit of life, ecosystems and human societies. The rapid decrease in glacier extent during the last decades involves a change in water availability in glacier-dominated regions, and, thus, changes in water policies and water management are advisable (Huss, 2011; Kundzewicz et al., 2008). In the short term, glacier retreat involves increasing runoff in downstream areas but, after reaching a peak, runoff will eventually decrease until the contribution of the glacier melt is zero when the glacier completely disappears. From a global perspective, such a tipping point is referred to as *peak water* and has given rise to concern from the scientific community (Gleick and Palaniappan, 2010; Huss and Hock, 2018; Kundzewicz et al., 2008; Mark et al., 2017; Sorg et al., 2014). Research regarding the occurrence of such a runoff peak related to glacier retreat demonstrates that it will not occur concurrently worldwide. In some mountain areas it has already occurred, i.e. the Peruvian Andes (Baraer et al., 2012), the Western U.S mountains (Frans et al., 2016) or Central Asia (Sorg et al., 2012). At the majority of studied glacier basins it is expected to occur in the course of the present century (Immerzeel et al., 2013; Ragettli et al., 2016; Sorg et al., 2014; Soruco et al., 2015). In recent global-scale research, Huss and Hock (2018) state that in nearly half of the 56 large-scale glacierized drainage basins studied, the peak water has already occurred. In the other half, it will occur in the next decades, depending on extension of the ice cover fraction.

Eliminado: ,

It was not the aim of this study to allocate such a tipping point in our studied glacier; however, observations on the characteristics of streamflow along the studied period suggest that it may have occurred during our study period. Our observations corroborate glacier melt being the main contributor to runoff in the catchment. However, even when correlations between runoff and temperature are mostly significant, the values are not as high as could be expected for a glacierized catchment. This is due to decreasing dependence of runoff on temperature, and therefore to glacier melt, as at a specific point during the study period. We observed a changing dynamic in most hydrological indicators, with a turning point in mid-2016, whereas climate variables, i.e. temperature and precipitation, do not show such evident variation (besides the exceptional conditions during an El Niño event). Both the PCA analysis and the monthly aggregation of hydrological indices point to a less glacier-induced hydrological yield once the runoff peak of 2016 was reached. According to literature (see Section 1.2.) this change from increasing to decreasing runoff and to lesser importance of glacier contribution to total water discharge must be expected in glacierized catchments with glaciers close to extinction. The short length of our hydrological series (five years) does not allow long-term analysis to determine water discharge in years of less glacier loss (i.e. from 2006 to 2012, see Fig. 3), which could verify or refute such a hypothesis. However, when we isolated total runoff from climate variables before and after the 2016 breakpoint (Figures 8 and 9), we observed that the increase and later decrease of flows was mostly independent of temperature and precipitation, which would involve a glacier-driven hydrological change. Summarizing, streamflow measured at the glacier's snout showed the following characteristics: increasing trend in flow volume until mid-2016 and decreasing trend thereafter; increasing diurnal variability (given by the range between high flows and low flows and by the slope of the rising flow limb) up to mid-2016 and decreasing thereafter; decreasing and increasing monthly variability (given by the coefficient of variation of flows within a given month) before and after such date; and high dependence of flow on temperatures before 2016 and low or null dependence after 2016, with increasing dependence on precipitation. As well, this is supported by an evident change in the type of hydrograph, from a prevalence of days with melt-driven hydrographs (low baseflows, sharp melting pulse and great difference between high flows and low flows) before 2016, to an increase in the occurrence of days with less influence of melt and more influence by precipitation. All these characteristics support the idea of a hydrological change driven by the glacier recession in the catchment, as summarized by Hock et al. (2005, see Section 1.2). This observation cannot be taken conclusively, because the time period of hydrological observation is not long enough to deduce long-term trends and to explore hydrological dynamics before the great decline in glacier extent in 2014. However, given the current reduced size of the glacier (14 hectares, which represents 35% of the catchment that drains into the gauge station), it is likely that water discharge will continue to decrease in the upcoming years, until glacier contribution ends and runoff depends only on the precipitation that falls within the catchment. Like this glacier, other small glaciers in Colombia are expected to disappear in the coming decades (Rabatel et al., 2017); thus, a similar hydrological response can be expected.

Eliminado: This is due to a decreasing dependence of runoff on temperature, and therefore to glacier melt, as at a certain point during the study period

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: deglaciation

Eliminado: .

Eliminado: :

Eliminado: deglaciation

Eliminado: of

Eliminado: section

Eliminado: Data on glacierized area fraction supports this idea: the area covered by ice changed from 56-51% of the catchment in the 2006-2014 timespan to 39-35% of the catchment in 2015-2017; therefore, the contribution of ice melt to total flow compared to that of precipitation must necessarily decrease.

Eliminado: water yield

Unlike glaciers in the western semi-arid slopes of the Andes (i.e. Peru, Bolivia), Colombian glaciers do not constitute the main source of freshwater for downstream populations (IDEAM, 2012). The succession of humid periods provides enough water in mountain areas, most of which is stored in the deep soils of *Páramos.* These wetland ecosystems are mainly fed by rainfall (the contribution of glacier melt is mostly unknown, IDEAM, 2012) and act as water buffers, ensuring water availability during not-so-humid periods. Therefore, the role of glaciers in Colombia regarding water resources, including the studied ice body, is more marginal, and the occurrence of the *peak water* from glacier melt is not a current concern, as it is in Peru or Bolivia (Francou et al., 2014). Yet this does not diminish the relevance of the results of this work, because they may be taken as an example of what can happen to the hydrology of glacierized basins in the tropics whose glaciers are in the process of disappearing. The studied glacier has a very small size compared to other ice bodies in the region. This makes it respond rapidly to variations in climate, as well as involving a rapid hydrological response of the catchment to the loss of ice, as was observed in this work. The increasing/decreasing flow dynamic observed as the glacier retreated occurred in roughly five years, and this is most likely related to the reduced size of the studied glacier. Most studies on the hydrological response to glacier retreat consider large river basins with large glacier coverage, usually by modeling approaches (i.e. Huss and Hock, 2018; Immerzeel et al., 2013; Ragettli et al., 2016; Sorg et al., 2014, 2012; Stahl et al., 2008), and the response times reported on either increasing flow at the initial stages or decreasing flow at the final stages are always on the scale of decades.

Eliminado: our

Eliminado: to our

Eliminado: our observations

Eliminado: Our

Eliminado: our

Eliminado:

The added value of studying the hydrology related to this small-sized and near-extinct glacier is that the changes observed in the hydrology of the catchment could be directly attributed to the dynamics of the glacier and the climate that occurs at the same time-scale; contrary to catchments containing large glaciers that respond with a larger temporal inertia to environmental changes. Hydrological analyses were restricted to the upper catchment because the streamflows measured at the snout of the glacier are not influenced by the signals of other environmental processes that may occur downstream (e.g., forest clearing or increasing grazing). The methodological approach, including the PCA and the hydrological indices computed over sub-daily resolution data demonstrated itself as viable for detecting changes on the diurnal cycle of the glacier, and can be applied to other small glaciers of the tropical Andes that respond rapidly (at sub-annual scales) to environmental forcing. The necessity for in situ observations on a fine scale in order to improve accuracy on future estimations of water availability related to glacier retreat is emphasized.

Eliminado: Our work demonstrates

Eliminado: t

Eliminado: r

Acknowledgments

This work has been possible thanks to the monitoring network installed by the Department of Ecosystems of the Colombian Institute for Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies (*Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales*, IDEAM) and to the monthly field surveys on the Conejeras glacier and Río Claro river basin done by employed staff. Our sincere gratitude to them, with special thanks to Yina Paola Nocua. The following projects gave economic support to this paper: "*Estudio hidrológico de la montaña altoandina (Colombia) y su respuesta a procesos de cambio global"* financed by Banco Santander, through the program of exchange scholarships for young researchers in Ibero-America "*Becas Iberoamérica Jóvenes Profesores e Investigadores* (2015); and CGL2017- 82216-R (HIDROIBERNIEVE) funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness. We are thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions that helped improve the final version of this manuscript.

Eliminado:

6. References

Baraer, M., Mark, B. G., McKenzie, J. M., Condom, T., Bury, J., Huh, K.-I., Portocarrero, C., Gómez, J. and Rathay, S.: Glacier recession and water resources in Peru's Cordillera Blanca, J. Glaciol., 58(207), 134–150, doi:10.3189/2012JoG11J186, 2012.

Beniston, M.: Impacts of climatic change on water and associated economic activities in the Swiss Alps, J. Hydrol., 412–413, 291–296, doi:10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2010.06.046, 2012.

Bradley, R. S., Vuille, M., Diaz, H. F. and Vergara, W.: Climate change. Threats to water supplies in the tropical Andes., Science, 312(5781), 1755–6, doi:10.1126/science.1128087, 2006.

Cayan, D. R., Dettinger, M. D., Kammerdiener, S. A., Caprio, J. M., Peterson, D. H., Cayan, D. R., Dettinger, M. D., Kammerdiener, S. A., Caprio, J. M. and Peterson, D. H.: Changes in the Onset of Spring in the Western United States, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 82(3), 399–415, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<0399:CITOOS>2.3.CO;2, 2001.

Ceballos, J. L., Euscátegui, C., Ramírez, J., Cañon, M., Huggel, C., Haeberli, W. and Machguth, H.: Fast shrinkage of tropical glaciers in Colombia, Ann. Glaciol., 43, 194–201, doi:10.3189/172756406781812429, 2006.

Chander, G., Markham, B. L. and Helder, D. L.: Summary of current radiometric calibration coefficients for Landsat MSS, TM, ETM+, and EO-1 ALI sensors, Remote Sens. Environ., 113(5), 893–903, doi:10.1016/J.RSE.2009.01.007, 2009.

Chavez, P. S.: An improved dark-object subtraction technique for atmospheric scattering correction of multispectral data, Remote Sens. Environ., 24(3), 459–479, doi:10.1016/0034-4257(88)90019-3, 1988.

Chevallier, P., Pouyaud, B., Suarez, W. and Condom, T.: Climate change threats to environment in the tropical Andes: glaciers and water resources, Reg. Environ. Chang., 11(S1), 179–187, doi:10.1007/s10113-010-0177-6, 2011.

Dozier, J.: Spectral signature of alpine snow cover from the landsat thematic mapper, Remote Sens. Environ., 28, 9–22, doi:10.1016/0034-4257(89)90101-6, 1989.

Favier, V., Wagnon, P. and Ribstein, P.: Glaciers of the outer and inner tropics: A different behaviour but a common response to climatic forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31(16), L16403, doi:10.1029/2004GL020654, 2004.

Francou, B. and Pouyaud, B.: Metodos de observacion de glaciares en los Andes tropicales : mediciones de terreno y procesamiento de datos : version-1 : 2004, [online] Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Bernard_Pouyaud/publication/282171220_Metodos_de_observacion_de_glaciares_en_los_Andes_tropicales_mediciones_de_terreno_y_procesamiento_de_datos_version-1_2004/links/561ba9b808ae78721fa0f8ad.pdf (Accessed 12 March 2018), 2004.

Francou, B., Vuille, M., Wagnon, P., Mendoza, J. and Sicart, J.: Tropical climate change recorded by a glacier in the central Andes during the last decades of the twentieth century: Chacaltaya, Bolivia, 16°S, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D5), 4154, doi:10.1029/2002JD002959, 2003.

Francou, B., Vuille, M., Favier, V. and Cáceres, B.: New evidence for an ENSO impact on low-latitude glaciers: Antizana 15, Andes of Ecuador, 0°28′S, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D18), D18106, doi:10.1029/2003JD004484, 2004.

Francou, B., Rabatel, A., Soruco, A., Sicart, J. E., Silvestre, E. E., Ginot, P., Cáceres, B., Condom, T., Villacís, M., Ceballos, J. L., Lehmann, B., Anthelme, F., Dangles, O., Gomez, J., Favier, V., Maisincho, L., Jomelli, V., Vuille, M., Wagnon, P., Lejeune, Y., Ramallo, C. and Mendoza, J.: Glaciares de los Andes tropicales: víctimas del cambio climático, Comunidad Andina, PRAA, IRD. [online] Available from: http://bibliotecavirtual.minam.gob.pe/biam/handle/minam/1686 (Accessed 22 February 2018), 2014.

Frans, C., Istanbulluoglu, E., Lettenmaier, D. P., Clarke, G., Bohn, T. J. and Stumbaugh, M.: Implications of decadal to century scale glacio-hydrological change for water resources of the Hood River basin, OR, USA, Hydrol. Process., 30(23), 4314–4329, doi:10.1002/hyp.10872,

Con formato: Inglés (americano)

Con formato: Inglés (americano)

Con formato: Inglés (americano)

2016.

Gleick, P. H. and Palaniappan, M.: Peak water limits to freshwater withdrawal and use, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107(25), 11155–11162, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004812107, 2010.

Granados, H. D., Miranda, P. J., Núñez, G. C., Alzate, B. P., Mothes, P., Roa, H. M., Cáceres Correa, B. E. and Ramos, J. C.: Hazards at Ice-Clad Volcanoes: Phenomena, Processes, and Examples From Mexico, Colombia, Ecuador, and Chile, Snow Ice-Related Hazards, Risks Disasters, 607–646, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-394849-6.00017-2, 2015.

Hock, R., Jansson, P. and Braun, L. N.: Modelling the Response of Mountain Glacier Discharge to Climate Warming, in Global Change and Mountain Regions (A State of Knowledge Overview), pp. 243–252, Springer, Dordrecht., 2005.

Huggel, C., Ceballos, J. L., PulgarÍn, B., Ramírez, J. and Thouret, J.-C.: Review and reassessment of hazards owing to volcano–glacier interactions in Colombia, Ann. Glaciol., 45, 128–136, doi:10.3189/172756407782282408, 2007.

Huss, M.: Present and future contribution of glacier storage change to runoff from macroscale drainage basins in Europe, Water Resour. Res., 47(7), doi:10.1029/2010WR010299, 2011.

Huss, M. and Hock, R.: Global-scale hydrological response to future glacier mass loss, Nat. Clim. Chang., 8(2), 135–140, doi:10.1038/s41558-017-0049-x, 2018.

IDEAM: Glaciares de Colombia, más que montañas con hielo, edited by Comité de Comuniaciones y Publicaciones del IDEAM, Bogotá., 2012.

Immerzeel, W. W., Pellicciotti, F. and Bierkens, M. F. P.: Rising river flows throughout the twenty-first century in two Himalayan glacierized watersheds, Nat. Geosci., 6(9), 742–745, doi:10.1038/ngeo1896, 2013.

IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.

Kaser, G. and Omaston, H.: Tropical glaciers, Cambridge University Press. [online] Available from: https://books.google.es/books?hl=es&lr=&id=ZEB-l3twN_gC&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&dq=tropical+glaciers&ots=WLwn1fdjig&sig=897EG6q4Pyc113vo9Qb2bnyUo7g#v=onepage&q=tropical glaciers&f=false (Accessed 21 November 2017), 2002.

Kaser, G., Grosshauser, M. and Marzeion, B.: Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in different climate regimes., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 107(47), 20223–7, doi:10.1073/pnas.1008162107, 2010.

Klein, A. G., Morris, J. N. and Poole, A. J.: Retreat of Tropical Glaciers in Colombia and Venezuela from 1984 to 2004 as Measured from ASTER and Landsat Images, in 63 rd EASTERN SNOW CONFERENCE, Newark, Delaware USA. [online] Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228492383 (Accessed 5 July 2018), 2006.

Kundzewicz, Z. W., Mata, L. J., W., A. N., Döll, P., Jimenez, B., Miller, K., Oki, T., Şed, Z. and Shiklomanov, I.: The implications of projected climate change for freshwater resources and their management, Hydrol. Sci. J., 53(1), 3–10, doi:10.1623/hysj.53.1.3, 2008.

López-Moreno, J. I., Fontaneda, S., Bazo, J., Revuelto, J., Azorin-Molina, C., Valero-Garcés, B., Morán-Tejeda, E., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Zubieta, R. and Alejo-Cochachín, J.: Recent glacier retreat and climate trends in Cordillera Huaytapallana, Peru, Glob. Planet. Change, 112, 1–11, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.10.010, 2014.

López-Moreno, J. I., Valero-Garcés, B., Mark, B., Condom, T., Revuelto, J., Azorín-Molina, C., Bazo, J., Frugone, M., Vicente-Serrano, S. M. and Alejo-Cochachin, J.: Hydrological and depositional processes associated with recent glacier recession in Yanamarey catchment, Cordillera Blanca (Peru), Sci. Total Environ., 579, 272–282, doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2016.11.107, 2017.

Con formato: Inglés (americano)

Con formato: Inglés (americano)

Mark, B. G., French, A., Baraer, M., Carey, M., Bury, J., Young, K. R., Polk, M. H., Wigmore, O., Lagos, P., Crumley, R., McKenzie, J. M. and Lautz, L.: Glacier loss and hydro-social risks in the Peruvian Andes, Glob. Planet. Change, 159, 61–76, doi:10.1016/J.GLOPLACHA.2017.10.003, 2017.

Mölg, N., Ceballos, J. L., Huggel, C., Micheletti, N., Rabatel, A. and Zemp, M.: Ten years of monthly mass balance of Conejeras glacier, Colombia, and their evaluation using different interpolation methods, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A, Phys. Geogr., 99(2), 155–176, doi:10.1080/04353676.2017.1297678, 2017.

Padró, J.-C., Pons, X., Aragonés, D., Díaz-Delgado, R., García, D., Bustamante, J., Pesquer, L., Domingo-Marimon, C., González-Guerrero, Ò., Cristóbal, J., Doktor, D. and Lange, M.: Radiometric Correction of Simultaneously Acquired Landsat-7/Landsat-8 and Sentinel-2A Imagery Using Pseudoinvariant Areas (PIA): Contributing to the Landsat Time Series Legacy, Remote Sens., 9(12), 1319, doi:10.3390/rs9121319, 2017.

Poveda, G. and Pineda, K.: Reassessment of Colombia's tropical glaciers retreat rates: are they bound to disappear during the 2010–2020 decade?, Adv. Geosci., 22, 107–116, doi:10.5194/adgeo-22-107-2009, 2009.

Poveda, G., Waylen, P. R. and Pulwarty, R. S.: Annual and inter-annual variability of the present climate in northern South America and southern Mesoamerica, Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol., 234(1), 3–27, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.10.031, 2006.

Rabatel, A., Francou, B., Soruco, A., Gomez, J., Cáceres, B., Ceballos, J. L., Basantes, R., Vuille, M., Sicart, J.-E., Huggel, C., Scheel, M., Lejeune, Y., Arnaud, Y., Collet, M., Condom, T., Consoli, G., Favier, V., Jomelli, V., Galarraga, R., Ginot, P., Maisincho, L., Mendoza, J., Ménégoz, M., Ramirez, E., Ribstein, P., Suarez, W., Villacis, M. and Wagnon, P.: Current state of glaciers in the tropical Andes: a multi-century perspective on glacier evolution and climate change, Cryosph., 7(1), 81–102, doi:10.5194/tc-7-81-2013, 2013.

Rabatel, A., Ceballos, J. L., Micheletti, N., Jordan, E., Braitmeier, M., González, J., Mölg, N., Ménégoz, M., Huggel, C. and Zemp, M.: Toward an imminent extinction of Colombian glaciers?, Geogr. Ann. Ser. A, Phys. Geogr., 1–21, doi:10.1080/04353676.2017.1383015, 2017.

Ragettli, S., Immerzeel, W. W. and Pellicciotti, F.: Contrasting climate change impact on river flows from high-altitude catchments in the Himalayan and Andes Mountains., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 113(33), 9222–7, doi:10.1073/pnas.1606526113, 2016.

Rekowsky, I. C.: Variações de área das geleiras da Colômbia e da Venezuela entre 1985 e 2015, com dados de sensoriamento remoto. [online] Available from: https://www.lume.ufrgs.br/handle/10183/149546 (Accessed 5 July 2018), 2016.

Ribstein, P., Tiriau, E., Francou, B. and Saravia, R.: Tropical climate and glacier hydrology: a case study in Bolivia, J. Hydrol., 165(1–4), 221–234, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(94)02572-S, 1995.

Sicart, J. E., Hock, R., Ribstein, P., Litt, M. and Ramirez, E.: Analysis of seasonal variations in mass balance and meltwater discharge of the tropical Zongo Glacier by application of a distributed energy balance model, J. Geophys. Res., 116(D13), D13105, doi:10.1029/2010JD015105, 2011.

Sorg, A., Bolch, T., Stoffel, M., Solomina, O. and Beniston, M.: Climate change impacts on glaciers and runoff in Tien Shan (Central Asia), Nat. Clim. Chang., 2(10), 725–731, doi:10.1038/nclimate1592, 2012.

Sorg, A., Huss, M., Rohrer, M. and Stoffel, M.: The days of plenty might soon be over in glacierized Central Asian catchments, Environ. Res. Lett., 9(10), 104018, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/10/104018, 2014.

Soruco, A., Vincent, C., Rabatel, A., Francou, B., Thibert, E., Sicart, J. E. and Condom, T.: Contribution of glacier runoff to water resources of La Paz city, Bolivia (16° S), Ann. Glaciol., 56(70), 147–154, doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A001, 2015.

Stahl, K., Moore, R. D., Shea, J. M., Hutchinson, D. and Cannon, A. J.: Coupled modelling of glacier and streamflow response to future climate scenarios, Water Resour. Res., 44(2),

Con formato: Inglés (americano)

doi:10.1029/2007WR005956, 2008.

Stewart, I. T., Cayan, D. R. and Dettinger, M. D.: Changes in Snowmelt Runoff Timing in Western North America under a `Business as Usual' Climate Change Scenario, Clim. Change, 62(1–3), 217–232, doi:10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013702.22656.e8, 2004.

Vaughan, D. G., Comiso, J. C., Allison, I., Carrasco, J., Kaser, G., Kwok, R., Mote, P., Murray, T., Paul, F., Ren, J., Rignot, E., Solomina, O., Steffen, K. and Zhang, T.: Observations: Cryosphere, in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. M. Midgley, pp. 317–382, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.

Vuille, M., Francou, B., Wagnon, P., Juen, I., Kaser, G., Mark, B. G. and Bradley, R. S.: Climate change and tropical Andean glaciers: Past, present and future, Earth-Science Rev., 89(3–4), 79–96, doi:10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2008.04.002, 2008.

Vuille, M., Carey, M., Huggel, C., Buytaert, W., Rabatel, A., Jacobsen, D., Soruco, A., Villacis, M., Yarleque, C., Timm, O. E., Condom, T., Salzmann, N. and Sicart, J.-E.: Rapid decline of snow and ice in the tropical Andes – Impacts, uncertainties and challenges ahead, Earth-Science Rev., 176, 195–213, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.09.019, 2017.

Table 1. Hydrologic and climatic indices computed from the hourly streamflow, temperature and precipitation series. * *hpulse* is computed as the hourly equivalent of the melting-runoff spring pulse proposed by Cayan et al. (2001) for daily data, i.e.: the time of the day when the minimum cumulative streamflow anomaly occurs, which is equivalent to finding the hour after which most flows are greater than the daily average.

Index	Explanation	unit
totalQ	total daily water discharge	m^3 day^{-1}
Qmax	value of maximum hourly water discharge per day	m^3 hour^{-1}
*hpulse**	hour of the day when the melting streamflow pulse starts	hour of the day
Qbase	mean water discharge value between the start of the day (00:00 h) and the hour when *hpulse* occurs	m^3 hour^{-1}
hQmax	hour of the day when	hour of the day
Qrange	difference between *Qbase* and *Qmax*	m^3 hour^{-1}
Qslope	slope of the streamflow rising limb between *hpulse* and *hQmax*	slope in %
decayslope	slope of the streamflow decatying limb between *hQmax and 23:00h*	slope in %
Tmax	value of maximum hourly temperature per day	ºC hour^{-1}
Tmin	value of minimum hourly temperature per day	ºC hour^{-1}
Tmean	mean daily temperature	ºC day^{-1}
Trange	difference between *Tmin* and *Tmax*	ºC hour^{-1}
hTmax	hour of the day when the *Tmax* occurs	hour of the day
Diffh	time difference between *hTmax* and *hQmax*	Hours
Pmax	value of maximum hourly precipitation per day	mm hour^{-1}
hPmax	hour of the day when the *Pmax* occurs	hour of the day
pp	daily precipitation sum	mm day^{-1}

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: *d*

Table 2. Pearson correlation coefficient between daily hydrological indices and temperature for days with and without precipitation (left) and for days only without precipitation (right) between July 2013 and June 2017. The correlation values correspond to the average obtained by 100 resampling iterations (n = 99) of the correlation test. * and ** indicate that correlations are significant at 95% and 99% confidence respectively (two-tailed test).

Index	days with and without precipitation (n = 99)				days without precipitation (n = 99)			
	Tmin	*Tmax*	*Tmean*	*Trange*	*Tmin*	*Tmax*	*Tmean*	*Trange*
total	0.25**	0.12	0.19	0.02	0.31**	0.54**	0.53**	-0.39**
Qmax	0.25**	0.30**	0.33**	-0.18	0.24*	0.64**	0.57**	-0.54**
Qbase	0.13	-0.13	-0.05	0.22*	0.18	0.05	0.11	0.06
Qrange	0.25**	0.36**	0.37**	-0.25**	0.22*	0.65**	0.58**	-0.57**
Qslope	0.18	0.40**	0.38**	-0.34**	0.12	0.58**	0.48**	-0.55**
hQmax	0.06	-0.03	0.00	0.06	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.02
Hpulse	-0.18	-0.17	-0.21*	0.08	-0.36**	-0.50**	-0.52**	0.31**

Eliminado: *d*

Eliminado: *d*

Table 3. Mean and standard deviation of variance explained (%) by each PC throughout the 25 bootstrapped samples

PC	Mean	standard deviation
PC1	47.78	5.91
PC2	34.99	5.66
PC3	11.82	6.77

Figure 1. Study area, showing the glaciers of the Parque Nacional Natural de los Nevados, and the Río Claro river basin (top map) and the Conejeras glacier with hydro-meteorological stations (bottom map).

Figure 2. Long-term evolution of climate variables in the study area. a) monthly air temperature at the Brisas meteorological station (2721 m. asl), 1982-2015 (black line), and the temperature at the glacier snout (note the difference in the range of values), 2013-2017 (blue line); b) Multivariate ENSO Index; c) monthly precipitation at the Brisas station, 1982-2015; The frequency and its equivalent in months (1/frequency) of the two top spectral densities from spectral analysis is shown for temperature, MEI and precipitation monthly series.

Figure 3. Evolution of the Conejeras glacier. a) monthly mass balance in mm w.e. and Multivariate ENSO Index (not the inverted axis). b) annual mass balance per altitudinal range. c) extension of the glacier in hectares at the beginning (2006) and end (2017) of monitoring period. d) Photographs of the glacier surface covered by volcanic ashes, from 2015 and 2016.

Eliminado: Conejeras glacier's

Eliminado: mass balance 2006-2018

Eliminado: inverted axis in the latter

Eliminado: , and the Conejeras glacier's mass balance (note the inverted axis in the latter)

Eliminado: c) monthly long-term average of precipitation at the Brisas station.

Eliminado: Red dashed lines indicate peak mass balance loss coincident with temperature peaks but not with MEI peaks.

Con formato: Resaltar

Eliminado: b

Eliminado: .

Figure 4. Principal Component Analysis on hourly streamflow. a) scores of the three main principal components (patterns of daily streamflow), with gray lines indicating the scores for each one of the 25 bootstrapped samples in the recursive PCA, and colored lines indicating the average. b) Evolution of the number of days per month that show maximum correlation with each PC. Red corresponds to PC1, blue corresponds to PC2 and green corresponds to PC3

Eliminado:

Figure 5. Summary of the frequency distributions (boxplots) of the hydrological and meteorological indicators for days grouped within PC1, PC2 and PC3.

Figure 6. Evolution of monthly averaged hydrological indices, temperature, precipitation and glacier mass balance (in blue bars), for the study period. Dashed lines indicate the 2015-2016 strong El Niño event. 12-months window moving average (black smooth lines) are shown to represent trends.

Eliminado: on the top two plots

Figure 7. Mean monthly water discharge (Q), for days with temperature lower than 2ºC (blue) and days with temperature higher than 2ºC (red) Top: Inter-annual evolution with indication of El Niño 2015-16 event (grey shading), breakpoint in water discharge evolution (dashed line), and monthly precipitation (blue bars); bottom: comparative boxplots for water discharge before and after breakpoint in May 2016.

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Eliminado: water yield

Figure 8. Correlations between monthly flow and monthly temperature (top plots) and precipitation (bottom plots) for: a) 2013-14 (blue triangles) and 2017 (red circles) years, which are considered as analogues in terms of amounts of flow; and b) months before May 2016 breakpoint (blue triangles) and months after May 2016 breakpoint (red circles).

Página 17: [1] Eliminado	**Enrique MoránTejeda**	**26/6/18 16:36:00**

The objective of the present work is to

Página 22: [2] Eliminado	**Enrique MoránTejeda**	**2/7/18 16:51:00**