

Interactive comment on “Cross-validation of bias-corrected climate simulations is misleading” by Douglas Maraun and Martin Widmann

U. Ehret (Referee)

uwe.ehret@kit.edu

Received and published: 12 June 2018

Dear Seth,

The reason I suggest to omit section 4 is that the point the authors want to make is very clear from the analytical part in section 3. So for me there is no requirement to add the examples. As mentioned, one difficulty for me when interpreting the examples was how to transfer the magnitude of the effects from these extreme examples (extreme wrt to the non-sensibility of the second example) to typical application examples.

But: Omitting section 4 is a suggestion, not a hard requirement from my side. So, as you (and maybe also other readers) obviously benefitted from that section, I leave the decision up to the Editor.

C1

Regards, Uwe

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-151>, 2018.

C2