

Interactive comment on “Potential of seasonal hydrological forecasting of monthly run-off volumes for the Rhone and Arve Rivers from April to July” by Oriane Etter et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 26 April 2018

Review

This paper presents some interesting forecast examples, but I doubt it is suitable for HESS for the following reasons:

- The writing is very unclear. What is written often does not make sense. I recommend that a native speaker checks the entire manuscript. - It is unclear what the real novel contribution is of this paper. I would expect statements in the abstract or conclusion that would make the scientific contribution of this paper clear. Instead, I only read details about how models have performed, without any context of what we actually learn from these results, and how that improves our knowledge compared to before

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



this manuscript was written. I also do not see how there are any clear significant methodological advances. - The methods section mixes methods and results, and data. - The study domain section provides results - Similar to the previous reviewer: details about the used model are insufficient and from the reading of the paper I have no idea what model is actually used in the end. I cannot reproduce any of the methods. - Please provide figure captions that actually explain the figure. - I agree with the previous reviewer that given the quality of this manuscript I cannot provide a full review of the content presented in this paper.

Sorry I cannot be more positive about this paper, but I fail to see how it can become an appropriate contribution to HESS.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-119>, 2018.

HESSD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

