

## ***Interactive comment on “ERA-5 and ERA-Interim driven ISBA land surface model simulations: Which one performs better?” by Clement Albergel et al.***

**Clement Albergel et al.**

clement.albergel@meteo.fr

Received and published: 1 June 2018

We thank anonymous Reviewer 2 for his/her review of the manuscript and for highlighting the relevance of the study for documenting the improvements in ERA-5 as it relates to hydrology.

Response to Reviewer 2 are structured as follows: (1) 2.X: comments from Reviewer 2, (2) Response to 2.X: author's response and author's changes in manuscript when any. For sake of clarity, line and page from the first submission is used.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

C1

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



<https://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/hess-2018-117/hess-2018-117-AC2-supplement.pdf>

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-117>, 2018.

**HESD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

